

Coordinated Microanalyses of Seven Particles of Probable Interstellar Origin from the Stardust Mission.

Andrew J. Westphal,^{1*} Rhonda M. Stroud,² Hans A. Bechtel,³ Frank E. Brenker,⁴ Anna L. Butterworth,¹ George J. Flynn,⁵ David R. Frank,⁶ Zack Gainsforth,¹ Jon K. Hillier,⁷ Frank Postberg,⁷ Alexandre S. Simionovici,⁸ Veerle J. Sterken,⁹ Carlton Allen,¹⁰ David Anderson,¹ Asna Ansari,¹¹ Saša Bajt,¹² Ron K. Bastien,⁶ Nabil Bassim,² John Bridges,¹³ Donald E. Brownlee,¹⁴ Mark Burchell,¹⁵ Manfred Burghammer,^{16,18} Hitesh Changela,¹⁷ Peter Cloetens,¹⁸ Andrew M. Davis,¹⁹ Ryan Doll,²⁰ Christine Floss,²⁰ Eberhard Grün,²¹ Philipp R. Heck,¹¹ Peter Hoppe,²² Bruce Hudson,²³ Joachim Huth,²² Anton Kearsley,²⁴ Ashley J. King,¹¹ Barry Lai,²⁵ Jan Leitner,²² Laurence Lemelle,²⁶ Ariel Leonard,²⁰ Hugues Leroux,²⁷ Robert Lettieri,¹ William Marchant,¹ Larry R. Nittler,²⁸ Ryan Ogliore,²⁹ Wei Ja Ong,²⁰ Mark C. Price,¹⁵ Scott A. Sandford,³⁰ Juan- Angel Sans Tresseras,¹⁸ Sylvia Schmitz,⁴ Tom Schoonjans,¹⁶ Kate Schreiber,²⁰ Geert Silversmit,¹⁶ Vicente A. Solé,¹⁸ Ralf Srama,³¹ Frank Stadermann,^{20**} Thomas Stephan,¹⁹ Julien Stodolna,¹ Stephen Sutton,²⁵ Mario Trieloff,⁷ Peter Tsou,³² Tolek Tyliczszak,³ Bart Vekemans,¹⁶ Laszlo Vincze,¹⁶ Joshua Von Korff,¹ Naomi Wordsworth,³³ Daniel Zevin,¹ Michael E. Zolensky,¹⁰ 30714 Stardust@home dusters³⁴

¹Space Sciences Laboratory, U. C. Berkeley, Berkeley, CA USA, ²Materials Science and Technology Division, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC USA, ³Advanced Light Source, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, CA USA, ⁴Geoscience Institute, Goethe University Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany, ⁵SUNY Plattsburgh, Plattsburgh, NY USA, ⁶ESCG, NASA JSC, Houston, TX USA, ⁷Institut für Geowissenschaften, University of Heidelberg, Germany, ⁸Institut des Sciences de la Terre, Observatoire des Sciences de l'Univers de Grenoble, Grenoble, France, ⁹IRS, University Stuttgart, Stuttgart, IGEP, TU Braunschweig, Braunschweig, Germany and MPIK, Heidelberg, Germany, ¹⁰ARES, NASA JSC, Houston, TX USA, ¹¹Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, IL USA, ¹²DESY, Hamburg, Germany, ¹³Space Research Centre, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK, ¹⁴Department of Astronomy, University of Washington, Seattle, WA USA, ¹⁵University of Kent, Canterbury, Kent, UK, ¹⁶University of Ghent, Ghent, Belgium, ¹⁷University of New Mexico, ¹⁸European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Grenoble, France, ¹⁹University of Chicago, Chicago, IL USA, ²⁰Washington University, St. Louis, MO USA, ²¹Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg, Germany, ²²Max-Planck-Institut für Chemie, Mainz, Germany, ²³615 William St., Apt 405, Midland, Ontario, Canada, ²⁴Natural History Museum, London, UK, ²⁵Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, IL USA, ²⁶Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Lyon, France, ²⁷University Lille 1, France, ²⁸Carnegie Institution of Washington, Washington, DC USA, ²⁹University of Hawai'i at Manoa, Honolulu, HI USA, ³⁰NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA USA, ³¹IRS, University Stuttgart, Stuttgart, Germany, ³²Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, CA USA, ³³Wexbury, Farthing Green Lane, Stoke Poges, South Buckinghamshire, UK, ³⁴Worldwide

