NASA

MEMORANDUM

LOW-SPEED TESTS OF SEMISPAN-WING MODELS AT

ANGLES OF ATTACK FROM 0° TO 180°

By David G. Koenig

Ames Research Center
Moffett Field, Calif.

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

WASHINGTON

April 1959

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

MEMORANDUM 2-27-59A

LOW-SPEED TESTS OF SEMISPAN-WING MODELS AT

ANGLES OF ATTACK FROM 0° TO 180°

By David G. Koenig

SUMMARY

Semispan-wing models were tested at angles of attack from 0° to 180° at low subsonic speeds. Eight plan forms were considered, both swept and unswept with aspect ratios ranging from 2 to 6. Except for a delta-wing model of aspect ratio 2, all models had a taper ratio of 0.5 and an NACA 64A010 airfoil section. The delta-wing model had an NACA 0005 (modified) airfoil section. With two exceptions, the models were tested both with and without a full-span trailing-edge flap deflected 25°. The Reynolds numbers based on the mean aerodynamic chord were between 1.5 and 2.2 million.

Lift, drag, and pitching-moment coefficients are presented as functions of angle of attack. Approximate corrections for the effects of blockage were applied to the data.

INTRODUCTION

Recent development of some vertical take-off and landing aircraft has indicated the need for information concerning the aerodynamic characteristics of wings for angles of attack well above the point of wing stall. An abundance of results exists for two-dimensional airfoils through large angles of attack and some of these results are either presented or referred to in references 1 and 2. Some information on three-dimensional models are presented in references 3 through 8.

The present test program was undertaken in order to augment existing results to the extent of considering larger ranges in aspect ratios and angles of sweep. Wing plan forms were chosen for the tests for which data at low angles of attack were already available. Except for an aspect ratio 2 delta wing, all wings had a taper ratio of 0.5 and aspect ratios ranging from 2 to 6. Data at low angles of attack for these wings may be found in references 9 through 14. The models were tested through an angle-of-attack range of from 0° to 180°. Results with a full-span trailing-edge flap deflected 25° were also obtained, for six of the eight models investigated.

NOTATION

A	aspect ratio
$\bar{c}$	mean aerodynamic chord, ft
C	cross-sectional area of the wind-tunnel test section, sq ft (67.8 sq ft for the present case)

$\left.\begin{array}{l} C_L \\ C_D \\ C_m \end{array}\right\}$ lift, drag, and pitching-moment coefficients, respectively, corrected for blockage by multiplying C_{L_t}, C_{D_t}, and C_{m_t}, respectively, by K

C_{L_t}	uncorrected lift coefficient, $\dfrac{\text{lift}}{q_t S}$
C_{D_t}	uncorrected drag coefficient, $\dfrac{\text{drag}}{q_t S}$
C_{m_t}	uncorrected moment coefficient, $\dfrac{\text{pitching moment}}{\bar{c} q_t S}$
K	blockage correction factor
$K_{\text{ref 3}}$	blockage factor presented in reference 3
q_t	dynamic pressure measured at a point slightly ahead of the model and corrected for the normal pressure gradient existing in the test section without the model installed between the point of measurement and the $\bar{c}/4$ point on the model, lb/sq ft
S	wing semispan area, sq ft
α	angle of attack, deg
δ	flap deflection, deg
Λ	sweep of the quarter-chord line, deg

MODELS

Geometric data for the eight semispan models tested are presented in figure 1. The models used for the tests were identical to those for which results for the unstalled angle-of-attack range are presented in references 9 through 14.

Aspect ratios of the models ranged from 2 to 6. Except for the aspect-ratio-2 delta wing, all the wings had a taper ratio of 0.5 and the NACA 64A010 airfoil section. The delta wing had an NACA 0005 (modified) airfoil section. With the exception of the delta wing, and the unswept aspect ratio 4.5 wing models, a full-span 30-percent-chord trailing-edge flap was installed on the models. A small gap existing between the wing and the trailing-edge flap was sealed for all the tests.

TESTS

All tests were made in one of the Ames 7- by 10-foot wind tunnels. Lift, drag, and pitching-moment data were obtained through an angle-of-attack range between 0° and 180°. For those models provided with trailing-edge flaps, the tests included measurements with the flaps deflected about 25°.

