

# Observing System Simulation Experiments

Nikki Privé  
30 July 2015


# What is an OSSE?

An OSSE is a modeling experiment used to evaluate the impact of new observing systems on operational forecasts when actual observational data is not available.


- .A long free model run is used as the “truth” - the Nature Run
- .The Nature Run fields are used to back out “synthetic observations” from all current and new observing systems.
- .Suitable errors are added to the synthetic observations
- .The synthetic observations are assimilated into a different operational model
- .Forecasts are made with the second model and compared with the Nature Run to quantify improvements due to the new observing system

# OSSEs vs. the Real World

Real world forecasts



OSSE forecasts



# Why do an OSSE?

1. You want to find out if a new observing system will add value to NWP analyses and forecasts
2. You want to make design decisions for a new observing system
3. You want to investigate the behavior of data assimilation systems in an environment where the truth is known

# When not to run an OSSE

- .When you can't model the phenomena you are interested in
- .When you can't simulate your new observations
- .When you can't assimilate your new observations


# Nature Runs

- Nature Runs act as the 'truth' in the OSSE, replacing the real atmosphere.
- Usually, a long free (non-cycling) forecast from the best available model is used as the NR
- Model forecast has continuity of fields in time
- Sometimes an analysis or reanalysis sequence is used, but the sequence of states of truth can never be replicated by a model
- Always a push for bigger, higher resolution NR

# Nature Run Requirements

- Must be able to realistically model phenomena of interest
  - Dynamics and physics should be realistic
  - Must produce fields needed for “observations”
  - Should be verified against real world
- Ideally is ‘better’ than the operational model to be used for experiments
- Preferably a different model base is used for the NR and the experimental forecast model to reduce incestuousness

# G5 Nature Run




2 year, 7 km/72L, 30 minute resolution  
15 aerosols, ozone, CO, CO<sub>2</sub>




# Common Problems with Nature Runs

- .Nonexistence
- .Identical or fraternal twins
- .Outdated by the time you get to use them
- .Gigantic output files and huge computational resource requirements
- Output saved at full spatial resolution but 30 min + intervals


Full resolution (1.5 km, 10 min)



Spatial interpolation to 27 km



Temporal interpolation (3 hrs)




Comparison of temporal and spatial Interpolation errors compared to 1.5 km run for Typhoon Guchol (2012).

# Nature Run Validation

- Evaluate if NR is sufficiently realistic to yield meaningful results
- In addition to the phenomena of interest, the NR needs to realistically replicate fields needed to generate synthetic observations
- Can't validate everything; corollary – don't expect a NR to come pre-validated for your needs

Latitude of maximum monthly mean zonal mean streamfunction  
CFSR 1994-2010, blue; ERA-INT 1994-2013, green; NR, red







# Synthetic Observations

Example of AIRS observations channel 295 at 18 UTC 12 July

Simulated



Real




# Observation Errors

- .Synthetic observations contain some intrinsic interpolation/operator errors, but less than real observations (usually)
- .Synthetic errors are created and added to the synthetic observations to compensate
- .Error is complex and poorly understood
- .Error magnitude
- .Biases
- .Correlated errors

# Calibration


- Adjust synthetic observations and their errors to increase realism of the OSSE in a statistical sense
- Compare OSSE statistics to statistics using real data in the same DAS/forecast system
- Need to decide what statistical metrics to use for the calibration, depending on your needs
- Calibrating new observation types?
- Find an analogous data type if possible




Observation count is easy to calibrate



AMSU-A




RAOB Wind




O-F is fairly easy to calibrate because you can manipulate O directly.

AIRS Channel Correlations, Real




OSSE AIRS channel correlations




Some observation correlations are relatively easy to calibrate


Real



OSSE



A-B (analysis increment) is a little harder to calibrate, as A and B are not directly controlled





Forecast errors are harder to calibrate, especially for longer forecasts. Matching of this statistic by manipulation of observations is difficult to impossible beyond ~24 hour forecasts.




Model error determines forecast skill in the longer term forecast, so calibration is not possible (unless you want to mess with your model).

Red: OSSE  
Blue: Real

500 hPa anomaly correlations of geopotential height



# Why believe OSSE results?



New observations can be put into context relative to existing observation impacts

# Criticisms of OSSEs

- Results only apply within the OSSE system – no concrete connection to the real world
- Even the best OSSEs are far from perfect: incestuousness, difficulty in generating observations and errors, deficiencies of the Nature Run
- By the time the new instrument is deployed, both the global observing network and the forecast models/DAS will be different
- Examples of sloppy or unsuccessful OSSEs

# Common Pitfalls

- .Very reduced baseline of assimilated observational data (ex. no radiance data)
- .Other artificial degradation of analysis state
- .No validation or calibration of OSSE framework
- .Obtaining robust results from case studies is very challenging
  - Use ensemble forecasts if you can!



# Choosing Metrics

- Long cycling periods necessary to get statistically significant results for most new observations
- Anomaly correlation is a difficult metric to show appreciable impacts
- What fields do you expect the instrument to improve?
- Largest impacts found at analysis time or short-term forecasts

# Idealized Studies

- Identical twin experiments
- Idealized observations
- Manipulation of observation errors
- Experiments with **B**, **R**
  
- Make use of available “Truth”

# Takeaway

- .OSSEs can provide useful information about new observational types and the workings of data assimilation systems
- .Careful consideration of research goals should guide each step of the OSSE process
- .OSSEs are hard, good OSSEs are harder