

The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism Female Reproductive Disorders, Diseases & Costs of Exposure to Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals in the European Union --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	JC-15-2873R1				
Article Type:	Original Article				
Full Title:	Female Reproductive Disorders, Diseases & Costs of Exposure to Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals in the European Union				
Short Title:	Female Reproductive Disorders & EDCs				
Corresponding Author:	Leonardo Trasande, MD, MPP NYU School of Medicine New York, NY UNITED STATES				
Corresponding Author's Institution:	NYU School of Medicine				
Order of Authors:	Patricia Hunt				
	Sheela Sathyanarayana				
	Paul A Fowler				
	Leonardo Trasande, MD, MPP				
Section/Category:	Sexual and Gender-Dependent Endocrinology				
Manuscript Classifications:	Sexual and Gender-Dependent Endocrinology; Endometriosis; Fibroids				
Keywords:	Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals; economic leiomyoma	costs; Endometriosis; fibroids;			
Abstract:					
Secondary Abstract:	We calculated combined health care and economic costs of female reproductive conditions due to specific endocrine disrupting chemicals within the European Union. We found that EDCs contribute substantially to the most common reproductive disorders in women, endometriosis and fibroids, costing nearly €1.5 billion annually.				
	We found that EDCs contribute substantial				

	Foundation and the Oak Foundation
	Foundation and the Oak Foundation
Author Comments:	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT: The authors have nothing to disclose.
Additional Information:	
Question	Response
STEROID HORMONE ASSAYS:	No
Does your submission include steroid hormone assays? (If you have questions, please contact the editorial staff at jcem@endocrine.org)	
CELL LINES:	No
Does your submission include cell lines? (If you have questions, please contact the editorial staff at jcem@endocrine.org)	

R. Paul Robertson, MD Editor-in-Chief The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism

Dr. Robertson,

We are delighted to have received such positive reviews for our manuscript, # JC-15-2873; "Female Reproductive Disorders, Diseases & Costs of Exposure to Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals in the European Union." In an accompanying document, you will find responses in italics to each point raised. Together these modifications have served to greatly improve the manuscript.

We look forward to further communication and final acceptance for publication.

Sincerely,

Leonardo Trasande, MD, MPP on behalf of the coauthors

Reviewer #1: Hunt et al, Female Reproductive Disorders, Diseases & Costs of Exposure to Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals in the European Union

This MS is part of a series on EDS, disease and costs of exposure (4 articles in the April 2014 issue of this journal). Like the already published articles, it contains very valuable information about the economic burden of disease attributable to ADULT exposure to EDS.

This is a clearly written article. Although the readership of this journal presumably is mainly clinical endocrinologists, this reviewer suggests giving a brief description of the known modes of action of DDE and phthalates (the first time the word mechanism appears is on page 18 and is unreferenced).

Additionally or alternatively, given that binding to receptors is just one of the modes of action, it would be good to add one or two paragraphs on animal toxicology on the evidence that these chemicals produce comparable results in rodents and humans. The authors have indicated that the effects of DES in humans and animals are highly similar. Could this be stated about DDE and phthalates with regard to the end points covered by this MS?

This reviewer is correct - an important chunk was missing from the originally submitted version. However, because it is an extremely sizeable chunk, we chose to address it by inserting a brief summary of and reference to the Endocrine Society's most recent review of EDCs. This provides — in a single place — the best summary of the available evidence of the reproductive hazards posed by EDCs. The mode of action of these chemicals is complex and, for many reported effects, remains incompletely understood. Thus, we found this difficult to summarize briefly and addressed this concern by including a statement regarding mechanism of action in the paragraph summarizing the Endocrine Society statement and referring the reader to a relevant table in this document.

We thank this reviewer for suggesting the inclusion of a bigger picture view at the outset, it strengthens the paper.

This reviewer's comments are given as a suggestion to improve the manuscript. It is entirely up to the authors to decide whether or not to follow this advice.

Reviewer #2:

This manuscript describes the review of numerous papers demonstrating links between endocrine disruptor exposure and latent female reproductive disease and has linked this potential causality

to the cost burden of the chosen disease end points in the EU. This approach was interesting and eye-opening. Although I had few disagreements with the choice of papers, the reason for focusing on the chosen studies, or the resources that were used to assess the cost of disease burden, the paper suffered in the clarity in Methods and Results sections. It is not clear if the authors just needed to go over the paper once more or if apples and oranges were being compared.

Suggested changes:

Introduction -

You may want to consider changing the phrase "DES experience". Something like "human prenatal DES exposure paradigm" might be a little more descriptive?

We have revised as suggested.

It seems that some important description of DES effects are missing... animals and humans share similar malformations of the female repro tract following prenatal DES exposures and the DES-derived clear cell tumors in women were not mentioned as affecting the female repro tract.

We find this comment puzzling because clear cell adenocarcinoma is mentioned at the onset of the DES section.

Methods -

In organizing this section, is it possible to talk about one disease outcome and then the other? I understand why you did it the way it is in the present manuscript, but it really bounced around and it moving realted sections together would help focus on the outcome.

We followed the structure of previous papers. We do sympathize with the reviewer's concern but have not made further changes.

In the data that was used from the DEMOCOPHES study, I feel the need to know if this was data from urine (more appropriate than blood) and was there anything unusual about the women in this study (infertile, etc)??

DEMOCOPHES measurements were in urine and in a general population (now explicated).

I would like to know more about how sure we should be in the choice of data without having to go read the entire paper. We do not have information on other papers that were considered in these circumstances, so should have some detail on the "best" paper.

Supplemental tables providing brief information on all papers providing information on exposure associations for leiomyoma and endometriosis are included in this revision.

In the modeling DDE-fibroids section, "Women in the EU...." is too vague. Which women? Where is this information coming from? There is no reference.

This is clarified as follows: "Women in the EU between the ages of 15 and 54 years in the year 2010 were assumed to have a distribution of DDE levels corresponding to those identified by Govarts et al.⁵⁷ and divided into percentile ranges on the basis of their DDE measurements (0-9th, 10-24th, 25-49th, 50-74th, 75-89th, 90-99th)."

In the same paragraph, for reference 59, give the number of women in the cohort (vs "large cohort").

This has been added – thank you.

Same paragraph, more detail is needed for how incidence rates were "obtained". This sentence is not clear.

We have clarified further.

Additionally, when you talk about applying these rates to the number of women, it is not clear that you have 5 year groupings from the database referenced (#60).

The reference cited has 5-year population data across the lifespan. These were summed as appropriate to generate the relevant population data.

Results -

The results section seems to need another going over. At the end of the first paragraph on pg 14, the conclusions sentence is misplaced. The header of the next paragraph is wrong, I believe, and the conclusion statement of the first paragraph on page 15 should say POPS maybe, but instead says phthalates – the paragraph was all about TCDD and PCBs – and this is confusing as I thought it would be about phthalates. This is the only major issue – it was hard to follow all the different chemicals discussed when I thought this would be about DDE and fibroids only and phthalates and endometriosis. Your tables very clearly state DDE and fibroids and Phthalates and endometriosis – results section does NOT match this.

We regret the error, which is now corrected.

In the first paragraph of the results, please indicate how many studies were considered.

The revision addresses this issue through citation. In the second paragraph, first sentence, please be clear on which end point these studies were chosen. We have clarified as requested.

In the bottom paragraph on pg 15, the seven references should be provided in the text - it helps to provide those authors with information on whether or not their papers thought to be some of the best, as you then clarify why you chose the one paper in the end. It should help them design better studies in the future... This long paragraph, which extends to most of page 16, contains no references and should have several. Please add in the needed references.

In response to these comments we have created two supplemental tables that summarize the human studies considered in this analysis. Because the experimental evidence is extensive, a similar summary is not possible and we have simply referenced the most relevant references in the text.

The second paragraph on page 16 is not clear enough. Where did the values listed come from?

We have identified the sample size, and clarified data source for phthalate values.

There is not enough explanation. What is the age group of the women, again?

We have clarified the age range as requested.

Discussion -

You say the ".....exclusion of fetal and peri-conceptional exposures..." in the first paragraph on pg 18, when in fact you didn't exclude the data, there just isn't any. This needs to be clear.

Thank you -- revised as requested.

In the second paragraph on pg 19, you again refer to only DDE and phthalate as the focus of this assessment. Very confusing based on the comments I provided above – results section does not agree with this.

Also, Table 1 and 2 clearly talk about DDE and Phthalates.....

We have revised as follows: "For reasons of extensive data gaps already outlined, we only quantified attributable burden for two classes of EDCs - DDEs and phthalates."

This manuscript is important and I think the comments here will improve future versions of your message.

