1	Corresponding author:	Jessica L. Reiner
2		National Institute of Standards and Technology
3		331 Fort Johnson Rd.
4		Charleston, SC 29412
5		Phone: 843-725-4822
6		Fax: 843-762-8742
7		Email: jessica.reiner@nist.gov

Perfluorinated Alkyl Acids in plasma of American alligators (*Alligator mississippiensis*)
 from Florida and South Carolina

Jacqueline T. Bangma[†], John A. Bowden[‡], Arnold M. Brunell[§], Ian Christie[∥], Brendan Finnell[#], Matthew P. Guillette[†], Martin Jones^{††}, Russell H. Lowers^{‡‡}, Thomas R. Rainwater^{§§}, Jessica L. Reiner[‡], Philip M. Wilkinson^{||||}, and Louis J. Guillette Jr.^{†##}

- ⁺Medical University of South Carolina, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 221 Fort
 Johnson Road, Charleston, SC29412 USA
- ¹⁵National Institute of Standards and Technology, Chemical Sciences Division, Hollings Marine
 ¹⁶Laboratory, 331 Fort Johnson Road, Charleston, SC 29412 USA
- [§]Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, 601 W. Woodward Ave, Eustis, FL
 32726, United States
- ¹⁹Grice Marine Laboratory, College of Charleston, 205 Fort Johnson Road, Charleston, SC 29412
 ²⁰USA
- ⁴Illinois Wesleyan University, 1312 Park Street, Bloomington, IL 61701 USA
- ⁺⁺College of Charleston, Department of Mathematics, 66 George Street, Charleston, SC 29424
 USA
- ^{‡‡}Integrated Mission Support Service (IMSS), Titusville, FL USA
- ^{§§}Baruch Institute of Coastal Ecology and Forest Science, Clemson University, P.O. Box 596,
 Georgetown, South Carolina 29442, USA
- 27 ^{III}Tom Yawkey Wildlife Center, 407 Meeting Street, Georgetown, South Carolina 29440, USA
- ^{##}Author passed away August 6, 2015.
- 29

30 Abstract:

31

32 This study aimed to quantitate fourteen perfluoroalkyl acids (PFAAs) in 125 adult 33 American alligators at twelve sites across the southeastern US. Of those fourteen PFAAs, nine 34 were detected in 65 % - 100 % of the samples: PFOA, PFNA, PFDA, PFUnA, PFDoA, PFTriA, PFTA, PFHxS, and PFOS. Males (across all sites) showed significantly higher concentrations of 35 36 four PFAAs: PFOS (p = 0.01), PFDA (p = 0.0003), PFUnA (p = 0.021), and PFTriA (p = 0.021). Concentrations of PFOS, PFHxS, and PFDA in plasma were significantly different among the 37 sites in each sex. Alligators at Merritt Island National Wildlife Refuge and Kiawah Nature 38 39 Conservancy both exhibited some of the highest PFOS concentrations (medians 99.5 ng/g and 40 55.8 ng/g respectively) in plasma measured to date in a crocodilian species. A number of positive correlations between PFAAs and snout-vent length (SVL) were observed in both sexes 41 42 suggesting PFAA body burdens increase with increasing size. In addition, several significant 43 correlations among PFAAs in alligator plasma may suggest conserved sources of PFAAs at each site throughout the greater study area. This study is the first to report PFAAs in American 44 alligators, reveals potential PFAA hot spots in Florida and South Carolina, and provides and 45 additional contaminant of concern when assessing anthropogenic impacts on ecosystem health. 46

47 Keywords: PFOS PFHxS alligator crocodilians plasma

48

49 **INTRODUCTION**

Despite being manufactured for over 50 years [1], it wasn't until 2000 that the class of 50 chemicals known as perfluoroalkyl acids (PFAAs) entered the scientific spotlight as a major 51 52 environmental contaminant of concern [2]. The two most commonly known PFAAs, perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), were first produced by 53 3M in 1948 [1] and 1947, respectively, the latter of which was subsequently purchased by 54 DuPont in 1951 [3]. A variety of new PFAAs have steadily been introduced to the market since 55 the introduction of these first PFAAs. Structurally, PFAAs can widely vary, but as a whole, they 56 typically consist of carbon chains of varying length (linear and branched isomers), an acid 57 functional group, and hydrogen atoms substituted with fluorine atoms [4]. The carbon-fluorine 58 bonds are the unique feature of PFAAs and provide chemical and thermal stability [5]. Two well-59 studied families of PFAAs are carboxylic acids and sulfonic acids [2, 6]. 60

The usage of PFAAs has become widespread since the introduction of these chemicals in 61 the 1940s, largely because they exhibit unique surfactant properties that make them attractive 62 components for many consumer-related products, such as non-stick pans, water repellant 63 64 surfaces, hair products, plastics, and lubricants [2], as well as firefighting products known as aqueous film-forming foams (AFFF) [7]. Active manufacturing and use of certain PFAAs, like 65 PFOS and PFOA, have largely ceased owing to a voluntary phase-out by industry. Current 66 production of fluorinated chemicals includes shorter chained carboxylic and sulfonic acid 67 substitutes, like perfluorobutanesulfonic acid (PFBS) and perfluorobutyric acid (PFBA), 68 respectively [8]. In addition, precursor chemicals that have a non-fluorinated structural 69 component attached to a perfluorinated chain may be amenable to microbial or chemical 70

transformation and have the potential to degrade into perfluorinated carboxylic and sulfonicacids over time [9].

The same properties that make PFAAs commercially valuable (e.g. the highly stable 73 carbon fluorine bonds) also enable them to persist in the environment by resisting chemical, 74 microbial, and photolytic degradation. However, unlike the more lipophilic environmental 75 contaminants such as organochlorine pesticides (OCPs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and 76 77 brominated flame retardants (PBDEs) that are sequestered in adipose tissue, PFAAs accumulate in the blood and blood-rich organs, such as the liver [10, 11]. Conversely, like OCPs, PCBs, and 78 PBDEs, PFAAs have also been shown to bioaccumulate and biomagnify in food webs [6]. 79 80 Increasing PFAA chain length has been shown to correlate with an increasing ability to bioaccumulate [12], and the greatest PFAA concentrations detected in wildlife have been in 81 species occupying high trophic positions [13]. Because PFAAs are bioaccumulative and often 82 83 observed in higher concentrations in fish-eating marine species [13], humans who consume more fish in their diet may be at higher risk of PFAA exposure than those who consume less fish [14]. 84

Animal studies reveal a wide range of PFAA-related effects that include alterations in liver physiology and serum cholesterol, as well as resulting hepatomegaly, wasting syndromes, neurotoxicity, immunotoxicity [15-17]. In addition, PFAAs have also been mentioned as possible obesogens due to their interaction with peroxisome proliferator activated receptors (PPAR) receptors [18]. However, although species-specific variations in PFAA excretion rates have been observed [2], the actual mechanism(s) of action of PFAA toxicity is not well understood.

91 Few reports exist on PFAA distribution and body burdens in North American wildlife,
92 and studies of PFAAs in wild reptiles and amphibians have been limited almost exclusively to

frogs and sea turtles [19]. Globally, only two studies have examined PFAAs in crocodilians [20,
21]. Because of their high trophic status, long life span, and high site fidelity, crocodilians are
attractive study species for ecotoxicological investigations, particularly those involving exposure
and accumulation of persistent environmental contaminants [23-25]. As such, studies examining
PFAAs in crocodilians can provide insight into exposure and potential effects in focal species as
well as identify potential hot spots of PFAA contamination.

In this study, we examined PFAA concentrations in plasma of wild American alligators
 (*Alligator mississippiensis*) from 12 sites in Florida and South Carolina (Figure 1). Because
 factors such as sex, body size, and location may influence PFAA concentrations in alligators, the
 relationships between PFAA body burdens and these parameters were also examined.

103 MATERIALS AND METHODS

104 Study Area

American alligator plasma samples (n = 125) were collected between 2012 and 2015, as 105 part of multiple ongoing projects examining the biology and ecotoxicology of alligators in 106 Florida and South Carolina [22-24]. In South Carolina, alligator blood samples were collected 107 from the following sites (in order of North to South): Tom Yawkey Wildlife Center (YK, n = 108 10), Kiawah Island (KA, n = 10), and Bear Island Wildlife Management Area (BI, n = 10) 109 (Figure 1, Table S1). In Florida, samples were collected from the following sites (in order from 110 North to South): Lochloosa Lake (LO, n = 10), Lake Woodruff (WO, n = 10), Lake Apopka (AP, 111 112 n = 10), Merritt Island National Wildlife Refuge (MI, n = 15), St. John River (JR, n = 10), Lake Kissimmee (KS, n = 10), Lake Trafford (TR, n = 10), Everglades Water Conservation Area 2A 113 (2A, n = 10), and Everglades Water Conservation Area 3A (3A, n = 10) (Figure 1, Table S1). 114

115 Sample collection

Immediately following capture, a blood sample was collected from the post-occipital sinus of the spinal vein of each animal using a sterile needle and syringe [22-24]. Whole blood samples were then transferred to 8 mL lithium-heparin Vacutainer blood collection tubes (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ), stored on ice in the field, and later centrifuged at 2500 rpm at 4 C for 10 min to obtain plasma, which was stored at -80 °C until analysis. Snout-vent length (SVL) was measured for each animal, and sex was determined by cloacal examination of the genitalia [25].

The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Standard Reference Materials (SRM) 1958 Organic Contaminants in Fortified Human Serum was used as a control material during PFAA analysis. The freeze-dried human serum SRM 1958 was reconstituted with deioinized water according to the instructions on the Certificates of Analysis (www.nist.gov/srm/) and analyzed alongside collected alligator plasma.

127 Chemicals

Calibration solutions were created by combining two solutions produced by the NIST 128 129 Reference Materials (RMs) 8446 Perfluorinated Carboxylic Acids and Perfluorooctane 130 Sulfonamide in Methanol and RM 8447 Perfluorinated Sulfonic Acids in Methanol. Together, the solution contained 15 PFAAs as follows: PFBA, perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA), 131 perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA), perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA), PFOA, perfluorononanoic 132 acid (PFNA), perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA), perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnA), 133 134 perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoA), perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTriA), perfluorotetradecanoic (PFTA), PFBS. perfluorohexanesulfonic (PFHxS), PFOS, 135 acid acid and 136 perfluorooctanesulfonamide (PFOSA).

