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Abstract—High power DPSS UV laser having high repetition rates (>100 kHz) are significant part of the cost of a laser Si micro-processing system. An alternative inexpensive solution, MOPA based IR fibre lasers, have been used to machine Si with high energy shaped pulses. This investigation evaluates the single pulse machining performance of a pulse shapeable IR (1064 nm) fibre laser and a DPSS UV (355 nm) laser on Si substrates and directly compares their performance. The machined depth data was measured with a white light interferometer and the finishing quality examined for surface defects with a SEM. The theoretical analysis demonstrated rapid heating effects by taking account of the dynamic optical and thermal properties of Si for given IR laser pulse shapes. The results show that high quality Si surface micro-processing can benefit from using the more flexible, more reliable, and pulse shapeable IR fibre laser at high repetition rates which no conventional solid state IR or UV laser could achieve.
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I. INTRODUCTION

FOR MANY years, Q-switched Diode Pumped Solid State (DPSS) UV (355 nm) laser have been implemented to process Si for microelectronics, surface engineering and surface texturing [1] [2] [3] and photovoltaic applications [4] [5]. A 355 nm DPSS laser was used to structure a Si substrate for improved surface hydrophobicity, the structured micro-size pits could then be imprinted onto the sol-gel film for mass production [6]. Besides scribing and edge isolation, photovoltaic cells (black silicon) were textured to increase solar radiation absorption with lasers of different wavelengths to trap light [7] [8].

There is a continuous push for increased UV power and conversion efficiency to improve manufacturing throughput, unfortunately DPSS UV lasers have reduced average power and pulse peak power with increasing repetition rates. Recently 43.7 W at 400 kHz has been reported with ~41.6% conversion efficiency [9]. However, challenges such as complicated optics, stable resonators, pump energy delivery and thermal management are unavoidable during scale-up which result in high system costs. As a result Si device manufacturers are looking for an alternative laser source capable of equivalent quality.

IR fibre lasers have already demonstrated high quality machining of Si using high peak power pulses [10] [11]. The machined depth and quality were investigated with IR pulses of different pulse lengths and peak powers [12] [13]. The energy coupling was thought to be different from the more common IR laser volumetric heating of Si with the fast rising, high front peak pulse shape effecting a change in a material’s optical and thermal properties [14]. There is a lack of published research directly comparing the single pulse processing of Si for the two laser types with the aid of surface temperature distribution.

The paper seeks to evaluate the machining performance of IR fibre laser against a DPSS UV laser in terms of single pulse material interaction on Si. The comparison addresses the single pulse machined depth, machining mechanism, energy efficiency and hole quality. The temperature distribution of a Si surface is computed based on the heat conduction model for both the UV and IR laser, results then used to explain the empirical findings. The outcome evaluation will assist the laser selection decision for the photovoltaic and surface engineering applications.

II. EXPERIMENTS

The IR (1064 nm) source was a MOPA based 20 W pulsed Yb doped fibre laser, G3 SM-S00044_1, from SPI lasers, Southampton, UK. The laser has 25 pre-set waveforms for optimised peak pulse power at specified pulse lengths, some shown in Fig. 1. The laser beam is delivered to the work piece using a XLR8 x-y scan head from Nutfield Technology Inc.,
Hudson, USA. Scan patterns are software generated (WaveRunner, Nutfield Inc.) which allowed the materials interactions to be investigated whilst allowing full control of all laser parameters. An F-theta scan lens of focal length 125.1 mm is used, the minimum spot size was calculated to be 25.4 µm with a beam input diameter of 8 mm.

The UV laser (355 nm) used was a Coherent AVIA 355-10 (Santa Clara, CA, USA). The UV laser was integrated with a similar scan head containing a 103 mm focal lens. The minimum spot size was calculated to be 17 µm with the beam input diameter of 3.5 mm.

Both laser system specifications are summarised in Table 1 for comparison.