 $Thanks-these\ were\ indeed\ very\ helpful.$

Female Reproductive Disorders, Diseases and Costs of Exposure to Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals in the European Union

Patricia A. Hunt, ¹ Sheela Sathyanarayana, ^{2,3} Paul A. Fowler, ⁴ Leonardo Trasande ⁵⁻⁸

¹School of Molecular Biosciences, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington, USA

²Center for Child Health, Behavior and Development, Seattle Children's Research Institute,

Seattle, Washington, USA

³Department of Pediatrics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

⁴Division of Applied Medicine, Institute of Medical Sciences, University of Aberdeen,

Aberdeen, UK

⁵New York University (NYU) School of Medicine, New York, New York, USA

⁶NYU Wagner School of Public Service, New York, New York, USA

⁷NYU Steinhardt School of Culture, Education and Human Development, Department of

Nutrition, Food & Public Health, New York, New York, USA

⁸NYU Global Institute of Public Health, New York University, New York, New York, USA

Corresponding Author: Leonardo Trasande, MD, MPP, Associate Professor, Department of Pediatrics, New York University School of Medicine, 227 East 30th Street Rm 109, New York, NY 10016, leonardo.trasande@nyumc.org, phone 646-501-2520, fax 646-754-9688

Keywords: endocrine disrupting chemicals, economic costs, endometriosis, fibroids, leiomyoma, women's health

Abbreviations: diethylstilbestrol (DES); diphenyldichloroethene (DDE); di-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP); diethylstilbestrol (DES); Endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDC); exposure-response relationship (ERR); European Union (EU); Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC); odds ratio (OR); Ovarian Dysgenesis Syndrome (ODS); polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS)

Acknowledgements: We gratefully acknowledge the many helpful discussions with Germaine Buck Louis and her valuable comments on the manuscript. Research reported in this publication was supported by the Endocrine Society, the John Merck Fund, the Broad Reach Foundation and the Oak Foundation. The funders and supporters had no role in the writing of the manuscript or the decision to submit it for publication. We thank Charles Persoz, Robert Barouki and Marion Le Gal of the French National Alliance for Life Sciences and Health, and Barbara Demeneix, Lindsey Marshall, Bilal Mughal and Bolaji Seffou of the UMR 7221 Paris for providing technical and logistical support throughout the project.

Abstract

Context: A growing body of evidence suggests that endocrine disrupting compounds (EDCs) contribute to female reproductive disorders.

Objective: To calculate the associated combined health care and economic costs attributable to specific EDC exposures within the European Union (EU).

Design: An expert panel evaluated evidence for probability of causation using the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change weight-of-evidence characterization. Exposure-response relationships and reference levels were evaluated, and biomarker data was organized from carefully identified studies from the peer-reviewed literature to represent European exposure and approximate burden of disease as it occurred in 2010. Cost-of-illness estimation utilized multiple peer-reviewed sources.

Setting, Patients and Participants and Intervention: Cost estimation was carried out from a societal perspective, i.e. including direct costs (e.g. treatment costs) and indirect costs such as productivity loss.

Results: The most robust EDC-related data for female reproductive disorders exists for (a) DDE-attributable fibroids and (b) phthalate-attributable endometriosis in Europe. In both cases the strength of epidemiological evidence was rated as low and the toxicological evidence as moderate, with an assigned probability of causation of 20-39%. Across the EU, attributable cases were estimated to be 56,700 and 145,000 women, respectively, with total combined economic and health care costs potentially reaching €163 million and €1.25 billion.

Conclusions: EDCs (DDE and phthalates) may contribute substantially to the most common reproductive disorders in women, endometriosis and fibroids, costing nearly €1.5 billion annually. These estimates represent only EDCs for which there were sufficient epidemiologic

studies and those with the highest probability of causation. These public health costs should be considered as the EU contemplates regulatory action on EDCs.

Introduction

In 2007, Buck Louis and Cooney postulated that environmental factors can impact the developing ovary and female reproductive tract, inducing structural and functional changes that may manifest as reproductive disorders later in life and predispose women to complex diseases such as cancer. This conceptual framework was termed the "Ovarian Dysgenesis Syndrome" (ODS; (1, 2), paralleling the testicular dysgenesis syndrome (TDS) which links similar interrelated health endpoints across the lifespan in males following peri-conceptional or *in utero* exposures.(3)

The most compelling support for ODS comes from the human diethylstilbestrol (DES) experienceexposure paradigm. DES is a synthetic estrogen prescribed from the 1940s to 1970s to pregnant women with 'high risk' pregnancies in an attempt to prevent miscarriage. The reproductive repercussions of fetal DES exposure became evident when cases of a rare vaginal clear cell adenocarcinoma were observed in young DES daughters.(4, 5) Subsequent data from nearly 50 years of DES cohort studies provides clear evidence that *in utero* DES exposure increased the incidence of a host of reproductive abnormalities in women, including vaginal adenosis, cervical and vaginal hypoplasia, uterine and tubal abnormalities, infertility, early menopause, and breast cancer. (6, 7) Some associations are reported for *in utero* DES exposure and the presence of male urogenital abnormalities and complex medical diseases later in adulthood(8, 9), although the mechanisms of action are unclear.(10)

Importantly, support for the ODS hypothesis also comes from the results of subsequent experimental studies in rodents that recapitulated and extended the human findings. For example, exposure during pre/peri-natal development is associated with an increased risk of leiomyoma in the adult in both DES exposed women (11-13) and mice (14), and with changes in the expression of estrogen-responsive genes in adult uterine tissue.(15)

Although the effects of DES exposure on fertility likely result from changes to both the ovary and reproductive tract, ovarian effects are difficult to characterize. This is not surprising since subtle changes to the developing ovary with or without changes in hormonal signaling can manifest as a wide range of phenotypes. These include diminished fecundity (longer time-topregnancy), reproductive impairment (e.g. conception delay or pregnancy loss), infertility, or gynecological disorders such as endometriosis, fibroids, premature ovarian insufficiency/failure, or polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS). Biological plausibility that relatively minor changes in the developing ovary has lifelong consequences is demonstrated by the link between maternal smoking and reduced fecundity in daughters although effects on the fetal ovary are subtle (16). Of added concern are the increasingly reported associations between infertility or gynecological disorders and gravid diseases such as type 2 diabetes (17), not to mention later onset adulthood diseases. Such examples include a higher risk of autoimmune disorders and cancer for women with endometriosis and PCOS, a higher risk of gestational diabetes and metabolic or cardiovascular disease among women with PCOS, and a greater risk of cancer among infertile women in comparison to unaffected women.(18-25)

Although a growing body of evidence exists supporting supports the ODS conceptual paradigm and the vulnerability of the developing ovary to the actions of endocrine disrupting chemicals (26), characterizing the effects of exposures on the developing ovary remains a formidable research challenge. In part, this can be attributed to the "hidden" data problem, the inability to observe early reproductive endpoints in females relative to males without invasive procedures. Such "hidden" endpoints include some of the earliest gene and sperm related contributions to the developing embryo, all of which occur prior to implantation. These early endpoints are well suited to investigation in population subgroups, such as couples undergoing assisted reproductive technologies and such initiatives are underway for select endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) and oocyte maturation or blastocyst formation.(27) However, the ability to link exposures during development to ovarian function in adult women remains a critical data gap.

In contrast to the complex ovarian phenotype, effects of environmental exposures on the female reproductive tract can manifest as gross structural changes (such as endometriosis and fibroids) that are easier to characterize. Together, endometriosis and fibroids represent the most common female reproductive disorders with an estimated combined incidence of up to 70% of women overall.(28-31) Given their cryptic nature, many women with either endometriosis or fibroids remain asymptomatic or undiagnosed and gynecological comorbidity may exist. As such, estimating incidences at the population level relies on prevalence estimates largely from women seeking clinical care. Nevertheless, an estimated 176 million women worldwide are estimated ashave been diagnosed with endometriosis.(32, 33) Among women undergoing surgeries that allow for visual diagnosis, endometriosis has been reported in 30%-50% of pelvic surgery patients and 4%-43% of tubal sterilization patients, irrespective of presenting signs and

symptoms.(34-38) Estimating the incidence of uterine fibroids poses similar problems. Although some 20-40% of women of childbearing age are affected, disease incidence is strongly influenced by both age and race and, in some populations the lifetime risk may be as high as 60%.(39, 40)

Because they are leading causes of female infertility and a range of other conditions affecting quality of life such as pain(41), these reproductive disorders impose a high personal burden. In addition, they also represent a major societal burden, representing a substantial portion of the health care costs for women and a leading cause of work disturbances and lost productivity. In terms of estimated annual costs per woman in the EU, health and lost productivity together cost around $\{8,000\}$ while hospital costs alone for fibroid treatment average over $\{3,000\}$. Furthermore, the rising incidences of endometriosis and fibroids with age(46) increases the risk of comorbidity, which will multiply the cost burdens of the diseases.

