National Aeronautics and Space Administration

ww.nasa.go

### Effect of Microgravity on Bones: Challenges to Addressing Risks to Human Health & Performance

### Endocrine Grand Rounds McGuire Veterans Affairs Medical Center

Jean D. Sibonga, Ph.D. Lead, Bone Discipline Human Research Program [HRP] Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX May 14, 2014

## Overview

- NASA's challenges to addressing skeletal risks due to spaceflight: 3 C's
- Unique Skeletal Adaptations to Spaceflight
- Recommended Forward Actions for Risk Assessment and Management



## Overview

- NASA's challenges to addressing skeletal risks due to spaceflight: 3 C's
- Unique Skeletal Adaptations to Spaceflight
- Recommended Forward Actions for Risk Assessment and Management



## Mitigating Risks for the Human System in HRP



HRP slide courtesy C. Kundrot Adapted Sibonga 2012 How should Space Medicine use Research Data in clinical care of astronauts?

- 1. Review of all Medical and Research Data.
- What additional measure(s) for Op risk surveillance? "Bone Quality"
- 3. Need specific clinical practice guidelines.



BONE SUMMIT 2010, 2013

### Journal of Bone and Mineral Research Vol. 28, No. 6, June 2013, pp 1243–1255



## JBMR

## Skeletal Health in Long-Duration Astronauts: Nature, Assessment, and Management Recommendations from the NASA Bone Summit

Eric S Orwoll,<sup>1</sup> Robert A Adler,<sup>2</sup> Shreyasee Amin,<sup>3</sup> Neil Binkley,<sup>4</sup> E Michael Lewiecki,<sup>5</sup> Steven M Petak,<sup>6</sup> Sue A Shapses,<sup>7</sup> Mehrsheed Sinaki,<sup>8</sup> Nelson B Watts,<sup>9</sup> and Jean D Sibonga<sup>10</sup>



ADAPTED SLIDE COURTESY OF Dr. S. AMIN, Mayo Clinic

### Issue: Recommendations in the absence of data.



## Take Home Messages from Bone Summit

- 1. Bone is a complicated tissue.
- 2. NASA's constraints not likely to reach Level of Evidence.
- 3. Astronauts are understudied group.
- 4. Spaceflight effects on bone are unique.
- 5. Clinically-accepted tests have limitations (JAMA).
- 6. Bone medical standards (based upon terrestrial guidelines) are not applicable to long-duration astronauts and require modification.
- 7. NASA circumstances may require transition of research technologies to clinical decision-making.



## Bone Discipline Lead Briefs NASA HQ Chief Health & Medical Office [OCHMO]



HRP slide courtesy C. Kundrot Adapted Sibonga 2012 Use of the Research Clinical Advisory Panels [RCAP] to focus NASA's Human Research for Bone Risks



HRP slide courtesy C. Kundrot Adapted Sibonga 2012

## The long-duration astronaut – not typical subject to evaluate osteoporosis (4/2013).

- Typical space mission duration 159 ± 32d (range 49-215d)
- Average Age 47 ± 5 y (range 36 56)
- Male to Female Ratio 4.4 : 1
- Current total # per astronauts in corps 59 of 365
- # repeat fliers 6
- BMI Male BMI 25.7 ± 2.2 (range 21.2 to 30.7); Female BMI 22.2 ± 2.3 (range 20.1 to 25.9)
- Wt and Ht- Males: Males: 81 ± 9 (64 to 101); 176 ± 6 (163 to 185)
- Females: 64 ± 7 (54 to 81), 169 ± 4 (163 to 178)
- % Body Fat: Males 20 ± 4 (9 to 27); Females 27 ± 8 (19 to 41)
- MEDICAL PRIVACY A MAJOR CONSTRAINT

## NASA Standards for Crew Health Based on World Health Organization (WHO) Note: T-scores (Not BMD change).



**T-score = #** Standard Deviations from Normal bone mineral density [mean BMD] of young healthy persons.

#### WHO/ISCD\* Guidelines developed for peri-, postmenopausal women and men > 50 yrs. DXA screening & surveillance unique to NASA





#### \*Intl Society Clinical Densitometry Fig. courtesy of S. Petak, MD

Adapted from: Kanis JA et al. *Osteoporosis Int.* 2001;12:989-995



Adapted from: Pathogenesis of Osteoporosis-Related Fractures (NOF) Cooper C, Melton LJ



Adapted from: Pathogenesis of Osteoporosis-Related Fractures (NOF) Cooper C, Melton LJ

## Overview

- NASA's challenges to addressing skeletal risks due to spaceflight: 3 C's
- Unique Skeletal Adaptations to Spaceflight
- Recommended Forward Actions for Risk Assessment and Management



### Diagnostic guidelines using areal BMD T-scores - <u>not</u> appropriate or predictive for fracture in astronaut population.