The pulse shapes of both the IR laser and the UV laser were measured. The tools used included a Si Biased Detector (DET10A/M, 200-1100 nm, 1 ns Rise Time, THORLABS), a digital oscilloscope (Lecroy WaveRunner 6050A, 500MHz analogue bandwidth, 5 GS/s single-shot sample rate). The photodiode was placed a few centimetres from the laser material interaction zone to collect scattered light, the laser being defocused to avoid ablation. During the measurement process the substrate was not melted, which limited variation of the amount of light with temperature.

The measured data was integrated with pulse energy to calculate the peak power, Fig. 1, Fig. 2 and Fig. 3. The 200 ns IR laser pulse has a shape with fast rise time (<7.5 ns for a 10-90% rise in signal) and an energetic long tail (~180 ns). The UV pulses are pseudo-Gaussian shaped with pulse length of 35-58 ns, FWHM 20-30 ns, and 12-14 ns for a 10-90% rise in signal. The difference in IR shaped pulses especially in the front peak with varying pulse energy was found to be extremely important in machining Si in previous studies. Both the 65 ns and 200 ns IR pulses with varying pulse energies were selected for the machining comparison to examine the effects of IR shaped pulses. The 65 ns IR pulse is the closest to UV pulses in length (58 ns), the 200 ns pulse represents the full feature of the IR laser shaped pulse; fast rise, high front peak and long energy tail, Fig. 1.

The lasers were focussed onto the surface of a polished single crystalline Si wafer, 650 µm thick, with a <111> crystallographic orientation. Samples were examined using a Veeco NT3300 white light interferometer for profile, and a Zeiss 1640 cross beam scanning electron microscope to examine surface quality. All processing was done in ambient air, at standard temperature and pressure.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laser parameters</th>
<th>IR fibre laser</th>
<th>DPSS UV laser [31]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength λ</td>
<td>1062±10 nm</td>
<td>354.7 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. Ave. Power P&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>20 W</td>
<td>10 W at 60 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. pulse energy E&lt;sub&gt;p&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.55 mJ @ 35 kHz</td>
<td>0.17 mJ @ 60 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse Rep. Frequency</td>
<td>CW-500 kHz</td>
<td>1-300 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak power</td>
<td>&lt;7 kW</td>
<td>6.6 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waveforms</td>
<td>25 preset</td>
<td>Pseudo Gaussian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam diameter</td>
<td>1 mm (x8 expansion)</td>
<td>3.5 mm ± 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse length</td>
<td>20-220 ns</td>
<td>&lt;60 ns (&lt;35 ns FWHM up to 60 kHz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III. MATERIAL PROPERTIES

Silicon has a long (200 µm) optical penetration depth at ~1 µm wavelength at room temperature, but this is not representative of the conditions during processing. At elevated temperatures, from 300 K to 1140 K, the absorption coefficient has been shown to increase significantly from ~50 cm⁻¹ to over 10⁶ cm⁻¹ at 1064 nm, Fig. 4 [15]–[17]. The inset equation was later used in the theoretical calculations.

As an indirect bandgap material silicon allows only phonon-assisted transitions. The probability of such transitions depends on the phonon occupancy and is both low and temperature dependent. A temperature rise causes an increase in the phonon population, which then facilitates more phonon-electron energy exchanges. Instead of oscillation and re-radiation, the electrons are more likely to interact with the structure and further increase the absorption [18]. When silicon becomes molten, the absorption coefficient increases significantly as a result of many more valence electrons and adopts a metallic behaviour [19]. The optical penetration length of liquid Si decreases abruptly to 14.3 nm which allows the absorption of the laser radiation to take place very near to the surface [20], [21].

The optical and thermal properties of solid Si can be written as a function of temperature, TABLE II. The increase in absorption coefficient of Si makes laser heating of Si much more effective and the optical penetration length \( l_p \) decreases with increasing temperature. Both changes are in favour of the laser machining of Si at 1062 nm wavelength. For the UV pulses, the optical absorption depth is as little as 10 nm for solid Si [22] and 7.7 nm for liquid Si [23], and remains constant across the temperature range for solid Si [24].

