THE EFFECT OF CORE CONFIGURATION ON THERMAL BARRIER THERMAL PERFORMANCE

Jeffrey J. DeMange The University of Toledo

Robert H. Bott *Case Western Reserve University*

> Anne S. Druesedów Ohio Northern University







Materials Science & Technology Thermal Protection Materials and Systems Columbus, OH October 4-8, 2015



This research was funded by the U.S. Government under NASA Contracts NNC08CA35C & NNC13BA10B. The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the U.S. Government.

CONTENTS OF DISCUSSION

- Introduction
 - Description of Compliant Thermal Barriers (CTB's)
 - Construction of CTB's
- Objectives
- Results
 - Experimental Studies
 - Measurement of core density
 - Effect of core density on flow/leakage \rightarrow permeability
 - Thermal conductivity effect
 - Modeling Studies
 - Setup of model
 - o Effect of various parameters on temperature
- Summary



AN INTEGRAL PART OF THE TPS



Compliant Thermal Barriers (CTB's)

- Often referred to as "thermal seals" or "seals"
- One "class" of thermal barriers
- High-temp. ceramic-based fibrous materials
- Installed in TPS interface gaps
- Roles
 - Thermal limit inboard temperatures
 - Structural accommodate deflections



Vehicle Penetrations



Doors



Control Surfaces



COMPLIANT THERMAL BARRIER CONSTRUCTION



TOLEDO

tube

Ceramic blanket insulation

OBJECTIVES

- Thermal barriers are both simple and complex...
 - Simple in basic design and operation
 - Complex in fabrication and mechanistic behavior
- Vehicle designers need help in integrating thermal barriers
 - ➢ How big?
 - > What configuration? Coatings?
 - How much insulation?
 - ➢ How to integrate?
- Objectives: Utilize testing and modeling to
 - Improve understanding of thermal barriers
 - Facilitate improved and more efficient design practices
 - Determine effect insulation core characteristics on thermal barrier performance







MEASUREMENT OF CORE DENSITY

- 3 different methods to measure core density
 - Laser dimensional measurement
 - Photographic dimensional measurement
 - Volume measurement (Archimedes' Principle)
- Each method has pro's and con's

Objective

Introduction

- > Laser and photographic methods quick, but rely on assumptions about core shape
- Archimedes' is most accurate, but more complicated

Approach

• Core density as a function of compression ("effective density") required for current study



Results

Summary



MEASUREMENT OF "EFFECTIVE DENSITY"

- "Effective density" accounts for volume change and densification of core with respect to compression
- Method
 - Compressed thermal barrier using thick Plexiglass plate to prescribed compression amount set by gage pins
 - > Used 2D laser to measure width and thickness in 5 locations along length
 - Estimated core volume from "cross-sectional" and length measurements
- "Effective density" calculated for 3 compression levels (gaps)



7

MEASUREMENT OF LEAKAGE





Objective

Introduction

- Thermal barrier tested in Linear Flow Fixture #2 (LFF#2)
- 2 CTB types
 - Blanket (BTB): 64, 96, 144, 192 kg/m³ nominal core density
 - > Hybrid (HTB): 64, 144 kg/m³ nominal core density

Test parameters

- > Ambient temperature
- > 3 different gap/compression levels
- Evaluated between smooth metal plates
- Delta P: 0 7000 Pa
- > Flow measured as function of ΔP across seal



LEAKAGE RESULTS: BLANKET THERMAL BARRIER

Large Gap

Thermal Barrier Leak Rate, SLPM/cm







LEAKAGE RESULTS: HYBRID THERMAL BARRIER

Medium Gap

Large Gap





4000

Delta P, Pa



6000

8000

14.0

12.0

10.0

8.0

6.0

4.0

2.0

0.0

0

64 ka/m

2000

4000

Delta P, Pa

-144 kg/m

Thermal Barrier Leak Rate, SLPM/cm

Approach

Results

Summary

EFFECT OF CORE DENSITY ON PERMEABILITY



EFFECT OF CORE DENSITY ON EFFECTIVE THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY







