

ARTICLE

Received 21 Nov 2015 | Accepted 23 May 2016 | Published 15 Jul 2016

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms12106

OPEN

The crucial effect of early-stage gelation on the mechanical properties of cement hydrates

Katerina Ioannidou^{1,2}, Matej Kanduč^{3,4}, Lunna Li⁵, Daan Frenkel⁵, Jure Dobnikar^{6,7} & Emanuela Del Gado⁸

Gelation and densification of calcium-silicate-hydrate take place during cement hydration. Both processes are crucial for the development of cement strength, and for the long-term evolution of concrete structures. However, the physicochemical environment evolves during cement formation, making it difficult to disentangle what factors are crucial for the mechanical properties. Here we use Monte Carlo and Molecular Dynamics simulations to study a coarse-grained model of cement formation, and investigate the equilibrium and arrested states. We can correlate the various structures with the time evolution of the interactions between the nano-hydrates during the preparation of cement. The novel emerging picture is that the changes of the physicochemical environment, which dictate the evolution of the effective interactions, specifically favour the early gel formation and its continuous densification. Our observations help us understand how cement attains its unique strength and may help in the rational design of the properties of cement and related materials.

¹ Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA. ² MIT-CNRS Joint Laboratory at Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA. ³ Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie, D-14109 Berlin, Germany. ⁴ Department of Theoretical Physics, J. Stefan Institute, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia. ⁵ Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1EW, UK. ⁶ International Research Center for Soft Matter, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing 100029, China. ⁷ Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China. ⁸ Department of Physics, Institute for Soft Matter Synthesis and Metrology, Georgetown University, Washington, District of Columbia 20057, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to E.D.G. (email: emanuela.del.gado@georgetown.edu) or to J.D. (email: jd489@cam.ac.uk).

ement is the main binding agent of concrete, the most widely used, durable building material. Its production is responsible for 5-8% of anthropogenic carbon dioxide production¹. In spite of its great practical importance, there is only limited understanding of the fundamental physicochemical processes that give the material its functional properties. At present, this lack of understanding hinders progress towards 'rational' cement design, which will be needed for designing novel green formulations with a reduced carbon footprint². For the control of the mechanical properties, calcium-silicate-hydrate (C-S-H), the primary hydration product of Portland cement paste, is of particular importance. C-S-H is known to precipitate as nanoscale particles from the so-called 'pore solution' that forms upon mixing the polydisperse cement powder with water. The particles form a highly cohesive gel that acts as a spontaneously densifying glue. The early-stage properties of this gel affect the ultimate strength that cement (and hence concrete) can attain upon hardening at the end of the hydration process $^{3-6}$. Hence, somewhat surprisingly, the ultimate hardness of cement, which is important for its role in concrete, is determined by the structural properties of the C-S-H gel, that is, of the soft precursor of the final hardened paste.

Experiments to probe the gel formation are challenging and therefore few in number^{7,8}. More work has been carried out, in contrast, on the hardened paste that can be probed by neutron scattering and by atomic force microscopy (AFM) or scanning electron microscopy imaging^{9–13}. These studies, mainly performed on tri-calcium silicate (the main source of C-S-H in Portland cement and often used as a model system for cement hydration), indicate an amorphous mesoscale organization comprising structural units of locally compact assemblies of calcium-silicate layers with a typical size of $\simeq 10$ nm (refs 14–17). The main theoretical approaches for modelling the structural evolution of the C-S-H gels have either assumed mesoscale nucleation and growth or nanoscale Diffusion Limited Cluster Aggregation^{18-22'}. Nucleation and growth models are based on the analysis of multicomponent phase diagrams and assume a kinetic evolution scenario to match the one observed in experiments. diffusion-limited cluster aggregation, on the other hand, assumes a completely random, irreversible (and hence purely kinetic) aggregation of colloidal nanoparticles. Calorimetric studies of the (exothermic) C-S-H production show that time evolution of this process is strongly nonmonotonic¹⁸, indicating that the gel growth goes through an acceleration and a deceleration regime, a feature that is difficult to reconcile with the growth kinetics expected for random, fractal aggregation. As a matter of fact, there is at present no theoretical approach that derives, rather than postulates, the scenario that links gel growth to the kinetics and the final morphology and properties of the hardened paste. As a consequence, there is no clear answer to the following basic questions: how can nonequilibrium aggregation, which takes place in a complex and evolving physicochemical environment, still result robustly in reproducible material properties? How does the low-density, fractal structure that is typically produced by irreversible random aggregation evolve to the high density required to give hardened cement its final strength?

One key experimental observation is that the effective interactions between the C–S–H units depend strongly on the chemical environment, which evolves in time because of the dissolution of the cement grains and the precipitation of various hydration products²³. As the chemical composition of the solvent changes, the effective attraction between the nanoscale units increases, mainly because of increasing ionic concentrations in the pore solution. Owing to the presence of multivalent ions, the effective interactions between the nanoscale units show a

combination of a short-range attraction and a longer-range electrostatic repulsion, which progressively disappears by the end of the setting^{17,23–28}. In principle, the dependence of interparticle interactions on the composition of the pore solution could be reproduced using fully atomistic models^{29,30}. However, simulation of the phase diagram of such models would be prohibitively expensive. Using a coarse-grained 'implicit solvent' model that accounts for the time evolution of the interparticle interactions can therefore help investigate how the equilibrium properties and the aggregation of the nanoscale C–S–H clusters evolve in time during hydration.

Here we report simulations that allow us to address these open questions and propose a consistent scenario for C-S-H gelation and densification. The computer simulations allow us to identify and characterize both stable and the kinetically arrested states that occur over a range of low to intermediate volume fractions, corresponding to the early stages of cement hydration. Surprisingly, we find that the morphology of the gel, the early development of mechanical strength and the continuous densification of the material are all intimately related to the underlying equilibrium-phase behaviour that is expected for the (evolving) effective interactions between the hydrates. This observation is far from obvious as out-of-equilibrium conditions prevail during gelation and densification. The implication is that the evolution of the material properties strongly depends on the thermodynamic conditions encountered on the path towards hardened cement, and is surprisingly less sensitive than expected to the precise timeline for traversing this path. These findings are important because they indicate that rational design of the properties of cement can be achieved by controlling the effective hydrate interactions along the densification path by a judicious choice of the physicochemical environment and/or the chemical composition of the pore liquid during densification. This would open the way to 'nanoscale' engineering of cement, the ultimate 'bulk' material.

