

Update on Risk Reduction Activities for a Liquid Advanced Booster for NASA's Space Launch System

Andy Crocker, Kim Doering, and Bob Meadows; Dynetics, Inc. Brian Lariviere, Bart Graham; Aerojet Rocketdyne

66th International Astronautical Congress, Jerusalem, Israel, October 12-16, 2015

Overview and Introduction to ABEDRR

- **Goals of NASA's Advanced Booster Engineering Demonstration and/or Risk Reduction (ABEDRR) are to:**
	- **Reduce risks leading to an affordable Advanced Booster that meets the evolved capabilities of SLS**
	- **Enable competition by mitigating targeted Advanced Booster risks to enhance SLS affordability**
- **SLS Block 1 vehicle is being designed to carry 70 mT to LEO**
	- **Uses two five-segment solid rocket boosters (SRBs) similar to the boosters that helped power the space shuttle to orbit**
- **Evolved 130 mT payload class rocket requires an advanced booster with more thrust than any existing U.S. liquid- or solid-fueled boosters**

ABEDRR Awards

- **In October 2012 and February 2013, NASA awarded four contracts to improve the affordability, reliability, and performance of an Advanced Booster for the SLS:**
	- **ATK to demo innovations for advanced solid-fueled booster: composite case, propellant, nozzle, and avionics**
	- **NGC for design and mfg for composite propellant tanks**
	- **Aerojet Rocketdyne to improve the technical maturation of LOX/RP oxidizer-rich staged-combustion cycle engine**
	- **Dynetics, Inc. (with Aerojet Rocketdyne):**
		- **To demo the use of modern manufacturing techniques to produce and test several primary components of the F-1 rocket engine originally developed for the Apollo Program, including an integrated powerpack**
		- **To demo innovative fab techniques for metallic cryo tanks**

Scope of This Presentation

- **Early 2014, NASA and Dynetics agreed to move additional large liquid oxygen/kerosene engine work under Dynetics**
	- **Originally had been its own ABEDRR prime contract to Aerojet**
- **Led by Aerojet Rocketdyne, work is focused on an Oxidizer-Rich Staged Combustion (ORSC) cycle engine**
	- **Can apply to both NASA's Advanced Booster and other launch vehicle applications, including Atlas V booster engine**
	- **Effort will demonstrate combustion stability and performance of a full-scale ORSC cycle main injector and chamber**
- **This presentation will discuss the Dynetics ABEDRR engine task (both efforts) and structures task achievements to date**

Original Dynetics Booster Configuration

Dynetics Risk Reduction Task Summary

Heritage F-1 Gas Generator (GG) Testing

- **A GG test program was used to demonstrate continuous throttling, which offers SLS mission trajectory flexibility**
- **To enable early testing, existing GG and GG valve assets from heritage F-1 flight engines were used**
- **Primary test objectives were:**
	- **To verify performance and stability characteristics for the GG at heritage F-1A conditions**
	- **To verify performance and stability at throttled set points**
	- **To determine the thermal characteristics of the GG**

Injector Assembly

Chamber Assembly

F-1 gas generator mounted at MSFC Test Stand 116

Heritage F-1 Gas Generator Testing (cont'd)

• **In February and March 2013, 10 tests were completed**

- **Seven were 20-second steady state tests at various chamber pressure and mixture ratio variations**
- **One was a 35-second mainstage test**
- **One was a 55-second, long duration mainstage test**
- **Performance on all tests was nominal, and all test objectives were satisfied**
	- **The test series verified the GG was stable at all throttle operating points from 63% to 100% power levels (1.3Mlbf to 1.8Mlbf)**
	- **A full duration qualification test was completed**
	- **The thermal performance of the GG was characterized**
	- **All performance data was consistent with heritage operations**

Heritage F-1 Gas Generator Testing (cont'd)

Additive Manufacturing of a F-1B GG Injector

- **As a cost reduction opportunity, AR also fabricated a full-scale GG injector using a modern, low-cost, additive manufacturing technique called sintered laser melting (SLM)**
- **Proof testing and inspections were completed and passed**
- **In June 2014, NASA MSFC successfully conducted water flow testing of the injector to characterize the fuel and oxidizer flow passage resistances and visualize the flows**
- **Due to scheduling issues, hotfire testing of the SLM GG injector was delayed until September 2015**

F-1B SLM GG injector flow calibration test

Testing an Additively Manufactured Injector

- **Hotfire testing of the F-1B SLM GG injector was completed in the same MSFC test stand as the original heritage injector**
- **The main objective of the testing was to determine the combustion and stability characteristics and thermal performance of the injector manufactured with the SLM process**
- **All tests were successful and matched the heritage injector test results very well**
- **This test provided an opportunity for a one-on-one comparison of a part built with traditional manufacturing to a part built with a new process that the aerospace industry is investigating**

F-1B SLM GG injector hotfire test at NASA MSFC

F-1B Risk Reduction – Previously Discussed

• **This presentation will briefly discuss these activities, but they have been covered in detail in a previous paper/presentation**

