https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=20150022430 2019-08-31T05:04:36+00:00Z

MICHAEL WORONOWICZ RADFORD PERRY III GEORGE MEADOWS SGT, INC.

2015 CONTAMINATION, COATINGS, MATERIALS, AND PLANETARY PROTECTION WORKSHOP NASA GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER 2 9-31 JULY 2015

- Introduction
- Objectives
- Math model development
	- o Clausius-Clayperon
	- Quantum Statistical Mechanics
- Selected candidate expressions
- ASTM E-1559 apparatus "MOLEKIT"

- Physical description
- o Outgassing measurement procedure
- Adaptation to desorption measurements
- Results & Discussion
- Concluding Remarks

Introduction (2 of 3)

- Designed to operate for five years at L2
- Contains four IR instruments operating below 50 K
- Sunshield must reject nearly all solar input
	- \times Radiative properties extremely sensitive to water vapor deposits
- Electronics compartment on shadowed side will outgas more than enough water vapor to ruin sunshield effectiveness
	- Design vent to also collect sufficient fraction of water vapor
		- \blacktriangleright Account for temperature-dependent desorption of condensed material

- Noted $p_{v,H2O}$ models tended to disagree at temperatures in range of interest (120—140 K)
	- Typically based on data above 150 K None found using data below 131 K What model to use?

`TINGER

- Researchers cited limits for thermal control stability
- Our group has experience with ASTM E-1559 apparatus used to collect volatile outgassing rates down to liquid nitrogen temperatures (~90 K)
	- Can measure source rates of picograms/s
	- Very stable thermal conditions
- Simple matter to adapt to $H₂O$ desorption study at cryogenic temperatures

- Review formulation of water vapor desorption models Features of high-fidelity physical expressions
	- Selected candidates
- Discuss use of NASA-GSFC outgassing measurement apparatus
	- "MOLEKIT" = "Molecular Kinetics", ASTM E-1559 system
	- Sketch of operational procedures
	- Adaptation to desorption measurements

- Thermodynamically, equilibrium condition for single species in multiple phases characterized by minimum value for Gibbs free energy *G*
	- Obtained when *G* per mole is equal for each phase

TINGER

Consequence of this condition gives *p* as function of *T*

$$
\frac{dp}{dT} = \frac{\Delta s}{\Delta v} = \frac{l}{T\Delta v} = \frac{p l}{RT^2}
$$

 For constant heat of transformation *l*, obtain Arrheniustype expression

$$
p_v(T) = p_{ref} \exp\left(\frac{-l}{RT}\right) = \exp\left(C - \frac{\Theta}{T}\right)
$$

Quantum Statistical Mechanics

9

- On molecular scale, work with chemical potential μ , like Gibbs free energy per molecule
	- Determine chemical potentials for solid and gas are equal
- Molecular ensemble described by number of energy states available to phases, increases with *T*
	- Somewhat convoluted path for solid phase *1*, easier to describe gas phase *2* (monatomic)

$$
\mu_1(T) = -\eta - T \int_0^T \frac{dT}{T'^2} \int_0^T c(T'') dT'' \qquad \mu_2 = -kT \ln \left[\frac{kT}{p_2} \left(\frac{2\pi mkT}{h^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right]
$$

$$
p_2(T) = \exp\left\{\ln\left[\frac{(2\pi m)^{\frac{3}{2}}k^{\frac{5}{2}}}{h^3}\right] + \frac{5}{2}\ln(T) - \frac{\eta}{kT} - \int_0^T \frac{dT}{kT'^2} \int_0^{T'} c(T'')dT''\right\}.
$$

 For a diatomic gas, must incorporate influence of internal degrees of freedom (rotational+vibrational)

$$
\mu_{2,\text{ diatomic}} \approx -kT \ln \left[\frac{kT}{p_2} \left(\frac{2\pi mkT}{h^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{I_{\text{rot}} kT}{\hbar^2} \exp \left(-\frac{\hbar \omega}{2kT} \right) \right]
$$

$$
p_{2,\text{ diatomic}}(T) \approx \exp\left\{\ln\left[\frac{I_{\text{rot}}k^{\frac{7}{2}}}{\hbar^{5}}\left(\frac{m}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right] + \frac{7}{2}\ln(T) - \frac{\left(\eta + \hbar\omega/2\right)}{kT} - \int_{0}^{T} \frac{dT}{kT'^{2}} \int_{0}^{T'} c(T'')dT''\right\}
$$

Notice heat of transformation term becomes modified!