Results

Model and numerical simulations. We describe the C-S-H hydrates as colloidal nanoparticles with a diameter σ (ref. 5). Without much loss of generality we assume that the interactions between the particles are pairwise additive. The characteristic features of the pair potentials were chosen to agree with experimental observations and atomistic simulations: hydration experimental observations and atomistic simulations. Hydraton experiments performed at fixed lime concentrations³¹, nanoindentation experiments in the hardened paste^{32,33} and atomistic simulations^{30,34,35} are consistent with a model where the hydrate particles of typical size $\simeq 10 \text{ nm}$ have short-ranged attractive interactions, with a strain at rupture of $\simeq 5\%$. The hydration experiments as well as calculations of ion correlation forces also indicate an intermediate-range residual repulsion (typical screening length for C-S-H in water is $\simeq 5 \text{ nm}$); the strength of this repulsion is controlled by the pH, which in turn depends on the lime concentration. The strength of the repulsion decreases in time as the lime concentration increases during the cement hydration. However, the range of the repulsion, which depends on the ionic strength, is roughly constant since the ionic strength is not changing much during lime dissolution^{16,23,36}. We therefore assume a generic model for effective interactions comprising a short-range attractive well and a long-range Yukawa repulsion³⁷:

$$\frac{V(r)}{\epsilon} = A_1 \left[\left(\frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^{2\gamma} - \left(\frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^{\gamma} \right] + A_2 \frac{e^{-\kappa r}}{r}, \qquad (1)$$

r is the interparticle distance and κ is the inverse screening length. The ratio A_1/A_2 gives the relative strength of attraction

and repulsion, while ϵ sets the energy scale. Inspired by the experimental observations, we fix two parameter values to $\gamma = 12$ and $\kappa^{-1} = 0.5\sigma$ and vary A_1 and A_2 in such a manner that the depth of the attraction well in equation (1) is fixed to $V(r_{\min}) = \epsilon$, whereas the height of the repulsive shoulder, $V(r_{\max})$, varies with the ratio A_1/A_2 . We note that we have used more parameters $(A_1, A_2 \text{ and } \epsilon)$ to describe the strength of the potential: two of the three parameters would suffice. However, by defining ϵ as the depth of the potential well, it becomes easier to compare results for different potentials with equal strength of attraction.

The choice of parameters made in the coarse-grained model allows for a reasonable comparison with the physical systems. With the particle size $\sigma \simeq 10$ nm, the position of the minimum and the repulsive shoulder in the interactions are consistent with the experimental results in ref. 31 and recent atomistic simulation studies³⁵. The reduced temperature in the simulations is $T = k_{\rm B}T_0/\epsilon$, where T_0 corresponds to the room temperature, that is, to the condition of the AFM experiments, and ϵ is the depth of the attractive well and the unit energy in the simulations. Using 2.43 g ml⁻¹ for the density of C-S-H, as in refs 30,33, setting the reduced temperature to T = 0.15 roughly corresponds to interparticle forces measured in experiments and simulations. In fact, when considering that the cohesive force measured in the experiments in the early hydration is $\simeq 0.5 \text{ nN}$ and the contact area between two C-S-H nanoparticles can be estimated $\simeq 5 \text{ nm}^2$, the unit pressure in the simulations ϵ/σ^3 corresponds to 20 MPa, which makes the mechanical strength of the gels obtained here comparable to typical values of hydrating cement paste. Moreover, the same coarse-grained model was recently used in simulations of the hardened paste at the end of the hydration³⁸. The morphological and mechanical properties obtained in those simulations agree well with the experimental observations, which further supports the choice of the coarsegrained model and interaction parameters in this work.

We have studied the phase behaviour of the model hydrate for three typical interaction potentials (shown in Fig. 1 with the corresponding parameter values listed in Table 1) within the regime relevant to the cement hydration (roughly $V(r_{max}) \leq 0.3\epsilon$): 'high shoulder' (HS), 'mid shoulder' (MS) and 'low shoulder' (LS).

Numerical simulations of the model allow us to characterize the equilibrium and nonequilibrium states for different densities and values of the reduced temperature $0.1 \le T \le 0.5$.

The densities are expressed in terms of the particle volume fraction $\phi \equiv \pi N \sigma^3 / 6V$, where N is the total number of particles and V the volume of the simulation box (see also Methods section). We use these reduced units to facilitate comparison with different real systems that would be characterized by different values of ϵ and σ but by roughly similar reduced parameters.

Clusters and preferred local packing. At low temperatures, that is, for interaction strengths sufficiently larger than $k_{\rm B}T$, we consistently observe that the particles aggregate into small clusters at low-volume fractions and form a complex gel network upon increasing ϕ , regardless of the differences in the effective potential.

However, the shapes and sizes of the clusters, as well as the gel morphology, vary distinctly with the interaction potential (see Fig. 2), suggesting that the changes in the effective interactions from HS to LS during the hydration process might drive significant morphological changes in the C–S–H gels. In Fig. 3a we compare the results of the Grand Canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) and Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations at low-volume fractions. In GCMC simulations we evaluated the free energy of single clusters of a fixed size (infinitely diluted limit), whereas MD simulations where performed on larger



Figure 1 | Interaction potentials. The curves correspond to LS, MS, HS potentials considered here as a function of the interparticle distance *r*, plus the purely attractive well case (AW) from ref. 58. The corresponding values of the parameters A_1 and A_2 , and $V(r_{max})$ are listed in Table 1.

