




### High-energy emission from Gamma-Ray Bursts

Valerie Connaughton


Universities Space Research Association




From Chuck Dermer

V. Connaughton TeVPA Tokyo 2015


Fermi provides an unprecedented view of high-energy emission from GRBs: (i) Broad-band spectra from both GBM and LAT





#### V. Connaughton TeVPA Tokyo 2015


Fermi provides an unprecedented view of high-energy emission from GRBs: (ii) Sky coverage + sensitivity => Statistics!



-180


V. Connaughton TeVPA Tokyo 2015

GBM fluence as a function of angle to LAT at GBM trigger time: LAT detects the GRBs that are brighter (more fluent) at low energies and sees dimmer GRBs where it is most sensitive.




V. Connaughton TeVPA Tokyo 2015


Emission detected by the LAT > 100 MeV is extended in time relative to lower enrgies, behaving like afterglow radiation, decaying as a flat power-law in time, (most) favoring adiabatic expansion into the surrounding material.



Sensitivity of LAT to prompt emission is determined by angle to GRB position at GBM trigger time. Sensitivity to extended emission depends on which direction LAT boresight is headed after trigger time...




In survey mode the LAT rocks (now) 50 deg off the zenith north or south on alternate orbits. GRB placement in drifting of FoV affects sensitivity to GRB -> chance. An Automatic Repoint Request (ARR) from the GBM Flight Software places the GBM on-board position for BRIGHT (peak flux or fluence) GRBs close to the LAT boresight for 2.5 hours (subject to constraints).




Nearly 50% of LAT detections come from GRBs for which GBM issues ARRs.

#### V. Connaughton TeVPA Tokyo 2015


Repointing the LAT to bright GBM GRBs enables the LAT detection of extended emission for GRBs outside its view at trigger time and/or over a longer period




LAT flux and upper limits as a function of XRT flux extrapolated into the LAT energy range for 386 GRBs with exposure during XRT observations (1156 time intervals).




The Green points are detections (II GRBs, I4 time intervals) no separation of "prompt" from "afterglow" LAT flux: assumption is that all LAT emission is afterglow. medium: wind versus homogeneous.



One in ~7.5 GBM GRBs also triggers the Swift BAT - broad spectral coverage from Fermi and redshifts/energetics from Swift follow-ups.




 In addition to those GRBs triggering the BAT, Swift detects Fermi GRBs by observing LAT-detected GRBs with the X-Ray Telescope - hours after the GBM trigger. The overlapping sample of GBM-Swift BAT triggers and LAT detections contains GRBs observed contemporaneously over the prompt and early afterglow phase from keV -> GeV



V. Connaughton TeVPA Tokyo 2015


# GRB 090510 shows variable, spiky "prompt" emission and smoothly decaying "afterglow" emission



Delayed onset of >100 MeV emission: signature of proton synchrotron? Protoninduced cascades?

## to test consistency of LAT with afterglow at lower energies.


But prompt high-energy emission is really spiky!



Broadband spectrum over 10 decades of energy consistent with a single power-law compatible with externalshock synchrotron.

#### V. Connaughton TeVPA Tokyo 2015


GRB 130427: the "ordinary monster" shows variable prompt emission and extended emission out to 70 ks later.




I Day's observations

Ackermann et al. 2013

GRB 130427A provides 70 ks of HE data to explore afterglow models: standard afterglow models challenged by GeV photons 100s s after trigger




## NuStar observations and VERITAS upper limits provide additional evidence against SSC nature of extended HE emission



These observations are not contemporaneous with the final detected LAT data point.


Kouveliotou et al. 2013

## inferred from GBM observations




V. Connaughton TeVPA Tokyo 2015

The Band function may not represent some GRB spectra over the energy range sampled by GBM - extrapolations to LAT energies may not be reliable.




In addition, pure Band functions are broader than photospheric and narrower than synchrotron predictions e.g., Axelsson et al. 2014, Yu et al. 2015. Physical modeling (synchrotron, photospheric, combinations) is moderately successful e.g., Ryde et al. 2010, Burgess et al. 2013, Zhang et al., 2015.



V. Connaughton TeVPA Tokyo 2015

# New LAT catalog will have many new detections over 4 years of old catalog + 2 extra years



- Pass 8: A new low-level analysis and event reconstruction was developed during the past years. Data are available since June 24th, giving
  - improved effective area (100% improvement below 100 MeV, 25% above 1 GeV)
  - better PSF and localization accuracy
  - better background rejection
  - reduction in systematic effects

#### http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/observations/types/grbs/lat\_grbs/table.php

8th Huntsville GRB symposium

Huntsville, Alabama. 24 - 28 October 2016.

V. Connaughton TeVPA Tokyo 2015