

## **Turkish Journal of Mathematics**

http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/

Research Article

Turk J Math (2014) 38: 649 – 657 © TÜBİTAK doi:10.3906/mat-1210-15

# Some rings for which the cosingular submodule of every module is a direct summand

Derya KESKİN TÜTÜNCÜ<sup>1</sup>, Nil ORHAN ERTAŞ<sup>2,\*</sup>, Patrick F. SMITH<sup>3</sup>, Rachid TRIBAK<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Hacettepe University, Beytepe, Ankara, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Karabük University, Karabük, Turkey

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Glasgow University, Glasgow, Scotland

<sup>4</sup>Regional Center for Career Education and Training (CRMEF)-Tangier, Tangier, Morocco

Received: 05.10.2012 • Accepted: 25.11.2013 • Published Online: 25.04.2014 • Printed: 23.05.2014

**Abstract:** The submodule  $\overline{Z}(M) = \bigcap \{N \mid M/N \text{ is small in its injective hull}\}$  was introduced by Talebi and Vanaja in 2002. A ring R is said to have property (P) if  $\overline{Z}(M)$  is a direct summand of M for every R-module M. It is shown that a commutative perfect ring R has (P) if and only if R is semisimple. An example is given to show that this characterization is not true for noncommutative rings. We prove that if R is a commutative ring such that the class  $\{M \in Mod - R \mid \overline{Z}_R(M) = 0\}$  is closed under factor modules, then R has (P) if and only if the ring R is von Neumann regular.

Key words: von Neumann regular ring, perfect ring, (non)cosingular submodule

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper all rings have identity and all modules are unital right modules. Let R be a ring and M an R-module. A submodule L of M is called a *small submodule* (notation  $L \ll M$ ) if  $M \neq L + N$  for any proper submodule N of M. The module M is said to be *small* if it is a small submodule of some R-module; equivalently, M is small in its injective hull. In [13], Talebi and Vanaja introduced the submodule  $\overline{Z}(M) = \cap \{U \leq M \mid M/U \text{ is small}\}$ . If  $\overline{Z}(M) = 0$  ( $\overline{Z}(M) = M$ ), then the module M is called *cosingular* (noncosingular).

If for every R-module M,  $\overline{Z}(M)$  is a direct summand of M, we will say that R has property (P). The aim of this paper is to shed some light on the structure of rings having (P). Note that the rings satisfying the dual of our condition (P), namely those whose singular submodules Z(M) are direct summands, have been studied in [2] and [3] extensively.

In Section 2 we present some properties of rings having (P). It is shown that the class of rings having (P) is closed under finite products. We also prove that if R is a commutative ring such that the class of cosingular modules is closed under factor modules, then R has (P) if and only if the ring R is von Neumann regular.

Section 3 deals with the structure of perfect rings having (P). We show that a commutative perfect ring R has (P) if and only if R is semisimple. An example is given to show that this characterization is not true for noncommutative rings.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: orhannil@yahoo.com

<sup>2010</sup> AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 16D10, 16D99.

## 2. Some properties of rings having (P)

**Proposition 2.1** The following are equivalent for a module M:

- (i)  $\overline{Z}(M)$  is a direct summand of M;
- (ii) M is a direct sum of a cosingular summodule and a noncosingular submodule.

In this case  $\overline{Z}(M)$  is the largest noncosingular submodule of M.

- **Proof** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let N be a submodule of M such that  $M = \overline{Z}(M) \oplus N$ . By [13, Proposition 2.1(7)], N is cosingular. Since  $\overline{Z}(M) = \overline{Z}(\overline{Z}(M)) \oplus \overline{Z}(N)$  (by [13, Proposition 2.1(4)]), we have  $\overline{Z}(M) = \overline{Z}(\overline{Z}(M))$ . Hence,  $\overline{Z}(M)$  is noncosingular. This proves the result.
- (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Let N be a cosingular submodule of M and let K be a noncosingular submodule of M such that  $M = N \oplus K$ . By [13, Proposition 2.1(4)],  $\overline{Z}(M) = \overline{Z}(N) \oplus \overline{Z}(K)$ . Thus,  $\overline{Z}(M) = K$  is a direct summand of M.