Table 1 Potential parameters.					
Potential	A 1	A ₂	V(r _{max})/e		
LS	6.0	4.0	0.07		
MS	6.8	5.8	0.15		
HS	9.6	12	0.30		

Interaction magnitudes A_1 and A_{2r} and the shoulder height $V(r_{max})$ for the different interaction potentials.

systems (N = 6,912) at finite ϕ and included cluster-cluster interactions. In the case of the HS potential, at low-volume fractions both approaches reveal the existence of small, stable clusters of a well-defined size. At higher ϕ a percolating network forms through growth and aggregation of the clusters. As the height of the repulsive shoulder is decreased (MS), the GCMC data show that the cluster-size distribution at infinite dilution is broader and shifted towards larger cluster sizes. In addition, the MD simulations for MS show evidence of large, system-spanning clusters at lower ϕ . The trend towards aggregation is even more pronounced for LS, where the GCMC simulations suggest a tendency for particles to coalesce into few large clusters (at the expense of clusters of smaller size). In fact, for LS there is no longer a sharp cutoff in the cluster-size distribution. Hence, small clusters, once nucleated, tend to coalesce rapidly. This is clear from the corresponding MD simulations that show clear evidence for aggregation, even at low-volume fractions ($\phi = 0.052$). One question is obviously whether the cluster phase is thermodynamically stable (and, if so, whether it remains so upon changing the potential). In particular, one might envisage a situation where the cluster phase is metastable with respect to crystallization. To estimate the relative stability of the crystalline and the cluster phases, we have computed the chemical potential of the constituent hydrate particles both in the cluster phase and in the crystalline phase. In these calculations, we kept the temperatures and pressures of the disordered and ordered phases the same. Hence, the relative stability of the two phases is completely determined by the chemical potential. For the crystalline phase, we used thermodynamic integration (Einstein



Figure 2 | **Simulation snapshots.** Snapshots from MD simulations for HS (**a**) and LS (**b**) at different volume fractions ϕ and T = 0.15. We show only bonds between particles and the colour code indicates the coordination number, varying between 0 (light grey) to 12 (dark red).

crystal method) to compute the free energy per particle of the crystal as a function of temperature, at constant pressure (the density was chosen such that $P \approx 0$). From the free energy per particle, we directly obtain the chemical potential of the particles in the crystal. Table 2 summarizes these results. For the clusterfluid phase, we determined the chemical potential by using the Widom particle insertion method (see Methods) at volume fractions between $\phi = 0.026$ and $\phi = 0.0104$ and pressure $\simeq 0$. The results are plotted in Fig. 3b,c for HS and LS, respectively, where they are compared with the chemical potential of the crystalline states (black symbols *) obtained from the free energy calculated using the Einstein crystal method and thermodynamic integration (see Methods). For HS the clusters are clearly more stable than the crystalline states. Going to LS instead, the crystalline states have comparable or lower free energies, supporting the idea that in this case the clusters, even though they persist throughout the simulation runs, are only metastable.

In all cases, the shapes of the clusters change with their size: small clusters tend to be roughly spherical, whereas larger clusters are more elongated. A quantitative measure of the elongation is given by the normalized asphericity b/R_{g}^{2} (see Methods), which equals zero for a spherically symmetric object, +1 for a needle and -0.5 for a disk. The dependence of the asphericity on the cluster size is plotted in Fig. 4a. The data show that upon going from the HS to the LS potential the asphericity decreases: small- and intermediate-size clusters have a fibrillar shape in HS and MS, whereas they are much more spherical in LS, where the asphericity emerges only for much larger clusters (see Supplementary Fig. 1). Analysis of the particle arrangements inside the aggregates reveals that the (metastable) clusters for the LS case are quite crystalline (see Supplementary Fig. 2). The rotational invariants \hat{w}_6 and \hat{w}_4 computed from the local bond orientational order (BOO; see Methods)³⁹ allow us to distinguish face-centred cubic (fcc) or hexagonal close-packed (hcp) crystals from the orientational order typical of Bernal spirals (BS), which is compatible with the fibrillar growth of the clusters in HS and MS. Figure 4b shows indeed that the nature of the local packing in the LS clusters is quite different from that in the HS clusters: for the LS potential, the local packing in clusters appears to fall in the fcc-hcp range, whereas the HS clusters have a structure that is more similar to

that of a BS, typical of a fibril-like growth⁴⁰ (see Supplementary Figs 3 and 4).

Arrested states and hydration kinetics. Upon increasing the volume fraction of hydrate particles, the clusters grow and interconnect into a gel. The morphology and local packing of this gel also depends on shape of the interaction potential. For HS potential, the fibrillar crystals that are stable at low densities easily grow into a system-spanning network at relatively low-volume fractions, allowing for early development of mechanical properties. Upon decreasing the intermediate-range repulsion towards LS, the fibrils are progressively substituted by large dense and crystalline clusters coexisting with a gas phase. These large clusters can form system-spanning arrested states only at highervolume fractions (with respect to HS) while favouring the growth of extended and homogeneous high-density regions in the material. The observed equilibrium and arrested states are summarized in the diagrams of Fig. 5. The solid blue line in HS marks the onset of the geometric percolation of the fibrillar clusters (see Supplementary Fig. 5). Along the dashed lines, energy fluctuations measured in MD simulations (NVT, i.e. at constant number of particles N, volume V, and temperature T), display a very weak maximum for HS, as breaking and reforming fibrillar clusters become persistent, and a rather sharp peak in LS, as clusters form from the gas phase, suggesting for LS some kind of coexistence (gas-solid or gas-liquid; see Supplementary Fig. 6). The analysis of equilibrium and arrested states discussed so far indicates that packing, local density and morphology changes can occur in the assembly of C-S-H nanoparticles because of changes in the effective interactions during cement hydration (from HS to LS), characterized by a continuous increase in the lime concentration due to the dissolution of the cement grains. As the lime concentration increases, the electrostatic repulsion between the nanoscale hydrates is progressively screened¹⁶ (that is, going from HS to LS). In our model, we assumed that the C-S-H nanoscale units are monodisperse. This is of course an oversimplification: although these nanoclusters have a characteristic size, they seem to be closer to platelet than spheres and tend to be quite polydisperse^{11,31,41,42}. Size and shape polydispersity is likely to decrease the stability and predominance of any crystalline



Figure 3 | Clusters and crystalline states. (a) Cluster size distribution n_s from GCMC simulations up to s = 70 at T = 0.25: HS (triangles), MS (circles) and LS (squares). The inset displays n_s at the same *T* obtained from the MD simulations at $\phi = 0.052$ for N = 6912 particles. The lines are a guide to the eye. (**b**,**c**) The values of the chemical potential of the cristalline states (asterisks) from Table 2 are compared with the one in the cluster phase at different volume fractions, computed by Widom insertion for HS (**b**) and LS (**c**), as a function of temperature. The dashed lines in **c** refer to the values measured in the region of coexistence of gas and crystalline clusters.

structure. However, we expect that the overall aggregation, gelation and densification scenarios discussed above still apply. Aggregate morphologies very similar to the ones discussed here in our equilibrium simulations were indeed observed in nonequilibrium simulations mimicking the precipitation and

Table 2 | Thermodynamic integration.