THERMAL MODELING OF SOFT GOOD THERMAL BARRIERS

User-controlled mesh Fluid dynamics – Extra fine 11524 Elements DOF = 82300 (886 internal DOF)



- Modeled using COMSOL 5.0
 - > Heat Transfer in Porous Media
 - Free and Porous Media Flow
 - Standard Transient Navier-Stokes Formulation
- Geometry and Boundary conditions
 - Geometry: 2.54 x 2.54 cm square
 - > Monitor pts: 5 equally spaced centrally along flow length
 - Outboard
 - P_{hi}: 1500 Pa 3500 Pa
 - T_{hi}: 1204°C
 - Inboard
 - P_{low}: 100 Pa
 - T_{low}: Outflow (convection-dominated)
 - Top and bottom: Insulative, no slip
- Material properties
 - Density: 60 144 kg/m³
 - Permeability: 0.20 x 10⁻¹⁰ 27 x 10⁻¹⁰ m²
 - Porosity: 90 95%
 - > Thermal conductivity: High and low
 - ➢ Cp = 1000 J/kg-K





EFFECT OF POROSITY



EFFECT OF INSULATION DENSITY



15

EFFECT OF PERMEABILITY



EFFECT OF THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY



EFFECT OF PRESSURE DIFFERENTIAL



THE TRADEOFF

But...

What may be good thermally, may not be so good structurally.





SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS

- More insulation = better thermal performance
 - Convective heat transfer
 - Flow testing and modeling
 - Lower porosity/higher density, higher compression \rightarrow reduced permeability, reduced leakage
 - Higher pressure differential \rightarrow more convective heat transfer
 - Conductive heat transfer
 - Thermal testing and modeling
 - Increasing density \rightarrow lower k_{eff}
 - But...effect is likely asymptotic, may not improve much after a given core density
- More insulation = higher mechanical loads
 - > 60 → 144 kg/m³, peak loads increase by a factor of 3
 - > May be issue if installed adjacent to delicate components (e.g., TPS)
- Vehicle designer/integrator needs to optimize design to account for both thermal and mechanical requirements
 - Integrated thermo-structural model would be beneficial
 - > Efforts for both thermal and structural models are ongoing



REFERENCES

Daryabeigi, K., *et. al., "*Effective Thermal Conductivity of High Temperature Insulations for Reusable Launch Vehicles," NASA TM-1999-208972, February 1999.

Gibson, L. J. and Ashby, M. F., *Cellular Solids - Structures and Properties*, 2nd Ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1997.

Shou, D., Fan, J., and Ding, F., "Hydraulic permeability of fibrous porous media," *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, Vol. 54, 2011, 4009-4018.







COMPLIANT THERMAL BARRIER REQUIREMENTS & CHARACTERISTICS

- General Requirements
 - Survive in harsh environments (thermally, chemically, tribologically)
 - Mitigate heat transfer
 - Good thermal insulators
 - Minimize convective flow (in combination with inboard environmental barriers)
 - Mitigate radiation heat transfer
 - Exhibit flexibility/conformability
 - > Remain resilient
 - Meet load requirements
- Characteristics
 - > Made of high temperature ceramic fiber-based materials
 - Utilize high-performance insulation
 - > Permeable
 - Compliant
 - Exhibit set/compaction (even at ambient temperatures)
 - Non-linear hysteretic loading behavior



EFFECT OF PERMEABILITY AND REAR BOUNDARY CONDITION



 $K = 3.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2$

$K = 0.20 \times 10^{-10} m^2$



 $\rho = 120 \text{ kg/m}^3$ $P_{hi} = 1500 \text{ Pa}$ $P_{low} = 100 \text{ Pa}$ $T_{hi} = 1204^{\circ}\text{C}$ $k = (3E-05*T^2-0.0178*T+5.7717)/1000 W/m-K$ Backside convective heat boundary (h = 5 W/m^2-K)



