

## Aeromechanics Analysis of a Boundary Layer Ingesting Fan

presented by Dr. Milind Bakhle NASA Glenn Research Center

AIAA-2012-3995 co-authors: Dr. T. S. R. Reddy (NASA GRC / University of Toledo) Dr. Gregory P. Herrick (NASA Glenn Research Center) Dr. Aamir Shabbir and Dr. Razvan V. Florea (United Technologies Research Center)



- This presentation summarizes work performed at NASA Glenn Research Center (GRC) in collaboration with United Technologies Research Center (UTRC)
  - Thanks to Mr. David Arend (Team Lead, Robust Design of Embedded Engine Systems) and Dr. Gregory Tillman (UTRC Team Lead)
- This work was supported by the Subsonic Fixed Wing Project (Dr. Michael Hathaway, Tech Lead for Efficient Propulsion and Power) and by the Environmentally Responsible Aviation Project (Dr. Kenneth Suder, Propulsion Sub-project Manager)
- Thanks to Ms. Rula Coroneos (NASA GRC) for her support of this task with Nastran finite element analysis

## Outline



- Background
- Fan CFD Analysis TURBO-AE Code
- Fan Performance Clean Inflow, Distorted Inflow
- Structural Dynamics, Aeroelastic Formulation
- Inlet Distortion Forced Response, Dynamic Stress
- Blade Vibrations Flutter Stability
  - Clean Inflow
  - Distorted Inflow
- Summary and Future Work

## Background



 "Wake Ingestion Propulsion Benefits," L. H. Smith, AIAA Journal of Propulsion and Power, 1993.

15%

- Boundary Layer Ingestion (BLI) Propulsion has the potential for significant reduction (5-10%) in Aircraft Fuel Burn
- Previous system studies:

Daggett, et al., NASA-CR-2003-212670 Kawai, et al., NASA-CR-2006-214534 Plas, et al., AIAA 2007-450 Nickol, NASA-TM-2008-215112 Aircraft Fuel Nickol and McCuller, AIAA 2009-931 **Burn Reduction** 

 Recent system studies: Tillman, et al., AIAA invited pres., 2011 Hardin, et al., AIAA-2012-3993







- The potential benefits of Boundary Layer Ingestion (BLI) Propulsion can be diminished if key parameters do not meet their targets
  - Inlet total pressure loss
  - Fan efficiency reduction
  - Fan stall margin reduction

 Fan aeromechanics requirements (dynamic stresses and flutter stability)

## Fan CFD Analysis – TURBO Code



- Implicit, finite-volume solver
- Reynolds-Averaged Navier Stokes equations
- Structured multi-block code
- Multi blade-row code
- k-epsilon turbulence model
- Inlet distortion boundary condition
- Throttle exit boundary condition
- Dynamic grid deformation for blade vibration
- Prescribed harmonic blade vibrations with energy method to evaluate flutter stability

## **Fan Computational Domain**



• Analysis of an Aero Design Iteration (not the Final Design)



#### **Fan Performance – Clean Inflow**



- TURBO code (RANS solver) used with radial inlet profile of total pressure, total temperature, and flow angles
- Speedline traversed by setting exit throttle condition and converging flow solutions



## **Inlet Flowfield Provides Distortion Pattern**



 Inlet flow computations were performed at UTRC for an inlet design iteration (not final design) and the flowfield results were provided to NASA



## **Fan Computation with Inlet Distortion**



 Inlet distortion is prescribed as boundary condition at inlet boundary of the fan computational domain (18-blade fan rotor and splitter)



## **Periodicity of Flowfield Around the Rotor**





## **Periodicity of Flowfield Around the Rotor**



• Total pressure ratio for various blade passages



Blade Vibration Modes or Modal Displacements

# **Structural Dynamics Model & Results**

Blade structural model created based on aero design iteration (structural design is in progress)

mode 2

156.6 Hz

8-node brick elements

mode 1

63.5 Hz

- 9,782 elements, 15,096 nodes
- 222 nodes at the root constrained









mode 3 224.8 Hz

 Blade structural dynamics modal equations with aerodynamic load

$$[M]{\ddot{q}} + [K]{q} = {AD}$$

*{AD} is the motion-independent aerodynamic load vector – Modal Force* 

$$AD_i = \int \vec{\delta_i} \cdot p d\vec{A}$$

**Modal Force** computation requires **unsteady pressure** and **modal displacements** 

$$\{q\} = \left[ \left[ K \right] - \omega^2 \left[ M \right] \right]^{-1} \{AD\}$$