BMD T-Score Values\* Expeditions 1-25 (n=33) \*Comparison to Population Normals



## Paradigm Shift

 "Osteoporosis is a skeletal disorder characterized by compromised bone strength predisposing to an increased risk of fracture. <u>Bone strength reflects the</u> <u>integration of two main features: bone density and bone</u> <u>quality</u>." JAMA 2001

## Dual-energy X-ray Absorptiometry [DXA] BMD @ Johnson Space Center

- Monitor astronaut skeletal health
- Characterize skeletal effects of long-duration spaceflight
- Evaluate efficacy of bone loss countermeasures
- Verify restored health status

## What are the risks for using inappropriate DXA-BMD based guidelines?

- Unnecessarily disqualifying applicants to Astronaut candidacy.
- Not fully understanding the effects of spaceflight on hip and spine integrity.
- Inadequately evaluating efficacy of countermeasures.

## DXA: BMD losses are site-specific and rapid vs. 0.5 – 1.0 % BMD loss/year in the aged

**Areal BMD** %/Month g/cm2 Change <u>+</u> SD Lumbar Spine -1.06<u>+</u>0.63\* -1.15+0.84\* Femoral Neck Trochanter -1.56<u>+</u>0.99\* Total Body -0.35+0.25\* Pelvis -1.35+0.54\* -0.04+0.88 Arm -0.34+0.33\* Leg \*p<0.01, n=16-18



Whole Body

## DXA BMD increases in Postflight – but not sufficient to assess recovery of *bone strength*.





#### Femoral neck



Sibonga et al. BONE 41:973-978, 2007

## Changes in size, changes in bone strength.

	Baseline	Periosteal Apposition	Endosteal Apposition		
	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$		
Periosteal Diameter	100%	110%	100 %		
Endosteal Diameter	100%	100%	<b>90</b> %		
Compressive Strength	100%	148%	125 %		
Bending Strength	100 %	168%	116 %		

Slide courtesy of M. Bouxsein, PhD – Bone Quality, 2005

## Serum and urinary biomarkers reflect bone turnover and mineral metabolism.



## Bone Turnover Markers suggest a net loss in bone mass in the skeleton



Slide Courtesy of Dr. SM Smith; Adapted by Sibonga

## Calcium-regulating Hormones – Endocrine system is "normal" but perturbed.



Nutrition SMO, unpublished data; Courtesy Dr. SM Smith



% Change in DXA BMD after Long-Duration Mir and ISS Missions

#### 1217

\* Updated data since 2010 Bone Summit

### Bisphosphonates as a Countermeasure to Spaceflight Effects - mitigates of urinary calcium excretion

**Urinary Calcium During and After Space Flight** 



\*p<0.05, significant difference vs. Pre-Flight

#### Slide courtesy of Dr. A. LeBlanc

### **Densitometry & Reported Measurement**



DXA reports areal BMD (aBMD)



g/cm<sup>2</sup> averaged for cortical + trabecular bone



QCT quantifies volumetric BMD



g/cm<sup>3</sup> for separate cortical & trabecular bones

## DXA vs. QCT Spine : Discordant Recovery Patterns in Astronauts After Spaceflight



aBMD – areal bone mineral density g/cm<sup>2</sup>

tBMD – trabecular volumetric bone mineral density g/cm<sup>3</sup>

QCT Extension Study (n=8) Postflight Trabecular BMD in hip. Carpenter, D et al. Acta Astronautica, 2010.

