# HILL PROBLEM ANALYTICAL THEORY TO THE ORDER FOUR. APPLICATION TO THE COMPUTATION OF FROZEN ORBITS AROUND PLANETARY SATELLITES\*

MARTIN LARA<sup>†</sup> & JESÚS F. PALACIÁN<sup>‡</sup>

† Ephemerides section, Real Observatorio de la Armada ES-11110 San Fernando, Spain

‡ Dep. Ingeniería Matemática e Informática, Universidad Pública de Navarra ES-31006 Pamplona, Spain

#### Abstract

Frozen orbits of the Hill problem are determined in the double averaged problem, where short and long period terms are removed by means of Lie transforms. The computation of initial conditions of corresponding quasi periodic solutions in the non-averaged problem is straightforward for the perturbation method used provides the explicit equations of the transformation that connects the averaged and non-averaged models. A fourth order analytical theory reveals necessary for the accurate computation of quasi periodic, frozen orbits.

## Introduction

Besides its original application to the motion of the Moon [1], the Hill problem provides a good approximation to the real dynamics of a variety of systems, encompassing the motion of comets, natural and artificial satellites, distant moons of asteroids, or dynamical astronomy applications [2, 3, 4]. Specifically, the Hill model and its variations [5, 6, 7, 8, 9] are useful for describing motion about planetary satellites. Besides, the Hill problem is an invariant model that does not depend on any parameter, thus, giving broad generality to the results, whose application to different systems becomes a simple matter of scaling.

A classical result shows that low eccentricity orbits around a primary are unstable for moderate and high inclinations due to third-body perturbations [10]. Almost circular orbits close to the central body remain with low eccentricity in the long term only when the mutual inclination with the perturbing body is less than the critical inclination of the third-body perturbations  $I = 39.2^{\circ}$ (see [11] and references therein). Since low eccentricity, high inclination orbits are precisely the candidate orbits for science missions around natural satellites, a good understanding of the unstable dynamics of the Hill problem is required.

The study of the long term dynamics is usually done in the double averaged problem. After removing the short and long period terms, the problem is of one degree of freedom in the eccentricity and the argument of the periapsis. As the double averaged problem is integrable, the phase space is made of closed curves and equilibria. The latter are orbits that, in average, have almost constant eccentricity and fixed argument of the periapsis, and are known as frozen orbits.

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by projects ESP2004-04376, ESP-2005-07107, and MTM2005-08595 of the Government of Spain

To each trajectory of the double averaged problem it corresponds a torus of quasi periodic solutions in the non-averaged problem. The accurate computation of initial conditions on the torus requires to recover the short and long period effects that were lost in the averaging. This is normally done by trial and error by making iterative corrections on the orbital elements, although other procedures can be applied [12].

Our analytical theory is computed with Deprit's perturbation technique [13]. The procedure is systematic and has the advantage of providing the explicit transformation equations that connect the averaged analysis with proper initial conditions of the non-averaged problem. A second order truncation of the Hamiltonian shows that there are no degenerate equilibria and, therefore, is enough to give the qualitative description of the reduced system. However, the second order truncation introduces a symmetry of direct and retrograde orbits that is not part of the original problem, and a third order truncation is required to manifest the non-symmetries of the problem.

While, in general, the third order theory provides good results in the computation of quasi periodic, frozen orbits, its solutions are slightly affected of long period oscillations. This fact may adversely affect the long term evolution of the frozen orbits and becomes apparent in the computation of science orbits about planetary satellites, a case in which small perturbations are enough for the unstable dynamics to defrost the argument of the periapsis. Then, the orbit immediately migrates along the unstable manifold with an exponential increase in the eccentricity.

We find that a higher order truncation is desirable if one wants to use the analytical theory for computing accurate initial conditions of frozen orbits. The computation of the fourth order truncation removes almost all adverse effects from the quasi periodic solutions, and shows a high degree of agreement between the averaged and non-averaged models even in the case of unstable orbits.

Whereas the third-body perturbation is the most important effect in destabilizing science orbits around planetary satellites, the impact of the non-sphericity of the central body may be taken into account. Previous research including both effects has limited up to third order theories (see [14] and references therein), but from the conclusions of this paper it may worth to develop a higher order theory including the inhomogeneities of the satellite's gravitational potential.

## Double averaged Hill problem to the fourth order

The equations of motion of the Hill problem are derived from the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = (1/2) \left( \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{X} \right) - \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \left( \boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{X} \right) + W(\boldsymbol{x}), \quad W = (\omega^2/2)(r^2 - 3x^2) - \mu/r \tag{1}$$

where, in the standard coordinate system of Hill's model,  $\boldsymbol{x} = (x, y, z)$  is the position vector,  $\boldsymbol{X} = (X, Y, Z)$  is the vector of conjugate momenta,  $r = ||\boldsymbol{x}||$ , and both the rotation rate of the system  $\omega = ||\boldsymbol{\omega}||$  and the gravitational parameter  $\mu$  of the primary are set to 1 in appropriate units.