IV. THEORETICAL ANALYSIS

With the dynamic material properties and IR laser’s asymmetrical temporal pulse shape, the classic Fourier heat conduction equation cannot be applied directly to calculate the temperature distribution. Instead, the laser pulse was divided into many small equal time intervals.

\[
t = t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n = n t_n
\]

where \( n \) is the number of time intervals over time, \( t \). A square pulse was considered within each time interval \( t_n = 0.2 \text{ ns} \) and the material properties constant within each interval. The laser power density at each time interval, \( I_n \), was calculated from the measured profiles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property (Solid Si)</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Refs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absorption coefficient ( (\alpha) ) @ 1062 nm</td>
<td>0.0004T² + 0.9565T - 287.95</td>
<td>cm⁻¹</td>
<td>[15]–[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflectivity ( (R) ) @ 1152 nm</td>
<td>31.2 + 2.2 × 10⁻³T</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption coefficient ( (\alpha) ) @ 355 nm</td>
<td>10⁶</td>
<td>cm⁻¹</td>
<td>[22], [32], [33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflectivity ( (R) ) @ 355 nm</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat ( (C_p) )</td>
<td>0.694 × e².375x10⁻⁴T</td>
<td>J/g·K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (( \rho ))</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>g/cm³</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity ( (\kappa) )</td>
<td>15217 + 1226 for ( T \leq 1200 ) K, 9897 - 0.502 for 1200 K &lt; ( T \leq T_m )</td>
<td>J/cm·s·K</td>
<td>[35], [36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal diffusivity ( (D) )</td>
<td>( \frac{\kappa}{\rho C_p} )</td>
<td>cm²/s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Depending on the relationship between the thermal diffusion length \( l_T = 2\sqrt{D_T} \) (\( \tau \) is the pulse length) and the optical penetration length \( l_p \), the expression for surface temperature \( T_s(n) \) at the centre of the irradiated zone can be derived based on equations from [25], [26].

In the case of a volume heating source \( (l_p \gg l_T) \),

\[
T_s(n) = \delta T + T_s(n-1) - \frac{\alpha(n-1) l_n(1 - R(n-1)) \kappa}{\rho C_p(n-1)} + T_s(n-1)
\]

\[
T_s(n) = \frac{\alpha(n-1) l_n(1 - R(n-1)) \kappa}{\rho C_p(n-1)} + T_s(n-1)
\]

Similarly in the case of a surface heating source \( (l_p \ll l_T) \),

\[
T_s(n) = \delta T + T_s(n-1) - \frac{2 I_n(1 - R(n-1)) \sqrt{D(n-1) l_n}}{\kappa(n-1) \sqrt{\pi}} + T_s(n-1)
\]

\[
T_s(n) = \frac{2 I_n(1 - R(n-1)) \sqrt{D(n-1) l_n}}{\kappa(n-1) \sqrt{\pi}} + T_s(n-1)
\]

and
\[ I_0 = \sqrt{\frac{I_0^2 + I_0^2 + I_0^2}{n}}. \quad (4) \]

\( I_0 \) is the incident laser irradiance at the surface, and \( T_0 \) is the sample’s initial temperature (300 K) across the target. \( \alpha, R, C, \kappa \) and \( D \) with the subscript are the temperature dependent absorption coefficient, surface reflectivity, specific heat, thermal conductivity and thermal diffusivity. When calculating the surface temperature in the current time interval \((n)\), the temperature dependent properties from the previous time interval \((n - 1)\) were used.

When a 1062 nm wavelength laser irradiates Si, the optical penetration depth \( (l_\alpha = 200 \mu m) \) is much longer than the thermal diffusion length \( (l_\kappa = 8.3 \mu m) \), and the beam may be considered as a volume heating source. In this case, the forward heat conduction can be neglected.

Fig. 5 shows the surface temperature evolutions of Si irradiated by the IR laser with measured pulse profiles (Fig. 2) calculated using Equation 2.

Modelling the measured IR pulses it can be seen that over the energy range the effect on the silicon ranges from just heating at 0.03 mJ to the generation of a melt pool within 20 ns with 0.31 mJ, Fig. 5. After melting the optical penetration depth is reduced to 14.3 nm [20] and the remaining pulse energy then acts as a surface heating source. This is much more effective and it is similar to the UV laser mechanism.