	HS	HS	LS	LS		
$K_{\rm B}T/\epsilon$	0.15	0.30	0.15	0.30		
ϕ_{equil}	0.59	0.58	0.60	0.59		
$A/(Nk_BT)$	- 1.34	3.55	- 21.8	- 6.81		
μ/ϵ	- 0.21	1.06	- 3.27	- 2.04		
HS, high shoulder; LS, low shoulder. Table summarizing the results of the thermodynamic integration for the free energy $A/N\epsilon$ and						

the chemical potential μ/ϵ of the crystalline states.

densification of C-S-H gels with the same effective interactions^{43,44}. As a matter of fact, the anisotropic growth characteristic of the HS regime, followed by branching, and the densification/rigidification favoured by an evolution towards MS and LS can be directly associated to hallmark steps in cement hydration, corresponding to different stages of the kinetics. Figure 6a shows the hydration curve measured via calorimetry (reprinted from ref. 6): the colour bar indicates the possible evolution of the effective interactions over time¹⁶. The early times, corresponding to lower lime concentrations and HS interactions, are characterized by an accelerating regime corresponding to the growth of fibrils that rapidly lead to branching and percolation. The space-filling gel slows down the kinetics and the deceleration regime follows: however, it corresponds to a change towards LS, which favours further densification. Summarizing our findings, the plot in Fig. 6b illustrates the pathways to mechanical strength (Supplementary Fig. 7 shows a more detailed analysis). To achieve optimal mechanical strength, cement hydrates should first form an open percolating network that can act as a scaffold to form homogeneous dense structures through the densification pathways. The dashed lines depict the sequences of equilibrium structures upon densification at fixed interaction potentials (HS: blue, MS: black, LS: red), whereas the arrow with the changing background colour indicates a possible nonequilibrium pathway with time-varying interactions from HS to MS and LS, consistent with the experiments in ref. 16. Such a pathway can be manipulated by controlling the chemical composition and its evolution before and during hydration. Building on the results obtained here, one could quantitatively explore the consequences, for the morphology and the mechanical properties, of a different evolution of the effective interactions by suitably designed nonequilibrium simulations. The Supplementary Movie 1 issued from preliminary simulations serves as a proof of concept. These ideas call for a systematic analysis of how changing chemical composition of the dissolving cement and/or chemicophysical conditions (that is, controlling pH, temperature or using various additives) may change the nanoscale effective interactions during hydration as well as their evolution in time. Such an analysis would benefit from experimental, theoretical and simulations combined efforts. With such an analysis at hand, our approach would allow for quantitative predictions of which chemicophysical modifications of C-S-H and cement hydration can enhance strength development, final mechanical performance and durability.

Discussion

The simulations presented in this paper provide new insight into how the underlying chemistry of cement formation allows this material to attain its unique mechanical strength, and explain how the natural time evolution of the interactions between hydrate nanoparticles is crucial for attaining the mechanical



Figure 4 | Cluster morphology and local order. (a) Asphericity parameter, computed from the gyration tensor of the clusters, as a function of the cluster size at $\phi = 0.052$ and T = 0.15 for HS, MS and LS. (b) Heat maps of (\hat{w}_6, \hat{w}_4) values at $\phi = 0.079$ and T = 0.15 for HS and LS (c).



Figure 5 | Summary of the observed phases. The circles indicate the gas phase, the squares the clusters, the triangles the gel states and the diamonds the crystalline states for HS (a) and LS (b). In HS the solid blue line indicates the geometric percolation of the fibrillar clusters. The dashed lines correspond to the, respectively, weak (for HS) and sharp (for LS) increase in the energy fluctuations measured in MD (NVT) simulations (see Supplementary Fig. 6).



Figure 6 | Hydration kinetics and nanoscale interactions. (a) The hydration rate as measured via calorimetry during cement hydration reprinted from ref. 6 (with permission from Elsevier, published subscribed access). It displays the acceleration, deceleration and further densification regime of the kinetics. The colour bar indicates the corresponding evolution of the effective interactions from HS to LS. **(b)** Schematic drawing of pathways to mechanical strength. To achieve optimal mechanical strength, cement hydrates should first form an open percolating network that can act as a scaffold to form homogeneous dense structures (see text). The figure shows the aggregation pathways (dashed lines) observed in our simulations of HS (blue), MS (black) and LS (red), whereas the arrow indicates a possible pathway during cement hydration. The axis of local packings measures the transition from fibrillar (for example, BS) to compact (fcc/hcp) structures while the system densifies (increasing the volume fraction ϕ). As can be seen from the figure, repulsive interactions (HS or MS) are essential for forming the open, fibrillar scaffold. Subsequently, these structures form more compact (fcc or hcp) structures. The transition to a mainly attractive (LS) potential favours hcp. However, the LS potential alone cannot form the low-density fibrillar network and would therefore lead to the formation of heterogeneous high-density structures.

strength. As the hydrate concentration increases, the repulsion between the nanoparticles gets weaker and densification sets in through thickening of the strands of the gel. This thickening results in increased mechanical strength. Importantly, as gel formation already takes place at low-volume fractions with HS, the densification happens uniformly throughout the system. This is important for the development of mechanical strength: if the densification were to take place through phase separation involving large droplets or crystallites, the resulting material would lack the cohesion that is crucial for its mechanical strength. Moreover, the evolution of the chemical environment that progressively screens electrostatic repulsion as in LS ends up favouring further the continuous densification of the material and hence the development of the mechanical strength needed for construction materials.

Understanding the mechanism by which cement is able to attain its unique mechanical strength is important for the rational design of novel, cement-like construction materials. The implication is a novel perspective and opportunity to start designing the hydration process and material properties. By providing new fundamental understanding, our study gives a proof of principles of how controlling and changing the chemical composition and the time evolution of the chemical environment can become the handles for a scientifically guided material design of cements. While indicating a novel investigation path for nanoscale research in cement, our work provides a new opportunity to bridge the microscopic features of the material with the engineering-scale description as attempted in refs 45–47. The same insights could also be used profitably to achieve high mechanical strength in other (for example, polymeric) materials that can be formed by nanoparticle aggregation.