The Endocrine Society recently released its second Scientific Statement on endocrine disrupting chemicals or EDCs.(47) This document reviews the mechanistic, experimental, and epidemiological evidence for the role of endocrine disrupting chemicals in the genesis and progression of obesity and diabetes, female and male reproductive disorders, hormone-sensitive cancers in females, prostate cancer, and developmental and functional disorders of the thyroid and neuroendocrine systems. Importantly, the mode of action of EDCs in the body is varied, complex, and dependent upon both the tissue and developmental stage of exposure (e.g., see Table 2; ref (47)). Nevertheless, as summarized in the Endocrine Society statement, evidence for effects of a host of EDCs, including bisphenol A (BPA), phthalates, pesticides, and persistent

organic pollutants (POPs) on the developing ovary and reproductive tract is growing into a compelling body of evidence.

The prevention of EDC exposures has the potential to minimize the onset and progression of female reproductive diseases in the EU, and the resultant reduction in associated health care and other social costs could have major economic implications. Thus, cost information is essential in the context of regulatory decisions. We therefore extended previous estimates of societal cost(48-51), examining the probability of causation of female reproductive conditions for endocrine disrupting chemicals, and quantifying the potential associated costs and burden of disease.

Prevention of EDC exposures minimize the onset of female reproductive diseases in the EU, reducing associated health care and other social costs. In the context of the ongoing regulatory process in the EU, we therefore examined the probability of causation of female reproductive conditions for endocrine disrupting chemicals, and quantified the potential burden of disease and associated costs.

Methods

The expert panel focused on two exposure-outcome relationships: adult diphenyldichloroethene (DDE) exposure with fibroids and adult phthalate exposure with endometriosis. The expert panel considered dioxins, polychlorinated biphenyls and other persistent pollutants, but following the approach of other manuscripts in this series, (48-55) the panel chose not to examine these chemicals because they are already regulated under the Stockholm Convention.

The panel selected these exposure-outcome relationships because of the availability of well-conducted observational human studies to assess effects of these EDCs on female reproductive disorders. The panel recognized that substantial laboratory studies suggest effects of earlier female reproductive tract perturbations as a result of developmental exposures in animal(56), but noted an absence of longitudinal studies to assess such effects in humans. We adhered to the approach described in the accompanying overarching manuscript in evaluating strength of the epidemiological (using the WHO GRADE Working Group criteria)(57, 58) and toxicological literature (using criteria consistent with that proposed in the European Union roadmap for evaluating endocrine disruptors)(59, 60), and to assigning probability of causation (adapting the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change criteria).(61)

Modeling DDE Exposures among Adult Females in the EU

We utilized data pooled from twelve European birth cohorts by Govarts et al, in which measured maternal and cord blood levels of DDE as ng/g.(62) 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th and 90th percentiles in cord serum were converted to maternal serum levels using a conversion factor of 0.2:1, as described by the authors. For the purpose of this analysis, the distribution of DDE metabolites in non-pregnant women in the EU was assumed to match the distributions obtained for pregnant women from the pooled European birth cohort data.

Modeling Phthalate Exposures among Adult Females in the EU

10th, 25th, 50th, 75th and 90th percentiles for mothers were obtained from the Demonstration of a Study to Coordinate and Perform Human Biomonitoring on a European Scale (DEMOCOPHES) for the sum of di-2-ethylhexylphthalate metabolites, monoethylphthalate, monoisobutylphthalate, monobutylphthalate and monobenzylphthalate in urine from general population samples.(63) Molar concentrations were estimated by dividing concentrations in ng/mL by the appropriate molecular weight, multiplying by 1000.. For the purpose of this analysis, the distribution of phthalate metabolites in women in the EU was assumed to be identical to the distributions obtained for mothers from DEMOCOPHES data.

Modeling DDE-attributable Fibroids

Women in the EU between the ages of 15 and 54 years in the year 2010 were assumed to have a distribution of DDE levels corresponding to those identified by Govarts et al. ⁵⁷ and divided into percentile ranges on the basis of their DDE measurements (0-9th, 10-24th, 25-49th, 50-74th, 75-89th, 90-99th). The lowest grouping was treated as a reference category, while the other groups were assumed to have levels corresponding to the lower value of the interval (e.g., 10th percentile for all women in the 10-24th percentile grouping). The panel took the exposure-response relationship (ERR) from a study of DDE and fibroids in a large cohort of women undergoing laparoscopy or laparotomy for gynecological complaints (n=473) (64). The published odds ratio (OR) was applied to the exposure distribution of the population subdivided into 0-9th, 10-24th, 25-49th, 50-74th, 75-89th, and 90-99th percentiles. Having calculated the appropriate OR for each exposed group, the odds ratio was multiplied against the incidence rate surgical/radiological interventions for myomas. ___, wFor incidence data inputs, we used hich was obtained

and France. (42) A population-weighted average of incidence rates from the three countries was applied to the other European countries in our study. These rates were applied against population estimates of 15-54 year old women in 2010 from Eurostat to estimate the number of DDE-attributable incident interventions for myomas.(65)

Modeling Phthalate-attributable Endometriosis

The expert panel selected a study of phthalates and endometriosis in population and operative cohorts that identified significant associations of DEHP metabolites with endometriosis.(66) For the purpose of analysis, women between the ages of 20-44 years in Europe were assumed to have urinary phthalate concentrations corresponding to the 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th and 90th percentile of adults in the DEMOCOPHES project. The population of 20-44 year old women was divided into percentile ranges (0-9th, 10-24th, 25-49th, 50-74th, 75-89th, 90-99th). The lowest grouping was the reference category, while the other groups were assumed to have levels corresponding to the lowest extreme (e.g., 10th percentile for all women in the 10-24th percentile grouping). ORs were calculated by exponentiating the endometriosis OR identified for DEHP metabolites to the ratio of the estimated concentration to 0.2 ng/mL. The baseline incidence of endometriosis was obtained from a German national analysis(67) and multiplied by the appropriate OR to identify the exposed rate of endometriosis. After subtracting the unexposed rate of endometriosis, the incremental rate of endometriosis within each group was multiplied by country-level population estimates obtained from Eurostat for 20-44 year old women in 2010(65), and the appropriate

percentage of the country population corresponding to the percentile range, to estimate attributable cases.

Economic Cost Estimates for Fibroids

Costs per case for surgical/radiologic interventions for myomas were identified from an analysis of large national databases from England, Germany and France.(42) A population-weighted average of costs per case from the three countries was applied to the other European countries in our study, after further adjustment by the ratio of each country's per capita Gross Domestic Product to that of Germany, to account for differences in purchasing power across the European Union.(68) To update the cost estimates from 2005 to 2010, 4% annual increases in costs per case were applied, accounting for well-documented trends across Europe in medical costs.(69)

Economic Cost Estimates for Endometriosis

Costs per patient were adapted from 2009 estimates in Belgium, accounting for direct medical costs, as well as lost economic productivity and other indirect costs.(70) Further adjustment was made by multiplying the ratio of each country's per capita Gross Domestic Product to that of Belgium, to account for differences in purchasing power across the European Union.(68) To update the cost estimates from 2009 to 2010, 4% annual increases in costs per case were applied, accounting for well-documented trends across Europe in medical costs.(69)

Results

The panel considered the evidence supporting a role for both a predisposing effect of developmental exposures as well as an effect of adult exposures on the development of fibroids. In rodents, DES exposure during fetal development induces alterations to the developing reproductive tract that are remarkably similar to those reported in DES daughters.(71)

Importantly, rodent models also provide evidence that developmental exposures to other EDCs induce similar effects.(72-74) Thus, it is important to note that other EDCs, including methoxychlor and the persistent organic pollutants (POPs), PCBs and DDT have been implicated in the development of uterine fibroid disease.(75-77) These toxicological studies support an endocrine disruptor mode of action for adverse health impacts due to chemical exposures. Based on these data, the expert panel evaluated the animal/experimental toxicological data supporting POP causation of fibroids to be moderate, based upon the limited literature.

In addition to studies of the effects of developmental exposures, we found 11 studies of fibroids in adult women that examined a variety of environmental exposures, including phthalates, POPs, phenols, trace elements and dietary/lifestyle (Supplementary Table 1). We found 11 studies of adult women that examined a variety of environmental exposures. Of these, 3 focused on phthalates, 2 focused on POPs, 1 focused on phenols, and 3 focused on dietary/lifestyle factors.(78-84) The body of evidence is observational in nature largely stemming from available groups of women for study. As such, authors used various designs (many cross sectional), defined exposures and outcomes differently, and either did or did not consider other covariates.