The problem is of three degrees of freedom, yet admitting the Jacobi constant  $\mathcal{H} = -C/2$ . Despite its non-integrability, approximate solutions that explain the long term dynamics can be found by perturbation methods. Close to the central body the Hill problem writes as the perturbed two body problem

$$\mathcal{H} = (1/2)\left(X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2\right) - (1/r) - \epsilon\left(xY - yX\right) + (\epsilon^2/2)\left(r^2 - 3x^2\right),\tag{2}$$

where  $\epsilon$  is a formal parameter used to manifest the order of each perturbation of the Keplerian motion. Thus, the Coriolis term is a first order effect and the third body perturbation appears at the second order.

To apply perturbation theory, we formulate the problem in Delaunay variables  $(\ell, g, h, L, G, H)$ , where  $\ell$  is the mean anomaly, g is the argument of the periapsis, h the argument of the node in the rotating frame,  $L = \sqrt{\mu a}$  is the Delaunay action,  $G = L\sqrt{1-e^2}$  is the modulus of the angular momentum vector,  $H = G \cos I$  is its polar component, and a, e, I, are usual orbital elements: semimajor axis, eccentricity and inclination. Our theory is based on the use of Lie transforms as described by Deprit [13, 15]. It has the advantage of connecting the averaged and original problems through explicit transformations equations. After removing the short and long period terms we get the transformed Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{K} = K_{0,0} + \varepsilon K_{0,1} + (\varepsilon^2/2) K_{0,2} + (\varepsilon^3/6) K_{0,3} + (\varepsilon^4/24) K_{0,4}$$
(3)

where  $\varepsilon = L^3$ ,

$$\begin{split} K_{0,0} &= -1/(2L^2), \\ K_{0,1} &= K_{0,0} \, 2\sigma \\ K_{0,2} &= K_{0,0} \left(1/4\right) \left[ (2+3e^2) \left(2-3s^2\right) + 15e^2 \, s^2 \cos 2g \right], \\ K_{0,3} &= K_{0,0} \left(27/32\right) \sigma \left[ 2s^2 + (50-17s^2) \, e^2 + 15e^2 \, s^2 \cos 2g \right], \\ K_{0,4} &= K_{0,0} \left(-3/512\right) \left\{ 3285s^4 \, e^4 \cos 4g - 12s^2 \left[ 3996 - 2940s^2 - (4582 - 4035s^2) \, e^2 \right] e^2 \cos 2g, \\ &+ 8(784 - 708s^2 - 9s^4) - 144(926 - 941s^2 + 244s^4) \, e^2 + 9(10728 - 15208s^2 + 5007s^4) \, e^4 \right\}, \end{split}$$

and  $\sigma = H/L = c \eta$ ,  $\eta = \sqrt{1 - e^2}$  is the eccentricity function, and we use the abbreviations  $s \equiv \sin I$ ,  $c \equiv \cos I$ . Details on the perturbation method and expressions to compute the transformation equations of the averaging are given in the Appendix.

The double averaged Hamiltonian, Eq. (3), depends neither on the mean anomaly nor on the argument of the node. Therefore, the corresponding conjugate momenta, L and H, are integrals of the reduced problem and Hamiltonian (3) represents a one degree of freedom problem in g and G. The equations of motion are computed from the Hamilton equations  $dg/dt = \partial \mathcal{K}/\partial G$ ,  $dG/dt = -\partial \mathcal{K}/\partial g$ ,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}g}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{6}{G} \left[ 5c^2 - \eta^2 - 5(c^2 - \eta^2)\cos 2g \right] + \frac{27\varepsilon\sigma}{4G} \left[ 5c^2 + 11\eta^2 - 5(c^2 - \eta^2)\cos 2g \right] \quad (4) \\
+ \frac{3\varepsilon^2}{128G} \left\{ 2113c^2 - 3285c^4 + (3915 + 9165c^4)\eta^2 + (1581 + 7791c^2)\eta^4 \\
-4 \left[ 802c^2 - 1095c^4 + (19 + 2565c^4)\eta^2 - (547 + 1744c^2)\eta^4 \right]\cos 2g \\
+ 1095e^2s^2(c^2 - \eta^2)\cos 4g \right\}, \quad (5) \\
\frac{\mathrm{d}G}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{3}{4}e^2s^2 \left\{ 5\left(8 + 9\varepsilon\sigma\right)\sin 2g \\
+ \frac{\varepsilon^2}{32} \left( 2\left[ 509 - 1095c^2 + (547 + 4035c^2)\eta^2 \right]\sin 2g - 1095e^2s^2\sin 4g \right) \right\}.$$

Once integrated g and G for given initial conditions, the secular variations of  $\ell$  and h are computed from simple quadratures derived from the Hamilton equations  $dh/dt = \partial \mathcal{K}/\partial H$ ,  $d\ell/dt = \partial \mathcal{K}/\partial L$ 

$$h = h_0 + \int \frac{\partial}{\partial H} \mathcal{K}(g(t), G(t); H, L) \, \mathrm{d}t, \qquad \ell = \ell_0 + \int \frac{\partial}{\partial L} \mathcal{K}(g(t), G(t); H, L) \, \mathrm{d}t.$$

## Qualitative dynamics

The flow can be integrated from the differential equations above, Eqs. (4)-(5). However, since the system defined through Eqs. (4) and (5) is integrable the flow is made of closed curves and equilibria, and it can be represented by contour plots of Hamiltonian (3). Thus, for given values of the dynamic parameters L and H —or  $\varepsilon$  and  $\sigma$ — we can plot the flow in different maps that are function of g, G. Figure 1 shows an example in semi-equinoctial elements ( $e \cos g, e \sin g$ ), where we note a hyperbolic point corresponding to an unstable circular orbit, and two elliptic points corresponding to two stable elliptic orbits with e = 0.3 and periapsis at  $g = \pm \pi/2$  respectively.