Methods

MD and Monte Carlo simulations. We have investigated the three cases (LS, MS and HS) by MD simulations in the microcanonical (NVE, i.e., at constant number of particles N, volume V and energy E) and canonical (NVT) ensemble using a Nosé-Hoover thermostat⁴⁸. Initial configurations of 2,048 and 6,912 particles were prepared at different fixed-volume fractions by varying the simulation box size (from $L_{\text{box}} = 13.679\sigma$ to $L_{\text{box}} = 51.706\sigma$), at high relative temperature (T = 1), and slowly cooled to carefully equilibrate them at T = 0.15. We used a time step $\delta t = 0.0025$ for the MD, with up to 10^7 steps for thermalization. All the results presented from the MD simulations are averaged over five independent samples. From the particle number density ρ we estimate the fraction ϕ of the total volume occupied by LAMMPS⁴⁹. We have monitored internal energy, kinetic energy and different time-correlation functions, which did not show any significant aging up to $\phi \simeq 0.15$. For $\phi > 0.15$ the thermalization requires increasingly longer times and in the simulations we obtained arrested states that depend on the thermalization protocol and on the system size.

In order to sample more efficiently the equilibrium phases, we have performed parallel tempering Monte Carlo (MC) simulations⁵⁰. We simulated M = 40 replicas of the same system at different temperatures T_1, \ldots, T_M . The replicas' temperatures range from $T_1 = 0.15$ to $T_M = 0.7$ in such a way that the two adjacent temperatures differ by a factor of $T_{i+1}/T_i = 1.04$. Replicas are either randomly initialized or initialized from the MD configurations equilibrated at the corresponding temperatures. For each replica we perform the same number of MC steps at a given temperature using MC moves of individual particles as well as cluster moves, that is, translation moves of all the particles that belong to the same cluster. Cluster moves that result in cluster fusion are rejected.

We attempt to swap particle configurations between two adjacent replicas i and i + 1 with the prescribed Metropolis-based probability⁵⁰,

$$P = \min\{1, \exp\left[\left(T_i^{-1} - T_{i+1}^{-1}\right)\left(U_i - U_{i+1}\right)\right]\}$$
(2)

where T_i and T_{i+1} are the temperatures and U_i and U_{i+1} are the total potential energies of the two replicas. In order to achieve sufficient swapping acceptance between replicas, the average energy difference between the adjacent replicas $U_i - U_{i+1}$ need to be comparable to energy fluctuations. Since expected energy U_i scales linearly with the number of particles N, whereas the corresponding fluctuations as \sqrt{N} , the larger the number of particles the smaller the temperature difference between the adjacent replicas needed to be, that is, more replicas are needed to cover the desired temperature range. Therefore, for MC we have used a smaller number of particles (N \simeq 500). This enables for a successful replicas-swapping with acceptance probabilities of \sim 0.10–0.3, which is considered an optimal choice⁵¹. We performed simulations with 20–80 \times 10⁶ MC steps, using the first half for equilibration and the second half for sampling.

The percolation thresholds just reported depend on the temperature and also on the cooling protocol used for the systems at different T and ϕ . They have been evaluated for a fixed system size; hence, they are not intended to be exact by any means, since this would require a finite-size scaling analysis. The arrested states discussed here depend of course on the specific kinetic path selected by the MD simulations, that is, they are specific of the NVE or NVT MD simulations performed and of the cooling protocol chosen. We have verified that, for sufficiently slow cooling, the gel morphology and properties discussed here are not significantly affected by the cooling rate. They could change if MD simulations were performed at constant pressure (rather than at constant volume), although we have monitored the pressure in our simulations and we could not detect any steep or abrupt change in pressure upon gel formation. On this basis, we do not expect too large differences. With respect to experimental conditions, one could expect gelation to result into the development of tensile internal stresses because of the net attractive interactions that drive the gelation and to the formation of a poorly connected structure. Hence, the periodic boundary conditions and the imposed fixed volume used for the MD simulations could share some similarities with the experimental conditions under which gels are forming.

Free energy calculations. We have used a reversible path between the solid obtained in the simulations and an ideal Einstein crystal with the same structure^{52,53}. The ideal Einstein crystal consists of particles attached to their lattice positions via harmonic springs of constant Λ_E , and its free energy $A_0(T,\rho)$ can be analytically evaluated. The free energy difference, $\Delta A_1(T,\rho)$, between the ideal Einstein crystal and the interacting Einstein crystal (in which particles interact through the interparticle potential used in the simulations) can be expressed as

$$\Delta A_1(T,\rho) = U_{\text{lattice}} - Nk_{\text{B}}T \log \langle \exp[-\beta(U_s - U_{\text{lattice}})] \rangle$$
(3)

where U_{lattice} is the potential energy of the perfect lattice and U_{s} the potential energy of the solid obtained in the simulations with the given interparticle interactions. The free energy difference between the interacting Einstein crystal and this solid $\Delta A_2(T,\rho)$ can be computed as

$$\Delta A_2(T,\rho) = -\int_0^{\Lambda_E} \left\langle \sum_i^N (r_i - r_{i,0})^2 \right\rangle \mathrm{d}\Lambda'_E \tag{4}$$

where the integrand is the mean square displacement of particles from their lattice positions. To calculate $\Delta A_1(T,\rho)$ and $\Delta A_2(T,\rho)$, we performed canonical (NPT, i.e. at constant number of particles N, pressure P and temperature T) MD simulations of 2,048 particles at zero pressure and different temperatures using LAMMPS^{49,54}. From $\Delta A_1(T,\rho)$ and $\Delta A_2(T,\rho)$, we get the free energy of the solid obtained in the simulations as

$$A_{s}(T,\rho) = A_{0}(T,\rho) + \Delta A_{1}(T,\rho) + \Delta A_{2}(T,\rho).$$
 (5)

Additional thermodynamic information has been obtained by measuring the chemical potential using the Widom insertion method⁵⁵. The total chemical potential μ of interacting particles is composed of two parts,

$$= k_{\rm B} T \log \rho + \mu_{\rm exc} \tag{6}$$

with the first term corresponding to an ideal gas contribution of density ρ , and μ_{exc} being the excess part due to the presence of particle–particle interactions. For the purpose of test particle insertions, we used particle configurations obtained by the MC simulations. From the resulting potential energies, U_i of the inserted particle at random positions (2 × 10⁶ per sampled snapshot), the excess chemical potential can be evaluated from

$$e^{-\beta\mu_{\rm exc}} = \langle e^{-\beta U_i} \rangle \tag{7}$$

where $\langle \ldots \rangle$ denotes the average over all particle positions over the whole sampled trajectories.