Stardust, a NASA Discovery-class mission, was the first sample-return mission to return solid samples from beyond the Moon. Stardust was effectively two missions in one spacecraft: it returned the first materials from a known primitive solar system body, the Jupiter-family comet Wild 2; Stardust also returned a collector that was exposed to the contemporary interstellar dust stream for 200 days during the interplanetary cruise. Both collections present severe technical challenges in sample preparation and in analysis. By far the largest collection is the cometary one: approximately 300 µg of material was returned from Wild 2, mostly consisting of ~1 ng particles embedded in aerogel or captured as

residues in craters on aluminum foils. Because of their relatively large size, identification of the impacts of cometary particles in the collection media is straightforward. Reliable techniques have been developed for the extraction of these particles from aerogel. Coordinated analyses are also relatively straightforward, often beginning with synchrotron-based x-ray fluorescence (S-XRF), X-ray Absorption Near-Edge Spectroscopy (XANES) and x-ray diffraction (S-XRD) analyses of particles while still embedded in small extracted wedges of aerogel called “keystones”, followed by ultramicrotomy and TEM, Scanning Transmission X-ray Microscopy (STXM) and ion microprobe analyses (e.g., Ogliore et al., 2010). Impacts in foils can be readily analyzed by SEM-EDX, and TEM analysis after FIB liftout sample preparation.

In contrast, the interstellar dust collection is vastly more challenging. The sample size is approximately six orders of magnitude smaller in total mass. The largest particles are only a few pg in mass, of which there may be only ~10 in the entire collection. The technical challenges, however, are matched by the scientific importance of the collection. We formed a consortium carry out the Stardust Interstellar Preliminary Examination (ISPE) to carry out an assessment of this collection, partly in order to characterize the collection in sufficient detail so that future investigators could make well-informed sample requests. The ISPE is the sixth PE on extraterrestrial collections carried out with NASA support. Some of the basic questions that we asked were: how many impacts are there in the collector, and what fraction of them have characteristics consistent with extraterrestrial materials? What is the elemental composition of the rock-forming elements? Is there crystalline material? Are there organics?

Here we present coordinated microanalyses of particles captured in aerogel, using S-FTIR[5], S-XRF[7-9], STXM[6], S-XRD[10]; and coordinated microanalyses of residues in aluminum foil[13], using SEM-EDX, Auger spectroscopy, [STEM](#), and ion microprobe. We discuss a novel approach that we employed for identification of tracks in aerogel[3], and new sample preparation techniques developed during the ISPE[4]. We have identified seven particles – three in aerogel and four in foils – that are most consistent with an interstellar origin. The seven particles exhibit a large diversity in elemental composition[2]. Dynamical evidence, supported by laboratory simulations of interstellar dust impacts in aerogel and foils[11], and numerical modeling of interstellar dust propagation in the heliosphere[12], suggests that at least some of the particles have high optical cross-section, perhaps due to an aggregate structure. However, the observations are most consistent with a variety of morphologies.

References:

- [1] R Ogliore *et al.*, *ApJ* 745 (2012), p. 19
- [2] A. J. Westphal *et al.*, *Meteorit. Planet. Sci.* (2014a) in press.
- [3] A. J. Westphal *et al.*, *Meteorit. Planet. Sci.* (2014b) in press.
- [4] D. R. Frank *et al.*, *Meteorit. Planet. Sci.* (2014) in press.
- [5] H. A. Bechtel *et al.*, *Meteorit. Planet. Sci.* (2014) in press.
- [6] A. L. Butterworth *et al.*, *Meteorit. Planet. Sci.* (2014) in press.
- [7] F. E. Brenker *et al.*, *Meteorit. Planet. Sci.* (2014) in press.
- [8] A. S. Simionovici *et al.*, *Meteorit. Planet. Sci.* (2014) in press.
- [9] G. J. Flynn *et al.*, *Meteorit. Planet. Sci.* (2014) in press.
- [10] Z. Gainsforth *et al.*, *Meteorit. Planet. Sci.* (2014) in press.
- [11] F. Postberg *et al.*, *Meteorit. Planet. Sci.* (2014) in press.
- [12] V. J. Sterken *et al.*, *Meteorit. Planet. Sci.* (2014) in press.
- [13] R. M. Stroud *et al.*, *Meteorit. Planet. Sci.* (2014) in press.