Aircraft-measured indirect cloud effects from biomass burning smoke in the Arctic and subarctic



LAUREN ZAMORA R. A. Kahn, M. C. Cubison, G. S. Diskin, J. L. Jimenez, Y. Kondo, G. M. McFarquhar, A. Nenes, A. Wisthaler, A. Zelenyuk, and L. Ziemba



Smoke is increasing in the Arctic (Warmer temperatures, longer fire seasons)

A. Modeled mean changes in fire probability B. 16-model ensemble agreement



Moritz et al. (2012)

Balshi et al. (2009): Boreal wildfires in North America may double or triple

Smoke can double Arctic haze



Smoke affects Arctic cloud:

- Lifetime
- Precipitation
- Albedo
- Downwelling longwave radiation

e.g., Earle et al., 2011; Jouan et al., 2012; Lance et al., 2011; Lindsey and Fromm, 2008; Rosenfeld et al., 2007; Tietze et al., 2011



Smoke affects Arctic cloud:

- Lifetime
- Precipitation
- Albedo
- Downwelling longwave radiation

How to quantify?

- Sampling constraints
- Uncertain/non-linear meteorological and surface impacts

e.g., Earle et al., 2011; Jouan et al., 2012; Lance et al., 2011; Lindsey and Fromm, 2008; Rosenfeld et al., 2007; Tietze et al., 2011





Remote sensing



Surface measurements



Aircraft



Remote sensing

Best way to observe global trends; Challenges with co-location of clouds/aerosols, spatial biases



Surface measurements



Aircraft



Remote sensing



Surface measurements



Aircraft

Best way to accurately quantify the albedo effect



Remote sensing



Surface measurements



Aircraft

Aerosol Cloud Interactions (ACI) (a.k.a. indirect effects, IE):

$$ACI = \frac{1}{3} \frac{d \ln N_{liq}}{d \ln BB_t}$$

 N_{liq} = cloud droplet number, r_e = cloud droplet effective radius, LWP = liquid water path, BB_t = a biomass burning tracer



Remote sensing



Surface measurements



Aircraft

Aerosol Cloud Interactions (ACI) (a.k.a. indirect effects, IE):

$$ACI = \frac{1}{3} \frac{d \ln N_{liq}}{d \ln BB_t}$$

Max. = 0.33 (if every aerosol nucleated a cloud droplet)

 N_{liq} = cloud droplet number, r_e = cloud droplet effective radius, LWP = liquid water path, BB_t = a biomass burning tracer



Remote sensing



Surface measurements



Aircraft

Aerosol Cloud Interactions (ACI) (a.k.a. indirect effects, IE):

$$ACI = \frac{1}{3} \frac{d \ln N_{liq}}{d \ln BB_t}$$

Max. = 0.33 (if every aerosol nucleated a cloud droplet)

All studies can be confounded by meteorology

NRC FIRE.ACE, 1-29 April, 1998 ; UW FIRE.ACE, 19 May - 24 June, 1998 ARCTAS-A 1-19 April; -CARB 29 June; -B 1-13 July, 2008 ISDAC, 1-29 April, 2008



Sampling locations



Case Study Day: July 1, 2008 (ARCTAS-B)



Case Study Day: July 1, 2008 (ARCTAS-B)







Conditions were atypical compared to the Arctic....

- Smoke was:
 - highly concentrated (2000-3000 particles cm⁻³)
 - fresh (hours old)
- Clouds had low LWC (median 0.02 g m⁻³)



Focus on liquid phase clouds





CAPS-CAS instrument

Cloud presence:

• LWC > 0.01 g m⁻³ (from CAPS-CAS or FSSP measurements)

Phase:

- FIRE.ACE/ISDAC: Cloud particle imager (CPI) roundness criterion + ice water content values
- ARCTAS: Temperatures > 0°C

Air mass classification – **ARCTAS**





- In-cloud
 - CO < 123 ppbv</p>
 - CH₃CN < 0.14 ppbv
- Near-cloud
 - Submicron-SO₄²⁻ < 0.3 μ g m⁻³
 - BC < 0.12 μg C m $^{\text{-3}}$

- In-cloud
 - CO > 175 ppbv
 - CH₃CN > 0.2 ppbv

Air mass classification – **FIRE.ACE/ISDAC**





 Near-cloud PCASP aerosol concentrations ≤ 127 particles cm⁻³

- ISDAC: Single Particle Mass
 Spectrometer
- FIRE.ACE: No chemical data; not included

Case study:

Multi-campaign assessment:



Distributions suggest that smoke may lower the probability of precipitation

Median cloud droplet radius in smoky clouds was ~50% smaller in both assessments

Multi-campaign assessment: ACI = 0.16 (95% CI range 0.14-0.17)



Subarctic case study: ACI = 0.05 (95% CI range 0.04-0.06)

Multi-campaign assessment: ACI = 0.16 (95% CI range 0.14-0.17)



Case study: competition for water vapor limits droplet formation, cloud albedo effect

Multi-campaign assessment: ACI = 0.16 (95% CI range 0.14-0.17)



Impact would be less in the Arctic due to higher surface albedo



Many small summertime background particles that can condense on larger particles like smoke:

(Engvall et al., 2008; Leaitch et al., 2013; Tunved et al., 2013)

- By condensation, may increase diluted smoke volume up to ~1-10%
- Are hygroscopic and can be surface active

(Lathem et al., 2013; Lawler et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2001; Lohmann and Leck, 2005)



- Are these particles surfactants?
- In dilute smoke, could they modify smoke CCN characteristics and cause deviations from the linear ACI model?

Many small summertime background particles:

- By condensation, may increase diluted smoke volume up to ~1-10%
- Are hygroscopic and can be surface active (Lathem et al., 2013; Lawler et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2001; Lohmann and Leck, 2005)

Conclusions



- Smoke reduced median cloud droplet size by ~50%, suggesting potentially strong second indirect effects on precipitation
- 2) Multi-campaign analysis ACI estimates ~0.16 out of max. possible 0.33
- 3) We observed that water vapor competition reduced cloud albedo effect in the case study to only 0.05 (associated reductions in subarctic summertime radiative flux for low and homogeneous cloud cover estimated at between ~2-4 W m⁻²)

Could the numerous small summertime background aerosols deposit onto dilute smoke and alter CCN properties?