The data were obtained with a dynamic pressure, q_t, of approximately 25 pounds per square foot. The Reynolds numbers corresponding to this dynamic pressure ranged between 1.5 and 2.2 million.

CORRECTIONS

Corrections which were essentially blockage corrections were made to all data presented herein as follows:

$$C_D = C_{D_t} K$$

$$C_L = C_{L_t} K$$

$$C_m = C_{m_t}$$

where K was obtained from the solid faired curve presented in figure 2.

The values of K presented in figure 2 were obtained as follows: Two models identical in plan form and wing section to the aspect-ratio-3 unswept wing and the aspect-ratio-2 swept-wing models, but having one-half their wing area, were constructed and tested. The resulting values of C_{L_t} and C_{D_t} as obtained from these smaller models were reduced by the blockage correction factor presented in reference 3 (and shown in fig. 2 as $K_{ref\ 3}$) for corresponding values of $(S \sin \alpha)/C$. These modified values of C_D and C_L were then divided by the corresponding values of C_{D_t} and C_{L_t} for the two larger models (for a given α and model plan form) and plotted against $(S \sin \alpha)/C$, as shown in figure 2. The curve faired through the mean of the resulting values shown in figure 2 was employed, as indicated above, to correct the force and moment data for the effects of blockage.

The corrections made can only be held approximate since the wind-tunnel cross section was circular for the tests of reference 3 as contrasted to the rectangular cross section of the wind tunnel of the present tests. It should also be emphasized that possible wind-tunnel boundary effects were not considered in correcting the data.

RESULTS

Drag, lift, and pitching-moment data are presented in figures 3, 4, and 5, respectively. As was described in the previous section, approximate corrections for wind-tunnel model blockage have been applied to all force and moment data.

There are some differences between the present data and those presented in references 9 through 14. If the wind-tunnel wall correction factors are taken into account, the present data are practically identical to those of the reference reports for angles of attack below wing stall. However, for some models, differences exist after stall takes place. These differences seem explainable from the standpoint of slight variations in test conditions and the blockage corrections made to the data for the present tests.

Ames Research Center
    National Aeronautics and Space Administration
        Moffett Field, Calif., Nov. 26, 1958

REFERENCES

1. Wick, Bradford H.: Study of the Subsonic Forces and Moments on an Inclined Plate of Infinite Span. NACA TN 3221, 1954.

2. Critzos, Chris C., Heyson, Harry H., and Boswinkle, Robert W., Jr.: Aerodynamic Characteristics of NACA 0012 Airfoil Section at Angles of Attack From 0° to 180°. NACA TN 3361, 1955.

3. Knight, Montgomery, and Wenzinger, Carl J.: Wind-Tunnel Tests on a Series of Wing Models Through a Large Angle-of-Attack Range. Part I - Force Tests. NACA Rep. 317, 1929. (Supersedes NACA TN 294)

4. Boisseau, Peter C.: Low-Subsonic Static Stability and Damping Derivatives at Angles of attack From 0° to 90° for a Model With a Low-Aspect-Ratio Unswept Wing and Two Different Fuselage Forebodies. NASA MEMO 1-22-59L, 1959.

5. Johnson, Joseph L., Jr.: Low-Speed Measurements of Static Stability, Damping in Yaw, and Damping in Roll of a Delta, a Swept, and an Unswept Wing for Angles of Attack From 0° to 90°. NACA RM L56B01, 1956.

6. Hewes, Donald E.: Low-Speed Measurement of Static Stability and Damping Derivatives of a 60° Delta-Wing Model for Angles of Attack of 0° to 90°. NACA RM L54G22a, 1954.

7. Johnson, Joseph L., Jr.: Measurement of the Static Stability and Control and Damping Derivatives of a 0.13-Scale Model of the Convair XFY-1 Airplane. NACA RM SL54J04, 1954.

8. Kuhn, Richard E., and Draper, John W.: Investigation of the Aerodynamic Characteristics of a Model Wing-Propeller Combination and of the Wing and Propeller Separately at Angles of Attack Up to 90°. NACA Rep. 1263, 1956.

