

# Ku-Band Traveling Wave Slot Array Using Simple Scanning Control

Abstract: This poster introduces a feeding concept aimed at simplifying the backend (phase shifters) of traditional phased arrays. As an alternative to traditional phased arrays, we employ a traveling wave array (TWA) using a single feedline whose propagation constant is controlled via a single, small mechanical movement without a need for phase shifters to enable scanning. Specifically, a dielectric plunger is positioned within a parallel plate waveguide (PPW) transmission line (TL) that feeds the TWA. By adjusting the position of the dielectric plunger within the PPW feeding the TWA, beam steering is achieved. A 20 element array is designed at 13GHz shown to give stable realized gain across the angular range of  $-25^{\circ} \le \theta \le 25^{\circ}$ . A proof of concept array is fabricated and measured to demonstrate and validate the concept's operation.



# **Transmission Line Design**





- Scan range a function of element spacing and TL  $k_{eff}$
- $-30^\circ \le \theta \le 30^\circ$  scanning is achieved with  $1.04 \leq \frac{k_{eff}}{k} \leq 2.04$
- for and element spacing of  $0.65\lambda$ • Line achieves the necessary  $k_{eff}$ agility at  $H_2 = 270 mil$



Nicholas K. Host<sup>1</sup>, Chi-Chih Chen<sup>2</sup>, John L. Volakis<sup>2</sup>, and Félix A. Miranda<sup>3</sup> Applied Physics Laboratory<sup>1</sup>, Ohio State University<sup>2</sup>, and NASA John H. Glenn Research Center<sup>3</sup>

Field mostly in Dielectric  $\rightarrow$  High  $\varepsilon_{eff}$ Fully inserted plunger position Field mostly in air  $\rightarrow$  Low  $\varepsilon_{eff}$ 



- detuned as the plunger is
- Resonant length of the cavity position



- we lower the Q value
- coupling to each element
- achievable is desired





## **Initial Design Performance**



## **Increased Manufacturability**





Variable	Initial Design	Final Design
Cavity Back	Straight	Stepped
$H_D$	350mil	250mil
С	10mil	5mil
Element Spacing	0.65λ	0.54λ



- Measurements generally agree with simulation
- Realized gain is down compared to simulated due to differences in TL geometry
- Measured scan angle is more positive, also due to differences in TL geometry