For the last statement: if L is a noncosingular submodule of M, then  $L = \overline{Z}(L) \subseteq \overline{Z}(M)$ .

**Example 2.2** By applying the last result and some results of [13], we can get some examples of rings having property (P).

- (1) By [13, Proposition 2.5], if R is a cosemisimple ring, then every R-module is noncosingular. Therefore, R has property (P).
- (2) If R is a ring such that every cosingular R-module is projective, then R has property (P) by [13, Theorem 3.8(4)].

**Proposition 2.3** For any ring R the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R has (P);
- (2) Every R-module is a direct sum of a noncosingular module and a cosingular module;
- (3) (a) If N is a noncosingular submodule of a module M such that M/N is cosingular, then N is a direct summand of M, and
  - (b) The preradical  $\overline{Z}$  is idempotent.

**Proof**  $(1) \Leftrightarrow (2)$  By Proposition 2.1.

- $(1)\Rightarrow (3)(a)$  By (1),  $\overline{Z}(M)\oplus L=M$  for some submodule  $L\leq M$ . Since  $\overline{Z}(M/N)=0$ ,  $\overline{Z}(M)\subseteq N$  by [13, Proposition 2.1(7)]. Then  $N=\overline{Z}(M)\oplus (L\cap N)$  and M=N+L. As  $M/\overline{Z}(M)\cong L$ , we have  $\overline{Z}(L)=0$ . Hence,  $\overline{Z}(N\cap L)=0$ . On the other hand, since  $L\cap N$  is a direct summand of N,  $L\cap N$  is noncosingular. It follows that  $\overline{Z}(N\cap L)=N\cap L=0$ . Thus,  $M=N\oplus L$ .
  - $(1) \Rightarrow (3)(b)$  By Proposition 2.1.
- (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let M be any R-module. By [13, Proposition 2.1], we have  $\overline{Z}(M/\overline{Z}(M)) = 0$ . Moreover, we have  $\overline{Z}(M) = \overline{Z}^2(M)$  by (b). Therefore,  $\overline{Z}(M)$  is a direct summand of M by (a).

## Corollary 2.4 Consider the following conditions:

(i) For any  $N \leq M \in Mod - R$ , we have  $\overline{Z}(N) = N \cap \overline{Z}(M)$ ;

(ii) The class  $\{M \in Mod - R \mid \overline{Z}(M) = M\}$  is closed under submodules. Then  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$  and if R has (P), then  $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ .

**Proof** By Proposition 2.3 and [4, Proposition 6.9(1)].

**Corollary 2.5** Consider the following conditions for a ring R:

- (i) R has (P);
- (ii)  $\operatorname{Ext}(S, M) = 0$  for every cosingular module S and noncosingular module M.

Then (i) implies (ii). If the preradical  $\overline{Z}$  is idempotent, then (ii) implies (i).

Note that (ii) does not imply (i) in the above corollary. Consider the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$ . By Lemma 4.12 of [8], a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module M is noncosingular if and only if it is injective. So condition (ii) is satisfied. But the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  does not satisfy (P) (see Proposition 2.6).

**Proposition 2.6** Let R be a Dedekind domain. The following are equivalent:

- (i) R has (P);
- (ii) R is a field.

**Proof** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let M be any module. By [15, Bemerkung 1.7 and Satz 2.10], there exists an R-module N such that  $M \leq N$  and  $M = \overline{Z}^2(N)$ . By assumption, we also have that  $N = \overline{Z}(N) \oplus K$  for some submodule K of N. Then  $\overline{Z}(N) = \overline{Z}^2(N) \oplus \overline{Z}(K) = M \oplus \overline{Z}(K) = M$ . Thus, M is noncosingular. By [8, Lemma 4.12], M is also injective. It follows that R is semisimple. Thus, R is a field.