- Water vapor is polyatomic, approximate internal d.f. physical models become increasingly approximate
	- Punt—replace terms in exponential with fit parameters, functions of temperature

2015 Contamination, Coatings, Materials, and Planetary Protection Workshop

Stinger Ghaffarian **ECHNOLOGIES**

Sack-Baragiola Formula

 Sack & Baragiola recommended the following formula for "stable crystalline" phase ice based on stat. mech. arguments:

$$
p_{v,\text{S-B}} = BT^4 \exp\left(-E/kT\right) = \exp\left[\ln B + 4\ln\left(T\right) - \frac{E}{kT}\right]
$$

- $O(B,E) = (29.3 \text{ Pa/K}^4, 10.375 \text{ kcal/mole})$
- *E* identified as heat of sublimation = 0.45 ± 0.03 eV
- Amorphous phase vapor pressure identified as being 100x higher
	- Review of paper indicates this rate should be less than $30x$ higher

Murphy-Koop Formula

13

 Murphy & Koop discussed review of various authors, devised vapor pressure fit claiming use of Clausius-Clayperon equation, but accounting for temperature dependent behavior:

$$
p_{v, \text{M-K}} \text{ [Pa]} = \exp \bigg[9.550426 + 3.53068 \ln(T) - \frac{5723.265}{T} - 0.00728332 \, T \bigg]
$$

based on

- \times Solid phase ice specific heat data down to 110 K
- \times Non-ideal gas behavior
	- o Did M-K actually resort to stat. mech. arguments?
- \times Not directly based on vapor pressure data

- Introduction
- Objectives
- Math model development
	- o Clausius-Clayperon
	- Quantum Statistical Mechanics
- Selected candidate expressions
- ASTM E-1559 apparatus "MOLEKIT"
	- Physical description
	- Outgassing measurement procedure
	- \circ Adaptation to desorption measurements
- Results & Discussion
- Concluding Remarks

- Found very little $p_{v, H2O}$ data below 150 K, none below 131 K
- Description of Sack-Baragiola apparatus mentioned sensitivity level of 5.0e-4 molecular monolayers/s May translate to a QCM sensitivity exceeding 10 Hz/hr
- Test times typically limited to < 15 min \circ Certain runs lasted \sim 3 hrs.
- NASA GSFC possesses an ASTM E-1559 apparatus designed to measure outgassing from sample materials for gases condensable as low as LN_2 would allow
- Often exhibits measurement stability within 0.1 Hz/hr over days-long periods
	- o Could we measure vapor pressure levels two OOM below others?

MOLEKIT Description (Genl.)

17

• Two vacuum chambers

- Test chamber
- Loading chamber
- Sample of test material inserted into Effusion Cell
	- Temperature controlled \circ Sample limited to $<$ 2" cube
- Heated, translated from loading chamber into test chamber

LECHNOLOGIES MOLEKIT Description (Test Chamber)

18

- \bullet (*d, L*) \approx (36", 46")
- $LN₂$ cooled walls
- Four QCM's

Stinger Fhaffarian

- Cryogenically cooled (CQCM's)
- Temperatures individually controlled
- Fixed, known viewfactors to EC
	- Can relate QCM collection rate to source outgassing rate (translate from Hz/hr to $g/cm^2/s$

- QCM's set to pre-selected temperatures
- Typically begins with thermal stability period $(-15-20 \text{ hrs.})$ Empty chamber, collect data on how frequency changes with time Often exhibits variability at or below 0.1 Hz/hr
- Sample weighed, loaded into EC, loading chamber evacuated
- EC travels into test chamber position, warmed to T_{on}
- Sample exposed to test conditions over pre-determined period or when QCM buildup rates have dropped below detectable limits
- Sample returned to loading chamber, chamber repressurized, sample removed and weighed

- Select test sample that releases H_2O , not much else
	- From experience, chose G10 fiberglass block, exposed to atmospheric conditions
		- \times Similar to JWST electronics compartment material
- QCM's collect vapor at various, steady, cryogenic temps. o Chose temperatures between 90-140 K
- After sufficient amount of vapor collected, withdraw sample \circ Arbitrary minimum change in frequency \sim 10 kHz
- Continue operating QCM's at constant temperature, record desorption rates every minute over next 1-2 days

Observation—Phase Change

22

- Some results exhibited higher initial slopes that relaxed to lower, steady values over time (on order of one day)
	- Similar to behavior recorded by Sack & Baragiola, but rate enhancements were much lower here, less than 2x
	- Identified as solid phase transition to more stable form
	- S-B test runs lasted less than four hours apiece

\times Typically 15 min

- S-B noted that prior deposition rate factored into amount of amorphous phase desorption rate
	- Uncontrolled in this study

- Performed two runs, attempted to collect data between 120 140 K, along with one QCM operating at coldest possible temperature (~90 K)
- Data collected on 90 K demonstrated massive out-of-family behavior, suggests current arrangement would have trouble obtaining useful data below 120 K
	- \circ Could be interference from desorption of H_2O from test chamber walls at 90 K (big area compared to QCM sensor < 1 cm2)

- Based on comparisons with other investigators, it appears test runs were long enough to firmly establish hexagonal crystalline water vapor desorption rates down to 120 K
- Narrow data set appears to confirm accuracy of Murphy-Koop model formulation

Established theoretical basis for form of equation

 JWST project may request further testing to confirm current data