Figure 1: Flow in the doubly reduced phase space.

Delaunay variables are singular for zero eccentricity orbits, where the argument of the periapsis is not defined. Then, it is common to study the reduced phase space in the variables introduced by Coffey, Deprit, and Deprit, [16, 8]

$$\chi_1 = \eta \, e \, s \cos g, \qquad \chi_2 = \eta \, e \, s \sin g, \qquad \chi_3 = \eta^2 - (1/2) \, (1 + \sigma^2),$$

that define the surface of a sphere of radius  $R = (1/2) (1 - \sigma^2)$ .<sup>1</sup> Then, after dropping constant terms and scaling, Hamiltonian (3) writes

$$\mathcal{K} = -12\eta^2 - \frac{30\chi_2^2}{\eta^2} + \frac{9}{4}\varepsilon\sigma\left(25 - 24\eta^2 - \sigma^2 - 15\frac{\chi_2^2}{\eta^2}\right) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{64}\left[3815 + 9528\sigma^2 + 9\sigma^4\right]$$
(6)  
$$-6\left(343 + 1709\sigma^2\right)\eta^2 - 1824\eta^4 + 6\left(293 - 821\eta^2 - 1470\sigma^2\right)\frac{\chi_2^2}{\eta^2} - 3285\frac{\chi_2^4}{\eta^4}.$$

The flow on the sphere is obtained from Liouville equations  $\dot{\chi}_i = {\chi_i; \mathcal{K}}, i = 1, 2, 3$ , where the dot means derivative in the new time scale. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\chi}_{1} &= \frac{3}{16\eta} \chi_{2} \left\{ 64 \left( 5 - 8\eta^{2} + 5\sigma^{2} \right) + 72\varepsilon \sigma \left( 5 - 2\eta^{2} + 5\sigma^{2} \right) + \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{64} \left[ 3815 - 1824\eta^{4} + 9528\sigma^{2} \right] \right. \\ \left. + 9\sigma^{4} - 6\eta^{2} \left( 343 + 1709\sigma^{2} \right) + 6 \left( 293 - 821\eta^{2} - 1470\sigma^{2} \right) \left( \chi_{2}/\eta \right)^{2} - 3285 \left( \chi_{2}/\eta \right)^{4} \right] \right\}, \\ \dot{\chi}_{2} &= -\frac{3}{16\eta} \chi_{1} \left\{ 608\varepsilon^{2} \eta^{4} + \eta^{2} \left[ 128 + 576\varepsilon \sigma + \varepsilon^{2} \left( 343 + 1709\sigma^{2} \right) \right] \right. \\ \left. - \left[ 320 + 360\varepsilon \sigma - \varepsilon^{2} \left( 293 - 1470\sigma^{2} \right) \right] \left( \chi_{2}/\eta \right)^{2} - 1095\varepsilon^{2} \left( \chi_{2}/\eta \right)^{4} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$
(8)  
$$\left. \dot{\chi}_{3} &= \frac{3}{8\eta} \chi_{1} \chi_{2} \left\{ 320 + 360\varepsilon \sigma - \varepsilon^{2} \left[ 293 - 1470\sigma^{2} - 821\eta^{2} - 1095 \left( \chi_{2}/\eta \right)^{2} \right] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$
(9)

with the constrain  $\chi_1 \dot{\chi}_1 + \chi_2 \dot{\chi}_2 + \chi_3 \dot{\chi}_3 = 0.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The sphere representation misses the case G = H = 0, irrelevant in astrodynamics. Full details including rectilinear solution will be given in a future paper.

Equations (7)–(9) show that circular orbits ( $\chi_1 = \chi_2 = 0$ ,  $\chi_3 = R$ , the "north" pole of the sphere) are always equilibria. Equations (8) and (9) vanish when  $\chi_1 = 0$ ,  $\chi_2 \neq 0$ , but not Eq. (7), which vanishes only when

$$1095\varepsilon^{2} \sigma^{4} - \sigma^{2} \left[ 320 + 360\varepsilon \sigma + \varepsilon^{2} \left( 802 + 2565\sigma^{2} \right) \right] \eta^{2}$$

$$+ \left[ 192 - 216\varepsilon \sigma - \varepsilon^{2} \left( 362 - 35\sigma^{2} \right) \right] \eta^{6} - 61\varepsilon^{2} \eta^{8} = 0.$$
(10)

Equation (10) is a polynomial equation of degree 8 in  $\eta$ , therefore admitting eight roots. Note that, for the accepted values of  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ , Eq. (10) is of the form  $A_1^2 - A_2^2 x + A_3^2 x^3 - A_4^2 x^4 = 0$  that admits a maximum of two real roots, according to Descartes' rule.