Structured light scanning the Mk-10A fuel inlet 3-D

Powerpack Assembly mounted on test skid

Successful F-1B LOX volute sand casting

Pro/Engineer turbopump assembly model created from scanned images

F-1B Main Combustion Chamber

Overall F-1B Engine Risk Reduction Summary

- **Program objective was to reduce F-1B engine development risks—despite funding challenges, the effort met this objective:**
	- **Demonstrated F-1B engine and component understanding and readiness**
	- **Completed a GG hot-fire test series, proving throttling capability**
	- **Completed a SLM hot-fire test series, proving similarity to heritage**
	- **Disassembled and reverse engineered existing Mk-10A turbopump**
	- **Demonstrated long-term affordability through full-scale demonstrations of an additively manufactured GG injector and a cast LOX volute, turbine blades, and turbine manifold**
	- **Prepared main propellant valves for test**
	- **Integrated engine loads and design, developed transient operational models, and designed interfaces with the facility for Powerpack testing**
	- **Developed a new MCC design focused on dramatic cost reductions**

Structures Risk Reduction - Cryotank Build

- **Structures risk reduction task planned to validate the designs, materials, equipment, and processes to produce robust and affordable structures**
- **Ultimately, the task planned to create a full-scale cryotank assembly that would be verified by proof pressure and cryo-thermal cycle testing**
- **Original plan was to build a tank with four barrel sections, but NASA negotiated with Dynetics to reduce schedule and cost by building a tank with a single cylindrical barrel**
	- **Circumferential welding still demonstrated, and testing still completed**

Structures Risk Reduction – Design and Analysis

- **Performed initial structural analysis and verified that the RP-1 tank, intertank, and LOX tank designs had positive margin for stress and buckling**
- **Performed detailed coupled loads analysis, including simulations for vehicle rollout, pre-launch, liftoff, and ascent phases (transonic, max Q-alpha, max Q, max thrust, and max acceleration), to generate the design loads**
- **Generated max shear and moment loads and Peq loads, interface loads, vehicle support post loads, and stay loads**
- **Generated fatigue and fracture stress spectra**
- **Working with NASA Langley, used the latest experimental data to update shell buckling knockdown factors**
- **Performed thermal analysis of the tanks and intertank**
- **Determined appropriate proof pressure levels for planned tank testing**

Structures Risk Reduction – Barrel and Y-Ring Mfg

- **Fabrication activities started with a mill run of Al 2219 plate**
- **Plates delivered to Spincraft for spin-forming domes and to Major Tool and Machine for manufacturing tank barrels**
- **Unique single-sheet barrel rolling technique was developed for the robust tank structure and demonstrated on 7 barrels**
- **ATI Ladish started with large aluminum ingots and worked them into ring forgings—sent to Major Tool and Machine to be machined into y-rings**

Dynetics tank barrels at NASA MSFC Building 4755

Dynetics y-ring in machining

Structures Risk Reduction – Tank Barrel Welding

- **Developed a tank build plan to weld the barrels using NASA MSFC Friction Stir Welding (FSW) tools**
- **Developed conventional FSW parameters—implemented on longitudinal barrel welds on the Vertical Weld Tool**
- **All barrels passed Phased Array Ultrasonic Testing (PAUT) and dye penetrant testing**

Dynetics barrels on MSFC FSW tools, Vertical Weld Tool (near) and Vertical Trim Tool (far)

Structures Risk Reduction – Barrel Welding (cont'd)

Dynetics barrel on the Vertical Weld Tool

Structures Risk Reduction – Dome to Y-Ring Welding

- **Developed weld schedule for self-reacting FSW**
- **Completed circumferential welding of two domes to y-rings on MSFC's Robotic Weld Tool**
- **Passed PAUT and dye penetrant testing**

Tank dome on the MSFC Robotic Weld Tool MSFC RWT welding Dynetics dome to y-ring

Structures Risk Reduction – Dome to Barrel Welding

- **Developed weld schedule for self-reacting FSW for circumferentially welding tank barrels to the dome/y-ring assemblies and the barrels to other barrels on MSFC's Vertical Assembly Tool**
- **Mechanical modifications were made to the tool to accommodate the size and weight of Dynetics' structure**
- **Test welds were completed; all passed PAUT inspection, tensile testing results good**
- **Next step to complete final circumferential welds**

Structures Risk Reduction – Final Tank Welding

- **Circumferential welding started with the aft end of the tank**
	- **Hawthorne clamps used to hold the y-ring 1 and barrel together for welding**
- **PAUT inspection completed**
	- **One defect found in overlap region of weld**
	- **Created a defect panel with a similar sized indication, tensile tested, resulted in a weld strength higher than the design allowable**
- **Forward end welded with same approach**
- **PAUT inspection completed**
	- **Tiny indications found at notches for Hawthorne clamps**
	- **Indications measured, sum of all was much smaller than aft end weld indication**
- **All welds deemed acceptable**