The panel chose the Trabert et al 2014 study for calculations based on: the large sample size (N=473) recruited from 14 clinical centers), diagnosis by ultrasonography or at laparoscopy and the use appropriate methods for exposure characterization in serum and omental fat for lipophilic chemicals. None of the other studies were able to incorporate all of these factors into their study design. When excluding women diagnosed with endometriosis, the authors reported several PCBs that were significantly associated with an increased risk of fibroids. The ability to quantify lipophilic chemicals in omental fat is a strength, as serum concentrations are only proxy of internal dose. To our knowledge, no study has been conducted to follow the offspring of women whose exposures have been quantified during sensitive windows of development (from preconception through adulthood) and in various biologic media that would allow for the estimation of the onset and progression of gynecologic disorders including fibroids. Such work will be possible in the near future in light of several birth cohort studies with children approaching adolescence or young adulthood. In considering the entire evidence base, the expert panel rated the epidemiologic evidence for causation of fibroids by DDE exposure to be low. Together, the epidemiological and toxicological evaluations resulted in the expert panel endorsing a 20-39% probability of causation of endometriosis fibroids by phthalates DDE.

Applying the odds ratio (OR) from the Trabert et al 2014 study to DDE biomarker data from twelve countries in the EU and using the 10th percentile as a reference level, results in OR estimates for fibroids of 1.11-1.51. Applying this to a 2.227/1,000 annual incidence rate, incremental prevalence in the EU attributable to DDE ranges between 2.45/10,000 to 1.15/1,000 for the most highly exposed quantiles of the population (Table 1). Thus in 2010, an estimated

56,700 women underwent interventions for myoma requiring surgical attention attributable to adult DDE exposures. These cases cost €163 million.

Persistent Organic Pollutant-Attributable Endometriosis

The panel identified evidence to support the hypothesis that exposures quantified shortly before the disease diagnosis are associated with incident disease in women. Experimental evidence reflects suggests that following the implantation of human endometrial tissue into the mesentery of rats and mice, 12 weeks of TCDD treatment resulted in a significant induction of endometriosis in both rodent species.(85) Important primate data also suggests a dose-dependent association in both the incidence and severity of endometriosis in a cohort of Rhesus monkeys exposed chronically to TCDD.(86) Subsequently, specific PCB congeners (#77 and #126) also were associated with endometriosis in this same colony of Rhesus monkeys.(87) Collectively these emerging studies suggest an endocrine disruptor mode of action for TCDD and PCB specific congeners leading to the development of endometriosis. Of note, the Rhesus monkey experiments were longitudinal in nature and conducted in a primate model that is physiologically similar to humans. Therefore, the expert panel felt that these were very high quality experiments supporting adverse effects resulting from an endocrine disruptor mode of action from TCDD and PCB studies. On the basis of these limited data, the expert panel extrapolated a potential endocrine mode of action in animal/experimental toxicological supporting phthalate causation of endometriosis to be moderate.

Evidence linking classes of environmental chemicals to endometriosis in humans is rapidly emerging, with approximately 343 publications examining a variety of chemicals including heavy metals, POPs, phthalates, and BPA (Supplementary Table 2). Significant methodological limitations (e.g., varying methods of recruitment, disease criteria, methods for detection and quantification of analytes, and inadequate statistical power) preclude the use of the majority of these studies in evaluating the role of EDCs in the development of endometriosis. Eight of the 34 publications focused on phthalates as the primary exposure (66, 88-94). The panel evaluated all eight studies; several were rejected due to small sample size, and several others were judged to have important methodological limitations, including reliance on self-reported endometriosis rather than the gold standard of surgically visualized disease, inappropriate comparison groups, or assessment of phthalate exposure occurring after diagnosis. Seven of the 33 publications focused on phthalates as the primary exposure and, of these, 3 reported positive results. The other four studies were judged to have important methodological limitations, including reliance on self-reported endometriosis rather than the gold standard of surgically visualized disease, inappropriate comparison groups, and assessment of phthalate exposure occurring after diagnosis. The expert panel found the Buck Louis et al. 2013 study to be the most responsive to data gaps and other methodological considerations including modern exposure assessment, recruitment technique using age and residence matching, direct surgical visualization of outcome in operative cohort, and large sample size. In this study, a population based sample of 495 women undergoing operative evaluation for endometriosis was compared with an age and residence matched population sample of 131 women, and six different phthalate metabolites were significantly associated with a twofold increase in the odds of endometriosis diagnosis in the population cohort. Among women undergoing surgery, mono-octyl phthalate

was associated with significantly increased odds ratios of 1.38 (95% CI 1.10, 1.72) based on direct surgical visualization and mono 2-ethyl hexyl phthalate 1.35 (95% CI 1.03, 1.78) when restricting comparison to women with a normal pelvis. Based on the quality of the adult epidemiologic data, the panel assigned the quality of epidemiologic evidence as low based on the limited literature and methodological considerations in light of the emerging research in the field. These evaluations resulted in the expert panel endorsing a 20-39% probability of causation of endometriosis by phthalates.

To estimate attributable disease burden, the panel utilized a large cohort study (n=495) that identified a dose-response relationship between urinary phthalate measurements obtained contemporaneously with the diagnosis of endometriosis.(66) Applying an odds ratio of 1.35 per log unit increase in total phthalates to European urinary total phthalate measurements from DEMOCOPHES (Table 2), the incremental incidence attributable to phthalates ranged from 1.21/1,000 to 2.82/1,000. In total, this analysis suggests that 145,000 cases of endometriosis among 20-44 year old women, with associated costs of €1.25 billion in 2010, were attributable to phthalates.

Discussion

The main finding of our study is that EDC exposure may contribute to causation of fibroids and endometriosis, with associated costs in the EU of approximately €1.41 billion annually. This suggests that prevention of exposures to DDE and phthalates alone would substantially reduce

disease and disability among European women, while decreasing health care expenditures and other social costs.

We applied a conservative approach to the difficult task of attributing disease burden and costs of EDC exposure in the female, focusing on two of the most common female conditions that are also amongst the most straightforward in terms of assessing the role of EDCs in etiology. It is important to note that our approach has several limitations that almost certainly result in a substantial underestimation of attributing disease burden. First, our analysis focused only on adult exposures. Despite the growing body of experimental data linking EDC exposure during fetal development with reproductive aberrations in the adult(95), and aside from the iatrogenic effects on offspring of prescribing DES to pregnant women, there are no epidemiological data known to us linking fetal exposure to reproductive abnormalities in adult women. Furthermore, reliance upon animal model studies is complicated by species-specific differences in biology and sensitivity to and/or clearance of EDCs, necessitating careful assessment of animal model findings for human relevance. Fetal exposure, however, has the potential to affect reproduction by multiple routes (e.g., by interfering with the development of the brain, reproductive tract, and ovary), and likely poses the greatest risk to female reproductive health. Although the 20-30 year gap between exposure and the recognition of reproductive impairment (or even longer in terms of diagnoses such as premature menopause) presents challenges in establishing etiologic links, ongoing birth cohort studies around the globe provide hope for updating the burden of disease and cost estimates presented here in the near future. Nevertheless, the exclusion absence of existing studies of fetal and peri-conceptional exposures as which are important windows of

exposure <u>prevented inclusion of attribution for these exposures, and represents a major limitation</u> of this analysis presented here, one that likely underestimates attributable disease burden.

Second, our analysis only focused on specific reproductive tract disorders. Because characterizing the effects of exposures on the developing ovary remains a formidable research challenge, the panel elected to focus on two major reproductive tract abnormalities, fibroids and endometriosis. While it is highly appropriate to focus on these extremely important uterine tract health deficits, PCOS, infertility and pregnancy complications also affect a considerable number of women, have major cost implications and are increasingly linked to EDC exposures. Thus, it is important to recognize that the cost burdens calculated in this analysis do not represent all – or even most – of the reproductive costs associated with human female exposure. Exposure of the mother during gestation can lead to poorer health and function of the offspring, and also will have considerable cost implications in terms of maternal stress-induced illness and lost productivity due to child-care burdens. Indeed, given the importance of the uterine environment and of postnatal maternal care, utilizing disease management costs alone provides an incomplete assessment of cost burden. Further, the cost analysis of the two gynecological disorders was limited to health care costs and lost work time directly associated with disease treatment, and did not take into account the increasingly reported associations between infertility, gynecological disorders, gravid diseases, or other later onset adulthood diseases. Important examples include a higher risk of autoimmune disorders and cancer for women with endometriosis, a higher risk of gestational diabetes and metabolic or cardiovascular disease among women with PCOS, and a greater risk of cancer among infertile women in comparison to unaffected women. (18-25) Thus, even this attempt to restrict the analysis of cost burden to two specific reproductive tract

disorders must be considered an underestimate of the exposure-associated cost burden from an overall health perspective.

