

### LAVA APPLICATIONS TO OPEN ROTORS\*

#### \*Partially funded by ARMD – AATT Project

Cetin C. Kiris, Jeff Housman, Mike Barad, Christoph Brehm Computational Aerosciences Branch (TNA) NASA Ames Research Center

cetin.c.kiris@nasa.gov

Fall 2015 Acoustics Technical Working Group Meeting October 20-21, Cleveland OH

# OUTLINE



- LAVA (Launch Ascent Vehicle Aerodynamics)
  - Introduction
  - Acoustics Related Applications
- LAVA Applications to Open Rotor
  - Structured Overset Grids
  - Cartesian Grid with Immersed Boundary
    - High Speed Case
    - High Speed Case with Plate
    - Low Speed Case

#### LAUNCH ASCENT VEHICLE AERODYNAMICS (LAVA)









#### **Cartesian AMR**

- Essentially no manual grid generation
- Highly efficient Adaptive Mesh Refinement (AMR)
- Low computational cost
- Reliable higher order methods are available
- Non-body fitted -> Resolution of boundary layers problematic/ inefficient

#### **Unstructured Arbitrary Polyhedral**

- o Grid generation is mostly automated
- Body fitted grids
- Grid quality can be questionable Reliable higher order
- High computational cost
- Higher order methods are yet to Grid generation is largely fully mature

#### **Overset Structured** Curvilinear

- High quality, body fitted grids
- Low computational cost
- methods are available
- manual and time consuming

### MULTI-DISCIPLINARY ANALYSIS FRAMEWORK



# OUTLINE



- LAVA (Launch Ascent Vehicle Aerodynamics)
  - Introduction
  - Acoustics Related Applications
- LAVA Applications to Open Rotor
  - Structured Overset Grids
  - Cartesian Grid with Immersed Boundary
    - High Speed Case
    - High Speed Case with Plate
    - Low Speed Case

#### **JAXA COLLABORATION - JET ACOUSTICS**



6

### ERA/TTT - SLAT NOISE PREDICTION

- BANC III Workshop proplem has been revisited
- QFF tunnel study has been performed for conventional slat and various Krueger slat geometries









### TTT – ENHANCEMENT IN LAVA FOR SLAT NOISE

- Major algorithmic improvements have been implemented in the LAVA solver framework to help support the ERA noise reduction goals:
  - Improved DDES model with enhanced LES length scale and zonal DES approach
  - Increase from 5<sup>th</sup> order to 7<sup>th</sup> order accurate convective flux discretization in the span-wise direction
  - Blending of the upwind and central variable interpolation procedures for increased spectral resolution







Original Algorithm



7<sup>th</sup> order accurate in span

Improved DDES model



Blended Upwind/Central



#### TTT - GULFSTREAM LANDING GEAR (AIAA BANC III)





#### Power Spectral Density of Pressure



#### Far Field Acoustic SPL



PIV Mean Turbulent Kinetic Energy Comparison



#### ERA - HYBRID WING BODY ENGINE NOISE EMULATOR



Engine placement study is performed using linear acoustic scattering code

<sup>100</sup> 10

50

Θ



77.5

20 00

-50

# OUTLINE



- LAVA (Launch Ascent Vehicle Aerodynamics)
  - Introduction
  - Acoustics Related Applications
- LAVA Applications to Open Rotor
  - Structured Overset Grids
  - Cartesian Grid with Immersed Boundary
    - High Speed Case
    - High Speed Case with Plate
    - Low Speed Case



### LAVA STRUCTURED OVERSET – OPEN ROTOR

- CROR Overset Simulation (High Speed)
  - Computational Approach
  - Overset Grid System
  - Acoustic Propagation Surfaces
  - Flow Visualization
  - Results and Comparison to WT Data
- Single Blade Time-step Resolution Study
  - Geometry and Overset Grid System
  - Solver Parameters
  - Results and Conclusions
- Fine Mesh Overset Grid System (High Speed and Low Speed)
  - Comparison between new and old grid systems

### HIGH SPEED CASE SETUP



- Mach = 0.78
- Rotation speed = 6848 [RPM]
- Pressure = 101325.353 [Pa]
- Velocity = (265.4709, 0.0, 0.0) [m/s]
- Temperature = 288.15 [K]
- Condition for blades: fwd @ 64.4, aft @ 61.8 degrees
- Sound field measured at 0.43, 0.51, 0.69, 0.87, 1.16 [m]
- Initial runs have no plate or wind-tunnel geometry included (plate is included in a subsequent analysis)