Internal standards (IS) were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories (Andover,
MA), RTI International (Research Triangle Park, NC), and Wellington Laboratories (Guelph,
Ontario) to create an internal standard (IS) mixture comprised of eleven isotopically labeled
PFAAs, and they were as follows: [¹³C₄]PFBA, [¹³C₂]PFHxA, [¹³C₈]PFOA, [¹³C₉]PFNA,
[¹³C₉]PFDA, [¹³C₂]PFUnA, [¹³C₂]PFDoA, [¹⁸O₂]PFBS, [¹⁸O₂]PFHxS, [¹³C₄]PFOS, and
[¹⁸O₂]PFOSA.

143 *Sample preparation*

Samples were extracted using a method previously described in 2011 by Reiner et al. 144 [26]. Approximately 1 mL of each alligator plasma sample and SRM 1958 aliquots were thawed 145 and gravimetrically weighed. All samples were then spiked with the IS mixture (approximately 146 147 600 µL) and gravimetrically weighed. After brief vortex-mixing and 90 min of equilibration, 4 mL of acetonitrile were used to extract the PFAAs from each sample. After sonication and 148 centrifugation, the supernatant was removed from all samples. The collected supernatant was 149 150 then solvent exchanged to methanol and further purified using an Envi-carb cartridge (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA). Resulting extracts were evaporated to 1 mL using nitrogen gas prior to being 151 analyzed by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). 152

Samples were analyzed using an Agilent 1100 HPLC system (HPLC; Santa Clara, CA)
coupled to an Applied Biosystems API 4000 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Applied
Biosystems, Foster City, CA) with electrospray ionization in negative mode. Samples were
examined by LC using an Agilent Zorbax Eclipse Plus C18 analytical column (2.1 mm x 150
mm x 5µm). A ramping LC solvent gradient was employed using methanol and de-ionized water
both containing 20 mmol/L ammonium acetate [26]. Two multiple reaction monitoring (MRM)

transitions for each PFAA were monitored to ensure no interferences with measurements, oneMRM was employed for quantitation and the other one was used for confirmation [26].

161 *Quality control*

All alligator plasma samples were processed alongside quality control material NIST SRM 1958 and blanks to determine the accuracy and precision of the method. The PFAA levels of SRM 1958 processed during our extraction had to agree with previously established values reported on the Certificate of Analysis (CoA). In addition, compounds were considered to be above the reporting limit (RL) if the mass of an analyte in the sample was greater than the mean plus three standard deviations of all blanks.

168 Statistical Methods

All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS statistic 22 (Armonk, NY: IBM 169 Corp.). Statistical tests were performed for the compounds detected in greater than 75 % of the 170 samples: PFNA, PFDA, PFUnA, PFDoA, PFTriA, PFTA, PFHxS, and PFOS. Unlike many 171 172 environmental studies where PFOA is the second highest burden PFAA measured, PFOA was detected at much lower concentrations than many of the above PFAAs and was detected in only 173 65 % of the samples. With a full one third of PFOA measurements falling below the limit of 174 detection (LOD), PFOA was excluded from statistical analysis along with the remaining 175 176 chemicals (PFHpA, PFHxA, PFPeA, PFBS, and PFBA) that were detected in less than 2% of the samples. For those PFAAs included in statistical analysis, compounds less than the LOD were 177 178 set equal to half the LOD prior to running the statistical tests [27].

179 Sex-based differences of PFAAs in Florida and South Carolina were investigated using180 univariate analysis of variance with log normally distributed concentration values, and a

181 Friedman's test was used for the PFAAs with non-normally distributed concentration values. Site was set as the nuisance factor, sex as the treatment, and PFAA burden as the dependent variable. 182 These tests simulated a randomized block design for the collected data. Other parametric tests 183 employed for data analysis of sex-based differences, on a site by site basis and analysis of site 184 differences for PFAA levels, included a t-test and one-way ANOVA when data were normal or 185 186 log-normal and Friedman rank test, Mann-Whitney U test and Kruskal Wallis test when data remained non-normal following log transformation. Pearson correlation and Spearman 187 correlation were used when applicable for correlative measures. 188

189 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, we collected a total of 125 plasma samples from alligators at multiple sites 190 in Florida and South Carolina to examine PFAA concentrations in animals from different 191 localities. Of the 15 PFAAs included in our analysis, all samples contained at least six. The 192 193 following five PFAAs were detected in every plasma sample analyzed (in order of highest 194 overall burden to lowest overall burden, among all sites): PFOS (median 11.2 ng/g, range 1.36– 452 ng/g), PFUnA (median 1.58 ng/g, range 0.314–18.4 ng/g), PFDA (median 1.20 ng/g, range 195 196 0.169–15.1 ng/g), PFNA (median 0.528 ng/g, range 0.155–1.40 ng/g), and PFHxS (median 0.288 ng/g, range 0.057–23.3 ng/g) (Table 1 and Table S2). PFDoA, PFTriA, PFTA, and PFOA were 197 also detected frequently in alligator plasma samples (over 96 %, 94 %, 75 %, and 65 %, 198 199 respectively): PFDoA (median 0.363 ng/g, range <0.009-7.27 ng/g), PFTriA (median 0.416 ng/g, range <0.026–2.60 ng/g), PFTA (median 0.050 ng/g, range <0.008–1.38 ng/g), and PFOA 200 (median 0.064 ng/g, range <0.008-0.412 ng/g) (Table 1 and Table S2). The nine PFAAs 201 commonly measured over the LOD resulted in unique fingerprints for each site (Figure S1), 202 203 which are discussed below. The shorter chain PFAAs (PFHpA, PFHxA, PFPeA, PFBS, and 204 PFBA) were detected infrequently (< 2 % of the samples) and therefore were not included in any
205 statistical analysis.

206 Sex differences

Across all sites, male alligators exhibited significantly higher concentrations of several PFAAs in plasma compared to females as a group: PFOS (p = 0.01, Figure 2), PFDA (p = 0.0003, Figure S2), PFUnA (p = 0.021, Figure S2), and PFTriA (p = 0.021, Figure S2). However, at some sites, PFAA concentrations were significantly higher in females (e.g., PFOS at AP, PFUnA at KA).

212 In a population of captive Chinese alligators (Alligator sinensis), Wang et al. [21] found the highest PFAA concentrations in serum to be that of PFUnA rather than PFOS, the PFAA 213 with the highest concentrations in our study. However, similar to our study, male Chinese 214 215 alligators contained significantly higher concentrations of PFOS and PFUnA compared to females. Wang et al. [22] did not find a sex-based difference for PFDA in Chinese alligators. It is 216 possible that sex-based differences observed for certain PFAAs in alligators is due to a 217 differential clearance of these contaminants between males and females, as has been observed in 218 rats [28], mice [29], and other mammals [30]. It is also possible females may offload PFAAs 219 during oviposition, reducing their PFAA body burden compared to males at the same locality. 220 This possibility is supported by studies reporting measurable concentrations of PFAAs in eggs 221 of herring gulls (Larus argentatus) [31] and Nile crocodiles (Crocodylus niloticus) [20], 222 confirming maternal transfer of PFAAs in oviparous species. Sex-specific differences in PFAA 223 concentrations may also be the result of differential habitat use by adult males and females, a 224 phenomenon common among crocodilians [32-35]. In such cases, differences are prev 225

availability and contamination between and among habitats within a site could result in differentPFAA exposures in males and females.

Because no sex-specific differences in PFOA, PFNA, PFHxS, PFDoA, and PFTA 228 concentrations were observed among sampling localities in this study, sex-based differences 229 were examined on a site-by-site basis (Table S3). Overall, only a few sites exhibited sex-based 230 differences for these 5 PFAAs (Figure S3). At LO, male alligators had significantly higher PFNA 231 (p = 0.016), PFTA (p = 0.032), and PFDoA (p = 0.032) plasma concentrations compared to 232 females, and at MI males had significantly higher PFOA (p = 0.047) plasma concentrations than 233 females. Interestingly, PFHxS was the only PFAA (of the five investigated on a site by site basis) 234 235 for which females exhibited significantly higher plasma concentrations (YK, p = 0.008, TR, p =0.008) when compared to males (Figure S3). It is important to note our examination of sex-based 236 differences in PFAA concentrations may have been influenced by small samples sizes, as in 237 238 almost all cases only five males and five females were sampled per site.

239 *Site differences*

Because sex-based differences in PFAA concentrations were observed among alligator 240 plasma samples, site differences were determined separately for males and females. Of the nine 241 detected PFAAs, all of them displayed at least some minor site differences. The PFAAs that 242 displayed the most notable site differences (the most number of statistically significant groups 243 between the 12 sites) were PFOS, PFDA, and PFHxS. Of those three, PFOS exhibited the 244 greatest statistical difference across sites (Figure 3). This is likely due to the fact that PFOS is 245 generally the most ubiquitous PFAA in the environment. When combining both sexes, 246 concentrations of PFOS in alligator plasma ranged from 1.36 ng/g - 452 ng/g. For male 247

alligators only, concentrations of PFOS in plasma ranged from 1.57 ng/g to 452 ng/g. PFOS
concentrations were highest at MI (median 106 ng/g) and KA (median 56.4 ng/g). MI males
exhibited significantly higher PFOS concentrations compared to all other sites with the exception
of KA. In addition, the individual alligator with the highest overall

PFOS concentration measured in this study (452 ng/g plasma) was from MI. After MI, males from South Carolina (KA, YK, and BI) exhibited higher PFOS concentrations than Florida males, with the exception of WO. Some of the lowest PFOS concentrations observed in males in this study were measured at sites 2A, 3A, LO, and JR.