Owing to the lower repulsion, LS crystals have much lower free energy than the HS ones (The free energies of the fcc and hcp crystals are the same within the error bar of our calculation; therefore, we cannot distinguish which crystal is more stable.).

Grand canonical simulations. As already mentioned, in cases of low ϕ we obtain persistent clusters whose nature, stable or metastable, is difficult to discriminate. To elucidate this point, we have also evaluated the cluster-size distribution by free energy calculation using GCMC simulations. We followed the same procedure introduced to investigate micelle formation in refs 56,57.

In an approximation of a dilute gas of clusters, such that interactions between the clusters are negligible, we can decompose the total partition function of the system into contributions of individual clusters

$$Q = \prod_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{Q_s^{N_s}}{N_s!} = \prod_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{V^{N_s}}{N_s!} \left(\frac{Q_s}{Q_1}\right)^{N_s}$$
(8)

where N_s is the number of clusters with size s, V is the volume of the system and Q_s is the single cluster (of size s) partition function. We have ignored the (irrelevant) factor because of the thermal de Broglie wavelength. Upon minimizing the total free energy $-k_B T \log Q$ with respect to cluster size N_s , with the constraint of total number of particles $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} sN_s = N$, one obtains the number of clusters of size s in equilibrium,

$$\frac{N_s}{V} = \left(\frac{N_1}{V}\right)^s \left(\frac{Q_s}{Q_1}\right). \tag{9}$$

The related cluster-size distribution is therefore $n_s = N_s/N_{ch}$ where $N_{cl} = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} N_s$ corresponds to the total number of clusters in the system. This yields

$$n_s = n_1 \ e^{\beta \tilde{\mu}(s-1)} \frac{Q_s}{Q_1} \quad \text{where} \quad e^{\beta \tilde{\mu}} \equiv \rho \frac{N_1}{N}$$
(10)

with $\rho = N/V$ being the particle number density. The parameter $\tilde{\mu}$ plays the role of the chemical potential in a diluted system with $\beta = 1/k_{\rm B}T$, and the parameters $n_1 = N_1/N_{\rm cl}$ and $\tilde{\mu}$ are fixed by the two constraints

$$\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} n_s = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad N_{\rm cl} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} s n_s = N \tag{11}$$

The ratio of partition functions Q_s/Q_1 is computed in the GCMC simulations. As in the MC simulations, we implemented a parallel tempering scheme, but limited the simulations to one single cluster by rejecting all the moves that would break the cluster. That is, besides particle translation moves as in usual MC, we also

performed insertions and deletions of particles according to the standard GCMC scheme with the restriction to preserve a single cluster in the system, that is, only insertions within the attractive wells of particle interactions were attempted. Here the volume in the standard GCMC acceptance rule for the particle insertion corresponds to the total volume of all attractive wells. In order to preserve detailed balance, in case of overlap of *k* attractive wells of neighbouring particles at the location of an insertion attempt, the standard insertion acceptance probability needs to be corrected (multiplied) by a factor 1/k. During the simulation, we were sampling the probability distribution of the single cluster size P(s), which is related to the ratio of the particion functions as

$$\frac{Q_s}{Q_1} = \frac{P(s)}{P(1)} e^{-\beta \mu_{\rm GC}(s-1)}$$
(12)

where $\mu_{\rm GC}$ is an arbitrary value used in the simulations for the chemical potential, which does not affect the ratio Q_s/Q_1 but influences the sampling efficiency. The chemical potential $\mu_{\rm GC}$ used in GCMC should not be confused with $\tilde{\mu}$ in equation (10). In order to further increase sampling efficiency, we have implemented the standard Umbrella Sampling scheme where we sample at once a cluster of only two possible sizes, *s* and *s* + 1. The results for all cluster sizes are obtained by stitching together the simulation results of different cluster sizes⁵⁷.

Observables. The radial distribution function g(r) is defined as

$$g(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi r^2 N \rho} \left\langle \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} \delta(r - r_{ij}) \right\rangle, \tag{13}$$

where N is the number of particles and ρ their density. We define a cluster as a group of those particles that are mutually separated by $r \leq r_{c}$, where r_{c} is distance of the minimum followed by the first peak in g(r). As the aggregation proceeds, we sample the cluster-size distribution as the number of clusters n_{s} composed of s particles. To characterize the morphology of such clusters, we determine the gyration tensor

$$S_{ab}(s) = \frac{1}{N_s} \sum_{j=1}^{N_s} \left[\frac{1}{s} \sum_{i=1}^s (r_a^i - r_{a,\text{CM}}) (r_b^i - r_{b,\text{CM}}) \right]$$
(14)

where N_s is the total number of cluster of *s* particles, r_a^i is the $a_{\rm th}$ coordinate of the position vector \mathbf{r}^i of the $i_{\rm th}$ particle belonging to the cluster *j* and r_a , CM = $1/s \sum_{i=1}^{s} r_a^i$ is the $a_{\rm th}$ coordinate of the position of the centre of mass of cluster *j*. The gyration radius is defined as the sum of the eigenvalues of the gyration tensor:

$$R_g^2(s) = \operatorname{Tr} S_{ab}(s) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \tag{15}$$

where the eigenvalues of $S_{ab}(s)$ are sorted in descending order, that is, $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \lambda_3$. In addition, the asphericity parameter

$$b = \lambda_1 - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3). \tag{16}$$

measures the deviation from the spherical symmetry (b=0). Finally, the BOO parameters $\bar{q}_{lm}(i)$ enable us to characterize the local order³⁹. The BOO are defined as

$$\bar{q}_{lm}(i) = \frac{1}{N_{b_i}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{b_j}} Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij})$$
(17)

where N_{b_i} is the number of the bonded neighbours of particle *i* (using the cutoff distance r_c). The unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij}$ specifies the orientation of the bond between particles *i* and *j*. The $Y_{lm}(\hat{r}_{ij})$ are the corresponding spherical harmonics. The second-order rotational invariants

$$q_l(i) = \left[\frac{4\pi}{2l+1} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} |\bar{q}_{lm}(i)|^2\right]^{1/2}$$
(18)

and the normalized third-order invariants

$$\hat{w}_{l}(i) = w_{l}(i) / \left[\sum_{m=-l}^{l} |\bar{q}_{lm}(i)|^{2} \right]^{3/2}$$
(19)

with

$$w_{l}(i) = \sum_{\substack{m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3} \\ m_{1} + m_{2} + m_{3} = 0}} \begin{pmatrix} l & l & l \\ m_{1} & m_{2} & m_{3} \end{pmatrix} \bar{q}_{lm_{1}}(i) \bar{q}_{lm_{2}}(i) \bar{q}_{lm_{3}}(i)$$
(20)