Finally, this analysis does not represent the cost to female reproductive health of exposure to all EDCs. For reasons of extensive data gaps already outlined, this assessment focused on we only quantified attributable burden for only two classes of EDCs - DDEs and phthalates. Many other EDCs with similar modes of action likely adversely affect female health and function. The polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons represent a large and ubiquitous class of chemicals with extensive exposure profiles. These compounds act via an extensive range of mechanisms and receptors, including the aryl hydrocarbon and estrogen receptors and have been associated with adverse outcomes in offspring(96) and have known effects on reproductive organs.(97) Thus, analysis of the burden imposed by exposure only to DDEs and phthalates is a further source of potential underestimation of the health burden and cost implications of EDC exposure.

Despite the complexities of the field and the numerous caveats outlined above, the present analysis provides some evidence of the health care burden imposed by the two most common female reproductive tract disorders - endometriosis and fibroids. If, as we suggest, our analysis provides a conservative estimate that represents the "tip of the iceberg," the greater than €1.41 billion per annum cost estimated for the clinical management of two reproductive tract diseases associated with exposure to two EDCs suggests that new measures to prevent EDC exposure might have considerable personal and economic benefits.

Table 1. DDE-Attributable Fibroids, Europe, 2010.

Expert Panel Evaluation of						
Epidemiologic Evidence	Low					
Expert Panel Evaluation of Toxicologic						
Evidence	Moderate					
Probability of Causation	20-39%					
Percentile of Exposure	0-9	10-24	25-49	50-74	75-89	>90
Percentile Assumed	0	10	25	50	75	90
Serum DDE, ng/g	0	473	1000	2236	5000	9414
Odds Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.11	1.24	1.38	1.51
Unexposed Incidence	0.00227					
Incremental Incidence	0	0	0.000245	0.000537	0.000864	0.00115
Attributable Cases	56,700					
Attributable Costs	€ 163 million					

Table 2. Endometriosis Attributable to Phthalates in Europe, 2010.

Expert Panel Evaluation of						
Epidemiologic Evidence	Low					
Expert Panel Evaluation of Toxicologic						
Evidence	Moderate					
Probability of Causation	20-39%					
Percentile of Exposure	0-9	10-24	25-49	50-74	75-89	>90
Percentile Assumed	0	10	25	50	75	90
Urinary total DEHP metabolites, ng/mL	0	9.70	16.30	29.80	53.20	93.00
Unexposed Incidence	0.0035					
Incremental Incidence	0	0.00121	0.00154	0.00195	0.00238	0.00282
Attributable Cases	145,000					
Attributable Costs	€ 1.25 billion					

- 1. **Buck Louis G, Cooney M** 2007 Effects of environmental contaminants on ovarian function and fertility. In: Gonzalez-Bulnes A ed. Novel Concepts in Ovarian Endocrinology: Kerala: Transworld Research Network
- 2. **Buck Louis G, Cooney MA, Peterson CM** 2011 Ovarian dysgenesis syndrome. Journal of developmental origins of health and disease 2:25-35
- 3. **Skakkebaek NE, Rajpert-De Meyts E, Main KM** 2001 Testicular dysgenesis syndrome: an increasingly common developmental disorder with environmental aspects. Human reproduction (Oxford, England) 16:972-978
- 4. **Herbst AL, Green TH, Jr., Ulfelder H** 1970 Primary carcinoma of the vagina. An analysis of 68 cases. Am J Obstet Gynecol 106:210-218
- 5. **Herbst AL, Scully RE** 1970 Adenocarcinoma of the vagina in adolescence. A report of 7 cases including 6 clear-cell carcinomas (so-called mesonephromas). Cancer 25:745-757
- 6. Hatch EE, Troisi R, Wise LA, Titus-Ernstoff L, Hyer M, Palmer JR, Strohsnitter WC, Robboy SJ, Anderson D, Kaufman R, Adam E, Hoover RN 2011 Preterm birth, fetal growth, and age at menarche among women exposed prenatally to diethylstilbestrol (DES). Reproductive toxicology (Elmsford, N.Y.) 31:151-157
- 7. Hoover RN, Hyer M, Pfeiffer RM, Adam E, Bond B, Cheville AL, Colton T, Hartge P, Hatch EE, Herbst AL, Karlan BY, Kaufman R, Noller KL, Palmer JR, Robboy SJ, Saal RC, Strohsnitter W, Titus-Ernstoff L, Troisi R 2011 Adverse health outcomes in women exposed in utero to diethylstilbestrol. N Engl J Med 365:1304-1314
- 8. Palmer JR, Herbst AL, Noller KL, Boggs DA, Troisi R, Titus-Ernstoff L, Hatch EE, Wise LA, Strohsnitter WC, Hoover RN 2009 Urogenital abnormalities in men exposed to diethylstilbestrol in utero: a cohort study. Environmental health: a global access science source 8:37
- 9. **Troisi R, Hyer M, Hatch EE, Titus-Ernstoff L, Palmer JR, Strohsnitter WC, Herbst AL, Adam E, Hoover RN** 2013 Medical conditions among adult offspring prenatally exposed to diethylstilbestrol. Epidemiology 24:430-438
- 10. **Mitchell RT, Sharpe RM, Anderson RA, McKinnell C, Macpherson S, Smith LB, Wallace WH, Kelnar CJ, van den Driesche S** 2013 Diethylstilboestrol exposure does not reduce testosterone production in human fetal testis xenografts. PLoS One 8:e61726
- 11. **D'Aloisio AA, Baird DD, DeRoo LA, Sandler DP** 2012 Early-life exposures and early-onset uterine leiomyomata in black women in the Sister Study. Environ Health Perspect 120:406-412
- 12. **Mahalingaiah S, Hart JE, Wise LA, Terry KL, Boynton-Jarrett R, Missmer SA**2014 Prenatal diethylstilbestrol exposure and risk of uterine leiomyomata in the Nurses'
 Health Study II. Am J Epidemiol 179:186-191
- 13. **Baird DD, Newbold R** 2005 Prenatal diethylstilbestrol (DES) exposure is associated with uterine leiomyoma development. Reproductive toxicology (Elmsford, N.Y.) 20:81-84
- 14. **Newbold RR, Moore AB, Dixon D** 2002 Characterization of uterine leiomyomas in CD-1 mice following developmental exposure to diethylstilbestrol (DES). Toxicol Pathol 30:611-616

- 15. **Greathouse KL, Cook JD, Lin K, Davis BJ, Berry TD, Bredfeldt TG, Walker CL** 2008 Identification of uterine leiomyoma genes developmentally reprogrammed by neonatal exposure to diethylstilbestrol. Reproductive sciences 15:765-778
- 16. Fowler PA, Childs AJ, Courant F, MacKenzie A, Rhind SM, Antignac JP, Le Bizec B, Filis P, Evans F, Flannigan S, Maheshwari A, Bhattacharya S, Monteiro A, Anderson RA, O'Shaughnessy PJ 2014 In utero exposure to cigarette smoke dysregulates human fetal ovarian developmental signalling. Human reproduction (Oxford, England) 29:1471-1489
- 17. **Tobias DK, Gaskins AJ, Missmer SA, Hu FB, Manson JE, Buck Louis GM, Zhang C, Chavarro JE** 2015 History of infertility and risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a prospective cohort study. Diabetologia 58:707-715
- 18. **Barry JA, Azizia MM, Hardiman PJ** 2014 Risk of endometrial, ovarian and breast cancer in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Human reproduction update 20:748-758
- 19. **de Wilde MA, Veltman-Verhulst SM, Goverde AJ, Lambalk CB, Laven JSE, Franx A, Koster MPH, Eijkemans MJC, Fauser BCJM** 2014 Preconception predictors of gestational diabetes: a multicentre prospective cohort study on the predominant complication of pregnancy in polycystic ovary syndrome. Human Reproduction 29:1327-1336
- 20. Fauser BC, Tarlatzis BC, Rebar RW, Legro RS, Balen AH, Lobo R, Carmina E, Chang J, Yildiz BO, Laven JS, Boivin J, Petraglia F, Wijeyeratne CN, Norman RJ, Dunaif A, Franks S, Wild RA, Dumesic D, Barnhart K 2012 Consensus on women's health aspects of polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS): the Amsterdam ESHRE/ASRM-Sponsored 3rd PCOS Consensus Workshop Group. Fertility and sterility 97:28-38.e25
- 21. **Joham AE, Boyle JA, Zoungas S, Teede HJ** 2014 Hypertension in Reproductive-Aged Women With Polycystic Ovary Syndrome and Association With Obesity. Am J Hypertens
- 22. Kim JJ, Hwang KR, Choi YM, Moon SY, Chae SJ, Park CW, Kim HO, Choi DS, Kwon HC, Kang BM, Lee BS, Cho SH, Kim TJ, Kim T, Kim MJ, Park HY 2014 Complete phenotypic and metabolic profiles of a large consecutive cohort of untreated Korean women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Fertility and sterility 101:1424-1430
- 23. **Verit FF, Yucel O** 2013 Endometriosis, leiomyoma and adenomyosis: the risk of gynecologic malignancy. Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention: APJCP 14:5589-5597
- 24. **Sinaii N, Cleary SD, Ballweg ML, Nieman LK, Stratton P** 2002 High rates of autoimmune and endocrine disorders, fibromyalgia, chronic fatigue syndrome and atopic diseases among women with endometriosis: a survey analysis. Human Reproduction 17:2715-2724
- 25. **Jensen A, Sharif H, Kjaer SK** 2009 Use of fertility drugs and risk of uterine cancer: results from a large Danish population-based cohort study. Am J Epidemiol 170:1408-1414
- 26. **Peretz J, Vrooman L, Ricke WA, Hunt PA, Ehrlich S, Hauser R, Padmanabhan V, Taylor HS, Swan SH, VandeVoort CA, Flaws JA** 2014 Bisphenol a and reproductive health: update of experimental and human evidence, 2007-2013. Environmental health perspectives 122:775-786