For female alligators, PFOS concentrations in plasma ranged from 1.36 ng/g - 206 ng/g. 256 Similar to males, females at sites MI (median 85.5 ng/g) and KA (median 51.3 ng/g) exhibited 257 258 significantly higher PFOS concentrations compared to the other sites examined, and the individual female with highest PFOS concentration was from MI (206 ng/g plasma). After MI 259 and KA, females from the two other South Carolina sites (YK and BI) exhibited higher PFOS 260 concentrations than males from Florida, with the exception of WO and AP. Some of the lowest 261 PFOS concentrations observed in females in this study were measured at sites 2A, 3A, LO, JR, 262 and TR. 263

The concentrations of PFHxS detected in alligator plasma in this study exhibited a similar trend to PFOS across sites, but on a reduced scale (Table S4). Male and female PFHxS plasma concentrations ranged from 0.0566 ng/g – 23.3 ng/g throughout the sampling sites the entire southeast. For males, PFHxS plasma concentrations ranged from 0.057 ng/g – 23.3 ng/g. Males from MI (median 3.95 ng/g) had significantly higher PFHxS concentrations than any other site examined, and the individual male with highest PFHxS concentration was from site MI (23.3 ng/g). Males from KA and KS followed closely, but were still statistically grouped with other
sites (AP, WO, and BI). The lowest PFHxS concentrations in males were typically measured at
sites 2A, 3A, LO, and TR. For female alligators, PFHxS concentrations in plasma ranged from
0.069 ng/g - 10.0 ng/g. Like males, MI females exhibited significantly higher PFHxS
concentrations than all other sites. Females at KA and KS had the next highest concentrations but
were still statistically grouped with other sites (AP, WO, and YK). The lowest PFHxS
concentrations in females were typically observed at sites 2A, 3A, and LO.

PFDA had a unique signature across the sampling sites, one that varied from the patterns 277 observed for plasma PFOS and PFHxS concentrations (Table S4). PFDA plasma concentrations 278 279 ranged from 0.169 ng/g - 15.1 ng/g for all sites examined. For male alligators, PFDA concentrations ranged from 0.498 ng/g – 15.1 ng/g. KA males had significantly higher PFDA 280 concentrations overall (median 6.21 ng/g) compared to all sites, with the exception of YK 281 282 (median 6.20 ng/g). YK males exhibited the next highest PFDA concentrations, but these were not significantly different from those detected in WO males (median 2.02 ng/g). Males at many 283 of the remaining sites had similarly low concentrations of PFDA. Overall, LO males (median 284 0.792 ng/g) had some of the lowest PFDA concentrations of all the sampling sites. For female 285 alligators, PFDA plasma concentrations ranged from 1.69 ng/g - 14.3 ng/g. The two sites with 286 the highest (statistically significant) PFDA concentrations in females were also in South 287 Carolina: KA (median 6.32 ng/g) and YK (median 5.55 ng/g). PFDA concentrations at BI 288 (median 1.18 ng/g) and WO (median1.84) followed closely behind, but were not significantly 289 290 different from the other sites sampled. Like males, LO females (median 0.501 ng/g) had some of the lowest PFDA concentrations across all sites. 291

292 Overall, male and female alligators from both MI and KA exhibited some of the highest PFOS concentrations measured to date in a crocodilian species (median PFOS concentrations in 293 plasma: MI males = 106 ng/g, MI females = 85.5 ng/g. KA males = 56.4 ng/g, KA females = 294 51.3 ng/g). In comparison, the mean PFOS concentration in serum from captive Chinese 295 Alligators was 28.7 ng/mL (28.0 ng/g) [21]. In other reptiles, Kemp's ridley sea turtles 296 297 (Lepidochelys kempii) and loggerhead sea turtles (Caretta caretta) along the coast of South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida exhibited median PFOS plasma concentrations of 41900 pg/ml 298 (40.9 ng/g) and 5450 pg/ml (53.2 ng/g), respectively [27]. The high concentrations of PFOS and 299 300 PFHxS detected in male and female alligators at MI may be related to the aeronautic facilities located in and around MI that comprise up a large part of Florida's Kenndey Space Center. The 301 use of AFFF is not uncommon at Kennedy Space Center, and may contribute to PFAAs in the 302 surrounding environment. Historically, AFFF have been shown to contain PFAAs, such as PFOS 303 and PFHxS, as well as a number of other propriety PFAA mixtures [7] and can be resistant to 304 remediation [9]. PFAAs have been measured in firefighters [36], wildlife [6], and downstream of 305 their use [37]. Potential sources of PFOS and PFHxS at KA are more speculative. In addition, it 306 should be noted with the exclusion of MI, alligators from the South Carolina sites (BI, YK, and 307 308 KA) had some of the highest PFOS concentrations compared to the Florida sites. In Florida, WO exhibited mid to high concentrations of PFOS, PFHxS, and PFDA compared to other sampled 309 sites. For many years, WO has been used as a reference site for multiple studies on alligator 310 311 ecotoxicology due to its relatively low concentrations of organochlorine contaminants, such as DDT, its metabolites, and other OCPs [38]. The results of the present study obviously indicate 312 313 WO would not be a suitable reference site for future studies involving PFAAs. In contrast to 314 WO, sites 2A and 3A, which are located in the Everglades, exhibited some of the lowest

315 concentrations of PFOS and PFHxS measured in Florida. Interestingly, while PFAA 316 concentrations appear to be relatively low, adult alligators at these sites have been reported to 317 contain some of the highest mercury concentrations in Florida and throughout the range of the 318 species [24, 39, 40].

319 *Correlations*

For all alligators included in the study, SVL was uniform across sites for males and 320 nearly uniform across sites for females (Figure S4). Thus, data from all sites were combined 321 within each sex to investigate relationships between PFAA burden and alligator SVL. 322 Correlations comparing both male SVL to PFAAs and female SVL to PFAAs resulted in a 323 number of significant positive correlations (Table 2). Overall, females exhibited higher 324 325 correlation coefficients between PFAA concentration and SVL when compared to the males. The highest correlation coefficients for females were with PFTriA, which explained 57.0 % of the 326 variation, followed closely by PFOS, which explained 55.1 % of the variation. In contrast, the 327 328 highest correlation coefficients for male SVL and PFAA concentration was PFUnA, which explained 35.5 % of the variation, followed closely by PFOS, which explained 33.1 % of the 329 variation. Collectively, these data suggest concentrations of some PFAAs in adult American 330 alligators increase with increasing body size in both males and females. Conversely, Wang et al. 331 [21] found that PFAA (PFUnA, PFDA, and PFNA) concentration decreased with increasing 332 body size (total length). These observed differences between American and Chinese alligators 333 may be the result of interspecific differences in food consumption, growth rates, and body size 334 [41] as well as in toxicodynamics and toxicokinetics of PFAAs. In addition, differences in diet 335 336 and numerous environmental variables between wild (this study) and captive [22] alligators may

influence growth and body burdens of PFAAs. Finally, differences in sample types (plasma vs
serum) between the two studies may have had some effect on PFAA-body size relationships.

With all sites combined for each sex, significant correlations were observed between 339 different PFAAs measured in plasma, suggesting somewhat similar sources of PFAA 340 contamination across the sampling localities. The varying levels of PFAA contamination from 341 site to site are likely due to varying distances from these potential PFAA sources. Some 342 correlative relationships between the PFAAs were stronger than others (Table 3). Of all the 343 PFAAs, correlations between PFUnA and PFDoA for male (p < 0.01, r = 0.920) and female (p < 0.01, p < 0.01344 0.01, r = 0.938) alligators across the sites were the most highly significant relationships observed 345 346 in this study.

347 CONCLUSIONS

This study is the first to quantitate PFAA concentrations in American alligators and one 348 of the few studies to quantitate PFAAs in crocodilians [20, 21]. All alligator samples (n = 125) 349 350 contained at least six quantifiable PFAAs: PFOS (median 11.2 ng/g, range 1.36-452 ng/g), 351 PFUnA (median 1.58 ng/g, range 0.314–18.4 ng/g), PFDA (median 1.20 ng/g, range 0.169–15.1 ng/g), PFNA (median 0.528 ng/g, range 0.155–1.40 ng/g), and PFHxS (median 0.288 ng/g, range 352 353 0.057-23.3 ng/g). Our findings support sex-based differences in PFOS and PFUnA concentrations previously observed in captive Chinese alligators [21], while demonstrating 354 opposite relationships between PFAA concentration and body size exist for American (wild) and 355 356 Chinese (captive) alligators A high number of significant PFAA to PFAA correlations suggest common point sources throughout the sampling sites in Florida and South Carolina. This study 357 also reveals potential hot spots for various PFAAs (e.g., PFOS at KA and MI) that warrant 358

further investigation. and provides another contaminant of concern to be combined with organochlorines, metals, and others when assessing overall anthropogenic impacts on ecosystem health.

Acknowledgments - This project was completed as part of the 2014 Fort Johnson 362 Research Experience for Undergraduates (REU) program, operated by the College of Charleston, 363 and supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation under Award Number DBI-1359079, 364 and as part of the 2015 Summer Undergraduate Research Program, operated by the Medical 365 University of South Carolina and supported by the Marine Biomedicine and Environmental 366 Sciences (MBES) Director's Fund. Finally, we would like to thank our collaborators: South 367 Carolina Department of Natural Resources, Tom Yawkey Wildlife Center, Florida Fish and 368 Wildlife Conservation Commission, Kiawah Nature Conservancy, and Integrated Mission 369 Support Service, Titusville, FL for assistance and support during this project. This work would 370 not have been possible without the passion, guidance, and support of Dr. Louis J. Guillette Jr.. 371 His mentorship and friendship will be greatly missed. 372

373 *Disclaimer* - Certain commercial equipment or instruments are identified in the paper to 374 specify adequately the experimental procedures. Such identification does not imply 375 recommendations or endorsement by the NIST nor does it imply that the equipment or 376 instruments are the best available for the purpose.

377 **REFERENCES**

Renner R. 2006. The long and the short of perfluorinated replacements. *Environ Sci Technol* 40:12-13.