(ii) 
$$\Rightarrow$$
 (i) This is clear.

**Lemma 2.7** Let  $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$  where  $R_i$  (i = 1, 2) are nonzero 2-sided ideals of R. Let M be an R-module. Then:

- (1)  $M = MR_1 \oplus MR_2$  and  $MR_i$  (i = 1, 2) can be regarded as an  $R_i$ -module such that the submodules of  $MR_i$  are the same whether it is regarded as an  $R_i$ -module or as an R-module.
  - (2)(a) If E is an injective R-module, then  $ER_i$  is an injective  $R_i$ -module.
- (b) If  $E_i$  is an injective  $R_i$ -module, then  $E_i$  is an injective R-module for the following multiplication:  $x_i(r_1 + r_2) = x_i r_i$ , where  $r_j \in R_j$  (j = 1, 2) and  $x_i \in E_i$ .
- (3)(a) Let  $N_i$  be a submodule of the R-module  $MR_i$ . Then  $MR_i/N_i$  is a small  $R_i$ -module if and only if  $MR_i/N_i$  is a small R-module.
  - (b) We have  $\overline{Z}_{R_i}(MR_i) = \overline{Z}_R(MR_i)$  for i = 1, 2.
- (4) If  $\{M \in Mod R \mid \overline{Z}_R(M) = 0\}$  is closed under homomorphic images, then so is  $\{M \in Mod R_i \mid \overline{Z}_{R_i}(M) = 0\}$ .

**Proof** (1) This is obvious.

(2) (a) Let  $X_i$  be an  $R_i$ -module with  $ER_i \subseteq X_i$ . Clearly  $X_i$  is an R-module and  $ER_i$  is an injective R-module. Thus,  $ER_i$  is a direct summand of  $X_i$ , and so  $ER_i$  is an injective  $R_i$ -module.

- (b) Let X be an R-module with  $E_i \subseteq X$ . Then  $E_i R_i \subseteq X R_i$ . Hence,  $E_i \subseteq X R_i$ . By hypothesis,  $E_i$  is a direct summand of  $X R_i$ . Since  $X R_i$  is a direct summand of X,  $E_i$  is a direct summand of X. It follows that  $E_i$  is an injective R-module.
- (3) (a) Assume that  $MR_i/N_i$  is a small  $R_i$ -module. Thus there is an injective  $R_i$ -module  $E_i$  containing  $MR_i/N_i$  such that  $MR_i/N_i \ll E_i$ . By (2),  $E_i$  is an injective R-module. Thus,  $MR_i/N_i$  is a small R-module.

Conversely, suppose that  $MR_i/N_i$  is a small R-module. Thus there is an injective R-module E containing  $MR_i/N_i$  such that  $MR_i/N_i \ll E$ . Therefore,  $MR_i/N_i \ll ER_i$ . Since  $ER_i$  is an injective  $R_i$ -module,  $MR_i/N_i$  is a small  $R_i$ -module.

- (b) By (a).
- (4) This follows from (3)(b).

**Proposition 2.8** Let  $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$  be a ring decomposition. Then R has property (P) if and only if  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  both have property (P).