The real roots of Eq. (10) that verify the dynamical constrain  $|\sigma| \leq \eta \leq 1$  are also equilibria. The root  $\eta = 1$  marks a change in the number of equilibria or "bifurcation" ( $\eta > 1$  could be a root but not an equilibrium). Then, the number of equilibria changes along the line

$$\varepsilon = 4 \frac{-27\sigma - 45\sigma^3 \pm \sqrt{5076 + 1473\sigma^2 + 4730\sigma^4 - 27375\sigma^6}}{423 + 767\sigma^2 + 1470\sigma^4} \tag{11}$$

obtained making  $\eta = 1$  in Eq. (10), that establishes a relation between the dynamical parameters  $\varepsilon$  and  $\sigma$  corresponding to bifurcations of circular orbits. Figure 2 shows that this line defines two regions in the parameters plane with different number of equilibria in phase space. Circular orbits



Figure 2: Regions in the parameters plane with different numbers of equilibria.

in the outside region of the curve are stable. When crossing the line given by Eq. (11) the number of real roots of Eq. (10) with dynamical sense increases in a pitchfork bifurcation: circular orbits change to instability and two stable elliptic orbits appear with periapsis respectively at  $g = \pm \pi/2$ , as in the example of Figure 1.

Note that the curve given by Eq. (11) notably modifies the classical inclination limit  $\cos^2 I > 3/5$  for circular orbits' stability. However, we can not extend the practical application of the analytical theory to any value of  $\varepsilon$ . It is common to limit the validity of the Hill problem approximation to one third of the Hill radius  $r_{\rm H} = 3^{-1/3}$ . Then  $\varepsilon < (r_{\rm H}/3)^{3/2} = 1/9$ , including most of the planetary satellites of interest. Figure 3 shows the bifurcation lines of circular orbits in the validity region of the parameters plane with the  $\varepsilon$  values corresponding to low altitude orbits around different planetary satellites highlighted.

## Frozen orbits computation

Hill's case of close orbits to the smaller primary is a simplification of the restricted three-body problem, which in turn is a simplification of real models. Therefore, the final goal of our theory is



Figure 3: Bifurcation lines in the parameter plane.

not the generation of ephemerides but to help in mission designing for artificial satellite missions about planetary satellites, where frozen orbits are of major interest.

For given values of the parameters  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\sigma$ , determined by the mission, a number of frozen orbits may exist. A circular frozen orbit, either stable or unstable, exists always and the computation of real roots  $|\sigma| \leq \eta \leq 1$  of Eq. (10), if any, will provide the eccentricities of the stable elliptic solutions with frozen periapsis at  $g = \pm \pi/2$ . To each equilibrium of the doubly reduced phase space corresponds a torus of quasi periodic solutions in the original, non-averaged model. Below we present several examples that justify the effort in computing a fourth order theory to reach the quasi periodicity condition in the Hill problem.

#### Elliptic frozen orbits

We choose  $\varepsilon = 0.0470573$ ,  $\sigma = 0.422618$ . If we first try the classical double averaged solution, the Hamiltonian Eq. (3) is simplified to  $K_{0,0} + \varepsilon K_{0,1} + (\varepsilon^2/2) K_{0,2}$ , and the existence of elliptic frozen orbits reduces to  $\sigma^2 < 3/5$ ,  $g = \pm \pi/2$ . The eccentricity of the elliptic frozen solutions is then computed from  $\eta = (5\sigma^2/3)^{1/4}$ —obtained by neglecting terms in  $\varepsilon$  in Eq. (10). Thus, for the given values of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\sigma$ , and taking into account that we are free to choose the initial values of the averaged angles  $\ell$ , h, we get the orbital elements of the first row of Table 1. The left column of Fig. 4 shows the long term evolution of the instantaneous orbital elements for this case, in which we find long period oscillations of more than four degrees in inclination, more than fifteen in the argument of periapsis, and a variation of  $\pm 0.06$  in the eccentricity.

When computing a second order theory with the Lie-Deprit perturbation method we arrive exactly at the classical Hamiltonian and bifurcation condition. However, we have available the transformation equations to recover the short and long period effects, although up to the first order only. After undoing the transformation equations we find the orbital elements of the second row of Table 1 where we see that all the elements remain unchanged except for the eccentricity and inclination. The long term evolution of these elements is presented in the right column of Fig. 4, in which we notice a significant reduction in the amplitude of long period oscillations:  $2.5^{\circ}$  in inclination, around  $10^{\circ}$  in the argument of the periapsis, and  $\pm 0.04$  in eccentricity.

The results of the third and fourth order theories are presented in the last two rows of Table 1 and in Fig. 5. The higher order corrections drive slight enlargements in the semimajor axis. While both higher order theories produce impressive improvements, we note a residual long period oscillation in the elements computed from the third order theory (left column of Fig. 5). On the contrary, the orbital elements of the frozen orbit computed with the fourth order theory are almost free from long period oscillations and mainly show the short period oscillations typical of quasi periodic orbits. Furthermore, after applying standard differential correction to the initial conditions computed from the fourth order theory we easily converge to an orbit of the non-averaged problem, presented in Fig. 8, that is periodic after 99 cycles.