- 3-D Structured Overset Curvilinear Navier-Stokes Solver
- Hybrid RANS/LES using Spalart-Allmaras
- Modified Roe convective flux 5<sup>th</sup> order WENO reconstruction
- 2<sup>nd</sup> order central differencing for viscous fluxes
- $2^{nd}$  order backward differencing in time (dt = 1.2e-05 s  $\frac{1}{2}$  deg.)
- Implicit dual-time stepping (CFLloc = 10, CFLTloc = 10)
  - 20 sub-iterations (approx. 2-3 orders of residual reduction)
  - Alternating Line Jacobi Relaxation (2 sweeps)
- A total of 11 rotor revolutions were simulated from an impulsive start using free-stream conditions (1 rev. ≈ 20hrs. on 980 cores)
- Impermeable Ffowcs Williams-Hawkings formulation for far-field
  propagation from solid surfaces
- SPL Spectral data obtained by averaging 5 segments, each segment contains 4 rotor revolutions with a single rotor revolution overlap



### CROR OVERSET GRID SYSTEM

- 123 zones and 164.6 M grid points
- Triple fringe with 0 orphans
- Grid script required < 2 days to make
- Blade deflection angle parameterized
- Grid generation + connectivity 7-10 min.
- Computed y+ 4-5 at blade tip
- $\Delta \theta = 6 \text{mm} \Delta r = 7 \text{mm}$  near blade tip





### **ACOUSTIC PROPAGATION SURFACE**





### OVERSET GRIDS - FLOW VISUALIZATION



#### Iso-contour of vorticity magnitude colored by pressure







Acoustic waves generated by the fwd and aft blades propagated in both the upstream and downstream directions and interact with the fish tail shock on the strut of the hub



- Time-averaged thrust appears slightly larger than the WT data
- Computed y+ near the blade tips are between 4 and 5 causing an under-prediction of the viscous contribution leading to larger thrust
- Small oscillations appearing every 4.5 to 5 rotor revolutions is caused by inflow boundary condition reflection effects (these effects have been reduced using highly stretched far-field grid and non-reflecting BCs)

### OVERSET - SPL SPECTRAL COMPARISON





### OVERSET – SPL TONE COMPARISON





- Curvilinear solver utilized implicit 2<sup>nd</sup> order backward differencing in time allowing large time-steps to be utilized while maintaining stable solutions with viscous meshes
- When utilizing high-resolution spatial discretizations, temporal error discretization may dominate if too large a time-step is used
- A time-step resolution study for a single forward rotor (modeling 1/12<sup>th</sup> of the geometry) was performed to determine an accurate time-step for the finest mesh open rotor calculation
- Outline of the study:
  - Geometry and overset grid description
  - Numerical discretization and solver parameters
  - Simulation results
  - Conclusions
- Recent enhancements implemented in the Curvilinear LAVA code to be used in future Open Rotor simulations



23

# GEOMETRY AND OVERSET GRID

- Single forward blade mounted on hub with cylindrical extension (1/12<sup>th</sup> model)
- 11 zones, 52.1 M points
- Triple fringe (no orphans)
- Entire grid rotates (no relative motion)





### DISCRETIZATION AND SOLVER PARAMETERS

- LAVA structured overset grid curvilinear Navier-Stokes solver
- Hybrid RANS/LES using Spalart-Allmaras
  - Unsteady Reynolds Averaged Navier-Stokes (URANS)
  - Manual specification of RANS/LES interface based on URANS
  - Delayed Detached Eddy Simulation (DDES)
- Modified Roe convective flux 5<sup>th</sup> order reconstruction
- 2<sup>nd</sup> order central differencing for viscous flux
- 2<sup>nd</sup> order backward differencing in time
  - dt = 1.217e-05 seconds (1/2 deg.)
  - dt = 6.085e-06 seconds (1/4 deg.)
  - dt = 3.042e-06 seconds (1/8 deg.)
  - dt = 1.521e-06 seconds (1/16 deg.)
  - dt = 7.606e-07 seconds (1/32 deg.)
- Strict 2-orders of magnitude residual reduction each physical time-step (requires different number of sub-iterations for each dt)
- 3.5 5 rotor revolutions completed for each case