- Betts KS. 2007. Perfluoroalkyl acids-What is the evidence telling us? US Dept Health
 Human Sciences Public Health Science National Institute of Helath, Environmental Helath
 Sciences, PO BOX 12233, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2233 USA.
- [3] Paustenbach DJ, Panko JM, Scott PK, Unice KM. 2006. A methodology for estimating
 human exposure to perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA): a retrospective exposure assessment of a
 community (1951–2003). *J Toxicol Env Heal* A 70:28-57.
- Buck RC, Franklin J, Berger U, Conder JM, Cousins IT, de Voogt P, Jensen AA, Kannan
 K, Mabury SA, van Leeuwen SPJ. 2011. Perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances in the
 environment: Terminology, classification, and origins. *Integr Enviro Assess Manage* 7:513-541.
- Moody CA, Field JA. 2000. Perfluorinated Surfactants and the Environmental
 Implications of Their Use in Fire-Fighting Foams. *Environ Sci Technol* 34:3864-3870.
- Houde M, De Silva AO, Muir DCG, Letcher RJ. 2011. Monitoring of Perfluorinated
 Compounds in Aquatic Biota: An Updated Review. *Environ Sci Technol* 45:7962-7973.
- Place BJ, Field JA. 2012. Identification of Novel Fluorochemicals in Aqueous FilmForming Foams Used by the US Military. *Environ Sci Technol* 46:7120-7127.
- Ritter SK. 2015. The Shrinking Case For Fluorochemicals. *Chem Eng News* 93:27-29.
- Houtz EF, Higgins CP, Field JA, Sedlak DL. 2013. Persistence of Perfluoroalkyl Acid
 Precursors in AFFF-Impacted Groundwater and Soil. *Environ Sci Technol* 47:8187-8195.
- Heuvel JPV, Kuslikis BI, Van Rafelghem MJ, Peterson RE. 1991. Tissue distribution,
 metabolism, and elimination of perfluorooctanoic acid in male and female rats. *J Biochem Toxicol* 6:83-92.
- [11] Ishibashi H, Iwata H, Kim E-Y, Tao L, Kannan K, Amano M, Miyazaki N, Tanabe S,
 Batoev VB, Petrov EA. 2008. Contamination and effects of perfluorochemicals in baikal seal
 (Pusa sibirica). 1. Residue level, tissue distribution, and temporal trend. *Environ Sci & Technol*404 42:2295-2301.
- [12] Rotander A, Kärrman A, van Bavel B, Polder A, Rigét F, Auðunsson GA, Víkingsson G,
 Gabrielsen GW, Bloch D, Dam M. 2012. Increasing levels of long-chain perfluorocarboxylic
 acids (PFCAs) in Arctic and North Atlantic marine mammals, 1984–2009. *Chemosphere* 86:278-285.
- 409 [13] Giesy JP, Kannan K. 2001. Global distribution of perfluorooctane sulfonate in wildlife.
 410 *Environ Sci Technol* 35:1339-1342.

- 411 [14] Christensen KY, Thompson BA, Werner M, Malecki K, Imm P, Anderson HA. 2015.
- Levels of persistent contaminants in relation to fish consumption among older male anglers in
 Wisconsin. *Int J Hyg Envir Heal* 219:184-194.
- 414 [15] DeWitt JC, Peden-Adams MM, Keller JM, Germolec DR. 2012. Immunotoxicity of
 415 perfluorinated compounds: recent developments. *Toxicol Pathol* 40:300-311.
- 416 [16] Anderson M, Butenhoff J, Chang S-C, Farrar D, Kennedy G, Lau C, Olsen G, Seed J,
- 417 Wallace K. 2008. Perfluoralkyl Acidss and Related Chemistries-Toxicokinetics and Modes of
- 418 Action Andersen. *Toxicol Sci* 102:3-14.
- [17] Stahl T, Mattern D, Brunn H. 2011. Toxicology of perfluorinated compounds. *Environ Sci Eur* 23:38-90.
- 421 [18] Grün F, Blumberg B. 2009. Minireview: The Case for Obesogens. *Mol Endocrinol*422 23:1127-1134.
- [19] Reiner JL, Place BJ. 2015. Perfluorinated Alkyl Acids in Wildlife. *Toxicological Effects*of *Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances*. Springer, pp 127-150.
- 425 [20] Bouwman H, Booyens P, Govender D, Pienaar D, Polder A. 2014. Chlorinated,
- brominated, and fluorinated organic pollutants in Nile crocodile eggs from the Kruger National
 Park, South Africa. *Ecotox Environ Safe* 104:393-402.
- 428 [21] Wang J, Zhang Y, Zhang F, Yeung LW, Taniyasu S, Yamazaki E, Wang R, Lam PK,
 429 Yamashita N, Dai J. 2013. Age-and gender-related accumulation of perfluoroalkyl substances in
 430 captive Chinese alligators (*Alligator sinensis*). *Environ Pollut* 179:61-67.
- 431 [22] Hamlin HJ, Lowers RH, Guillette LJ. 2011. Seasonal androgen cycles in adult male
 432 American alligators (Alligator mississippiensis) from a barrier island population. *Biol Reprod*433 85:1108-1113.
- [23] Parrott BB, Bowden JA, Kohno S, Cloy-McCoy JA, Hale MD, Bangma JT, Rainwater
 TR, Wilkinson PM, Kucklick JR, Guillette LJ. 2014. Influence of tissue, age, and environmental
 quality on DNA methylation in *Alligator mississippiensis*. *Reproduction* 147:503-513.
- [24] Nilsen FM, Parrott BB, Bowden JA, Kassim BL, Somerville SE, Bryan TA, Bryan CE,
 Lange TR, Delaney JP, Brunell AM. 2016. Global DNA methylation loss associated with
 mercury contamination and aging in the American alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*). *Sci Total Environ* 545:389-397.
- [25] Allsteadt J, Lang JW. 1995. Sexual Dimorphism in the Genital Morphology of Young
 American Alligators, *Alligator mississippiensis*. *Herpetologica* 51:314-325.

[26] Reiner JL, Phinney KW, Keller JM. 2011. Determination of perfluorinated compounds in
human plasma and serum Standard Reference Materials using independent analytical methods. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 401:2899-2907.

Keller JM, Kannan K, Taniyasu S, Yamashita N, Day RD, Arendt MD, Segars AL,
Kucklick JR. 2005. Perfluorinated Compounds in the Plasma of Loggerhead and Kemp's Ridley
Sea Turtles from the Southeastern Coast of the United States. *Environ Sci Technol* 39:91019108.

[28] Kudo N, Suzuki E, Katakura M, Ohmori K, Noshiro R, Kawashima Y. 2001. Comparison
of the elimination between perfluorinated fatty acids with different carbon chain length in rats. *Chem Biol Interact* 134:203-216.

[29] Gannon SA, Johnson T, Nabb DL, Serex TL, Buck RC, Loveless SE. 2011. Absorption,
distribution, metabolism, and excretion of [1-14C]-perfluorohexanoate ([14C]-PFHx) in rats and
mice. *Toxicology* 283:55-62.

[30] Han X, Nabb DL, Russell MH, Kennedy GL, Rickard RW. 2012. Renal Elimination of
Perfluorocarboxylates (PFCAs). *Chem Res Toxicol* 25:35-46.

[31] Gebbink WA, Letcher RJ. 2010. Linear and Branched Perfluorooctane Sulfonate Isomer
Patterns in Herring Gull Eggs from Colonial Sites Across the Laurentian Great Lakes. *Environ Sci Technol* 44:3739-3745.

461 [32] Joanen T, McNease L. 1970. A telemetric study of nesting female alligators on
462 Rockefeller Refuge, Louisiana. Proc Ann Conf SE Assoc Game and Fish Comm, pp 175-193.

[33] Joanen T, McNease L. 1972. A telemetric study of adult male alligators on Rockefeller
Refuge, Louisiana. Proc 1st Nat Wild Turkey Symposium Memphis, pp 55.

465 [34] Hutton J. 1989. Movements, home range, dispersal and the separation of size classes in
466 Nile crocodiles. *Amer Zool* 29:1033-1049.

467 [35] Tucker A, McCallum H, Limpus C. 1997. Habitat use by *Crocodylus johnstoni* in the
468 Lynd River, Queensland. *J Herpetol* 31:114-121.

[36] Laitinen JA, Koponen J, Koikkalainen J, Kiviranta H. 2014. Firefighters' exposure to
perfluoroalkyl acids and 2-butoxyethanol present in firefighting foams. *Toxicol Lett* 231:227232.

[37] de Solla SR, De Silva AO, Letcher RJ. 2012. Highly elevated levels of perfluorooctane
sulfonate and other perfluorinated acids found in biota and surface water downstream of an
international airport, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada. *Environ Int* 39:19-26.

- 475 [38] Guillette Jr LJ, Gross TS, Masson GR, Matter JM, Percival HF, Woodward AR. 1994.
 476 Developmental abnormalities of the gonad and abnormal sex hormone concentrations in juvenile
- 477 alligators from contaminated and control lakes in Florida. *Environ Health Persp* 102:680.
- 478 [39] Heaton-Jones TG, Homer BL, Heaton-Jones D, Sundlof SF. 1997. Mercury distribution
 479 in American alligators (Alligator mississippiensis) in Florida. *J Zoo Wildl Med*:62-70.
- 480 [40] Chumchal MM, Rainwater TR, Osborn SC, Roberts AP, Abel MT, Cobb GP, Smith PN,
- 481 Bailey FC. 2011. Mercury speciation and biomagnification in the food web of Caddo Lake,
- Texas and Louisiana, USA, a subtropical freshwater ecosystem. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 30:11531162.
- [41] Herbert J, Coulson T, Coulson R. 2002. Growth rates of Chinese and American alligators.
 Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol 131:909-916.
- 486
- 487

488 489	FIGURE LEGENDS
490	Figure 1. Map showing the twelve sites from which American alligators (Alligator
491	mississippiensis) were sampled in this study ($n = 125$) during the years $2012 - 2015$.
492	Collection sites are listed in decreasing latitude.
493	
494	Figure 2. Mean (±SD) PFOS concentrations (log; ng/g) in male and female American alligators
495	(Alligator mississippiensis) sampled at multiple sites in Florida and South Carolina.
496	Samples are listed from left to right in decreasing latitude. Refer to figure 1 for site
497	abbreviations.
498	
499	Figure 3. Mean (\pm SD) PFOS concentrations (log; ng/g) in (A) male and (B) female American
500	alligator (Alligator mississippiensis) plasma from multiple sites in Florida and South
501	Carolina. Letters above bars represent statistically significant differences between groups
502	(p < 0.05). Samples are listed from left to right in decreasing latitude. Refer to figure 1 for
503	site abbreviations.