Finished Tank

Structures Risk Reduction – Test Article Integration

- **Tank, test stand, and supporting hardware moved from MSFC fab facilities to test site in Iuka, MS**
- **Once in Iuka, tank integrated to test stand to form the test article**
- **Strain gauges installed on test article**
- **After strain gauge installation, test article transported to test pad**
- **Once mounted to test pad, modified lifting fixture with decking installed**

Integrating the tank and test stand in Iuka, MS

Placing the integrated test article on the test pad

Structures Risk Reduction – Testing Introduction

- **Dynetics performed series of proof and thermal cycle tests**
- **Demonstrates that designs, materials, manufacturing processes, and inspection methods for building pressurized cryotanks are ready for DDT&E**
- **Testing conducted per a NASA-approved test matrix**
- **Test pass/fail criteria were defined in a Tank Test Plan**
- **Procedures generated to define the steps for each test**

Structures Risk Reduction – Hydrostatic Proof Testing

Test 1 – Hydrostatic Proof Test

- **Test article was 100% filled with water**
- **Pressurized with GN² to 10 psig** ± **2 psi to verify strain gauges operational**
- **Tank pressurized to specified hold points, held for 3 mins each**
- **Pressurized to target pressure, held for 5 mins**
- **All strain, temperature, and pressure sensors operational**
- **Visual leak checks performed throughout the test**
- **Test was a success; strains observed were in ranges expected**
- **Following tank drain, sump seals replaced with cryogenicallyrated Chrysler O-ring seals; tank reassembled per cryogenic configurations defined in Test Plan**

Structures Risk Reduction – Cryothermal Testing

Test 2 – LN² Transfer and Control Test

- **Purpose was to serve as a trial run for test team operations and provide opportunity to test fill, vent, and drain**
- **Prior to test, test article was purged with GN² to remove/prevent moisture**
- **Filled tank with 6,000 gal of LN² , bottom dome filled up to the aft y-ring**
- **After fill, controlled boil-off and pressurization**
	- **Max pressure reached less than 7 psig**
- **All measurements and visual results satisfactory**
- **Prior to next test, access ports on stand sealed with insulation**
- **Also added LN² sprinkler to chill stand faster to reduce the temperature delta between the stand and tank y-ring interface**

Chilling test stand with LN₂

Structures Risk Reduction – Cryothermal Testing (cont'd)

Test 3 – Cryothermal Cycle / Proof Test

- **Only issue was failure of LN² fill isolation valve**
	- **Valve was manually opened to avoid problems**
	- **Total fill operation took approximately 12 hours**
- **When tank approximately 95% full, all tank valves closed, and tank was pressurized with GHe**
	- **Target pressure was held for 5 mins**
- **Used temp-compensating thermocouples and low-temp strain gages**
- **Test was successful**
	- **Reached target pressure**
	- **All measurements and visual results were satisfactory**
	- **No yielding of tank structure**

Integrated test article chilled with LN₂

Structures Risk Reduction – Hydrostatic Burst Testing

Test 4 – Hydrostatic Proof / Burst Test

- **Test article 100% filled with water**
- **Tank hydrostatically pressurized using a water pump**
- **Pressure points held for 3 mins each**
- **Failure location at machining non-conformance on the top dome**
- **Test was successful**
	- **Met previous proof pressure**
	- **Burst pressure >2x proof**
	- **All measurements and visual results were satisfactory**
- **Proof and burst test results verified structural design and manufacture of an affordable booster concept for the SLS**

ABEDRR Tank Pressure vs Time

Structures Risk Reduction – Burst Testing (cont'd)

Several seconds after tank burst

ORSC Cycle Engine Risk Reduction

- **Effort focused on design, analysis, fab, and test of 500 klbf full-scale ORSC main injector, TCA, and supporting hardware**
- **Test article scheduled to complete critical design review in Fall 2015, begin testing in early 2017**
- **Following activities have been accomplished:**
	- **Main injector and TCA made design and analysis progress, incl several design reviews, and completed fab risk reduction activities**
	- **Integrating components completed CDR, begun long-lead fab**
	- **Requirements dev, prelim design for test skid**
	- **Design reviews, long-lead procurements for NASA SSC test facility**
- **In the coming months, the team will:**
	- **Complete injector and TCA design and analysis, proceed into fab**
	- **Complete fab of integrating components**
	- **Finalize design and build test skid, test facility**
	- **Conduct testing of injector and TCA to demo combustion stability**

Summary

- **AR has applied state-of-the-art manufacturing and processing techniques to the heritage F-1, resulting in many noteworthy accomplishments and reducing the risk for full-scale engine development**
- **AR has also made progress on technology demonstrations for ORSC cycle engine, which offers affordability and performance for both NASA and other launch vehicles**
- **Dynetics has designed innovative tank and structure assemblies; manufactured them using FSW to leverage NASA investments in tools, facilities, and processes; conducted proof and burst testing, demonstrating the viability of design and build processes**