#### Single Forward Blade Loads

- Thrust appears to converge within 3.5 to 4 rotor revs
- Almost no difference is observed in the predicted loads with respect time-step changes

2

3

**Rotor Revolutions** 

4

0.40

0.20

Fy (kN)

0.00

-0.20

-0.40

0

1



### TIME-STEP RESOLUTION RESULTS



#### Wake Resolution Time-Step Sensitivity

Very Smooth Structures



### TIME-STEP RESOLUTION RESULTS



### Wake Resolution Time-Step Sensitivity

Earlier Development







#### Wake Resolution Time-Step Sensitivity



### TIME-STEP RESOLUTION RESULTS



Wake Resolution Turbulence Model Sensitivity (dt = 1/16 deg.)







#### **Trailing Edge/Hub Corner Separation**





# FINE MESH OVERSET GRID SYSTEM

- 268 zones, 836.7 M points
- Triple fringe layer
- No orphans
- Finer wall spacing for y+ 1
- Extended farfield
- Improved blade grids
- Circumferential spacing < 0.25 deg.







### FINE MESH OVERSET GRID SYSTEM





5.5 M to 10.6 M points per blade







#### **Highly Refined**



Old

New

### SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORK LAVA STRUCTURED OVERSET



- Algorithm improvements:
  - Far-field BCs and Grid-Stretching Strategy to reduce reflections
  - High-order Blended Upwind/Central Variable Interpolation
- Lessons Learned:
  - Smaller time-step (1/16<sup>th</sup> deg.) leads to more accuracy and efficiency with increased sub-iteration convergence
  - Utilization of DDES model with improved length scale increases the resolution capacity of the grid and reduces delay in the development of 3D turbulent structures
- Open rotor simulations:
  - High speed case
    - Coarse mesh (164M) complete
    - Fine mesh (837M) in progress
  - Low speed case
    - Fine mesh (837M) in progress



# OUTLINE



- LAVA (Launch Ascent Vehicle Aerodynamics)
  - Introduction
  - Acoustics Related Applications
- LAVA Applications to Open Rotor
  - Structured Overset Grids
  - Cartesian Grid with Immersed Boundary
    - High Speed Case
    - High Speed Case with Plate
    - Low Speed Case

### LAVA CARTESIAN



- Computational Approach:
- 3D Cartesian Navier-Stokes Solver
- 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> order WENO spatial discretization
- Higher-order immersed boundary method
- 4<sup>th</sup> order explicit Runge-Kutta time stepping (dt = 1/16 degree)
- Rotor revolutions are simulated from an impulsive start using free-stream conditions
- Advanced post-processing used final rotor revolutions

- Sharp interface immersed-boundary representation of geometry
- Boundary condition imposed at grid line intersection points
- No ghost cells needed inside body (thin body capturing capability)

 $\Psi \Delta X$ 

interface

bounda

- $\circ~$  Stencil optimized for stability and higher-order accuracy
- Parallel geometry kernels are implemented:
  - Inside-outside testing by multi-resolution binning
  - Exact distance to surface triangulation (including point to plane and point to edge cases)
- $\circ~$  Excellent for highly complex geometry, and AMR



Brehm et al. (JCP 2013,2015)

### CARTESIAN IMMERSED-BOUNDARY





### CARTESIAN GRID WITH MOVING OR GEOMETRY

### **High Speed Case**

### **Grid System**

- 35846 zones and 146.8 M grid points
- No manual volume gridding, only surface triangulation required
- $\Delta x = 2mm$  near blades,  $\Delta x = 4mm$  in wake region



# NASA

### CARTESIAN GRID WITH MOVING OR GEOMETRY

**Grid System** 

- 35846 zones and 146.8 M grid points
- No manual volume gridding, only surface triangulation required
- $\Delta x = 2mm$  near blades,  $\Delta x = 4mm$  in wake region



### LAVA CARTESIAN : WENO5 vs WENO6





#### LAVA CARTESIAN : WENO6 VORTICITY





Vorticity contours @ 10000 [1/s], colored by pressure

### LAVA CARTESIAN : PASSIVE PARTICLES





Passive particles seeded at trailing edges of blades: red is fwd, blue is aft seeding