## Why the clinical concern?

Femoral Neck tBMD

Femoral Neck DXA aBMD 1.05 1.05 1 0.95 **Normalized BMD Normalized BMD** 1 0.9 0.85 0.95 0.8 0.75 0.7 0.9 0.65 0.6 0.85 300 900 1200 1500 0 600 1800 300 600 900 1200 1500 1800 0 **Days After Landing Days After Landing** 

aBMD – areal bone mineral density g/cm<sup>2</sup>

tBMD – trabecular volumetric bone mineral density g/cm<sup>3</sup>

QCT Extension Study (n=8) Postflight Trabecular BMD in hip. Carpenter, D et al. Acta Astronautica, 2010.

## QCT measures are independent predictor of hip fracture.

JOURNAL OF BONE AND MINERAL RESEARCH Volume 23, Number 8, 2008 Published online on March 17, 2008; doi: 10.1359/JBMR.080316 © 2008 American Society for Bone and Mineral Research

#### Proximal Femoral Structure and the Prediction of Hip Fracture in Men: A Large Prospective Study Using QCT\*

Dennis M Black,<sup>1</sup> Mary L Bouxsein,<sup>2</sup> Lynn M Marshall,<sup>3</sup> Steven R Cummings,<sup>4</sup> Thomas F Lang,<sup>5</sup> Jane A Cauley,<sup>6</sup> Kristine E Ensrud,<sup>7</sup> Carrie M Nielson<sup>3</sup> and Eric S Orwoll<sup>3</sup> for the Osteoporotic Fractures in Men (MrOS) Research Group

Lower trabecular hip BMD is a predictor of hip fracture in aged men\* (and in women, Bousson et al 2011)

#### SUMMIT RECOMMENDS AS THE <u>CLINICAL TRIGGER</u>FOR ASTRONAUTS.

This is the basis of Hip QCT flight study.

## Overview

- NASA's challenges to addressing skeletal risks due to spaceflight: 3 C's
- Unique Skeletal Adaptations to Spaceflight
- Recommended Forward Actions for Risk Assessment and Management



Investigate a new medical standard for BONE Finite Element Modeling [FEM] : What is it and what can it tell NASA about hip fracture risk in the long-duration astronaut? Finite Element Models of QCT data – "FE modeling" is a <u>computational tool</u> to estimate failure loads ("strength") of complex structures.







J. Keyak et al, 1998, 2001, 2005

Images courtesy of Dr. J Keyak

## Individual Results Stance Loading (4 to 30% loss in strength)



## Individual Results Fall Loading (3 gain to 24% loss in strength)



## Two methods of monitoring space-induced changes in bone strength do not correlate.



Slides courtesy of J Keyak; Bone. 2009 Mar;44(3):449-53.

## Which is better?



## Which is better?

Fracture risk by 1 measurement or by > 1 measurement? It's not complicated.



**Summit Recommendation** 

**EXPLORE HOW FEM PREDICTS FRACTURE IN POPULATION STUDIES** 

### Describing changes in hip bone strength with Finite Element Modeling/Analysis: Emerging data from population studies.

- <u>Male-female differences in prediction of hip fracture during finite</u> <u>element analysis</u>. Keyak JH, Sigurdsson S, Karlsdottir G, Oskarsdottir D, Sigmarsdottir A, Zhao S, Kornak J, Harris TB, Sigurdsson G, Jonsson BY, Siggeirsdottir K, Eiriksdottir G, Gudnason V, Lang TR. Bone. 2011;48(6):1239-1245.
- <u>Association of hip strength estimates by finite –element analysis with</u> <u>fractures in women and men</u>. Amin S,, Kopperdahl DL, Melton LJ 3<sup>rd</sup>, Achenbach SJ, Therneau TM, Riggs BL, Keaveny TM, Khosla S. J Bone Miner Res. 2011;26(7):1593-1600.
- <u>Age-dependence of femoral strength in white women and men.</u> Keaveny TM, Kopperdahl DL, Melton III LJ, Hoffmann PF, Amin S, Riggs BL, Khosla S. J Bone Miner Res. 2010;25(5):994-1001.
- Osteoporotic Fractures in Med Study Group. Finite element analysis of the proximal femur and hip fracture risk in older men. Orwoll ES, Marshall LM, Nielson CM, Cummings SR, Lapidus J, Cauley JA, Ensrud K, Lane N, Hoffmann PR, Kopperdahl DL, Keaveny TM J Bone Miner Res. 2009;24(3):475–483.