Table 1. Alligator perfluoroalkyl acid (PFAA) plasma concentrations (ng/g wet mass) at 12 sites from Florida and South Carolina: Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA), perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA), perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnA), perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDoA), perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTriA), perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTA), perfluorohexanesulfonic acid (PFHxS), and perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS).

	Lake Apopka (AP)		Bear I	sland (BI)		Kiawah	Island (K	A)	Lake Kis	simmee (H	KS)	Lochloosa Lake (LO)		O)	Merrit Island (MI)				
	n	= 10		n	= 10		n	= 10		n	= 10		n	= 10		n	= 15		
	Range	Median	$n > RL^a$	Range	Median	$n > RL^a$	Range	Median	$n > RL^a$	Range	Median	$n > RL^a$	Range	Median	$n > RL^a$	Range	Median	$n > RL^a$	
PFOA	<0.096 ^b -0.152	0.126	7	<0.008 ^b -0.193	< 0.100	3	0.028-0.298	0.126	10	<0.008 ^b -0.142	0.104	9	<0.008 ^b -0.132	0.071	9	<0.096 ^b -0.412	0.155	7	
PFNA	0.251-1.40	0.648	10	0.155-1.14	0.472	10	0.446-1.38	1.19	10	0.275-1.18	0.642	10	0.328-1.19	0.676	10	0.298-1.10	0.611	15	
PFDA	0.169-2.44	1.12	10	0.998-3.21	1.57	10	3.72-13.6	6.26	10	0.417-3.15	1.26	10	0.238-1.00	0.615	10	0.395-3.50	1.02	15	
PFUnA	0.614-3.39	1.65	10	1.05-5.02	2.32	10	1.87-7.53	3.93	10	0.314-2.47	1.03	10	0.580-1.56	1.03	10	0.844-5.45	1.82	15	
PFDoA	<0.157 ^b -0.831	0.315	9	0.231-1.88	0.559	10	1.32-7.27	3.05	10	<0.009 ^b -0.382	0.147	9	0.105-0.309	0.182	10	<0.543 ^b -1.07	0.418	14	
PFTriA	0.189-1.00	0.450	10	<0.070 ^b -1.83	0.674	9	0.420-2.60	0.919	10	0.122-0.677	0.251	10	0.181-0.580	0.309	10	< 0.026 ^b -1.42	0.654	14	
PFTA	<0.080 ^b -0.194	0.049	7	<0.081 ^b -0.733	0.095	7	0.198-1.38	0.476	10	<0.009 ^b -0.104	0.025	9	$< 0.008^{b} - 0.060$	0.018	7	<0.080 ^b -0.257	0.076	6	
PFHxS	0.166-0.449	0.332	10	0.077-0.824	0.304	10	0.313-1.86	0.620	10	0.338-1.50	0.505	10	0.069-0.201	0.093	10	0.684-23.3	3.83	15	
PFOS	1.98-15.8	11.4	10	10.0-44.9	19.5	10	38.4-98.2	55.8	10	6.51-25.1	12.2	10	2.19-6.16	4.21	10	38.6-452	99.5	15	
	St. Johns River (JR)			Lake Trafford (TR)													Yawkey (YK)		
	St. Johns	s River (J	R)	Lake Tr	afford (Tl	R)	WCA	-2A (2A)		WCA	-3A (3A)		Lake Wo	odruff (W	(O)	Yawł	cey (YK)		
	St. Johns n	s River (J) = 10	R)	Lake Tr n	afford (TI = 10	R)	WCA n	-2A (2A) = 10		WCA n	-3A (3A) = 10		Lake Wo	odruff (W = 10	0)	Yawl n	key (YK) = 10		
	St. Johns n Range	s River (J) = 10 Median	\mathbf{R}) $\mathbf{n} > \mathbf{RL}^{\mathbf{a}}$	Lake Tr n Range	afford (T) = 10 Median	\mathbf{R}) $\mathbf{n} > \mathbf{RL}^{\mathbf{a}}$	WCA n Range	-2A (2A) = 10 Median	$n > RL^a$	WCA n Range	-3A (3A) = 10 Median	$n > RL^a$	Lake Wo n Range	odruff (W = 10 Median	$n > RL^a$	Yawł n Range	key (YK) = 10 Median	$n > RL^a$	
PFOA	St. Johns n Range 0.010-0.160	s River (J) = 10 Median 0.080	$\frac{n > RL^{a}}{10}$	Lake Tr n Range 0.021-0.117	afford (T) = 10 Median 0.091	$\frac{n > RL^{a}}{10}$	WCA n Range <0.008 ^b -0.077	-2A (2A) = 10 Median 0.036	$n > RL^a$ 2	WCA n Range <0.008 ^b -0.042	-3A (3A) = 10 Median 0.033	$n > RL^a$ 6	Lake Wo n Range <0.097 ^b -0.184	odruff (W = 10 Median 0.062	$\frac{n > RL^{a}}{5}$	Yawk n Range <0.008 ^b -0.193	key (YK) = 10 Median 0.050	$n > RL^a$ 4	
PFOA PFNA	St. Johns n Range 0.010-0.160 0.250-1.04	s River (J) = 10 Median 0.080 0.471	R) <u>n > RL^a</u> 10 10	Lake Tr n Range 0.021-0.117 0.239-0.936	afford (T) = 10 Median 0.091 0.484	R) <u>n > RL^a</u> 10 10	WCA n Range <0.008 ^b -0.077 0.189-0.382	-2A (2A) = 10 Median 0.036 0.234	n > RL ^a 2 10	WCA n Range <0.008 ^b -0.042 0.172-0.388	-3A (3A) = 10 Median 0.033 0.301	n > RL ^a 6 10	Lake Wo n Range <0.097 ^b -0.184 0.282-1.34	odruff (W = 10 Median 0.062 0.578	$\frac{n > RL^{a}}{5}$ 10	Yawł n Range <0.008 ^b -0.193 0.272-1.32	xey (YK) = 10 Median 0.050 0.620	n > RL ^a 4 10	
PFOA PFNA PFDA	St. Johns n Range 0.010-0.160 0.250-1.04 0.492-1.72	s River (J) = 10 Median 0.080 0.471 1.17	R) <u>n > RL^a</u> 10 10 10	Lake Tr n Range 0.021-0.117 0.239-0.936 0.275-2.05	afford (T) = 10 Median 0.091 0.484 0.885	R) <u>n > RL^a</u> 10 10 10	WCA n Range <0.008 ^b -0.077 0.189-0.382 0.641-2.26	-2A (2A) = 10 Median 0.036 0.234 0.900	n > RL ^a 2 10 10	WCA n Range <0.008 ^b -0.042 0.172-0.388 0.406-1.46	-3A (3A) = 10 Median 0.033 0.301 0.912	n > RL ^a 6 10 10	Lake Wo n Range <0.097 ^b -0.184 0.282-1.34 0.350-5.06	odruff (W = 10 Median 0.062 0.578 2.01	O) <u>n > RL^a</u> 5 10 10	Yawł n Range <0.008 ^b -0.193 0.272-1.32 2.27-15.1	key (YK) = 10 Median 0.050 0.620 5.88	n > RL ^a 4 10 10	
PFOA PFNA PFDA PFUnA	St. John: n Range 0.010-0.160 0.250-1.04 0.492-1.72 0.655-2.20	s River (J) = 10 Median 0.080 0.471 1.17 1.28	R) <u>n > RL^a</u> 10 10 10 10	Lake Tr n Range 0.021-0.117 0.239-0.936 0.275-2.05 0.463-2.19	afford (T) = 10 <u>Median</u> 0.091 0.484 0.885 0.953	R) <u>n > RL^a</u> 10 10 10 10	WCA n Range <0.008 ^b -0.077 0.189-0.382 0.641-2.26 0.958-3.15	-2A (2A) = 10 Median 0.036 0.234 0.900 1.43	n > RL ^a 2 10 10 10	WCA n Range <0.008 ^b -0.042 0.172-0.388 0.406-1.46 0.719-2.48	-3A (3A) = 10 Median 0.033 0.301 0.912 1.45	n > RL ^a 6 10 10 10	Lake Wo n Range <0.097 ^b -0.184 0.282-1.34 0.350-5.06 0.633-3.33	odruff (W = 10 Median 0.062 0.578 2.01 1.43	O) <u>n > RL^a</u> 5 10 10 10	Yawł n Range <0.008 ^b -0.193 0.272-1.32 2.27-15.1 1.89-18.4	key (YK) = 10 Median 0.050 0.620 5.88 6.25	n > RL ^a 4 10 10 10	
PFOA PFNA PFDA PFUnA PFDoA	St. John: n Range 0.010-0.160 0.250-1.04 0.492-1.72 0.655-2.20 0.156-0.591	s River (J) = 10 Median 0.080 0.471 1.17 1.28 0.362	R) <u>n > RL^a</u> 10 10 10 10 10 10	Lake Tr n Range 0.021-0.117 0.239-0.936 0.275-2.05 0.463-2.19 0.073-0.737	afford (T) = 10 Median 0.091 0.484 0.885 0.953 0.210	R) <u>n > RL^a</u> 10 10 10 10 10	WCA n Range <0.008 ^b -0.077 0.189-0.382 0.641-2.26 0.958-3.15 0.277-0.949	-2A (2A) = 10 Median 0.036 0.234 0.900 1.43 0.392	n > RL ^a 2 10 10 10 10	WCA n Range <0.008 ^b -0.042 0.172-0.388 0.406-1.46 0.719-2.48 0.172-0.631	-3A (3A) = 10 Median 0.033 0.301 0.912 1.45 0.371	n > RL ^a 6 10 10 10 10	Lake Wo n Range <0.097 ^b -0.184 0.282-1.34 0.350-5.06 0.633-3.33 <0.166 ^b -0.810	odruff (W = 10 Median 0.062 0.578 2.01 1.43 0.317	O) <u>n > RL^a</u> 5 10 10 10 9	Yawł n Range <0.008 ^b -0.193 0.272-1.32 2.27-15.1 1.89-18.4 0.362-3.45	xey (YK) = 10 Median 0.050 0.620 5.88 6.25 1.01	n > RL ^a 4 10 10 10 10	
PFOA PFNA PFDA PFUnA PFDoA PFTriA	St. John: n Range 0.010-0.160 0.250-1.04 0.492-1.72 0.655-2.20 0.156-0.591 0.173-0.739	s River (J) = 10 <u>Median</u> 0.080 0.471 1.17 1.28 0.362 0.267	R) <u>n > RL^a</u> 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Lake Tr n Range 0.021-0.117 0.239-0.936 0.275-2.05 0.463-2.19 0.073-0.737 0.111-0.528	afford (T) = 10 Median 0.091 0.484 0.885 0.953 0.210 0.304	R) <u>n > RL^a</u> 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	WCA n Range <0.008 ^b -0.077 0.189-0.382 0.641-2.26 0.958-3.15 0.277-0.949 0.232-0.702	-2A (2A) = 10 Median 0.036 0.234 0.900 1.43 0.392 0.370	n > RL ^a 2 10 10 10 10 10 10	WCA n Range <0.008 ^b -0.042 0.172-0.388 0.406-1.46 0.719-2.48 0.172-0.631 0.162-0.594	-3A (3A) = 10 Median 0.033 0.301 0.912 1.45 0.371 0.280	n > RL ^a 6 10 10 10 10 10 10	Lake Wo n Range <0.097 ^b -0.184 0.282-1.34 0.350-5.06 0.633-3.33 <0.166 ^b -0.810 <0.070 ^b -0.854	odruff (W = 10 Median 0.062 0.578 2.01 1.43 0.317 0.259	n > RL ^a 5 10 10 9 8	Yawł n Range <0.008 ^b -0.193 0.272-1.32 2.27-15.1 1.89-18.4 0.362-3.45 <0.070 ^b -1.85	xey (YK) = 10 Median 0.050 0.620 5.88 6.25 1.01 0.646	n > RL ^a 4 10 10 10 10 8	
PFOA PFNA PFDA PFUnA PFDoA PFTriA PFTA	St. John: n Range 0.010-0.160 0.250-1.04 0.492-1.72 0.655-2.20 0.156-0.591 0.173-0.739 <0.008 ^b -0.131	s River (J) = 10 Median 0.080 0.471 1.17 1.28 0.362 0.267 0.022	R) $n > RL^{a}$ 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 8	Lake Tr n Range 0.021-0.117 0.239-0.936 0.275-2.05 0.463-2.19 0.073-0.737 0.111-0.528 <0.008 ^b -0.096	afford (T) = 10 Median 0.091 0.484 0.885 0.953 0.210 0.304 0.039	R) <u>n > RL^a</u> 10 10 10 10 10 10 9	WCA n Range <0.008 ^b -0.077 0.189-0.382 0.641-2.26 0.958-3.15 0.277-0.949 0.232-0.702 0.031-0.188	-2A (2A) = 10 Median 0.036 0.234 0.900 1.43 0.392 0.370 0.109	n > RL ^a 2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	WCA n Range <0.008 ^b -0.042 0.172-0.388 0.406-1.46 0.719-2.48 0.172-0.631 0.162-0.594 0.011-0.148	-3A (3A) = 10 Median 0.033 0.301 0.912 1.45 0.371 0.280 0.042	n > RL ^a 6 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Lake Wo n Range <0.097 ^b -0.184 0.282-1.34 0.350-5.06 0.633-3.33 <0.166 ^b -0.810 <0.070 ^b -0.854 <0.008 ^b -0.146	odruff (W = 10 Median 0.062 0.578 2.01 1.43 0.317 0.259 0.029	n > RL ^a 5 10 10 9 8 4	Yawl n Range <0.008 ^b -0.193 0.272-1.32 2.27-15.1 1.89-18.4 0.362-3.45 <0.070 ^b -1.85 <0.008 ^b -0.774	xey (YK) = 10 0.050 0.620 5.88 6.25 1.01 0.646 0.241	n > RL ^a 4 10 10 10 10 8 7	
PFOA PFNA PFDA PFUnA PFDoA PFTriA PFTA PFHxS	St. John: n Range 0.010-0.160 0.250-1.04 0.492-1.72 0.655-2.20 0.156-0.591 0.173-0.739 <0.008 ^b -0.131 0.100-0.308	s River (J) = 10 <u>Median</u> 0.080 0.471 1.17 1.28 0.362 0.267 0.022 0.166	$\frac{n > RL^{a}}{10}$ 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 8 10	Lake Tr n Range 0.021-0.117 0.239-0.936 0.275-2.05 0.463-2.19 0.073-0.737 0.111-0.528 <0.008 ^b -0.096 0.071-0.320	afford (T) = 10 <u>Median</u> 0.091 0.484 0.885 0.953 0.210 0.304 0.304 0.039 0.119	$\frac{n > RL^{a}}{10}$ 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 9 10	WCA n Range <0.008 ^b -0.077 0.189-0.382 0.641-2.26 0.958-3.15 0.277-0.949 0.232-0.702 0.031-0.188 0.080-0.172	$\begin{array}{l} -2A & (2A) \\ = 10 \\ \hline Median \\ 0.036 \\ 0.234 \\ 0.900 \\ 1.43 \\ 0.392 \\ 0.370 \\ 0.109 \\ 0.112 \end{array}$	n > RL ^a 2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	WCA n Range <0.008 ^b -0.042 0.172-0.388 0.406-1.46 0.719-2.48 0.172-0.631 0.162-0.594 0.011-0.148 0.057-0.303	-3A (3A) = 10 Median 0.033 0.301 0.912 1.45 0.371 0.280 0.042 0.105	n > RL ^a 6 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Lake Wo n Range <0.097 ^b -0.184 0.282-1.34 0.350-5.06 0.633-3.33 <0.166 ^b -0.810 <0.070 ^b -0.854 <0.008 ^b -0.146 0.130-0.623	$\begin{array}{l} \text{odruff (W)} \\ = 10 \\ \hline \text{Median} \\ 0.062 \\ 0.578 \\ 2.01 \\ 1.43 \\ 0.317 \\ 0.259 \\ 0.029 \\ 0.445 \end{array}$	n > RL ^a 5 10 10 9 8 4 10	Yawl n Range <0.008 ^b -0.193 0.272-1.32 2.27-15.1 1.89-18.4 0.362-3.45 <0.070 ^b -1.85 <0.082 ^b -0.774 0.099-0.566	Key (YK) = 10 Median 0.050 0.620 5.88 6.25 1.01 0.646 0.241 0.353	n > RL ^a 4 10 10 10 8 7 10	