**Proof** Let M be an R-module. By assumption, we have  $M = MR_1 \oplus MR_2$  such that  $MR_i$  is an  $R_i$ -module for i = 1, 2. Note that  $\overline{Z}_{R_i}(MR_i) = \overline{Z}_R(MR_i)$  for i = 1, 2 (see Lemma 2.7). Then  $\overline{Z}_R(M) = \overline{Z}_R(MR_1) \oplus \overline{Z}_R(MR_2) = \overline{Z}_{R_1}(MR_1) \oplus \overline{Z}_{R_2}(MR_2)$ . Since  $R_i$  has property (P), then  $\overline{Z}_{R_i}(MR_i)$  is a direct summand of  $MR_i$  for i = 1, 2. Hence, R has property (P). Conversely, consider an  $R_i$ -module  $M_i$ . Then  $M_i$  can be regarded as an R-module for the following multiplication:  $x_i(r_1 + r_2) = x_i r_i$ , where  $r_j \in R_j$  (j = 1, 2) and  $x_i \in M_i$  and the submodules of  $M_i$  are the same over R and over  $R_i$  (i = 1, 2). Hence,  $\overline{Z}_{R_i}(M_i) = \overline{Z}_{R_i}(M_iR_i) = \overline{Z}_R(M_iR_i) = \overline{Z}_R(M_i)$  by Lemma 2.7. Thus, if R has property (P), then  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  have property (P).

**Proposition 2.9** Let R be a commutative ring having property (P). Then  $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$  such that  $R_1$  is a von Neumann regular ring and  $R_2$  is a ring having property (P) with  $\overline{Z}(R_2) = 0$ .

**Proof** By Proposition 2.1,  $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$  such that  $\overline{Z}(R_1) = R_1$  and  $\overline{Z}(R_2) = 0$ . By Proposition 2.8,  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  both have property (P). By [13, Corollary 2.6],  $R_1$  is a cosemisimple ring. But  $R_1$  is commutative. Then  $R_1$  is a von Neumann regular ring. This completes the proof.

In the sequel, let  $\underline{C}_R = \{M_R \mid \overline{Z}(M) = 0\}$  denote the class of cosingular R-modules.

**Lemma 2.10** If the class  $\underline{C}_R$  is closed under homomorphic images, then  $\overline{Z}(R) \neq 0$ .

**Proof** Assume that  $\overline{Z}(R) = 0$ . Then  $\overline{Z}(R^{(I)}) = 0$  for every index set I by [13, Proposition 2.1(4)]. By hypothesis, every module is cosingular, a contradiction (see [13, Proposition 2.8]).

**Proposition 2.11** Let  $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$  be a ring decomposition. Assume that  $\underline{C}_R$  is closed under homomorphic images. Then  $\overline{Z}_R(R_i) \neq 0$ .

**Proof** Suppose that  $\overline{Z}_R(R_1) = 0$ . By Lemma 2.7, we have  $\overline{Z}_{R_1}(R_1) = 0$ . Since  $\underline{C}_R$  is closed under homomorphic images,  $\underline{C}_{R_1}$  is closed under homomorphic images (see Lemma 2.7). By Lemma 2.10,  $\overline{Z}_{R_1}(R_1) \neq 0$ , a contradiction.

**Theorem 2.12** Let R be a commutative ring such that  $\underline{C}_R$  is closed under homomorphic images. The following are equivalent:

- (1) R has (P);
- (2)  $R_R = R_1 \oplus R_2$  such that  $R_1 \in \underline{C}_R$  and  $\overline{Z}(R_2) = R_2$ ;
- (3) R is von Neumann regular.

**Proof**  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  This is clear.

- $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  By Proposition 2.11, we have  $R_1 = 0$ . Hence,  $\overline{Z}(R) = R$ . Thus R is cosemisimple by [13, Corollary 2.6]. Since R is commutative, R is von Neumann regular.
  - $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$  By [13, Proposition 2.5], every module is noncosingular. Thus R has (P).

# 3. When perfect rings have property (P)

**Lemma 3.1** Let R be a right perfect ring with (P). Then the class  $\underline{C}_R$  is closed under homomorphic images. **Proof** Since R is right perfect, every R-module is amply supplemented. Let M be a cosingular module and let  $N \leq M$ . By [13, Theorem 3.5],  $\overline{Z}^2(M/N) = (\overline{Z}^2(M) + N)/N$ . But  $\overline{Z}^2(M/N) = \overline{Z}(M/N)$  and  $\overline{Z}^2(M) = \overline{Z}(M)$  by Proposition 2.3. Then  $\overline{Z}(M/N) = (\overline{Z}(M) + N)/N = 0$ .