## FE Strength Cutoffs\* Task Group

E. Orwoll MD, S Khosla MD, S Amin MD, T Lang PhD, J Keyak PhD, T Keaveny PhD, D Cody PhD, JD Sibonga, Ph.D.



**RESEARCH:** Selecting FE Cutoffs for "Bone Health"- i.e., hips strong enough to account for declines due to spaceflight and to aging- to be used together with DXA BMD Standards.



REPRESENTATIVE POPULATION DATA

Data slide courtesy of Keyak. NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION

## Similar approach proposed for terrestrial medicine.

Improving Bone Quality Assessment Biomarkers Consortium Project

Dennis Black, Ph.D. Gayle Lester, Ph.D. Federal Working Group on Bone Diseases May 1, 2013

## A new surrogate/patient management

## Estimating bone strength by QCT-based finite element analysis (FEA)

- Standard engineering approach to evaluate mechanical behavior of complex structures
  - Integrates material & structural info from 3D QCT scans
  - Can provide multiple strength metrics
- Cadaver studies show that FEA predicts bone strength better than DXA-BMD
- Has been used in vivo to assess effect of treatments on bone strength and to predict fracture risk in untreated subjects





### Summary

- DXA –may be underestimating fracture probability and poorly estimating countermeasure efficacy for the astronaut population.
- <u>Bone Discipline Research</u> in progress to test QCT as a surveillance technology and to derive new cut-points for baseline bone health based upon finite element modeling.
- Bone Summit Panel is trying to formulate a therapeutic course of action, and the optimal <u>timing</u> of intervention.
- Leveraging Level 4 Evidence (expert opinion) from Bone Summit Panel as a means of defining and managing skeletal risks in astronauts in the absence of fracture evidence.

## Thank you. Acknowledgements



#### NASA & EXTRAMURAL

Shreyasee Amin, M.D. (Mayo Clinic) Adriana Babiak-Vasquez (NASA JSC) • Craig Kundrot (NASA JSC) Harlan J. Evans, Ph.D. (NASA JSC) Joyce H. Keyak; Ph.D. (UC Irvine) Thomas F. Lang; PhD. (UC San Francisco) Adrian D. LeBlanc, Ph.D. (USRA) Jerry Myers, Ph.D. (NASA GRC) Robert Ploutz-Snyder, Ph.D (NASA JSC) Clarence Sams, Ph.D (NASA JSC) ulletRichard Scheuring, M.D. (NASA JSC) • Linda C. Shackelford, M.D. (NASA JSC) ightarrowScott A. Smith (NASA JSC) ulletScott M. Smith, Ph.D. (NASA JSC) ulletElisabeth R. Spector (NASA JSC) ightarrowRobert Wermers, M.D. (Mayo Clinic) •

#### Emily Morey-Holton, Ph David J. Baylink, M.D.



## **Backup Slides**

## The bridge as a metaphor for bone.



Official Minnesota Department of Transportation investigation photo of the I-35W bridge collapse in Minneapolis, taken Aug. 3, 2007.



Endocrine disorders				
Adrenal insufficiency	insufficiency Diabetes mellitus Thyrotoxicosis		tors in Patients	
Cushing's syndrome	Hyperparathyroidism			
Gastrointestinal disorde				
Celiac disease	Inflammatory bowel disease	Primary biliary cirrhosis		
Gastric bypass	Malabsorption			
GI surgery	urgery Pancreatic disease		Skeletal	
Hematologic disorders			fragility	
Hemophilia	Multiple myeloma	Systemic mastocytosis	<b>Fracture</b>	
Leukemia and lymphomas	Sickle cell disease	Thalassemia		
Rheumatic and autoimn	nune diseases		ssive 1	
Ankylosing spondylitis	Lupus	Rheumatoid arthritis		
Miscellaneous condition	ing			
Alcoholism	Emphysema	Muscular dystrophy	ng	
Amyloidosis	End stage renal disease	Parenteral nutrition		
Chronic metabolic acidosis	Epilepsy	Post-transplant bone disease		
Congestive heart failure	Idiopathic scoliosis	Prior fracture as an adult		
Depression	Multiple sclerosis	Sarcoidosis	)	

Adapted from: Pathogenesis of Osteoporosis-Related Fractures (NOF) Cooper C

## Bone fragility is influenced by factors that are not detected by DXA BMD.

### BMD accounts for 50-70% bone strength



## Dual Photon Absorptiometry DPA)

 Differences in patterns of bone "loss" (cortical vs. trabecular) for different diseases...