^an > RL indicates the number of samples above the reporting limit (RL)

^bValues were calculated with half the RL substituted for nondetects as described in the methods section, but values shown as "<" a specified number describe the actual RL

NA = Not applicable

Table 2. Correlations between plasma PFAA concentrations and snout-vent length (SVL) for American alligators (*Alligator mississippiensis*) sampled in Florida and South Carolina ($n_{male} = 65$, $n_{female} = 60$). Refer to table 1 for PFAA abbreviations. Values were calculated using log normal concentrations. **Bold** indicates significant correlation coefficients.

SVL	PFOA	PFNA	PFDA	PFUnA	PFDoA	PFTA	PFTriA	PFHxS	PFOS
Male	0.072	0.252 ^a	0.206	0.355 ^b	0.279 ^a	0.209	0.273 ^a	0.273 ^a	0.331 ^b
Female	0.133	0.261 ^a	0.443 ^b	0.489 ^b	0.469 ^b	0.468 ^b	0.570 ^b	0.412^b	0.551 ^b

^a Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

^b Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

normal conec	milations. Do	iu muleutes si	ginneant con	elution coeffi	cientis.				
Male	PFOA	PFNA	PFDA	PFUnA	PFDoA	PFTriA	PFTA	PFHxS	PFOS
PFOA	-	0.615 ^b	0.226	0.092	0.036	0.152	0.181	0.260	0.386 ^b
PFNA		-	0.550 ^b	0.322^a	0.144	0.313 ^a	0.273 ^b	0.339 ^b	0.541 ^b
PFDA			-	0.840^b	0.743 ^b	0.439^b	0.654 ^b	0.307 ^a	0.550 ^b
PFUnA				-	0.920^b	0.783^b	0.826 ^b	0.445 ^b	0.654 ^b
PFDoA					-	0.751 ^b	0.846 ^b	0.316 ^a	0.528 ^b
PFTriA						-	0.770^b	0.395 ^b	0.489 ^b
PFTA							-	0.238	0.399 ^b
PFHxS								-	0.827 ^b
PFOS									-
Female	PFOA	PFNA	PFDA	PFUnA	PFDoA	PFTriA	PFTA	PFHxS	PFOS
PFOA	-	0.648^b	0.332 ^a	0.186	0.098	0.064	-0.003	0.441^b	0.440^b
PFNA		-	0.585 ^b	0.444 ^b	0.365 ^b	0.339 ^b	0.196	0.387 ^b	0.538 ^b
PFDA			-	0.890^b	0.827 ^b	0.529 ^b	0.560 ^b	0.337 ^b	0.595 ^b
PFUnA				-	0.938 ^b	0.684 ^b	0.578^b	0.331 ^a	0.691 ^b
PFDoA					-	0.763^b	0.708 ^b	0.226	0.635 ^b
PFTriA						-	0.713 ^b	0.190	0.598 ^b
PFTA							-	0.130	0.454^b
PFHxS								-	0.654 ^b
PFOS									-

Table 3. Correlations between concentrations of various PFAAs in plasma of American alligators (*Alligator mississippiensis*) sampled in Florida and South Carolina ($n_{male} = 65$, $n_{female} = 60$). Refer to table 1 for PFAA abbreviations. Values were calculated using log normal concentrations. **Bold** indicates significant correlation coefficients.

^a Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

^b Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).









SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Table S1. American alligator plasma sampling site descriptions

Sampling Location	Abbreviation	State	Coastal vs Inland	⁰ N	^{0}W	Year(s)	n
Tom Yawkey Wildlife Center	YK	SC	Costal	33.107	79.132	2014	10
Kiawah Island	KA	SC	Coastal	32.363	80.045	2015	10
Bear Island Wildlife Management Area	BI	SC	Coastal	32.364	80.264	2014	10
Lochloosa Lake	LO	FL	Inland	29.496	82.152	2012	10
Lake Woodruff	WO	FL	Inland	29.107	81.404	2014	10
Lake Apopka	AP	FL	Inland	28.614	81.634	2014	10
Merritt Island National Wildlife Refuge	MI	FL	Coastal	28.523	80.682	2011-2014	15
St. Johns River	JR	FL	Inland	28.196	80.820	2012	10
Lake Kissimmee	KS	FL	Inland	27.905	81.222	2012	10
Lake Trafford	TR	FL	Inland	26.436	81.499	2012	10
Water Conservation Area 2A	2A	FL	Inland	26.319	80.523	2012	10
Water Conservation Area 3A	3A	FL	Inland	26.215	80.689	2012	10

Table S2. PFAA concentrations (ng/g) in American alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*) plasma from multiple sites in Florida and South Carolina. Values shown as "<" a specified number describe the actual reporting limit. SVL = snout-vent lengthRefer to table 1 and figure 1 for chemical and site abbreviations, respectively. NA = Not available.

Collection Site	Sov	SVI (am)	DEOA	DENA	DEDA	DEUmA	PEDoA	PFTriA	PFTA	PFHyS	PFOS
YK	F	1110	<0.099	0.398	4 13	5 72	0.552	<0.070	<0.082	0.356	10.4
YK	F	120.0	<0.077	0.390	2.27	3 57	0.525	<0.080	<0.086	0.566	4.50
YK	F	131.0	< 0.100	0.894	14.3	18.4	2.24	1.04	0.329	0.547	31.6
YK	F	135.0	< 0.099	0.735	5.55	8.41	1.06	0.624	< 0.082	0.510	12.8
YK	F	144.8	<0.099	0.272	9.63	12.6	1 79	1 37	0 406	0.470	57.0
YK	M	106.7	0.193	1 17	6.20	5.03	0.962	0.668	0.148	0.131	24.6
YK	M	165.1	0.014	1.17	7.62	677	1.57	1 15	0.241	0.288	50.8
YK	M	170.2	0.131	1.21	15.1	11.9	3 45	1.85	0.774	0.351	55.2
YK	M	171.5	<0.008	0.286	2.89	2.93	0.672	0.485	0.118	0.099	15.9
YK	M	NA	0.008	0.506	2.61	1.89	0.362	0 345	0.076	0.107	7 57
KA	F	109.2	0.028	0.952	6 59	6.11	6.98	2 60	1 38	0.107	57.2
KA	F	119.4	0.105	1.27	6 39	4 05	3.02	0.821	0.432	0.313	38.4
KA	F	123.8	0.055	1 14	4.03	3.41	2.91	1.65	0.606	0 374	51.3
KA	F	137.4	0.033	1.11	3.72	1.87	1.32	0.420	0.284	1 23	46.9
KA	F	141.0	0.129	1.30	6.32	4.06	3.09	1 16	0.689	1.25	98.2
KA	M	91.0	0.069	0 446	5.12	3 26	2.51	0.671	0.401	0 499	56.4
KA	M	112.4	0.298	0.824	6.52	3.98	3.85	0.857	0.657	1.52	65.0
KA	M	132.0	0.218	1 38	13.6	7 53	7 27	1.04	0.007	0.825	74.5
KA	M	143.0	0.209	1.02	6.13	3.89	3.26	0.980	0.520	0.742	55.2
KA	M	168.0	0.124	1.02	6.21	3 54	1.91	0.550	0.243	0.451	48.1
BI	F	108.0	<0.121	0.690	1 18	2 46	0 454	0.674	<0.177	0.824	17.2
BI	F	109.0	0.193	1 14	2.01	2.05	0.470	0.414	0 101	0.220	16.1
BI	F	134.0	< 0.098	0.359	0.998	2.17	0.522	1.02	< 0.082	0.411	18.2
BI	F	136.6	<0.008	0.155	1 75	2.05	0.653	1.01	0.246	0 101	22.0
BI	F	162.2	<0.008	0.462	1.18	1.06	0.259	0 352	0.083	0.077	10.0
BI	M	118.0	0.105	0.556	1.20	1.05	0.231	0.244	0.039	0.142	12.2
BI	M	128.0	0.089	0.781	3.21	3 22	0.832	0.839	0.202	0.148	24.0
BI	M	128.0	<0.097	0.483	2.37	3 74	0.596	<0.070	<0.081	0 388	20.8
BI	M	157.6	<0.099	0.371	1.39	2.76	0.795	0.428	0.193	0.440	22.5
BI	М	168.0	< 0.100	0.339	2.38	5.02	1.88	1.83	0.733	0.510	44.9
AP	F	103.8	0.128	0.911	2.03	3.14	0.831	1.00	0.194	0.177	15.8
AP	F	111.0	0.143	1.40	2.44	3.39	0.564	0.547	0.050	0.373	13.3
AP	F	114.5	< 0.096	0.251	0.169	0.614	< 0.157	0.189	< 0.080	0.379	1.98
AP	F	130.5	0.121	1.01	1.15	1.61	0.299	0.441	0.055	0.291	13.2
AP	F	144.0	0.152	0.492	0.839	1.25	0.260	0.390	0.047	0.166	9.93
AP	М	122.9	< 0.098	0.471	0.691	1.68	0.360	0.615	< 0.082	0.449	7.26
AP	М	136.0	< 0.098	0.296	0.520	1.10	0.207	0.464	< 0.082	0.388	6.31
AP	М	146.0	0.124	0.512	1.09	1.74	0.300	0.416	0.044	0.256	8.14
AP	М	162.0	0.140	0.784	1.90	2.38	0.528	0.559	0.091	0.190	12.9
AP	М	185.0	0.147	1.08	1.90	1.36	0.330	0.317	0.052	0.435	15.1
LO	F	76.0	0.079	0.577	0.543	0.848	0.114	0.213	< 0.009	0.069	2.43
LO	F	76.1	< 0.008	0.328	0.238	0.580	0.105	0.181	< 0.008	0.093	2.19
LO	F	94.0	0.095	0.720	0.596	0.930	0.120	0.250	< 0.008	0.092	2.96
LO	F	105.5	0.010	0.561	0.501	1.03	0.218	0.312	0.012	0.104	4.98
LO	F	144.0	0.008	0.416	0.425	0.858	0.158	0.352	0.033	0.079	3.02
LO	М	95.8	0.132	0.956	0.708	1.04	0.161	0.284	0.019	0.078	4.18
LO	М	108.8	0.064	0.891	0.634	1.10	0.202	0.306	0.017	0.105	4.24
LO	Μ	128.4	0.125	1.19	0.996	1.56	0.277	0.471	0.052	0.121	5.18
LO	М	159.0	0.073	0.632	0.825	1.33	0.273	0.580	0.060	0.093	4.63
LO	М	186.0	0.069	1.15	0.792	1.49	0.309	0.487	0.060	0.201	6.16
WO	F	99.0	0.105	0.799	2.16	1.49	0.342	0.192	< 0.009	0.234	12.1
WO	F	102.0	< 0.101	0.282	0.350	0.633	< 0.166	0.102	< 0.084	0.457	5.89
WO	F	103.5	0.074	1.08	3.06	1.98	0.386	0.373	0.049	0.138	19.8
WO	F	106.4	< 0.101	0.313	0.717	1.20	0.218	< 0.070	< 0.084	0.471	6.04
WO	F	113.0	0.184	1.05	1.84	1.24	0.291	0.272	0.037	0.433	13.5
WO	М	58.5	< 0.100	0.505	2.03	2.01	0.386	0.854	< 0.083	0.530	22.0
WO	М	135.0	< 0.097	0.482	1.38	1.14	0.224	< 0.070	< 0.080	0.546	15.8
WO	М	157.3	0.152	1.34	5.06	3.33	0.810	0.697	0.146	0.388	41.2
WO	М	170.0	< 0.096	0.603	2.22	2.34	0.465	0.315	< 0.080	0.623	33.7
WO	М	171.0	0.087	0.554	1.99	1.36	0.265	0.246	0.020	0.130	16.2

Table S2. (continued)