Fig. 6 shows the surface temperature evolutions of Si irradiated by the UV laser with measured pulse profiles (Fig. 3) calculated using Equation 3.

UV pulses with energies as low as 0.005 mJ were calculated to melt the Si surface within 10 ns of the laser pulse, the onset melting time decreased as the pulse energy was increased. The threshold pulse energy of the UV laser which results in Si machining is predicted to be ten times lower than the IR laser pulse.

When comparing longer IR pulses (200 ns) with UV, three incremental pulse energy regions were chosen based on the transitions between the different machining mechanisms induced by the IR fibre laser, low pulse energy \((\leq 0.04 \text{ mJ})\), intermediate pulse energy \((0.04 - 0.15 \text{ mJ})\) and high pulse energy \((> 0.15 \text{ mJ})\).

\[ \text{1) Low pulse energy (} \leq 0.04 \text{ mJ)} \]

With IR pulses, there was little material removal due to poor energy coupling between the laser beam and Si. The surface temperature \( T_{sc} \) at the centre of the irradiated zone for a volume source merely approaches the melting temperature of Si when pulse energies below 0.04 mJ were used, Fig. 5. With UV pulses the material removal started with a pulse
energy as low as 0.005 mJ; the Si surface was melted within 10 ns and molten layer removed with the remaining pulse energy. The removed depth increased rapidly to ~3.8 µm with increasing pulse energy.

2) **Intermediate pulse energy (0.04 – 0.15 mJ).**

With IR pulses, there was a significant increase of machined depth from zero to ~6.2 µm, Fig. 7. As the pulse energy, and peak power, increased the time taken to melt the surface of the material decreased. After melting there was also more energy in the remainder of the pulse which could then expel molten Si through vaporization and plasma pressure [27].

With UV pulses, there was only a slight increase in the machined depth, Fig. 7. Pulses above 0.015 mJ had power densities beyond the plasma shielding threshold of 0.2-0.3 GW/cm² [28]. As a result the later part of laser pulse energy was shielded from the substrate by a dense plasma, and the machined holes were seen to widen with increased pulse energy.

3) **High pulse energy (>0.15 mJ).**

For IR the machined depth curve begins to saturate around 0.15 mJ as a result of reaching the plasma shielding threshold (0.2 GW/cm²), Fig. 7. The machined depth for both lasers reached a plateau; 6.8 µm/pulse was achieved with the IR laser compared with 4.5 µm/pulse with the UV, both with pulse energies around 0.17 mJ. The difference in machined depth per pulse is thought to correlate with the thermal diffusion length variation for the two wavelengths, 8.3 µm for IR against 4.4 µm with UV.

The single pulse machined depth was also plotted with respect to fluence for both lasers, Fig. 8, to include the spot size effects. The spot size of the IR laser is about 50% more than the UV laser, the IR fibre system offered high pulse energy to achieve the same fluence. The relationship between depth curves of both lasers is similar to Fig. 7. The UV laser achieved low damage threshold, high machined depth below 10 J/cm², while IR laser machined deeper features with 200 ns pulse above 10 J/cm².

The single pulse machining energy efficiency is given for the UV laser and IR laser for pulse energies up to 0.17 mJ, Fig. 9, and for fluence up to 70 J/cm², Fig. 10. Fig. 11. The maximum machining energy efficiency over 400 µm/mJ is observed for the UV laser, six times higher than the IR (~60 µm/mJ) occurring at a much lower pulse energy 0.003 mJ (1.5 J/cm²) for the UV compared with 0.085 mJ (16.9 J/cm²) at IR, Fig. 9 and Fig. 10. With the high absorption coefficient on solid Si, the theoretical melting threshold is calculated to be 0.0003 mJ (0.13 J/cm²). The smaller spot size which resulted in a higher fluence was also in favour for the UV laser. With pulse energies above 0.07 mJ, the machining efficiency of the IR laser exceeded the UV laser. The is partly due to the fact that the UV laser reached a plasma shielding threshold with a much lower pulse energy of 0.015 mJ, compared with 0.15 mJ for the IR laser. The efficiency curve of IR laser against fluence was always below the UV curve over the entire range, Fig. 10, which demonstrated the impact from UV laser’s smaller spot size.