9. Dods, Jules B., Jr.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation of Horizontal Tails. I - Unswept and 35° Swept-Back Plan Forms of Aspect Ratio 3. NACA RM A7K24, 1948.

10. Dods, Jules B., Jr.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation of Horizontal Tails. II - Unswept and 35° Swept-Back Plan Forms of Aspect Ratio 4.5. NACA RM A8B11, 1948.

11. Dods, Jules B., Jr.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation of Horizontal Tails. III - Unswept and 35° Swept-Back Plan Forms of Aspect Ratio 6. NACA RM A8H30, 1948.

12. Dods, Jules B., Jr.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation of Horizontal Tails. IV - Unswept Plan Form of Aspect Ratio 2 and a Two-Dimensional Model. NACA RM A8J21, 1948.

13. Dods, Jules B., Jr.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation of Horizontal Tails. V - 45° Swept-Back Plan Form of Aspect Ratio 2. NACA RM A9D05, 1949.

14. Dods, Jules B., Jr.: Control Effectiveness and Hinge-Moment Characteristics at Low Speed of Large-Chord, Low-Balanced, Flap-Type Controls on a Triangular Wing of Aspect Ratio 2. NACA RM A52F13, 1952.

Flap hinge line (typical)

Quarter chord line of section A-A

$\bar{c} = 3.293$ ft

38.11

25.40

90°

35.1°

45°

50.6°

16.41

50.81

11.71

A = 2; Λ = 45°(S=10.08)

25.40

16.94

15.24

$\bar{c} = 3.293$ ft

90°

16.7°

90°

25.0°

12.70

50.81

A = 2; Λ = 16.7°(S = 10.08)

46.67

20.74

20.74

90°

$\bar{c} = 2.688$ ft

11.3°

90°

12.44

41.47

10.37

17.3°

A = 3; Λ = 11.3°(S=10.08)

Airfoil section parallel to root chord, NACA 0005 (modified)

$\bar{c} = 4.000$ ft

36.00

72.00

A = 2 Delta (S = 9.00)

(a) Aspect ratio 2 and 3 models.

Figure 1.— Geometry of the models: Section A-A, NACA 64A010 airfoil section; all dimensions in inches.

(b) Aspect ratio 4, 5, and 6 models; S = 10.08 sq ft.

Figure 1.- Concluded.

9

Figure 2.- Blockage correction used to correct force and moment data.

(a) A = 2; Λ = 16.7°

(b) A = 2; delta wing

Figure 3.- Drag characteristics of the models.

(c) A = 2; Λ = 45°

(d) A = 3; Λ = 11.3°

Figure 3.- Continued.

(e) A = 4.5; Λ = 7.6°

(f) A = 4.5; Λ = 35°

Figure 3.- Continued.

(g) A = 6; Λ = 5.7°


(h) A = 6; Λ = 35°

Figure 3.- Concluded.

(a) A = 2; Λ = 16.7°

(b) A = 2; delta wing

Figure 4.- Lift characteristics of the models.

(c) A = 2; Λ = 45°

(d) A = 3; Λ = 11.3°

Figure 4.- Continued.

(e) A = 4.5; Λ = 7.6°

(f) A = 4.5; Λ = 35°

Figure 4.- Continued.

(g) A = 6; Λ = 5.7°

(h) A = 6; Λ = 35°

Figure 4.- Concluded.

(a) A = 2; Λ = 16.7°


(b) A = 2; delta wing

Figure 5.- Pitching-moment characteristics of the wings.

(c) A = 2; Λ = 45°

(d) A = 3; Λ = 11.3°

Figure 5.- Continued.

(e) A = 4.5; Λ = 7.6°

(f) A = 4.5; Λ = 35°

Figure 5.- Continued.

(g) A = 6; Λ = 5.7°

(h) A = 6; Λ = 35°

Figure 5.- Concluded.

