

**SULFUR AND OXYGEN ISOTOPIC ANALYSIS OF A COSMIC SYMPLECTITE FROM A COMET WILD 2 STARDUST TERMINAL PARTICLE.** A. N. Nguyen<sup>1,2</sup>, E. L. Berger<sup>2,3</sup>, K. Nakamura-Messenger<sup>2</sup>, and S. Messenger<sup>2</sup>. <sup>1</sup>JETS, NASA JSC, Houston TX. <sup>2</sup>Robert M. Walker Laboratory for Space Science, ARES, NASA JSC, Houston TX. <sup>3</sup>GeoControl Systems, JETS, NASA JSC, Houston TX. [lan-anh.n.nguyen@nasa.gov](mailto:lan-anh.n.nguyen@nasa.gov).

**Introduction:** Analyses of comet 81P/Wild 2 samples returned from the Stardust mission have uncovered surprising similarities to meteoritic material, including the identification of inner solar system grains [1-3]. The TEM characterization of terminal particle (TP) 4 from Stardust track #147 revealed an assemblage consisting of symplectically intergrown pentlandite and nanocrystalline maghemite coexisting with high-Ca pyroxene [4]. Mineralogically similar cosmic symplectites (COS) containing pentlandite and magnetite in the primitive Acfer 094 meteorite are highly depleted in <sup>16</sup>O ( $\delta^{17}\text{O}$ ,  $\delta^{18}\text{O} \sim 180 \text{ ‰}$ ) [5-7]. This isotopic signature is proposed to record alteration with primordial solar nebula water. Conversely, the normal O isotopic composition of the Stardust COS indicates alteration by a different aqueous reservoir, perhaps on the comet [8]. In this study, we analyzed the Wild 2 COS for S isotopes to further constrain its origin.

**Experimental:** Thin sections of TP4 (12  $\mu\text{m}$ ) were produced and their mineralogy was thoroughly characterized by TEM. Two of the sections were analyzed for O isotopes by isotopic imaging in the JSC NanoSIMS 50L. The sample in one of the slices was completely consumed. The remaining material in the adjacent slice was analyzed simultaneously for <sup>16</sup>O, <sup>32</sup>S, <sup>33</sup>S, <sup>34</sup>S, and <sup>56</sup>Fe<sup>16</sup>O in electron multipliers using a Cs<sup>+</sup> primary ion beam. Quasi-simultaneous arrival (QSA) can have a significant effect on S isotopic ratios when using electron multipliers, resulting in undercounting of <sup>32</sup>S [9]. Canyon Diablo troilite (CDT) was measured numerous times to deduce a correction factor for QSA and ensure measurement reproducibility. Isotopic ratios are reported relative to CDT.

**Results and Discussion:** The Wild 2 COS is enriched in the heavy S isotopes relative to CDT ( $\delta^{33}\text{S} = 6.5 \pm 1.6 \text{ ‰}$ ;  $\delta^{34}\text{S} = 5.1 \pm 0.7 \text{ ‰}$ ;  $1\sigma$ ). The degree of <sup>33</sup>S enrichment indicates mass-independent fractionation (MIF) with  $\Delta^{33}\text{S} = 3.9 \pm 1.7 \text{ ‰}$ . MIF of S has been observed in some chondrules ( $\Delta^{33}\text{S}$  up to 0.11‰) [10], but this effect has not been identified in sulfides from carbonaceous chondrites [11] or IDPs [12]. S isotopic analysis of Stardust impact craters also did not reveal MIF or anomalies, save for one potential <sup>32</sup>S-rich presolar sulfide [13]. Measurement errors on these impact craters were much larger than those in this study, however. MIF of S has been proposed to result from heterogeneities in the solar nebula from nucleosynthetic components [14] or photochemical irradiation of solar nebula gas [10]. Presolar SiC grains are observed to have <sup>32</sup>S enrichments [15, 16] contrary to the S isotopic composition of the cometary COS. The S isotopic composition more likely reflects irradiation of nebular gas.

**References:** [1] Brownlee D. et al. (2006) *Science*, 314, 1711. [2] Nakamura-Messenger K. et al. (2011) *MPS*, 46, 1033. [3] Joswiak D.J. et al. (2014) *GCA*, 144, 277. [4] Nakamura-Messenger K. et al. (2012) *LPS*, 43, #2551. [5] Sakamoto N. et al. (2007) *Science*, 317, 231. [6] Seto Y. et al. (2008) *GCA*, 72, 2723. [7] Nittler L.R. et al. (2015) *LPS*, 46, #2097. [8] Nguyen A.N. et al. (2014) *MPS*, 49, A5388. [9] Slodzian G. et al. (2004) *App. Surf. Sci.*, 231-232, 874. [10] Rai V.K. and Thiemens M.H. (2007) *GCA*, 71, 1341. [11] Bullock E.S. et al. (2010) *MPS*, 45, 885. [12] Mukhopadhyay S. et al. (2003) *MPS*, 38, A5289. [13] Heck P.R. et al. (2012) *MPS*, 47, 649. [14] Farquhar J. et al. (2000) *GCA*, 64, 1819. [15] Hoppe P. et al. (2012) *ApJL*, 745, L26. [16] Gyngard F. et al. (2012) *MPS*, 47, A5255.