Theory	a (Hill units)	e	$I \ (deg)$	$g \; (\mathrm{deg})$	h	$\ell$
Classical	0.130342	0.674094	55.0995	-90	0	0
2nd order	0.130342	0.648065	55.6915	-90	0	0
3rd order	0.130515	0.637316	56.1798	-90	0	0
4th order	0.130538	0.634803	56.2813	-90	0	0

Table 1: Initial orbital elements of an elliptic frozen orbit for  $\varepsilon = 0.0470573$ ,  $\sigma = 0.422618$ .

#### Circular frozen orbits

If we choose the same value for  $\varepsilon$  but now  $\sigma = 0.777146$ , frozen elliptic orbits do not exist any longer and the circular frozen orbit is stable. Both the third and fourth order theories provide good results, but, again, the third order theory results in small long period oscillations in the eccentricity whereas the fourth order theory leads to a quasi periodic orbit (see Fig. 6).

For  $\varepsilon = 0.0339919$  and  $\sigma = 0.34202$  the circular frozen orbit is unstable. Due to the instability, a long term propagation of the initial conditions from either the third or the fourth theory shows that the orbit escapes by the unstable manifold with exponential increase in the eccentricity. But, as Fig. 7 shows, the orbit remains frozen much more time when using the fourth order theory. A variety of tests performed on science orbits close to Galilean moons Europa and Callisto showed that the fourth order theory generally improves by 50% the lifetimes reached when using the third order theory.

As in the previous case of a elliptic orbit, standard differential corrections converge to the periodic orbits presented in Fig. 9.

## Conclusions

Frozen orbits computation is a useful procedure in mission designing for artificial satellites. After locating the frozen orbit of interest in a double averaged problem, usual procedures for computing initial conditions of frozen orbits resort to trial and error interactive corrections, or require involved computations. However, the explicit transformation equations between averaged and non-averaged models can be obtained with analytical theories based on the Lie-Deprit perturbation method, which makes the frozen orbits computations straightforward.

Accurate computations of the initial conditions of frozen, quasi periodic orbits can be reached with higher order analytical theories. This way of proceeding should not be undervalued in the computation of science orbits around planetary satellites, a case in which third-body perturbations induce unstable dynamics.

Higher order analytical theories are a common tool for computing ephemeris among the celestial mechanics community. They are usually developed with specific purpose, sophisticated algebraic manipulators. However, the impressive performances of modern computers and software allow us to build our analytical theory with commercial, general-purpose manipulators, a fact that may challenge aerospace engineers to use the safe, well known techniques advocated in this paper.

### References

 Hill, G.W., "Researches in the Lunar Theory," American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. I, 1878, pp. 129–147.

- [2] Lidov, M.L., "The Evolution of Orbits of Artificial Satellites of Planets under the Action of Gravitational Perturbations of External Bodies," *Planetary and Space Science*, Vol. 9, No. 10, 1962, pp. 719–759. Translated from *Iskusstvennye Sputniki Zemli*, No. 8, 1961, p. 5.
- [3] Hénon, M., "Numerical Exploration of the Restricted Problem. VI. Hill's Case: Non-Periodic Orbits," Astronomy and Astrophysics, Vol. 9, 1970, pp. 24–36.
- [4] Hamilton, D.P., and Krivov, A.V., "Dynamics of Distant Moons of Asteroids," *Icarus*, Vol. 128, No. 1, 1997, pp. 241–249.
- [5] Kozai, Y., "Motion of a Lunar Orbiter," Publications of the Astronomical Society of Japan, Vol. 15, No. 3, 1963, pp. 301–312.
- [6] Lidov, M. L., and Yarskaya, M. V., "Integrable Cases in the Problem of the Evolution of a Satellite Orbit under the Joint Effect of an Outside Body and of the Noncentrality of the Planetary Field," *Kosmicheskie Issledovaniya*, Vol. 12, Mar.-Apr. 1974, pp. 155–170.
- [7] Scheeres, D.J., Guman, M.D., and Villac, B.F., "Stability Analysis of Planetary Satellite Orbiters: Application to the Europa Orbiter," *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, Vol. 24, No. 4, 2001, pp. 778–787.
- [8] Lara, M., San-Juan, J.F., and Ferrer, S., "Secular Motion around TriAxial, Synchronously Orbiting, Planetary Satellites: Application to Europa," *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science*, Vol. 15, No. 4, 2005, pp. 1–13.
- [9] Paskowitz, M.E., and Scheeres, D.J., "Orbit Mechanics About Planetary Satellites Including Higher Order Gravity Fields," paper AAS 2005-190, presented at the 2005 Space Flight Mechanics Meeting, Copper Mountain, Colorado, January 2005.
- [10] Kozai, Y., "Secular Perturbations of Asteroids with High Inclination and Eccentricity," The Astronomical Journal, Vol. 67, No. 9, 1962, pp. 591–598.
- [11] Broucke, R.A., "Long-Term Third-Body Effects via Double Averaging," Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2003, pp. 27–32.
- [12] Paskowitz, M.E., and Scheeres, D.J., "Design of Science Orbits About Planetary Satellites: Application to Europa" Journal of Guidance, Control and Dynamics, Vol. 29, No. 5, 2006, pp. 1147–1158.
- [13] Deprit, A., "Canonical Transformations Depending on a Small Parameter," *Celestial Mechanics*, Vol. 1, No. 1, 1969 pp. 12–30.
- [14] Lara, M., "Simplified Equations for Computing Science Orbits Around Planetary Satellites". Journal of Guidance, Control and Dynamics, 2007, in press.
- [15] Deprit, A., and Rom, A., "The Main Problem of Artificial Satellite Theory for Small and Moderate Eccentricities," *Celestial Mechanics*, Vol. 2, No. 2, 1970, pp. 166–206.
- [16] Coffey, S., Deprit, A., and Deprit, E., "Frozen Orbits for Satellites Close to an Earth-like Planet," *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy*, Vol. 59, No. 1, 1994, pp. 37–72.
- [17] Deprit, A., "The elimination of the parallax in satellite theory," Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy, Vol. 24, No. 2, 1981, pp. 111–153.
- [18] Ferrer, S., and Williams, C.A., "Simplifications toward Integrability of Perturbed Keplerian Systems," Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, Vol. 536, 1988, pp. 127–139.
- [19] Palacián, J.F., "Dynamics of a satellite orbiting a planet with an inhomogeneous gravitational field," *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy*, Vol. 98, No. 4, 2007, pp. 219–249.
- [20] Deprit, A., "Delaunay normalisations," Celestial Mechanics, Vol. 26, No. 1, 1982, pp. 9–21.
- [21] San Juan, J.F., and Lara, M., "Normalizaciones de orden alto en el problema de Hill," Monografías de la Real Academia de Ciencias de Zaragoza, Vol. 28, 2006, pp. 23–32.