Collection Site	Sex	SVL (cm)	PFOA	PFNA	PFDA	PFUnA	PFDoA	PFTriA	PFTA	PFHxS	PFOS
MI	F	121.0	<0.098	0.410	0.753	1 39	0.203	0.915	<0.082	1 72	43.0
MI	F	123.0	<0.096	0.298	0.395	0.844	0.190	0.961	<0.080	3.01	178
MI	F	129.0	<0.096	0.611	1.02	1.87	0.276	0.608	<0.080	2 32	62.0
MI	F	NA	0.115	1 10	2.08	1.07	0.717	0.413	0.152	10.0	206
MI	F	NA	0.037	0.577	0.816	1.02	0.191	0.197	0.036	3.98	85.5
MI	M	135.0	<0.332	0.895	1.66	2.88	<0.171	<0.026	<0.030	2.56	99.5
MI	M	135.0	0.235	0.895	0.038	2.60	0.393	0.020	<0.273	1.46	13.2
MI	M	135.0	<0.006	0.528	1 20	2.04	0.375	0.540	<0.000	1.40	38.6
MI	M	142.0	<0.090	0.528	2.40	2.05	1.07	0.694	0.000	7 22	452
MI	M	145.0	<0.097	1.10	1.80	2 21	1.07	0.064	0.237	7.55	432
MI	M	144.0	< 0.098	1.10	1.60	3.51	0.007	1.05	0.098	3.65	52.6
MI	M	152.0	0.300	0.055	0.507	1.04	0.209	1.05	<0.081	4.07	52.0
MI	M	154.0	0.144	0.485	0.944	1.58	0.275	0.035	<0.082	4.87	115
MI	M	154.0	0.412	0.740	1.55	1.09	0.445	1.42	0.219	4.20	115
MI	M	163.0	0.199	0.798	1.40	1.82	0.440	0.474	0.165	23.3	1/2
MI	M	181.0	<0.133	0.347	0.725	1.75	0.435	0.425	<0.110	0.684	38.8
JR	F	86.0	0.160	1.04	1.72	2.20	0.432	0.205	<0.008	0.124	9.31
JR	F	88.0	0.017	0.369	0.492	0.655	0.156	0.173	0.013	0.308	3.41
JR	F	96.0	0.019	0.368	1.20	1.03	0.226	0.203	0.017	0.221	9.23
JR	F	126.0	0.083	0.447	0.843	0.903	0.234	0.232	0.023	0.165	4.88
JR	F	135.6	0.010	0.494	0.870	1.31	0.591	0.739	0.131	0.219	7.33
JR	М	117.5	0.109	0.692	1.14	1.25	0.271	0.321	0.045	0.177	5.25
JR	М	126.0	0.082	0.356	1.23	1.31	0.399	0.406	0.081	0.105	7.82
JR	М	137.0	0.011	0.603	1.45	1.87	0.441	0.359	0.021	0.166	6.92
JR	Μ	146.0	0.079	0.540	1.51	1.63	0.438	0.447	0.081	0.108	10.2
JR	Μ	168.2	0.082	0.250	0.740	0.994	0.326	0.227	$<\!\!0.008$	0.100	5.76
KS	F	90.0	0.113	0.860	1.17	0.841	0.148	0.252	0.028	0.476	7.88
KS	F	90.4	0.105	0.275	0.417	0.314	< 0.009	0.122	< 0.009	1.50	6.51
KS	F	106.2	0.115	1.18	2.08	1.73	0.346	0.455	0.085	1.17	13.1
KS	F	115.1	0.102	0.542	1.12	0.909	0.114	0.229	0.017	0.719	11.2
KS	F	124.0	0.125	0.591	1.32	1.08	0.138	0.229	0.015	0.612	11.3
KS	М	96.0	0.079	0.693	0.866	0.760	0.131	0.225	0.022	0.338	7.48
KS	М	109.2	0.017	0.463	1.19	0.990	0.147	0.250	0.019	0.493	13.3
KS	М	142.5	0.093	0.507	1.53	1.34	0.165	0.295	0.038	0.485	13.3
KS	М	160.0	< 0.008	0.928	3.15	2.47	0.382	0.677	0.104	0.486	25.1
KS	М	167.0	0.142	0.836	2.28	1.72	0.301	0.485	0.079	0.517	20.3
TR	F	50.6	0.117	0.555	0.640	0.694	0.148	0.159	0.013	0.176	7.41
TR	F	70.5	0.021	0.239	0.275	0.463	0.112	0.111	< 0.008	0.127	4.21
TR	F	86.9	0.094	0.284	0.424	0.533	0.073	0.169	0.014	0.123	5.30
TR	F	93.0	0.067	0.438	0.488	0.619	0.125	0.152	0.018	0.320	5.61
TR	F	105.0	0.066	0.458	1 46	2 15	0.737	0.509	0.096	0.123	14.3
TR	M	99.0	0.099	0.510	1 13	1 15	0.257	0.331	0.036	0.112	13.4
TR	M	109.0	0.087	0.392	0.617	0.753	0.162	0.278	0.035	0.088	5.96
TR	M	134.0	0.007	0.803	1 44	1 44	0.296	0.435	0.086	0.000	8 25
TR	M	130.0	0.097	0.646	1.66	1.56	0.342	0.440	0.074	0.071	8.23
TP	M	142.0	0.022	0.040	2.05	2 10	0.572	0.528	0.055	0.116	10.0
24	E	62.0	<0.022	0.950	0.887	1.20	0.322	0.328	0.055	0.110	2 74
2A 2A	Г Б	88.0	<0.008	0.231	0.887	1.29	0.201	0.232	0.030	0.121	2.24
2A	Г	01.4	<0.077	0.237	0.708	1.09	0.409	0.598	0.140	0.080	2.54
2A 2A	Г	91.4	<0.072	0.169	0.915	1.57	0.469	0.337	0.158	0.172	2.79
2A 2A	F	91.8	<0.008	0.207	0.755	1.18	0.374	0.297	0.055	0.101	2.15
2A	F	105.0	< 0.072	0.216	0.641	0.958	0.277	0.342	0.087	0.085	1.36
2A	M	47.5	<0.008	0.362	1.05	1.58	0.324	0.253	0.031	0.120	5.68
2A	M	88.0	0.019	0.247	0.733	1.15	0.371	0.308	0.045	0.105	2.51
2A	M	130.2	<0.0/1	0.218	1.10	1.77	0.575	0.574	0.182	0.088	2.37
2A	М	132.0	<0.071	0.231	1.25	2.32	0.655	0.655	0.188	0.144	4.79
2A	M	140.5	< 0.008	0.382	2.26	3.15	0.949	0.702	0.130	0.168	6.23
3A	F	78.2	0.031	0.388	1.08	1.80	0.386	0.250	0.011	0.182	4.33
3A	F	81.1	< 0.008	0.203	0.406	0.881	0.211	0.165	0.015	0.077	2.03
3A	F	82.0	0.027	0.361	0.740	1.23	0.300	0.272	0.044	0.127	2.78
3A	F	94.3	0.011	0.336	0.858	1.47	0.349	0.428	0.077	0.303	4.52
3A	F	99.5	$<\!0.071$	0.172	1.08	1.43	0.410	0.288	0.072	0.082	3.98
3A	Μ	94.0	0.040	0.309	0.878	1.15	0.363	0.231	0.033	0.112	3.75
3A	Μ	102.1	$<\!0.008$	0.199	0.498	0.719	0.172	0.162	0.018	0.057	1.57
3A	Μ	115.7	0.042	0.286	1.11	1.52	0.378	0.370	0.041	0.060	2.41
3A	М	142.0	< 0.072	0.293	0.947	1.92	0.599	0.534	0.140	0.106	3.87
3A	М	157.0	0.016	0.385	1.46	2.48	0.631	0.594	0.148	0.105	4.71

Table S3. Sex-based differences by site investigated on a site by site basis for PFOA, PFNA, PFDoA, PFTA, and PFHxS concentrations (log; ng/g) in plasma from American alligators (*Alligator mississippiensis*) sampled at multiple sites in Florida and South Carolina **Bold** indicates significant correlation coefficients. Refer to table 1 and figure 1 for chemical and site abbreviations, respectively.

Site	PFOA	PFNA	PFDoA	PFTA	PFHxS
YK	0.597	0.267	0.917	0.354	0.009 ^b
KA	0.251	0.228	0.602	0.208	0.465
BI	0.675	0.888	0.175	0.584	0.602
LO	0.175	0.008 ^b	0.028 ^a	0.016 ^a	0.175
WO	0.341	0.866	0.251	0.259	0.347
AP	0.753	0.652	0.917	0.625	0.175
MI	0.047^{a}	0.365	0.111	0.637	0.903
JR	0.753	0.752	0.347	0.583	0.076
KS	0.117	0.810	0.251	0.222	0.076
TR	0.463	0.050^{a}	0.117	0.141	0.009b
2A	0.142	0.091	0.175	0.97	0.465
3A	0.465	0.853	0.465	0.402	0.175

^a Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

^b Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Male												
Site	n		PF	DA]	PFHxS	S		
YK	5			С	D	А	В	С	D	E		
KA	5				D					Е	F	
BI	5	А	В				В	С	D	E	F	
LO	5	А				Α	В	С				
WO	5		В	С					D	Е	F	
AP	5	А	В					С	D	E	F	
MI	10	А	В									G
JR	5	А	В			А	В	С	D			
KS	5	А	В								F	
TR	5	А	В			А	В					
2A	5	А	В			А	В	С	D			
3A	5	А	В			А						
Female												
Site	n		PF	DA]	PFHxS	S		
YK	5				D			С	D	Е		
KA	5				D					Е		
BI	5			С		А	В	С	D			
LO	5	А				А						
WO	5			С			В	С	D	Е		
AP	5		В	С		А	В	С	D	Е		
MI	5	А	В	С							F	
JR	5		В	С		А	В	С	D			
KS	5		В	С						E		
TR	5	А				А	В	С	D			
2A	5	А	В	С		А	В					
34	5	А	В	С		А	В					

Table S4. Site differences in PFDA and PFHxS concentrations (ng/g) in plasma of male and female American alligators (*Alligator mississippiensis*) sampled at multiple sites in Florida and South Carolina. Refer to figure 1 for site abbreviations.

Different letters represent statistically significant differences between groups (p < 0.05).

Figure S1. Site PFAA fingerprint for (**A**) male and (**B**) female American alligators (Alligator mississippiensis) sampled in Florida and South Carolina.. Refer to table 1 and figure 1 for chemical and site abbreviations, respectively.







Figure S3. Signifcant (p < 0.05) site- and sex-based differences in mean (±SD) concentrations of PFNA, PFTA, PFHxS, PFTA, and PFDoA in plasma of American alligators (*Alligator mississippiensis*) sampled at multiple sites in Florida and South Carolina: (**A**) Lochloosa Lake (LO) had three PFAAs that showed sex-based differences: PFNA (p = 0.016), PFTA (p = 0.032), and PFDoA (p = 0.032), (**B**) the Merritt Island National Wildlife Refuge (MI) site showed sex-based differences for PFHxS (p = 0.008), (**D**) Yawkey (YK) also showed sex-based differences for PFHxS (p = 0.008), and (**E**) Lake Trafford (TR) showed sex-based differences in PFNA (p = 0.032). Median and interquartile ranges (error bars) represented in (**A**) – (**E**). Refer to table 1 and figure 1 for chemical and site abbreviations, respectively.



Figure S4. Snout-vent length (SVL) of (**A**) male and (**B**) female American alligators (*Alligator mississippiensis*) sampled at multiple sites in Florida and South Carolina during this study. Refer to figure 1 for site abbreviations.



Different letters represent statistically significant differences between groups (p < 0.05).