NASA MEMO 2-27-59A
National Aeronautics and Space Administration.
LOW-SPEED TESTS OF SEMISPAN-WING MODELS
AT ANGLES OF ATTACK FROM 0° TO 180°.
David G. Koenig. April 1959. 21p. diagrs.
(NASA MEMORANDUM 2-27-59A)

Data are presented for swept- and unswept-wing
models with aspect ratios from 2 to 6. Except for
an aspect-ratio-2 delta wing, the models had a taper
ratio of 0.5 and NACA 64A010 airfoil sections. Most
of the models were tested with and without a full-span
trailing-edge flap. The Reynolds numbers of the
tests ranged from 1.5 to 2.2 million. Approximate
corrections for blockage have been applied to the
data.

1. Wings, Complete -
   Aspect Ratio
       (1.2.2.2.2)
2. Wings, Complete -
   Sweep   (1.2.2.2.3)
3. Flaps, Trailing-Edge -
   Complete Wings
       (1.2.2.3.1)
I. Koenig, David G.
II. NASA MEMO 2-27-59A

NASA

NASA MEMO 2-27-59A
National Aeronautics and Space Administration.
LOW-SPEED TESTS OF SEMISPAN-WING MODELS
AT ANGLES OF ATTACK FROM 0° TO 180°.
David G. Koenig. April 1959. 21p. diagrs.
(NASA MEMORANDUM 2-27-59A)

Data are presented for swept- and unswept-wing
models with aspect ratios from 2 to 6. Except for
an aspect-ratio-2 delta wing, the models had a taper
ratio of 0.5 and NACA 64A010 airfoil sections. Most
of the models were tested with and without a full-span
trailing-edge flap. The Reynolds numbers of the
tests ranged from 1.5 to 2.2 million. Approximate
corrections for blockage have been applied to the
data.

1. Wings, Complete -
   Aspect Ratio
       (1.2.2.2.2)
2. Wings, Complete -
   Sweep   (1.2.2.2.3)
3. Flaps, Trailing-Edge -
   Complete Wings
       (1.2.2.3.1)
I. Koenig, David G.
II. NASA MEMO 2-27-59A

NASA

NASA MEMO 2-27-59A
National Aeronautics and Space Administration.
LOW-SPEED TESTS OF SEMISPAN-WING MODELS
AT ANGLES OF ATTACK FROM 0° TO 180°.
David G. Koenig. April 1959. 21p. diagrs.
(NASA MEMORANDUM 2-27-59A)

Data are presented for swept- and unswept-wing
models with aspect ratios from 2 to 6. Except for
an aspect-ratio-2 delta wing, the models had a taper
ratio of 0.5 and NACA 64A010 airfoil sections. Most
of the models were tested with and without a full-span
trailing-edge flap. The Reynolds numbers of the
tests ranged from 1.5 to 2.2 million. Approximate
corrections for blockage have been applied to the
data.

1. Wings, Complete -
   Aspect Ratio
       (1.2.2.2.2)
2. Wings, Complete -
   Sweep   (1.2.2.2.3)
3. Flaps, Trailing-Edge -
   Complete Wings
       (1.2.2.3.1)
I. Koenig, David G.
II. NASA MEMO 2-27-59A

NASA

NASA MEMO 2-27-59A
National Aeronautics and Space Administration.
LOW-SPEED TESTS OF SEMISPAN-WING MODELS
AT ANGLES OF ATTACK FROM 0° TO 180°.
David G. Koenig. April 1959. 21p. diagrs.
(NASA MEMORANDUM 2-27-59A)

Data are presented for swept- and unswept-wing
models with aspect ratios from 2 to 6. Except for
an aspect-ratio-2 delta wing, the models had a taper
ratio of 0.5 and NACA 64A010 airfoil sections. Most
of the models were tested with and without a full-span
trailing-edge flap. The Reynolds numbers of the
tests ranged from 1.5 to 2.2 million. Approximate
corrections for blockage have been applied to the
data.

1. Wings, Complete -
   Aspect Ratio
       (1.2.2.2.2)
2. Wings, Complete -
   Sweep   (1.2.2.2.3)
3. Flaps, Trailing-Edge -
   Complete Wings
       (1.2.2.3.1)
I. Koenig, David G.
II. NASA MEMO 2-27-59A

NASA