- 27. **Ehrlich S, Williams PL, Hauser R, Missmer SA, Peretz J, Calafat AM, Flaws JA** 2013 Urinary bisphenol A concentrations and cytochrome P450 19 A1 (Cyp19) gene expression in ovarian granulosa cells: an in vivo human study. Reproductive toxicology (Elmsford, N.Y.) 42:18-23
- 28. **Marino JL, Eskenazi B, Warner M, Samuels S, Vercellini P, Gavoni N, Olive D** 2004 Uterine leiomyoma and menstrual cycle characteristics in a population-based cohort study. Human Reproduction 19:2350-2355
- 29. **Downes E, Sikirica V, Gilabert-Estelles J, Bolge SC, Dodd SL, Maroulis C, Subramanian D** 2010 The burden of uterine fibroids in five European countries. European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology 152:96-102
- 30. **Eskenazi B, Warner ML** 1997 Epidemiology of endometriosis. Obstetrics and gynecology clinics of North America 24:235-258
- 31. **Viganò P, Parazzini F, Somigliana E, Vercellini P** 2004 Endometriosis: epidemiology and aetiological factors. Best Practice & Research Clinical Obstetrics & Gynaecology 18:177-200
- 32. Rogers PAW, D'Hooghe TM, Fazleabas A, Gargett CE, Giudice LC, Montgomery GW, Rombauts L, Salamonsen LA, Zondervan KT 2009 Priorities for Endometriosis Research: Recommendations From an International Consensus Workshop. Reproductive sciences 16:335-346
- 33. **Adamson DG, Kennedy S, Hummelshoj L** 2010 Creating solutions in endometriosis: global collaboration through the World Endometriosis Research Foundation. Journal of Endometriosis 2:3 6
- 34. Matorras R, Rodriguez F, Pijoan JI, Etxanojauregui A, Neyro JL, Elorriaga MA, Rodriguez-Escudero FJ 2001 Women who are not exposed to spermatozoa and infertile women have similar rates of stage I endometriosis. Fertility and sterility 76:923-928
- 35. **Balasch J, Creus M, Fabregues F, Carmona F, Ordi J, Martinez-Roman S, Vanrell JA** 1996 Visible and non-visible endometriosis at laparoscopy in fertile and infertile women and in patients with chronic pelvic pain: a prospective study. Human reproduction (Oxford, England) 11:387-391
- 36. **Rawson JM** 1991 Prevalence of endometriosis in asymptomatic women. The Journal of reproductive medicine 36:513-515
- 37. **Williams TJ, Pratt JH** 1977 Endometriosis in 1,000 consecutive celiotomies: incidence and management. Am J Obstet Gynecol 129:245-250
- 38. **Sangi-Haghpeykar H, Poindexter AN, 3rd** 1995 Epidemiology of endometriosis among parous women. Obstet Gynecol 85:983-992
- 39. **Zimmermann A, Bernuit D, Gerlinger C, Schaefers M, Geppert K** 2012 Prevalence, symptoms and management of uterine fibroids: an international internet-based survey of 21,746 women. BMC women's health 12:6
- 40. **Khan AT, Shehmar M, Gupta JK** 2014 Uterine fibroids: current perspectives. International journal of women's health 6:95-114
- 41. **Gao X, Yeh Y-C, Outley J, Simon J, Botteman M, Spalding J** 2006 Health-related quality of life burden of women with endometriosis: a literature review. Current Medical Research and Opinion 22:1787-1797
- 42. **Fernandez H, Farrugia M, Jones SE, Mauskopf JA, Oppelt P, Subramanian D** 2009 Rate, Type, and Cost of Invasive Interventions for Uterine Myomas in Germany, France, and England. Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology 16:40-46

- 43. **Prast J, Oppelt P, Shamiyeh A, Shebl O, Brandes I, Haas D** 2013 Costs of endometriosis in Austria: a survey of direct and indirect costs. Archives of gynecology and obstetrics 288:569-576
- 44. Simoens S, Dunselman G, Dirksen C, Hummelshoj L, Bokor A, Brandes I, Brodszky V, Canis M, Colombo GL, DeLeire T, Falcone T, Graham B, Halis G, Horne A, Kanj O, Kjer JJ, Kristensen J, Lebovic D, Mueller M, Vigano P, Wullschleger M, D'Hooghe T 2012 The burden of endometriosis: costs and quality of life of women with endometriosis and treated in referral centres. Human Reproduction 27:1292-1299
- 45. **Hansen KE, Kesmodel US, Baldursson EB, Schultz R, Forman A** 2013 The influence of endometriosis-related symptoms on work life and work ability: a study of Danish endometriosis patients in employment. European journal of obstetrics, gynecology, and reproductive biology 169:331-339
- 46. **Haas D, Chvatal R, Reichert B, Renner S, Shebl O, Binder H, Wurm P, Oppelt P** 2012 Endometriosis: a premenopausal disease? Age pattern in 42,079 patients with endometriosis. Archives of gynecology and obstetrics 286:667-670
- 47. Gore AC, Chappell VA, Fenton SE, Flaws JA, Nadal A, Prins GS, Toppari J, Zoeller RT 2015 EDC-2: The Endocrine Society's Second Scientific Statement on Endocrine-Disrupting Chemicals. Endocr Rev:er20151010
- 48. **Bellanger M, Demeneix B, Grandjean P, Zoeller RT, Trasande L** 2015 Neurobehavioral deficits, diseases, and associated costs of exposure to endocrine-disrupting chemicals in the European union. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 100:1256-1266
- 49. **Hauser R, Skakkebaek NE, Hass U, Toppari J, Juul A, Andersson AM, Kortenkamp A, Heindel JJ, Trasande L** 2015 Male reproductive disorders, diseases, and costs of exposure to endocrine-disrupting chemicals in the European union. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 100:1267-1277
- 50. **Legler J, Fletcher T, Govarts E, Porta M, Blumberg B, Heindel JJ, Trasande L** 2015 Obesity, diabetes, and associated costs of exposure to endocrine-disrupting chemicals in the European union. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 100:1278-1288
- 51. Trasande L, Zoeller RT, Hass U, Kortenkamp A, Grandjean P, Myers JP, DiGangi J, Bellanger M, Hauser R, Legler J, Skakkebaek NE, Heindel JJ 2015 Estimating burden and disease costs of exposure to endocrine-disrupting chemicals in the European union. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 100:1245-1255
- 52. **Bellanger M, Demeneix B, Grandjean P, Zoeller RT, Trasande L** 2015 Neurobehavioral Deficits, Diseases and Associated Costs of Exposure to Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals in the European Union. J Clin Endocrinol Metab:jc20144323
- 53. Hauser R, Skakkebaek NE, Hass U, Toppari J, Juul A, Andersson AM, Kortenkamp A, Heindel JJ, Trasande L 2015 Male Reproductive Disorders, Diseases, and Costs of Exposure to Endocrine-Disrupting Chemicals in the European Union. J Clin Endocrinol Metab:jc20144325
- 54. **Legler J, Fletcher T, Govarts E, Porta M, Blumberg B, Heindel JJ, Trasande L** 2015 Obesity, Diabetes, and Associated Costs of Exposure to Endocrine-Disrupting Chemicals in the European Union. J Clin Endocrinol Metab:jc20144326
- 55. Trasande L, Zoeller RT, Hass U, Kortenkamp A, Grandjean P, Myers JP, DiGangi J, Bellanger M, Hauser R, Legler J, Skakkebaek NE, Heindel JJ 2015 Estimating