Note that r(J) and l(J) will denote the right and left annihilator of the Jacobson radical J of a ring R, respectively.

**Proposition 3.2** Let R be a right perfect ring with Jacobson radical J. Then for any R-module M, we have  $\overline{Z}(M) = Mr(J)$ .

**Proof** Let M be any module. By [4, 6.14], [13, Proposition 2.1(3)], and Lemma 3.1, we have  $\overline{Z}(M) = M\overline{Z}(R_R)$ . Therefore  $\overline{Z}(M) = Mr(J)$  by [12, Proposition 2.6].

**Theorem 3.3** Let R be a right perfect ring such that r(J) = l(J). The following are equivalent:

- (1) R has (P);
- (2) r(J) is injective;
- (3) R is semisimple.

**Proof** Note that since r(J) = l(J), we have  $r(J) = Soc(R_R) = Soc(R_R)$  by [1, Proposition 15.17].

- $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  By Proposition 3.2,  $\overline{Z}(R_R) = Soc(R_R)$ . Since R has (P),  $Soc(R_R)$  is a noncosingular direct summand of  $R_R$ . Thus,  $Soc(R_R) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n S_i$  for some simple right ideals  $S_i$   $(1 \leq i \leq n)$  of R. By [13, Proposition 2.1(4)], every  $S_i$   $(1 \leq i \leq n)$  is noncosingular. So every  $S_i$   $(1 \leq i \leq n)$  is injective. Hence,  $r(J) = Soc(R_R)$  is injective.
- (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Suppose that R is not semisimple. By (2), there is a nonzero right ideal I of R such that  $R = Soc(R_R) \oplus I$ . By [1, Theorem 28.4],  $Soc(I) \neq 0$ , a contradiction.
  - $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$  This is clear.

# KESKİN TÜTÜNCÜ et al./Turk J Math

Corollary 3.4 Let R be a commutative perfect ring. Then R has (P) if and only if R is semisimple.

Proof This follows from Theorem 3.3.

**Proposition 3.5** Let R be a right perfect ring having (P). Then R has a simple injective module.

**Proof** Assume that R has no simple injective modules. Let M be any R-module. By Proposition 2.3, we have  $\overline{Z}(M) = \overline{Z}^2(M)$ . By [13, Theorem 3.8(3)], we have  $\overline{Z}^2(M) = 0$ . Thus,  $\overline{Z}(M) = 0$  for every module M, a contradiction (see [13, Proposition 2.8]).

**Lemma 3.6** Let R be a local ring with maximal right ideal m such that R/m is a nonsmall module. Then R has (P) if and only if R is a division ring.

**Proof** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) The module R/m is injective. Thus, every simple R-module is injective. Therefore, R is cosemisimple, so J=m=0. Hence, R is a division ring. ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Clear.

Corollary 3.7 Let R be a right perfect local ring with maximal right ideal m. Then R has (P) if and only if R is a division ring.

**Proof** By Proposition 3.5, the module R/m is not small. Then the rest is clear by Lemma 3.6.

**Proposition 3.8** Let R be a right perfect ring. If R has (P), then  $R_R = (\bigoplus_{i=1}^n L_i) \oplus (\bigoplus_{i=1}^m K_i)$  is a direct sum of local submodules such that  $\overline{Z}(L_i) = L_i$   $(1 \le i \le n)$  and  $\overline{Z}(K_i) = 0$   $(1 \le i \le m)$ .