## Appendix

Let  $\mathcal{T} : (\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{X}) \to (\boldsymbol{x}', \boldsymbol{X}')$ , where  $\boldsymbol{x}$  are coordinates and  $\boldsymbol{X}$  their conjugate momenta, be a Lie transform from "new" (primes) to "old" variables. If  $W = \sum_{i} (\epsilon^{i}/i!) W_{i+1}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{X})$  is its generating

function expanded as a power series in a small parameter  $\epsilon$ , a function  $\mathcal{F} = \sum_{i} (\epsilon^{i}/i!) F_{i,0}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{X})$ can be expressed in the new variables as the power series  $(\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{F}) = \sum_{i} (\epsilon^{i}/i!) F_{0,i}(\boldsymbol{x}', \boldsymbol{X}')$  whose coefficients are computed from the recurrence

$$F_{i,j} = F_{i+1,j-1} + \sum_{0 \le k \le i} \binom{i}{k} \{F_{k,j-1}; W_{i+1-k}\}$$
(12)

where  $\{F_{k,j-1}; W_{i+1-k}\} = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} F_{k,j-1} \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{X}} W_{i+1-k} - \nabla_{\boldsymbol{X}} F_{k,j-1} \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} W_{i+1-k}$ , is the Poisson bracket. Conversely, the coefficients  $W_{i+1}$  of the generating function can be computed step by step from Eq. (12) once corresponding terms  $F_{0,i}$  of the transformed function are chosen as desired. In perturbation theory it is common to chose the  $F_{0,i}$  as an averaged expression over some variable, but it is not the unique possibility [17]. Full details can be found in the literature [18, 19].

To average the short period effects we write Hamiltonian (2) in Delaunay variables as

$$\mathcal{H} = H_{0,0} + \epsilon H_{1,0} + (\epsilon^2/2) H_{2,0} + (\epsilon^3/6) H_{3,0} + (\epsilon^4/24) H_{4,0}$$
(13)

where  $H_{0,0} = -1/(2L^2)$ ,  $H_{1,0} = -H$ ,  $H_{2,0} = r^2 \{1 - 3 [\cos(f+g) \cos h - c \sin(f+g) \sin h]^2\}$ , and  $H_{3,0} = H_{4,0} = 0$ . Note that the true anomaly f is an implicit function of  $\ell$ .

Since the radius r never appears in denominators, it results convenient to express Hamiltonian (13) as a function of the elliptic —instead of the true— anomaly u by using the ellipse relations  $r \sin f = \eta a \sin u$ ,  $r \cos f = a (\cos u - e)$ ,  $r = a (1 - e \cos u)$ .