- Burden and Disease Costs of Exposure to Endocrine Disruptor Chemicals in the European Union. J Clin Endocrinol Metab, accepted
- 56. **Alwis ID, Maroni DM, Hendry IR, Roy SK, May JV, Leavitt WW, Hendry WJ** 2011 Neonatal diethylstilbestrol exposure disrupts female reproductive tract structure/function via both direct and indirect mechanisms in the hamster. Reproductive Toxicology 32:472-483
- 57. Atkins D, Eccles M, Flottorp S, Guyatt GH, Henry D, Hill S, Liberati A, O'Connell D, Oxman AD, Phillips B, Schunemann H, Edejer TT, Vist GE, Williams JW, Jr. 2004 Systems for grading the quality of evidence and the strength of recommendations I: critical appraisal of existing approaches The GRADE Working Group. BMC Health Serv Res 4:38
- 58. Schunemann HJ, Oxman AD, Brozek J, Glasziou P, Jaeschke R, Vist GE, Williams JW, Jr., Kunz R, Craig J, Montori VM, Bossuyt P, Guyatt GH 2008 Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations for diagnostic tests and strategies. Bmj 336:1106-1110
- 59. **European Union** 2014 Roadmap for Evaluation of Endocrine Disruptor Chemicals. Available at http://ec.europa.eu/smart-regulation/impact/planned_ia/docs/2014_env_009_endocrine_disruptors_en.pdf (Accessed 15 October 2014).
- 60. Hass U, Christiansen S, Axelstad M, Boberg J, Andersson A-M, Skakkebaek NE, Bay K, Holbech H, Kinnberg KL, Bjerregaard P 2012 Evaluation of 22 SIN List 2.0 substances according to the Danish proposal on criteria for endocrine disrupters. Available at http://eng.mst.dk/media/mst/67169/SIN%20report%20and%20Annex.pdf (Accessed 12 May 2014).
- 61. **Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change** 2005 Guidance Notes for Lead Authors of the IPCC Fourth Assessment Report on Addressing Uncertainties. Available at http://www.ipcc.ch/meetings/ar4-workshops-express-meetings/uncertainty-guidance-note.pdf (Accessed 12 May 2014).
- 62. Govarts E, Nieuwenhuijsen M, Schoeters G, Ballester F, Bloemen K, de Boer M, Chevrier C, Eggesbo M, Guxens M, Kramer U, Legler J, Martinez D, Palkovicova L, Patelarou E, Ranft U, Rautio A, Petersen MS, Slama R, Stigum H, Toft G, Trnovec T, Vandentorren S, Weihe P, Kuperus NW, Wilhelm M, Wittsiepe J, Bonde JP 2012 Birth weight and prenatal exposure to polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene (DDE): a meta-analysis within 12 European Birth Cohorts. Environ Health Perspect 120:162-170
- 63. **De Hond E, Govarts E, Schoeters G** 2014 The first steps towards harmonised biomonitoring in Europe. Env Health Persp provisionally accepted. .
- 64. **Trabert B, Chen Z, Kannan K, Peterson CM, Pollack AZ, Sun L, Buck Louis GM** 2014 Persistent organic pollutants (POPs) and fibroids: results from the ENDO study. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol
- 65. **United Nations Economic Commission for Europe** 2014 Population, 5-year age groups, by sex. Available at <a href="http://w3.unece.org/pxweb/Dialog/varval.asp?ma=001_GEPOAGESEX_REG_r&ti=Population%2C+5-year+age+groups%2C+by+Age%2C+Sex%2C+Country+and+Year&path=../DATABAS_E/Stat/30-GE/01-Pop/&lang=1 (Accessed 26 August 2014).

- 66. Buck Louis GM, Peterson CM, Chen Z, Croughan M, Sundaram R, Stanford J, Varner MW, Kennedy A, Giudice L, Fujimoto VY, Sun L, Wang L, Guo Y, Kannan K 2013 Bisphenol A and phthalates and endometriosis: the Endometriosis: Natural History, Diagnosis and Outcomes Study. Fertility and sterility 100:162-169.e161-162
- 67. **Abbas S, Ihle P, Koster I, Schubert I** 2012 Prevalence and incidence of diagnosed endometriosis and risk of endometriosis in patients with endometriosis-related symptoms: findings from a statutory health insurance-based cohort in Germany. European journal of obstetrics, gynecology, and reproductive biology 160:79-83
- 68. **World Bank** 2014 GDP per capita, PPP (current international \$). Available at http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.PCAP.PP.CD (Accessed 22 May 2014).
- 69. **Erixon F, van der Mare E** 2011 WHAT IS DRIVING THE RISE IN HEALTH CARE EXPENDITURES? An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Cost Disease. ECIPE WORKING PAPER No. 05/2011. Available at http://www.ecipe.org/media/publication_pdfs/what-is-driving-the-rise-in-health-care-expenditures-an-inquiry-into-the-nature-and-causes-of-the-cost-disease_1.pdf (Accessed 3 September 2014).
- 70. **Klein S, D'Hooghe T, Meuleman C, Dirksen C, Dunselman G, Simoens S** 2014 What is the societal burden of endometriosis-associated symptoms? A prospective Belgian study. Reproductive BioMedicine Online 28:116-124
- 71. **McLachlan JA, Newbold RR, Bullock BC** 1980 Long-term effects on the female mouse genital tract associated with prenatal exposure to diethylstilbestrol. Cancer Res 40:3988-3999
- 72. **Suzuki A, Sugihara A, Uchida K, Sato T, Ohta Y, Katsu Y, Watanabe H, Iguchi T** 2002 Developmental effects of perinatal exposure to bisphenol-A and diethylstilbestrol on reproductive organs in female mice. Reproductive toxicology (Elmsford, N.Y.) 16:107-116
- 73. **Newbold RR, Jefferson WN, Padilla-Banks E** 2007 Long-term adverse effects of neonatal exposure to bisphenol A on the murine female reproductive tract. Reproductive toxicology (Elmsford, N.Y.) 24:253-258
- 74. **Newbold RR, Jefferson WN, Padilla-Banks E** 2009 Prenatal exposure to bisphenol a at environmentally relevant doses adversely affects the murine female reproductive tract later in life. Environ Health Perspect 117:879-885
- 75. **Fei X, Chung H, Taylor HS** 2005 Methoxychlor disrupts uterine Hoxa10 gene expression. Endocrinology 146:3445-3451
- 76. **Takayama S, Sieber SM, Dalgard DW, Thorgeirsson UP, Adamson RH** 1999 Effects of long-term oral administration of DDT on nonhuman primates. Journal of cancer research and clinical oncology 125:219-225
- 77. **Bredhult C, Backlin BM, Bignert A, Olovsson M** 2008 Study of the relation between the incidence of uterine leiomyomas and the concentrations of PCB and DDT in Baltic gray seals. Reproductive toxicology (Elmsford, N.Y.) 25:247-255
- 78. **Trabert B, Chen Z, Kannan K, Peterson CM, Pollack AZ, Sun L, Buck Louis GM** 2015 Persistent organic pollutants (POPs) and fibroids: results from the ENDO study. Journal of exposure science & environmental epidemiology 25:278-285
- 79. **Pollack AZ, Buck Louis GM, Chen Z, Sun L, Trabert B, Guo Y, Kannan K** 2015 Bisphenol A, benzophenone-type ultraviolet filters, and phthalates in relation to uterine leiomyoma. Environmental research 137:101-107