(where the coefficients in the sum are the Wigner 3-j coefficients) can be used to identify specific orientational order. In fact, the first non-zero $\bar{q}_{lm}(i)$ (apart from l=m=0) as found in systems with cubic symmetry for l=4 and in systems with icosahedral symmetry for l=6. The BOO parameters $(q_4, q_6, \hat{w}_4, \hat{w}_6)$ are generally sufficient to characterize orientational order typical of crystalline solids, colloidal gels and glasses. We use \hat{w}_4 and \hat{w}_6 , as they are sufficient to characterize the local

order of interest and distinguish between fcc and hcp crystals. We compute the BOO locally for each particle within the cutoff distance r_c .

Data availability. The authors declare that all data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its Supplementary Information Files.

References

- Boden, T. A., Marland, G. & Andres, R.J. *Global, Regional, and National Fossil-Fuel CO2 Emissions*. (Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory and U.S. Department of Energy, 2012).
- Flatt, R. J., Roussel, N. & Cheeseman, C. R. Concrete: an eco material that needs to be improved. J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 32, 2787–2798 (2012).
- 3. Bernal, J. D. in Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium on the Chemistry of Cement 216–236 (1954).
- 4. Taylor, H. Cement Chemistry (Thomas Telford Publishing, 1997).
- Allen, A. J., Thomas, J. J. & Jennings, H. M. Composition and density of nanoscale calcium-silicate-hydrate in cement. *Nat. Mater.* 6, 311–316 (2007).
- Bullard, J. W. *et al.* Mechanisms of cement hydration. *Cem. Concr. Res.* 41, 1208–1223 (2011).
- Allen, A. J., McLaughlin, J. C., Neumann, D. A. & Livingston., R. A. *In situ* quasi-elastic scattering characterization of particle size effects on the hydration of tricalcium silicate. *J. Mater. Res.* 19, 3242–3254 (2004).
- Ridi, F., Fratini, E. & Baglioni, P. Fractal structure evolution during cement hydration by differential scanning calorimetry: effect of organic additives. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 117, 25478–25487 (2013).
- Allen, A. J. & Thomas, J. J. Analysis of C-S-H gel and cement paste by small-angle neutron scattering. *Cem. Concr. Res.* 37, 319–324 (2007).
- Thomas, J. J., Allen, A. J. & Jennings, H. M. Hydration kinetics and microstructure development of normal and CaCl²-accelerated tricalcium silicate pastes. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 113, 19836–19844 (2009).
- Chiang, W.-S., Fratini, E., Baglioni, P., Liu, D. & Chen, S.-H. Microstructure determination of calcium-silicate-hydrate globules by small-angle neutron scattering. J. Phys. Chem. C 116, 5055–5061 (2012).
- Richardson, I.G. The calcium silicate hydrates. Cem. Concr. Res. 38, 137–158 (2008).
- Lesko, S., Lesniewska, E., Nonat, A., Mutin, J. C. & Goudonnet, J. P. Investigation by atomic force microscopy of forces at the origin of cement cohesion. *Ultramicroscopy* 86, 11–21 (2001).
- Livingston, R. A., Schweitzer, J. S., Rolfs, C., Becker, H.-W. & Kubsky, S. Characterization of the induction period in tricalcium silicate hydration by nuclear resonance reaction analysis. J. Mater. Res. 16, 687–693 (2012).
- Nicoleau, L., Nonat, A. & Perrey., D. The di- and tricalcium silicate dissolutions. *Cem. Con. Res* 47, 14–30 (2013).
- Garrault, S., Finot, E., Lesniewska, E. & Nonat, A. Study of C-S-H growth on C₃S surface during its early hydration. *Mater. Struct.* 38, 435–442 (2005).
- Garrault, S., Behr, T. & Nonat, A. Formation of the C-S-H layer during early hydration of tricalcium silicate grains with different sizes. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 110, 270–275 (2006).
- Thomas, J. J. et al. Modeling and simulation of cement hydration kinetics and microstructure development. Cem. Con. Res. 41, 1257–1278 (2011).
- Bishnoi, S. & Scrivener, K. L. Mic: a new platform for modelling the hydration of cements. Cem. Con. Res. 39, 266–274 (2009).
- Jennings, H. M. A model for the microstructure of calcium silicate hydrate in cement paste. *Cem. Con. Res.* 30, 101–116 (2000).
- Thomas, J. J. & Jennings, H. M. A colloidal interpretation of chemical aging of the C-S-H gel and its effects on the properties of cement paste. *Cem. Con. Res.* 36, 30–38 (2006).
- 22. Scherer, G. W. Models of confined growth. Cem. Con. Res. 42, 1252-1260 (2012).
- Pellenq, R. J.-M. & Van Damme, H. Why does concrete set?: The nature of cohesion forces in hardened cement-based materials. *MRS Bull.* 29, 319–323 (2004).
- Pellenq, R. J.-M., Caillol, J. M. & Delville, A. Electrostatic attraction between two charged surfaces: A (N,V,T) Monte Carlo simulation. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 101, 8584–8594 (1997).
- Pellenq, R. J.-M., Lequeux, N. & van Damme, H. Engineering the bonding scheme in C-S-H: the iono-covalent framework. *Cem. Con. Res.* 38, 159–174 (2008).
- Jönsson, B., Nonat, A., Labbez, C., Cabane, B. & Wennerström, H. Controlling the cohesion of cement paste. *Langmuir* 21, 9211–9221 (2005).
- Labbez, C., Pochard, I., Jönsson, B. & Nonat., A. C-S-H/solution interface: experimental and Monte Carlo studies. *Cem. Con. Res.* 41, 161–168 (2011).
- Jho, Y. S., Brewster, R., Safran, S. A. & Pincus, P. A. Long-range interaction between heterogeneously charged membranes. *Langmuir* 27, 4439–4446 (2011).
- Dolado, J. S., Griebel, M. & Hamaekers., J. A molecular dynamic study of cementitious calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) gels. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 90, 3938–3942 (2007).