After applying the Delaunay normalization [20] up to the fourth order in the Hamiltonian, we get

$$\mathcal{H}' = H_{0,0} + \epsilon H_{0,1} + (\epsilon^2/2) H_{0,2} + (\epsilon^3/6) H_{0,3} + (\epsilon^4/24) H_{0,4}$$
(14)

where, omitting primes,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H_{0,0} &=& -1/(2L^2) \\ H_{0,1} &=& H_{0,0} \, \varepsilon \, 2 \, c \, \eta \\ H_{0,2} &=& H_{0,0} \, (\varepsilon^2/8) \left\{ (4+6e^2) \, (2-3s^2+3s^2\cos 2h) \right. \\ &\quad +15 \, e^2 \left[ 2s^2\cos 2g + (1-c)^2\cos (2g-2h) + (1+c)^2\cos (2g+2h) \right] \right\} \\ H_{0,3} &=& H_{0,0} \, (45\varepsilon^3/8) \, e^2 \, \eta \left[ (1+c)^2\cos (2g+2h) - (1-c)^2\cos (2g-2h) \right] \\ H_{0,4} &=& H_{0,0} \, (-3\varepsilon^4/512) \left\{ 16 \, (47+282c^2+63c^4) - 144 \, (227+90c^2+59c^4) \, e^2 \right. \\ &\quad -18 \, (227+610c^2-701c^4) \, e^4 - 24s^2 \, \left[ 558+270c^2 + (109-555c^2) \, e^2 \right] \, e^2 \cos 2g \\ &\quad +24s^2 \, \left[ 216+56c^2 - 8 \, (161+59c^2) \, e^2 - (11-701c^2) \, e^4 \right] \cos 2h \\ &\quad -48 \, (1+c)^2 \, \left[ 338-90c+90c^2 - (91-185c+185c^2) \, e^2 \right] \, e^2 \cos (2g+2h) \\ &\quad -48 \, (1-c)^2 \, \left[ 338+90c+90c^2 - (91+185c+185c^2) \, e^2 \right] \, e^2 \cos (2g-2h) \\ &\quad +6s^4 \, (56-472e^2+701e^4) \, \cos 4h + 1710s^4 \, e^4 \cos 4g \\ &\quad -60s^2 \, (18-37e^2) \, e^2 \, \left[ (1+c)^2\cos (2g+4h) + (1-c)^2\cos (2g-4h) \right] \\ &\quad +1140s^2 \, e^4 \, \left[ (1+c)^4\cos (4g+4h) + (1-c)^4\cos (4g-4h) \right] \right\} \end{array}$$

The generating function of the transformation is  $W = W_2 + (1/2) W_3$ , where

$$W_{2} = L\left(\varepsilon^{2}/192\right)\left\{4\left(2-3s^{2}\right)\left[3e\left(5+3\eta^{2}\right)S_{1,0,0}-9e^{2}S_{2,0,0}+e^{3}S_{3,0,0}\right]\right.\\ \left.+6s^{2}e\left[3\left(5+3\eta^{2}\right)\left(S_{1,0,2}+S_{1,0-2}\right)-9e\left(S_{2,0,2}+S_{2,0-2}\right)+e^{2}\left(S_{3,0,2}+S_{3,0-2}\right)\right]\right.\\ \left.+6s^{2}\left(1+\eta\right)^{2}\left[15eS_{1,2,0}-\left(9-6\eta\right)S_{2,2,0}+eS_{3,2,0}\right]\right.\\ \left.+6s^{2}\left(1-\eta\right)^{2}\left[15eS_{1,-2,0}-\left(9+6\eta\right)S_{2,-2,0}+eS_{3,-2,0}\right]\right]$$

$$\begin{split} +3\left(1+c\right)^{2}\left(1+\eta\right)^{2}\left[15e\,S_{1,2,2}-\left(9-6\eta\right)S_{2,2,2}+e\,S_{3,2,2}\right]\\ +3\left(1-c\right)^{2}\left(1+\eta\right)^{2}\left[15e\,S_{1,2,-2}-\left(9-6\eta\right)S_{2,2,-2}+e\,S_{3,2,-2}\right]\\ +3\left(1-c\right)^{2}\left(1-\eta\right)^{2}\left[15e\,S_{1,-2,2}-\left(9+6\eta\right)S_{2,-2,2}+e\,S_{3,-2,-2}\right]\right\}\\ W_{3} &= L\left(\varepsilon^{3}/256\right)\left\{72e\,s^{2}\left(13+3\eta^{2}\right)\left[S_{1,0,2}-S_{1,0,-2}\right]-24e^{2}\,s^{2}\left(17+4\eta^{2}\right)\left[S_{2,0,2}-S_{2,0,-2}\right]\right.\\ +88e^{3}\,s^{2}\left[S_{3,0,2}-S_{3,0,-2}\right]-6e^{4}\,s^{2}\left[S_{4,0,2}-S_{4,0,-2}\right]\\ +36\,e\left(1+\eta\right)\left(13+\eta+8\eta^{2}\right)\left[\left(1+c\right)^{2}S_{1,-2,2}-\left(1-c\right)^{2}S_{1,2,-2}\right]\\ +36\,e\left(1-\eta\right)\left(13-\eta+8\eta^{2}\right)\left[\left(1-c\right)^{2}S_{1,-2,2}-\left(1-c\right)^{2}S_{2,2,-2}\right]\\ -12\left(1+\eta\right)^{2}\left(17-6\eta-8\eta^{2}\right)\left[\left(1-c\right)^{2}S_{2,-2,2}-\left(1+c\right)^{2}S_{2,-2,-2}\right]\\ +4\left(1+\eta\right)^{2}e\left(11-6\eta\right)\left[\left(1+c\right)^{2}S_{3,2,2}-\left(1-c\right)^{2}S_{3,2,-2}\right]\\ +4\left(1-\eta\right)^{2}e\left(11-6\eta\right)\left[\left(1-c\right)^{2}S_{3,-2,2}-\left(1+c\right)^{2}S_{3,-2,-2}\right]\\ -3\left(1+\eta\right)^{2}e^{2}\left[\left(1-c\right)^{2}S_{4,-2,2}-\left(1-c\right)^{2}S_{4,2,-2}\right]\right\} \end{split}$$

We shorten notation calling  $S_{i,j,k} \equiv \sin(i \, u + j \, g + k \, h)$ .