Seeman, JCI 1992 Slide courtesy of Dr. Amin, MD

### QCT provides useful information re: causation of hip fracture, evaluation of hip fracture risk and possible targets for intervention.

TABLE 4. HRS OF MULTIVARIATE MODELS OF SKELETAL PARAMETERS AT THE FEMORAL NECK FOR HIP FRACTURE ADJUSTED FOR CLINIC SITE, AGE, AND BODY MASS INDEX									
	Model A (HR per SD decrease)		Model B (HR per SD decrease)			Model C (HR per SD decrease)			
	HR	95% CI	р	HR	95% CI	р	HR	95% CI	р
Trabecular bone, volumetric BMD (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	\-			1.65	1.15, 2.37	0.007	1.29	0.84, 1.98	0.250
Percent cortical volume	_			3.19	2.23, 4.57	< 0.001	2.42	1.56, 3.76	< 0.001
Minimum cross-sectional area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	/_			1.59	1.24, 2.05	<0.001	1.48	1.14, 1.94	0.004
Areal BMD from DXA (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )	4.13	2.67, 6.38	<0.001	-			1.91	1.06, 3.46	0.033

Area under the ROC curve for Models A, B, and C were 0.853, 0.855, and 0.860, respectively.

QCT + FEM has superior capabilities for estimating mechanical strength of ex-vivo specimens.

QCT estimates <u>fracture loads</u> better than DXA

QCT + FEM has superior <u>capabilities for estimating fracture</u> <u>loads</u>

DD Cody: Femoral strength is better predicted by finite element models than QCT and DXA. J Biomechanics 32:1013 1999



Fig. 5. The predicted strength of the specimers in the test set (developed from the models generated using the training set) plotted against their actual measured values for each of the three methods (at QCT, b; DXA; c; FUM).

## Astronaut Data- Reductions in Hip Strength with spaceflight.

#### N=11 crewmembers

Loading Condition	Mean (SD) Pre-flight	Mean (SD) Post-flight	p
Stance	13,200 N (2300 N)	11,200 N (2400 N)	<0.001
	2.2% lo	oss/month	
Fall	2,580 N (560 N)	2,280 N (590 N)	0.003
	1.9% lo	oss/month	

# Research: QCT detects different rate of vBMD loss in separate bone compartments of hip. (n=16 ISS volunteers)



Index	%/Month	Index	%/Month	
DXA	Change <u>+</u> SD	QCT	Change <u>+</u> SD	
aBMD Lumbar Spine	1.06 <u>+</u> 0.63*	Integral vBMD Lumbar Spine	0.9 <u>+</u> 0.5	
		Trabecular vBMD Lumbar Spine	0.7 <u>+</u> 0.6	
aBMD Femoral Neck	1.15 <u>+</u> 0.84*	Integral vBMD Femoral Neck	1.2 <u>+</u> 0.7	
		Trabecular vBMD Femoral Neck	2.7 <u>+</u> 1.9	
aBMD Trochanter	1.56 <u>+</u> 0.99*	Integral vBMD Trochanter	1.5+0.9	
*p<0.01, n=16-18		Trabecular vBMD Trochanter	2.2+0.9	

LeBlanc, J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact. 2000 ; Lang , J Bone Miner Res, 2004;

### QCT Postflight – Changes in Femoral Neck structure detected 12 months after return



*P* < 0.05 with respect to preflight\*, postflight\*

Slide adapted from T. Lang., JBMR 2006.

## QCT in Population Study: Age-related Changes

Suggests that femoral neck total area increases by outward displacement when cortex thins with age



Riggs et al. JBMR19:1945, 2004.



Age, years

Age, years

#### Microarchitectural Measures of Trabeculae and of Spatial Orientation



Adapted

## **Hip QCT Study**

- 1. Purpose of Hip QCT Surveillance is to implement recommendations of a clinical advisory panel of osteoporosis experts (Bone Summit 2010).
- 2. Collect specific QCT surveillance data to develop clinical practice guidelines to recommend to space medicine.
- **3.** Evaluate recovery at R+1 y and, if required, R+2 y.
- 4. Research Study: Describe how inflight countermeasures or how post-flight activities affect changes in bone strength and recovery.





## **Characterizing Bone Loss in Space**