**Proof** By [14, 42.6],  $R_R = (\bigoplus_{i=1}^n L_i) \oplus (\bigoplus_{i=1}^m K_i)$  is a direct sum of local submodules such that  $\overline{Z}(L_i) = L_i$  and  $\overline{Z}(K_i) \neq K_i$ . Since  $\overline{Z}(K_i) \neq K_i$ ,  $\overline{Z}(K_i) \ll K_i$ . Thus,  $\overline{Z}^2(K_i) = 0$ . Proposition 2.3 shows that  $\overline{Z}(K_i) = 0$ .

**Proposition 3.9** Let R be a right perfect ring such that  $R_R = (\bigoplus_{i=1}^n L_i) \oplus (\bigoplus_{i=1}^m K_i)$  is a direct sum of local submodules with  $\overline{Z}(L_i) = L_i$  and each  $K_i$   $(1 \le i \le m)$  is simple small. Then R has (P).

**Proof** Let M be any R-module. It is well known that M is a homomorphic image of a free R-module. So  $M=M_1+M_2$  such that  $M_1$  is a homomorphic image of a noncosingular module by [13, Proposition 2.4] and  $M_2$  is a homomorphic image of a direct sum of  $K_i$ s. By [13, Proposition 2.4],  $M_1$  is noncosingular and by [11, Lemma 9],  $M_2$  is small and hence cosingular. Since  $M/M_1\cong M_2/(M_1\cap M_2)$  is small, we have  $\overline{Z}(M/M_1)=0$ . By [13, Proposition 2.1(1)], we have  $\overline{Z}(M)\subseteq M_1$ . But  $M_1=\overline{Z}(M_1)\subseteq \overline{Z}(M)$ . Then  $\overline{Z}(M)=M_1$ . Therefore,  $M=\overline{Z}(M)+M_2$  with  $M_2$  semisimple. Let N be a submodule of  $M_2$  such that  $M_2=(\overline{Z}(M)\cap M_2)\oplus N$ . Thus,  $M=\overline{Z}(M)\oplus N$ .

The following example gives a ring satisfying the conditions of Proposition 3.9 and shows that a right perfect ring having (P) need not be semisimple.

**Example 3.10** Let R be a left and right hereditary Artinian serial ring with  $J^2 = 0$  (e.g., we can take the ring of all upper triangular  $2 \times 2$  matrices with entries in a field K) (see [5, Example 13.6]). By [5, 13.5], every right

# KESKİN TÜTÜNCÜ et al./Turk J Math

ideal is a direct sum of an injective module and a semisimple module. By [14, 42.6],  $R_R = (\bigoplus_{i=1}^n L_i) \oplus (\bigoplus_{i=1}^m K_i)$  is a direct sum of local submodules such that  $L_i$  are injective and  $K_i$  are simple. Without loss of generality we can assume that all  $K_i$   $(1 \le i \le m)$  are small. Since R is hereditary, every injective module is noncosingular. By Proposition 3.9, the ring R has (P).

**Proposition 3.11** (1) Let R be a ring with (P) such that every nonzero injective R-module is not cosingular. Then every injective R-module is noncosingular.

(2) Let R be a right Artinian ring. If R has (P), then every injective R-module is noncosingular.

**Proof** (1) Let M be an injective R-module. Then  $M/\overline{Z}(M)$  is injective cosingular. By hypothesis,  $M = \overline{Z}(M)$ .

(2) By [13, Corollary 2.10] and (1). 
$$\Box$$

Recall that a ring R is called a right H-ring if every injective right R-module is lifting.

**Theorem 3.12** Let R be a right H-ring. Consider the following conditions:

- (1) R is semisimple;
- (2) For every module M and every submodule A of M, we have  $\overline{Z}(A) = A \cap \overline{Z}(M)$ ;
- (3) R has (P);
- (4) For every R-module M,  $\overline{Z}(M) = Mr(J)$  is injective;
- (5) The class of injective modules coincides with the class of noncosingular modules;
- (6) Every injective module is noncosingular.

Then  $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (6) \Rightarrow (3)$  and if R is a QF-ring, then  $(6) \Rightarrow (1)$ .