- Pellenq, R. J.-M. et al. A realistic molecular model of cement hydrates. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 106, 16102–16107 (2009).
- Plassard, C., Lesniewska, E., Pochard, I. & Nonat, A. Nanoscale experimental investigation of particle interactions at the origin of the cohesion of cement. *Langmuir* 21, 7263–7270 (2005).
- 32. Constantinides, G. & Ulm., F.-J. The nanogranular nature of C-S-H. J. Mech. Phys. Solids 55, 64–90 (2007).
- Vandamme, M. & Ulm., F.-J. Nanogranular origin of concrete creep. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 106, 10552–10557 (2009).
- Abdolhosseini Qomi, M. J. et al. Combinatorial molecular optimization of cement hydrates. Nat. Commun. 5, 4960 (2014).
- Bonnaud, P. A. et al. Interaction grand potential between calcium-silicatehydrate nanoparticles at the molecular level. Nanoscale 8, 4160–4172 (2016).
- 36. Nonat., A. The structure and stoichiometry of C-S-H. Cem. Con. Res. 34, 1521–1528 (2004).
- Israelachvili, J. N. Intermolecular and Surface Forces, Second Edition: With Applications to Colloidal and Biological Systems (Colloid Science) (Academic Press, 1992).
- Ioannidou, K. et al. Mesoscale texture of cement hydrates. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 113, 2029–2034 (2016).
- Steinhardt, P. J., Nelson, D. R. & Ronchetti, M. Bond-orientational order in liquids and glasses. *Phys. Rev. B* 28, 784–805 (1983).
- Bernal., J. D. The bakerian lecture, 1962. the structure of liquids. Proc. Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 280, 299–322 (1964).
- Brisard, S. *et al.* Morphological quantification of hierarchical geomaterials by X-ray nano-CT bridges the gap from nano to micro length scales. *Am. Mineral.* 97, 480–483 (2012).
- Masoero, E., Del Gado, E., Pellenq, R. J.-M., Ulm, F.-J. & Yip, S. Nanostructure and nanomechanics of cement: Polydisperse colloidal packing. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 109, 155503 (2012).
- Ioannidou, K., Pellenq, R. J.-M. & Del Gado, E. Controlling local packing and growth in calcium-silicate-hydrate gels. *Soft Matter* 10, 1121–1133 (2014).
- 44. Del Gado, E. *et al.* A soft matter in construction—statistical physics approach to formation and mechanics of C-S-H gels in cement. *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* 223, 2285–2295 (2014).
- 45. Pichler, B., Hellmich, C. & Eberhardsteiner, J. Spherical and acicular representation of hydrates in a micromechanical model for cement paste: prediction of early-age elasticity and strength. *Acta Mech.* 203, 137–162 (2009).
- Pichler, B. & Hellmich, C. Upscaling quasi-brittle strength of cement paste and mortar: a multi-scale engineering mechanics model. *Cem. Concr. Res.* 41, 467–476 (2011).
- 47. Pichler, B. *et al.* Effect of gel-space ratio and microstructure on strength of hydrating cementitious materials: an engineering micromechanics approach. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **45**, 55–68 (2013).
- Frenkel, D. & Smit, B. Understanding Molecular Simulation: From Algorithms to Applications (Elsevier Science, 2001).
- Plimpton, S. Fast parallel algorithms for short-range molecular dynamics. J. Comput. Phys. 117, 1–19 (1995).
- Earl, D. J. & Deem, M. W. Parallel tempering: theory, applications, and new perspectives. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 7, 3910–3916 (2005).
- 51. Rathore, N., Chopra, M. & de Pablo, J. J. Optimal allocation of replicas in parallel tempering simulations. *J. Chem. Phys.* **122**, 024111 (2005).
- Frenkel, D. & Ladd, A. J. C. New Monte Carlo method to compute the free energy of arbitrary solids. Application to the fcc and hcp phases of hard spheres. J. Chem. Phys. 81, 3188 (1984).

- 53. Vega, C., Sanz, E., Abascal, J. L. F. & Noya, E. G. Determination of phase diagrams via computer simulation: methodology and applications to water, electrolytes and proteins. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 20, 153101 (2008).
- Aragones, J. L., Valeriani, C. & Vega, C. Note: free energy calculations for atomic solids through the einstein crystal/molecule methodology using gromacs and lammps. J. Chem. Phys. 137, 146101 (2012).
- 55. Widom, B. Some topics in the theory of fluids. J. Chem. Phys. **39**, 2808–2812 (1963).
- 56. Pool, R. & Bolhuis, P. G. Accurate free energies of micelle formation. J. Phys. Chem. B 109, 6650–6657 (2005).
- 57. Kraft, D. J. *et al.* Surface roughness directed self-assembly of patchy particles into colloidal micelles. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 10787–10792 (2012).
- Vliegenthart, G. A., Lodge, J. F. M. & Lekkerkerker, H. N. W. Strong weak and metastable liquids structural and dynamical aspects of the liquid state. *Phys. A* 263, 378–388 (1999).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Roland J.-M. Pellenq for insightful discussions on effective interactions in cement hydrates. This work was supported by the SNSF (Grants No. PP00P2_126483/1 and PP00P2_150738) and Georgetown University, by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China, ERC Advanced Grant 227758 (COLSTRUCTION), ITN grant 234810 (COMPLOIDS) and by EPSRC Programme Grant EP/I001352/1. K.I. thanks the French National Research Agency (ICoME2 Labex Project ANR-11-LABX-0053 and A*MIDEX Project ANR-11-IDEX-0001-02) for support.

Author contributions

K.I., D.F., J.D. and E.D.G. designed research; K.I., M.K. and L.L. performed research; K.I., M.K. and L.L. developed analytical tools and ran the analysis; K.I., M.K., D.F., J.D. and E.D.G. wrote the paper.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/ naturecommunications

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

How to cite this article: Ioannidou, K. *et al.* The crucial effect of early-stage gelation on the mechanical properties of cement hydrates. *Nat. Commun.* 7:12106 doi: 10.1038/ncomms12106 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2016