### B. Single Pulse Machined Quality

The machined quality of both lasers was compared in the same three pulse energy ranges, Fig. 11, and cross section examined with white light interferometer and plotted, Fig. 12.

1) **Low pulse energy (≤ 0.04 mJ)**

The IR laser produced surface deformation of a few
hundred nanometres with no material removal, Fig. 11(a) and Fig. 12(a). The UV laser produced the small holes (<20 µm) with recast layer of <1 µm in height and <5 µm in width. The hole depth varied significantly, shown as large error bars in Fig. 9, and as such would not be suitable for industrial application. The non-circularity of the machined holes, Fig. 11(d) is due to the UV laser having a beam circularity specification of only >85%.

2) Intermediate pulse energy (0.04 – 0.15 mJ)

Circularity of UV laser machined holes was seen to improve with increased pulse energy, Fig. 11(e), but the resolidified melt splash caused by the vapour pressure left an irregular hole shape. These resolidified layers are ~1 µm in height and extended over ~10 µm in width, Fig. 12(b). The IR laser machined holes show more defined circular edges, Fig. 11(b), covered with a recast layer of <4 µm in height and similarly extended over ~10 µm in width, Fig. 12(b). The thick recast layer was due to the low vaporisation pressure resulted from the low peak power of long IR pulses (~500 W) and large spot size (25.4 µm) of IR system, compared with the UV pulses (~3000 W and 17 µm). Inside the craters, both laser machined featured show similar shape and roughness, Fig. 12(b).

3) High pulse energy (> 0.15 mJ).

For UV pulses, the machined features contain a large amount of thick resolidified melt splashes beyond the rim, Fig. 11(f). For IR pulses, the streaks of resolidified melt ejection are thinner and were uniformly distributed in the radial direction, Fig. 11(c). Holes produced by the IR laser also show a greater number of micron and sub-micron condensed particles which are formed later in time as the plasma expands and cools. The nanosecond energy enriched long tail of IR laser is thought to establish a long-persistence plasma similar to laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS) applications [29]. The recast layer formed by the IR laser was slightly higher (<2 µm compared with <1.2 µm), but much narrower than the UV laser (<3 µm compared with >10 µm), showing a more complete vaporisation.
VI. CONCLUSION

The single pulse machining of Si substrate with a pulse shapeable IR fibre laser and DPSS UV laser were compared in the same pulse energy and fluence range. The DPSS UV pulses are capable of machining small features, <20 µm, with low pulse energies, <0.04 mJ, on Si but not with adequate pulse to pulse reliability. As the pulse energy is increased the long nanosecond IR fibre pulses were able to achieve equivalent or better performance with no micro cracks or micro cavities, usually present with the long wavelength laser processing. The surface temperature of Si substrate was calculated to increase much faster with the increasing front peak of IR pulses, which resulted in a much lower optical penetration length, based on the dynamic temperature dependent optical and thermal properties. Both lasers are able to control the machined depth and are suitable for thin film scribing applications, they can also control debris size through the induced plasma for the LIBS applications. High quality, more reliable, inexpensive single mode fibre laser sources offer more competitive manufacturing advantages for the material processing industry.

The study utilized the full feature of shaped fibre pulses during energy scale up instead of conventional way of using an optical attenuator for comparison with UV laser. We believe the unique character makes this IR fibre different from conventional IR laser sources and it is the reason that this IR laser could be a good substitution for Si micromachining.

The comparison in this paper was not based on the same spot size between the lasers, and fluence achieved was limited due to the scanner setup for high speed laser scribing and marking. The spot size was reported to change the average machined depth at constant fluence during percussion drilling [30]. This is an important aspect for the micromachining with nanosecond laser pulses. In addition, with the spot size variation the machining mechanism could enter more explosive material removal regime at high fluence with dramatic increase in machined depth. Further study will be done to explore this region and analyze the effects.
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