Forced Response





#### **Modal Force**



#### Time history over one rotor revolution



#### **Modal Force**



#### Fourier components







#### **Modal Force**



#### Fourier components



#### **Forced Response** – **Vibration Amplitude and Dynamic Stresses**



 Dynamic stresses are required to determine fatigue characteristics (Goodman diagram)

$$\{q_{nr}\} = \left[\left[K_n\right] - \omega_r^2 \left[M_n\right]\right]^{-1} \{AD_{nr}\}\$$
 for  $n^{th}$  mode,  $r^{th}$  harmonic

dynamic stress



 $\sigma_r = \sum s_n q_{nr}$  where  $s_n$  is the modal stress



harmonic or engine order	vibration amplitude (inch) at tip t.e.	dynamic stress amplitude (psi)
1	5.5 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	273
2	3.0 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	290
3	1.9 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	666
4	3.1 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	308
5	2.6 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	169
6	2.7 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	33
7	7.0 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	427
8	6.0 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	19
6 7 8	2.7 x 10 <sup>-4</sup> 7.0 x 10 <sup>-4</sup> 6.0 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	33 427 19

## **Flow Chart for Flutter Stability Computation**



Aerodynamic damping computation using TURBO-AE





 Design operating speed, mode 1, 0 nodal diameter pattern (all blades in-phase), 18 blade passages (full rotor)





- Design operating speed, 18 blade passages (full rotor)
- Phase angle of vibration = 360 \* Nodal Diameter / 18





- Design operating speed, 18 blade passages (full rotor)
- Phase angle of vibration = 360 \* Nodal Diameter / 18



Nodal Diameter



- Design operating speed, 18 blade passages (full rotor)
- Phase angle of vibration = 360 \* Nodal Diameter / 18





#### Various Approaches

- Circumferentially average the distorted inflow to obtain an equivalent radial profile; use work-per-cycle analysis
- Select a portion of the inlet distortion to represent a "worstcase" inflow condition that is used at all circumferential locations; use work-per-cycle analysis
- Prescribe blade vibrations and distorted inflow; use workper-cycle analysis; average the results over all blades, and over multiple blade vibration cycles
- Use tightly-coupled aeroelastic analysis with distorted inflow; blade vibrations are determined as part of the computations; post-process time history to estimate average damping over all blades and multiple vibration cycles



#### **Current Preferred Approach**

- Prescribe blade vibrations and distorted inflow
- Use work-per-cycle analysis
- Average the results over all blades, and over multiple blade vibration cycles

$$Work = \oint_{cycle} \int_{surface} -p.d \stackrel{\rightarrow}{A} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}\right) dt$$
  
Unsteady pressure includes effect of  
1) inlet distortion  
2) blade vibration  $\implies$  isolate this component to  
assess flutter stability

### **Flutter Stability with Distorted Inflow**



 Design operating speed, mode 1, 0 nodal diameter pattern (all blades in-phase), 18 blade passages (full rotor)



### **Flutter Stability with Distorted Inflow**



 Design operating speed, mode 1, 1 nodal diameter pattern (all blades in-phase), 18 blade passages (full rotor)



## Summary



- Created structural model based on aero design iteration and computed structural dynamics characteristics
- Performed aeromechanical analysis of design iteration
- Performed fan flutter analysis with clean inflow at design speed – no flutter encountered at conditions analyzed; additional work needed at part-speed conditions
- Performed distorted inflow analysis for forced response vibrations to determine dynamic stress at design speed – additional work needed at on-resonance conditions near design speed
- Performed initial analysis with blade vibrations and distorted inflow to estimate flutter stability – additional flutter analyses needed for other vibration modes and operating conditions



- Perform aeromechanical analysis on final inlet-fan design to ensure safe wind-tunnel test
- Develop tightly-coupled aeroelastic analysis capability in TURBO for more detailed analysis of blade vibrations with distorted inflow
- Perform aeromechanical analysis on updated fan stage design including non-axi-symmetric exit guide vanes
- Develop inlet-fan coupled aeroelastic analysis capability

#### **Questions?**