**Proof**  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  This is clear.

- $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  Let M be a module. Then M has a decomposition  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  with  $M_1$  injective and  $\overline{Z}(M_2) = 0$  by [4, 28.10]. Since R is right Noetherian,  $M_1 = \bigoplus_{i \in I} L_i$  with  $(L_i)_{i \in I}$  indecomposable injective submodules. Since each  $L_i$  is lifting, each  $L_i$  is local. If  $\overline{Z}(L_i) \neq L_i$ , then  $\overline{Z}^2(L_i) = 0$ . But  $\overline{Z}^2(L_i) = \overline{Z}(L_i) \cap \overline{Z}(M) = \overline{Z}(L_i)$  by (2). Thus,  $\overline{Z}(L_i) = 0$ . The result follows from [13, Proposition 2.1(4)].
- (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) Let M be an R-module. By [4, 28.10],  $M = N \oplus K$  such that N is injective and K is a small module. Therefore,  $\overline{Z}(M) = \overline{Z}(N) \oplus \overline{Z}(K)$ . But  $\overline{Z}(N) = N$  (see Proposition 3.11) and  $\overline{Z}(K) = 0$ . It follows that  $\overline{Z}(M) = N$  is injective. Moreover,  $\overline{Z}(M) = Mr(J)$  by Proposition 3.2.
- (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5) Let E be a noncosingular module. Then  $E = \overline{Z}(E)$  is injective. The result follows from Proposition 3.11.
  - $(5) \Rightarrow (6)$  This is clear.
- (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let M be any module. Then  $M = K \oplus L$  such that K is injective and L is a small module. By [13, Proposition 2.1],  $\overline{Z}(M) = \overline{Z}(K) \oplus \overline{Z}(L)$ . But  $\overline{Z}(L) = 0$ . Then  $\overline{Z}(M) = K$  is a direct summand of M.
- $(6) \Rightarrow (1)$  Assume that R is a QF-ring. Since (6) implies (3), R has (P). The result follows by Theorem 3.3 and [7, Corollary 15.7].

Note that the QF condition in implication (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) of Theorem 3.12 is not superfluous. As an example we can take the ring of all upper triangular  $2 \times 2$  matrices with entries in a field K. This ring is not QF since

 $r(J) \neq l(J)$ , where J is the Jacobson radical. On the other hand, by Example 3.10, the ring has (P) but is not semisimple. This ring is also an H-ring by [9, Corollary 2.5].

## 4. Examples

**Proposition 4.1** Let R be a ring with Jacobson radical J such that R/J is a simple Artinian ring,  $J \neq 0$  and  $J^2 = 0$ . Then:

- (1) For every module M,  $\overline{Z}(M) = MJ = Rad(M)$ ;
- (2) The class  $\underline{C}_R = \{M_R \mid \overline{Z}(M) = 0\}$  is closed under homomorphic images;
- (3) The ring R does not have (P).

**Proof** (1) Up to isomorphism, R has a unique simple right module U. Since J is a nonzero right R/J-module, there exists a submodule  $V \leq J_R$  such that  $U \cong V$ . As V is small in R, U is a small module. Let M be a nonzero R-module. We want to show that  $\overline{Z}(M) = \operatorname{Rad}(M)$ .

Now let  $N \leq M$  with M/N small. Then  $M/N \subseteq EJ$ , where E = E(M/N). Thus, (M/N)J = 0 and hence  $MJ \subseteq N$ . Therefore,  $MJ \subseteq \overline{Z}(M)$ .

Now assume that  $M \neq MJ$ . Then M/MJ is a direct sum of isomorphic copies of U. Note that M/MJ is an R/J-module. By assumption and [11, Lemma 9], M/MJ is small. Hence,  $\overline{Z}(M) \subseteq MJ$ . Therefore,  $\overline{Z}(M) = MJ$ .

