# **Telescope Development for a Space-based Gravitational Wave Observatory**

**eLISA Consortium Meeting 12-14 Oct 1 2015**

**Prepared by Jeff Livas Shannon Sankar, Peter Blake, John Crow, Joe Howard, Len Seals, Ron Shiri, Garrett West NASA Goddard Space Flight Center** 

> **Guido Mueller, Alix Preston, Pep Sanjuan University of Florida**

# **Project Objective and Approach**



• **Objective:** 

To design, fabricate and test a telescope to verify that it meets the requirements for precision interferometric metrology for space-based gravitational-wave observatories.

## • **Key challenging requirements**

- Optical pathlength stability
- Scattered light performance
- Manufacturable design
- **Approach** 
	- Develop a telescope design that
		- o Meets eLISA technical requirements
		- $\circ$  Can be manufactured (need multiple ( $\sim$  10) copies)
		- o TRL-5 by CY2018 (nominally for EM model)
	- Commission a study with a commercial optics/telescope vendor for advice on manufacturability
	- Demonstrate we can implement the design

# **Telescope Requirements**





#### **challenging**

 $SGO-Mid = 250$  mm

From U of Glasgow ench design, courtesy of Ewan Fitzsimons and Harry Ward

eLISA Consortium Meeting Paris 12-14 Oct 2015

## **Previous Work: On-axis Telescope Spacer Design**

## **Spacer Activity Objective**

- **Develop and test a design for the main spacer element between the primary and secondary mirrors**
- M1 M2 spacing identified as critical by  $\frac{dP}{dP}$ <br>tolerance analysis<br>SiC limited by lab thermal fluctuations  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$ <br>is the section of t **tolerance analysis**
- **SiC limited by lab thermal fluctuations**
- **Would meet requirements on orbit**

## **SiC Spacer Design: QuadPod**



eLISA Consortium Meeting Paris 12-14 Oct 2015

*Can Meet Requirements at -65C*  **SiC Spacer Design**



### **SiC Spacer Thermal Environment**



J. Sanjuan, et al.; Rev Sci Instrum. **83**(11), 116107 (2012).





## **Commercial Vendor: Designs considered**



- **Both designs have the same nominal requirements**
- **Exclusion zone (in red) is for bench optics**

## **Commercial Vendor: Manufacturability**



- **On- vs off-axis mirrors similar in complexity**
- **On- vs off-axis system alignment similar in complexity** 
	- Compensation techniques are similar
- **Schedule is 16 months for first copy** 
	- Driver is material availability for SiC (study contractor makes material!)
	- Once material is cast, then machining is the bottleneck
	- "pipeline" approach is possible and reduces recurring schedule to  $\sim$  10-12 months/copy



## **SGO Final Report**



## Overall Stability Budget (@ .1 mHz)



At .1mHz, (worst-case scenario within frequency range), the overall path length stability is divided among the following constituents



- Approach that can meet the requirement has been identified
	- Prediction is just within derived specification (12.28 pm).
	- Further optimization and more detailed error budget appropriate for subsequent phase
- Thermal prediction approach assumes electronics box loading and solar loading are in phase (conservative approach)
	- Can further increase stability through using a third baffle (extra mass)
- Belief is that creep is a conservative estimate; could be reduced with geometric design ш developments and better understanding of the time dependant stability of the Invar material

# **Scattered Light Analysis**







eLISA Consortium **M3 and M4 contribute most of the scattered light on the detector** 

# **Prototype Telescope Design**







M3/M4 Assem

# **Scattered Light Test Bed**



## • **Validate scattered light model**

- Determine surface roughness
	- o needed to meet requirements
	- o Where particulates become important
- Components get dirty while making measurements

## • **M3/M4 dominate budget**

- Test M3/M4 separately
	- o Faster cycle-time than full telescope
- Use mirrors with different properties
	- o Surface roughness
	- o Reflective coatings
	- o Surface contamination levels
- Mirrors need not have telescope prescription for some tests
- Practice alignment techniques
- **Develop analysis pipeline** 
	- BRDF (component level) to predict system level

#### **M3/M4 Scattered Light Test Bed**



# **Optical Test Setup**

## **Optical Layout**







- **Telescope tested double-pass from the small aperture side**
- **Currently aligned to better than** λ**/34**
- **stable under normal lab conditions**
- **Room temperature operation only**

# λ**/34, center field, 632.8 nm**



# **SUMMARY/NEXT STEPS**



- **Prototype installed and aligned** 
	- •**Delivered to GSFC 6/5/15 (originally 3/20/15)**
	- •**Reassembled and realigned by 7/27/15**
- **Tested double-pass with an interferometer (LUPI)**
- **Residual wavefront error is** λ**/34 (**λ**/30 spec) at 632.8 nm**
- **Alignment is stable under laboratory conditions**
- **Next steps:**
	- **verify wavefront error at 1064 nm**
	- **beam dump for transmitted light needed** 
		- **use carbon nanotubes (R < 0.5%)**
	- **verify scattered light model**
- **Concern: mirrors are dirty** 
	- **Vendor packaged poorly for shipping**
	- **May have to try cleaning M1, M2 (no spares)**
	- **Have clean spares for M3, M4**

### **Particulates on Primary**