The Lie transform of generating function W can be applied to any function of Delaunay variables  $\mathcal{F} = \sum_{i} (\epsilon^{i}/i!) F_{i}(\ell', g', h', L', G', H')$ . Since  $W_{1} = 0$ , up to the third order in the small parameter recurrence (12) gives

$$\mathcal{F} = F_0 + (\epsilon^2/2) \{F_0; W_2\} + (\epsilon^3/6) \{F_0; W_3\}$$

Specifically, this applies to the transformation equations of the Delaunay variables themselves, where  $F_0 \in (\ell', g', h', L', G', H')$  and  $F_i \equiv 0$  for i > 0.

A new application of the recurrence Eq. (12) to the Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{K} = \sum_{0 \le i \le 4} (\epsilon^i / i!) K_{i,0}$ , where  $K_{i,0} \equiv H_{0,i}$  of Eq. (14), allows to eliminate the node up to the fourth order, obtaining the double averaged Hamiltonian Eq. (3). Note that  $K_{0,4}$  corrects previous results in [21]. The generating function of the transformation is  $V = V_1 + \varepsilon V_2 + (\varepsilon^2/2) V_3$ , where, omitting double primes,

$$\begin{split} V_1 &= L \left( 3/64 \right) \left[ (4+6e^2) \, s^2 \sin 2h + 5 \, (1+c)^2 \, e^2 \sin (2g+2h) - 5 \, (1-c)^2 \, e^2 \sin (2g-2h) \right] \\ V_2 &= L \left( -3/128 \right) \eta \left[ 6c \left( 2-17e^2 \right) s^2 \sin 2h + 5 \left( 2-9c \right) \left( 1+c \right)^2 e^2 \sin (2g+2h) \right. \\ &+ 5 \left( 1-c \right)^2 \left( 2+9c \right) e^2 \sin (2g-2h) \right] \\ V_3 &= L \left( -9/32768 \right) \left\{ 16s^2 \left[ 456 - 104c^2 - 8 \left( 193 + 754c^2 \right) e^2 + \left( 47 + 7831c^2 \right) e^4 \right] \sin 2h \right. \\ &+ 2s^4 \left( 232 + 416e^2 - 1803e^4 \right) \sin 4h \\ &- 32 \left( 1+c \right)^2 e^2 \left[ 2 \left( 323 - 285c + 780c^2 \right) - \left( 527 - 1135c + 2125c^2 \right) e^2 \right] \sin (2g+2h) \right. \\ &+ 32 \left( 1-c \right)^2 e^2 \left[ 2 \left( 323 + 285c + 780c^2 \right) - \left( 527 + 1135c + 2125c^2 \right) e^2 \right] \sin (2g-2h) \\ &+ 220s^2 e^2 \left( 4 - 11e^2 \right) \left[ \left( 1+c \right)^2 \sin (2g+4h) - \left( 1-c \right)^2 \sin (2g-4h) \right] \\ &+ 4520s^2 e^4 \left[ \left( 1+c \right)^2 \sin (4g+2h) - \left( 1-c \right)^2 \sin (4g-2h) \right] \\ &- 385e^4 \left[ \left( 1+c \right)^4 \sin (4g+4h) - \left( 1-c \right)^4 \sin (4g-4h) \right] \right\} \end{split}$$

The new Lie transform of generating function V can be applied to any function of Delaunay variables, and, specifically, to the Delaunay variables themselves. For any  $\xi'' \in (\ell'', g'', h'', L'', G'', H'')$  the transformation equations of the Lie transform are computed, up to the third order, from

$$\xi' = \xi'' + \varepsilon \,\delta_1 + (\varepsilon^2/2) \,\delta_2 + (\varepsilon^3/6) \,\delta_3,$$

where

$$\delta_1 = \{\xi''; V_1\}, \quad \delta_2 = \{\xi''; V_2\} + \{\delta_1; V_1\}, \quad \delta_3 = \{\xi''; V_3\} + \{\{\xi''; V_2\}; V_1\} + \{\delta_1; V_2\} + \{\delta_2; V_1\}$$



Figure 4: Long term evolution of the orbital elements of the elliptic frozen orbit.



Figure 5: Long term evolution of the orbital elements of the elliptic frozen orbit.



Figure 6: Long term evolution of the orbital elements of the circular, stable frozen orbit. Left: third order theory. Right: fourth order theory.



Figure 7: Long term evolution of the orbital elements of the circular, unstable, frozen orbit.



Figure 8: Elliptic, stable, periodic orbit after 99 cycles.



Figure 9: Left: Near circular, stable, periodic orbit after 63 cycles. Right: Near circular, unstable, periodic orbit after 60 cycles.