- (2) By (1).
- (3) Assume that R has (P). By (1), Rad(M) is a direct summand of M for every module M. Since  $J(R) \ll R_R$ , we have  $\overline{Z}(R_R) = 0$ . Moreover, it follows from (2) that every module is cosingular, a contradiction.

Let R be a ring. R is called a right Goldie ring if  $R_R$  is finite dimensional and satisfies the ascending chain condition on right annihilator ideals. R is called a right primitive ring if there exists a simple right R-module U with  $ann_R(U) = 0$ .

The proof of the following last Proposition has the same techniques as the proof of [10, Proposition 12].

**Proposition 4.2** Let R be a prime right Goldie ring that is not right primitive. Then every cyclic right R-module is small. In particular, every cyclic module is cosingular.

**Proof** Let M = xR and E = E(M), the injective hull of M. We want to show that  $M \ll E$ . Let E = M + T with  $T \leq E$ . Assume  $x \in M \setminus T$ . Then E/T is nonzero and cyclic. Hence, there exists a maximal submodule K/T of E/T. Now K is a maximal submodule of E and the module U = E/K is simple. By hypothesis,  $I = ann_R(U) \neq 0$ . Since R is prime,  $I_R$  is essential in  $R_R$ . By [6, Proposition 5.9], I contains a regular element, namely a nonzero divisor C. Now  $E = EC \subseteq EI \subseteq E$  implies that EI = E. Thus E = K, a contradiction. Hence,  $X \in T$  and so E = T.

#### Acknowledgment

This paper was written while the third author was visiting the Hacettepe University Mathematics Department in 2011. All authors wish to thank the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK) for financial support under the program 2221. We would also like to thank the referees for carefully reading this paper and for the numerous valuable comments on the paper.

# KESKİN TÜTÜNCÜ et al./Turk J Math

## References

- [1] Anderson FW, Fuller KR. Rings and Categories of Modules. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 1974.
- [2] Cateforis VC, Sandomierski FL. The singular submodule splits off. J Algebra 1968; 10: 149–165.
- [3] Cateforis VC, Sandomierski FL. On commutative rings over which the singular submodule is a direct summand for every module. Pac J Math 1969; 31: 289–292.
- [4] Clark J, Lomp C, Vanaja N, Wisbauer R. Lifting Modules: Frontiers in Mathematics. Boston, MA, USA: Birkhäuser, 2006.
- [5] Dung NV, Huynh DV, Smith PF, Wisbauer R. Extending Modules: Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series. Harlow, UK: Longman, 1994.
- [6] Goodearl KR, Warfield RB. An Introduction to Noncommutative Noetherian Rings. London Mathematical Society Student Texts 16. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [7] Lam TY. Lectures on Modules and Rings. Vol. 189 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. New York, NY, USA: Springer. 1998.
- [8] Orhan-Ertas N, Tribak R. Two generalizations of lifting modules. International Journal of Algebra 2009; 3(13): 599–612.
- [9] Oshiro K, Wisbauer R. Modules with every subgenerated module lifting. Osaka J Math 1995; 32: 513-519.
- [10] Özcan A.Ç. On a class of modules. Publ Math Debrecen 2000; 57(3-4): 269-275.
- [11] Rayar M. On small and cosmall modules. Acta Math Acad Sci Hungar 1982; 39(4): 389-392.
- [12] Tribak R, Keskin Tütüncü D. On  $\overline{Z}_M$ -semiperfect modules. East-West J Math 2006; 8(2): 193–203.
- [13] Talebi Y, Vanaja N. The torsion theory cogenerated by M-small modules. Comm Algebra 2002; 30(3): 1449–1460.
- [14] Wisbauer R. Foundations of Module and Ring Theory. Philadelphia, PA, USA: Gordon and Breach, 1991.
- [15] Zöschinger H. Kosinguläre und Kleine Moduln. Comm Algebra 2005; 33: 3389–3404 (in German).