R=20140008665 2019-08-29T13:54:15+00:00Z

**FLIGHT CENTER** 

GODDARD SPA

https://ntrs.nasa.gov/sea

ew metadata

# Role of imaging spectrometer data for model-based cross-calibration of imaging spectrometer data for sensors

#### K. Thome

NASA/GSFC

brought to you by T CORE

#### **Need for cross-calibration**

### Climate-system modeling will rely on a wide array of current and future systems



Terra platform synergy of multiple sensors has been key to the mission's success

- Research-quality systems (OLI and MSI)
- Operational weather systems (VIIRS and OLCI)
- Requires consistently calibrated and validated data sets
  - Intercalibration to a few high-quality sensors
  - Valid across time and multiple countries



#### **Talk overview**

Discuss SI-traceable cross-calibration approach relying on test site characterization



- Site characterization benefits from imaging spectrometry to determine spectral bi-directional reflectance of a well-understood surface
- Outline
  - Cross calibration approaches
  - Uncertainties
  - Role of imaging spectrometry
  - Model-based site characterization
  - Application to product validation



#### **On-orbit cross calibration**

Recent years have seen great advancements in approaches for cross-calibration

- Typically near-coincident views
  - Simultaneous Nadir Overpasses at Arctic sites
  - Chance coincidence at midlatitude sites
- More recent work has emphasized methods that do not require simultaneous data collections
  - Invariant scene approaches
  - In-situ ground measurement methods
- Methods with SI traceability do not require sensor data to overlap in time





#### Scatter in coincident view cross-calibration

### Calibration for ASTER green band using MODIS



MODIS and ASTER "easiest" case

- Same platform, coincident views, similar bands
- ASTER Band 1 (green band) results using MODIS
- Scatter caused by
  - Spectral band differences
  - Registration effects



#### Spectral band differences – We know this already

ETM+ Band 2 Analogs	Α	В	С	D	E	F
A: Landsat-7 ETM+ B2	1	0.996	1.005	0.990	0.988	0.989
B: EO-1 ALI B2		1	1.009	0.994	0.992	0.993
C: Terra ASTER B1			1	0.985	0.983	0.984
D: Terra MODIS B4				1	0.998	0.999
E: Terra MODIS B12					1	1.001
F: Terra MISR B2						1



Uncertainty due to spectral differences decrease as **hyperspectral** data of sites are accumulated

Ground data, Hyperion, SCIAMACHY



#### **Calibration relative to in-situ**

Calibration to SItraceable, ground-based measurements

- Cross-calibration relative to in-situ data
- Requires sensors at ground site at overpass time





#### **Best of both worlds**

#### Combine philosophy of in-situ measurements with invariant site approaches



- Site measurements become basis for a physically-based model
  - Atmospheric
  - Surface
  - Goal is SI-traceable result
  - Requires innovative measurement approaches





#### **Cause of scatter**

Multidimensionality of the at-sensor radiance and non-identical sensors cause scatter

- View/solar geometry differences
  - Surface reflectance changes (BRDF)
  - Atmospheric effects
- Temporal differences
  - Solar angle
  - Surface reflectance
  - Atmospheric changes
- Spatial differences and registration effects
- Spectral differences
- Sensor effects
- All successful methods attempt to account for these effects or minimize the sensitivity



#### Site characterization

High-accuracy, imaging spectrometry would provide necessary understanding of test sites

- Cannot decouple
  - On-orbit sensor effects
  - Atmospheric variability
  - Surface variability



- Past results indicate that all three play a role
  - Note that the comparison of sensors improves in the NIR
  - Bands with highest SNR for on-orbit and groundbased sensors
  - Atmospheric effects are not as dominant
- Sensors to do this need to be improved



#### Site characterization

Well-characterized imaging spectrometers such as CLARREO or TRUTHS or HyspIRI can provide site characterizations for SI-traceable cross calibrations







#### **CLARREO and TRUTHS**

### SI-traceable sensors for climate model evaluations

- Traceable Radiometry Underpinning Terrestrial- and Helio- Studies
- Climate Absolute Radiance and Refractivity Observatory
- Spectrometer resolution
- Unprecedented uncertaities
  - Earth reflected solar radiance < 0.3% (k=2)
  - Earth emitted infrared (IR) radiances < 0.1 K (k=3)
- Rely on both
  - Direct climate benchmark
  - Improving other sensors to provide independent climate benchmarks



#### **Current scatter due to instruments?**

First question asked in cross-calibration is which instrument is better calibrated

- CLARREO and TRUTH-like accuracies would remove that issue
- Absolute uncertainties <0.3% in band-integrated albedo allows separation of surface effects from atmospheric effects permitting the development of the needed models for the at-sensor radiance prediction
- Similarly well-calibrated and characterized groundbased instrumentation and airborne sensors are likewise needed to improve site assessments





Selected Test Site



Satellite-based Measurements

Airborne-based Measurements Predicted At-sensor radiance

Emphasizes the source radiance

Model-based "Measurements" Radiance is for arbitrary 1) Time 2) View angle 3) Sun angle

SI-Traceable with documented error budget and uncertainty



Moves away from one-toone cross calibrations and empirical only

#### **Model-based measurements**

## Others have used a similar pathway

- Dome C empirical corrections for BRDF and atmospheric effects
- Inclusion of BRDF models in desert site work for MODIS, AVHRR, MSG
  - Surface BRDF model corrected by Terra MODIS or POLDER
  - Includes atmospheric corrections based on climatological values
- Coupling automated data with surface models
- Deep convective cloud calculations in radiance





#### **Key measurements**

#### Spectral and directional reflectance of surfaces are highest priority

- Temporal sampling
  - directional reflectance (or at least validation)
  - Site stability
- Imaging provides spatial information
- Spectral samples aggregated to simulate bands
- Imaging spectrometry can lead to knowledge of surface morphology



#### **Climate-quality data products**

### Level 2 data products would also benefit from TRUTHS and CLARREO

- Same basic methods as the sensor calibration
- Much of the efforts rely on
  - On-orbit comparisons
  - Airborne systems
  - Ground-based
- Goal is to understand the biophysical processes and impacts from scaling
- Current systems limited by the sensors
  - Implementing CLARREO-like calibration approaches will
  - Consider if Hyperion has been higher SNR and better accuracy



#### Summary

Switch from sensorcentric to SI-traceable source-centric mentality is key



SI-Traceable with

and uncertainty

documented error budget

- One-by-one empirical comparisons between sensors have been successful but have limits
- Combination of physically-based modeling and empirical data is not be trivial
- Inclusion of highly-accurate, imaging sensors is necessary to develop the physical models
- Method will provide improved relative calibration precision and absolute calibration that has the capability of matching current methods