#### LAVA CARTESIAN – WENO5 DISTURBANCE PRESSURE





 $P' = P - P_ave$ 

#### LAVA CARTESIAN – WENO5 DENSITY GRADIENT





# SPL SPECTRAL COMPARISON



- Capturing  $BPF_1 + BPF_2$ ,  $BPF_1 + 2 BPF_2$ , 2  $BPF_1 + BPF_2$ , and  $BPF_1 + 3 BPF_2$
- $\circ$  Loss of magnitude at 3 BPF<sub>1</sub> + BPF<sub>2</sub>





Kulite 9 H = 0.51m

# SPL SPECTRAL COMPARISON

- Capturing nBPF<sub>1</sub> and nBPF<sub>2</sub> (n ≤ 4 and higher)
- Capturing  $BPF_1 + BPF_2$ ,  $BPF_1 + 2 BPF_2$ , 2  $BPF_1 + BPF_2$ , and  $BPF_1 + 3 BPF_2$
- Loss of magnitude at  $3 \text{ BPF}_1 + \text{BPF}_2$





Kulite 9 H = 0.51m

# THRUST COMPARISON



46

# TEMPORAL FFTS: IMMERSED WENO5



Dominant frequency BPF<sub>1</sub> BPF<sub>2</sub> 36. 38. 40

 Different noise generation mechanisms are dominating different parts of the flow field



### TEMPORAL FFTS: IMMERSED WENO5

Maximum amplitude



 Different noise generation mechanisms are dominating different parts of the flow field



Inserted plate into existing Cartesian (WENO5) simulation. Preliminary results:

- Additional grid points for plate
- Elevated velocity/CFL occurred at leading and trailing edge
- Plate trailing edge has unsteady wake shedding





Comparisons show that the plate (@43cm) introduces flow differences:

- Asymmetry in vortex core "web" due to confinement (below right)
- Plate wake break-down (below left)



Vorticity level 2000 [1/s] contoured



Comparisons show that the plate (@43cm) introduces flow differences:

- Acoustic blocking can be seen when compared to no-plate case
- Elevated pressure levels due to confinement
- Possible higher-harmonics





Comparisons show that the plate (@43cm) introduces flow differences:

- Elevated broadband levels
- Finer grid resolution should further improve broadband content





- Elevated broadband levels
- Finer grid resolution should further improve broadband content



Comparisons show that the plate (@43cm) introduces flow differences:

- Elevated broadband levels
- Finer grid resolution should further improve broadband content







Amplitude at peak frequency







Shaft Order = 14 (2 x  $BPF_1$ - $BPF_2$ )

Amplitude



Phase



Shaft Order = 30  $(3 \times BPF_1)$ 



Phase





Shaft Order = 33



Amplitude

Phase



Shaft Order = 36 (3 x BPF<sub>2</sub>)



# LOW SPEED CASE SETUP



- Conditions:
  - Mach = 0.2
  - Rotation speed = 6303 [RPM]
  - Pressure = 101325.353 [Pa]
  - Velocity = (68.06946, 0.0, 0.0) [m/s]
  - Temperature = 288.15 [K]
  - Takeoff condition for blades: fwd @ 40.1, aft @ 40.8 degrees
  - Sound field measured at 1.524 [m] or 60 inches (as given by E. Envia)
  - No plate or wind-tunnel geometry included



### CARTESIAN IMMERSED BOUNDARY STARTUP TRANSIENT (PRESSURE)





### LAVA CARTESIAN - PRESSURE MOVIE







Time = 0 (s) Time step = 0 Mach = 0.20, RPM = 6303













### SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORK LAVA CARTESIAN IMMERSED

- Algorithm improvements:
  - Thin blade handling for Immersed Boundary Method (IBM)
  - High-order IBM
  - Optimizations for moving bodies with IBM
    - Geometry kernels (progressing)
    - Stencils (progressing)
- Open rotor simulations:
  - High speed case
    - Coarse mesh (146M) 🗸
      - Convective scheme sensitivity  $\checkmark$
      - Plate effects
      - Wind tunnel walls (8'x6')  $\times$
  - Low speed case
    - Coarse mesh (147M) (running, currently at 7 revs)
      - Once enough revs are computed, will conduct more detailed analysis