- 80. **Lambertino A, Turyk M, Anderson H, Freels S, Persky V** 2011 Uterine leiomyomata in a cohort of Great Lakes sport fish consumers. Environmental research 111:565-572
- 81. **Eskenazi B, Warner M, Samuels S, Young J, Gerthoux PM, Needham L, Patterson D, Olive D, Gavoni N, Vercellini P, Mocarelli P** 2007 Serum dioxin concentrations and risk of uterine leiomyoma in the Seveso Women's Health Study. American journal of epidemiology 166:79-87
- 82. Luisi S, Latini G, de Felice C, Sanseverino F, di Pasquale D, Mazzeo P, Petraglia F 2006 Low serum concentrations of di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate in women with uterine fibromatosis. Gynecol Endocrinol 22:92-95
- 83. **Weuve J, Hauser R, Calafat AM, Missmer SA, Wise LA** 2010 Association of exposure to phthalates with endometriosis and uterine leiomyomata: findings from NHANES, 1999-2004. Environmental health perspectives 118:825-832
- 84. **Wise LA, Palmer JR, Reich D, Cozier YC, Rosenberg L** 2012 Hair relaxer use and risk of uterine leiomyomata in African-American women. American journal of epidemiology 175:432-440
- 85. **Cummings AM, Metcalf JL, Birnbaum L** 1996 Promotion of Endometriosis by 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin in Rats and Mice: Time—Dose Dependence and Species Comparison. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology 138:131-139
- 86. **Rier SE, Martin DC, Bowman RE, Dmowski WP, Becker JL** 1993 Endometriosis in rhesus monkeys (Macaca mulatta) following chronic exposure to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. Fundamental and applied toxicology: official journal of the Society of Toxicology 21:433-441
- 87. **Rier SE, Turner WE, Martin DC, Morris R, Lucier GW, Clark GC** 2001 Serum Levels of TCDD and Dioxin-like Chemicals in Rhesus Monkeys Chronically Exposed to Dioxin: Correlation of Increased Serum PCB Levels with Endometriosis. Toxicological Sciences 59:147-159
- 88. Upson K, Sathyanarayana S, De Roos AJ, Thompson ML, Scholes D, Dills R, Holt VL 2013 Phthalates and risk of endometriosis. Environ Res 126:91-97
- 89. **Pollack AZ, Buck Louis GM, Chen Z, Sun L, Trabert B, Guo Y, Kannan K** 2015 Bisphenol A, benzophenone-type ultraviolet filters, and phthalates in relation to uterine leiomyoma. Environmental research 137:101-107
- 90. **Huang PC, Tsai EM, Li WF, Liao PC, Chung MC, Wang YH, Wang SL** 2010 Association between phthalate exposure and glutathione S-transferase M1 polymorphism in adenomyosis, leiomyoma and endometriosis. Human reproduction (Oxford, England) 25:986-994
- 91. **Weuve J, Hauser R, Calafat AM, Missmer SA, Wise LA** 2010 Association of exposure to phthalates with endometriosis and uterine leiomyomata: findings from NHANES, 1999-2004. Environmental health perspectives 118:825-832
- 92. **Itoh H, Iwasaki M, Hanaoka T, Sasaki H, Tanaka T, Tsugane S** 2009 Urinary phthalate monoesters and endometriosis in infertile Japanese women. The Science of the total environment 408:37-42
- 93. **Reddy BS, Rozati R, Reddy BV, Raman NV** 2006 Association of phthalate esters with endometriosis in Indian women. BJOG: an international journal of obstetrics and gynaecology 113:515-520

- 94. **Cobellis L, Latini G, De Felice C, Razzi S, Paris I, Ruggieri F, Mazzeo P, Petraglia F** 2003 High plasma concentrations of di-(2-ethylhexyl)-phthalate in women with endometriosis. Human reproduction (Oxford, England) 18:1512-1515
- 95. Fowler PA, Bellingham M, Sinclair KD, Evans NP, Pocar P, Fischer B, Schaedlich K, Schmidt J-S, Amezaga MR, Bhattacharya S, Rhind SM, O'Shaughnessy PJ 2012 Impact of endocrine-disrupting compounds (EDCs) on female reproductive health. Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology 355:231-239
- 96. Rundle A, Hoepner L, Hassoun A, Oberfield S, Freyer G, Holmes D, Reyes M, Quinn J, Camann D, Perera F, Whyatt R 2012 Association of childhood obesity with maternal exposure to ambient air polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons during pregnancy. Am J Epidemiol 175:1163-1172
- 97. **Sadeu JC, Foster WG** 2013 The cigarette smoke constituent benzo[a]pyrene disrupts metabolic enzyme, and apoptosis pathway member gene expression in ovarian follicles. Reproductive Toxicology 40:52-59

Supp Table 1

Click here to access/download **Supplemental Material**Supplemental Table 1.docx

Supp Table 2

Click here to access/download **Supplemental Material**Supplemental Table 2.docx

Supplemental Table 1 Human uterine leiomyoma: Summary of adult association studies

Reference	Exposure	Comments			
Trabert et al, 2015 ⁽¹⁾	POPs	N= 473 surgical patients Association w/ serum levels of several PCBs			
Pollack et al, 2015 ⁽²⁾	BPA Phthalates UV filters	N= 495 surgical patients No significant OR w/ adjustment for relevant covariants			
Johnstone et al, 2014 ⁽³⁾	Cobalt Cadmium	N= 473 surgical patients Odds of fibroid dx higher w/ increased serum cadmium and lead levels			
Shen et al, 2013 ⁽⁴⁾	Plastics Cosmetics Food additives	Han women in Nanjing, China N= 600 with leiomyoma; 600 without			
He et al, 2013 ⁽⁵⁾	Diet Physical activity Stress	N= 73 with leiomyoma; 210 without			
Wise et al, 2012 ⁽⁶⁾	Hair relaxer	Women from Black Women's Health Study			
Lambertino et al, 2011 (7)	POPs	Self reported uternine leiomyoma in women from Great Lakes Fish Consumption Study			
Huang et al, 2010 ⁽⁸⁾	Phthalates	N= 35 with leiomyoma; 29 controls Significantly higher urinary MEHP levels than controls			
Weuve et al, 2010 ⁽⁹⁾	Phthalates	N= 151 self-reported leiomyoma in 1999-2004 NHANES; positive association for MBP, inverse association for MEHP			
Eskenazi et al, 2007 ⁽¹⁰⁾	POPs	History of leiomyoma in women from Seveso, Italy 20 years after chemical explosion and TCDD exposure			
Luisi et al, 2006 ⁽¹¹⁾	Phthalates	N= 15 with leiomyoma; 20 healthy controls Lower serum DEHP, MEHP in women w/ fibroids			

- 1. Trabert B, Chen Z, Kannan K, Peterson CM, Pollack AZ, Sun L, Buck Louis GM. Persistent organic pollutants (POPs) and fibroids: results from the ENDO study. Journal of exposure science & environmental epidemiology 2015; 25:278-285
- 2. Pollack AZ, Buck Louis GM, Chen Z, Sun L, Trabert B, Guo Y, Kannan K. Bisphenol A, benzophenone-type ultraviolet filters, and phthalates in relation to uterine leiomyoma. Environmental research 2015; 137:101-107
- 3. Johnstone EB, Louis GM, Parsons PJ, Steuerwald AJ, Palmer CD, Chen Z, Sun L, Hammoud AO, Dorais J, Peterson CM. Increased urinary cobalt and whole blood concentrations of cadmium and lead in women with uterine leiomyomata: Findings from the ENDO Study. Reproductive toxicology 2014; 49:27-32
- **4.** Shen Y, Xu Q, Xu J, Ren ML, Cai YL. Environmental exposure and risk of uterine leiomyoma: an epidemiologic survey. European review for medical and pharmacological sciences 2013; 17:3249-3256
- 5. He Y, Zeng Q, Dong S, Qin L, Li G, Wang P. Associations between uterine fibroids and lifestyles including diet, physical activity and stress: a case-control study in China. Asia Pacific journal of clinical nutrition 2013; 22:109-117
- **6.** Wise LA, Palmer JR, Reich D, Cozier YC, Rosenberg L. Hair relaxer use and risk of uterine leiomyomata in African-American women. American journal of epidemiology 2012; 175:432-440
- 7. Lambertino A, Turyk M, Anderson H, Freels S, Persky V. Uterine leiomyomata in a cohort of Great Lakes sport fish consumers. Environmental research 2011; 111:565-572
- 8. Huang PC, Tsai EM, Li WF, Liao PC, Chung MC, Wang YH, Wang SL. Association between phthalate exposure and glutathione S-transferase M1 polymorphism in adenomyosis, leiomyoma and endometriosis. Human reproduction 2010; 25:986-994
- 9. Weuve J, Hauser R, Calafat AM, Missmer SA, Wise LA. Association of exposure to phthalates with endometriosis and uterine leiomyomata: findings from NHANES, 1999-2004. Environmental health perspectives 2010; 118:825-832
- 10. Eskenazi B, Warner M, Samuels S, Young J, Gerthoux PM, Needham L, Patterson D, Olive D, Gavoni N, Vercellini P, Mocarelli P. Serum dioxin concentrations and risk of uterine leiomyoma in the Seveso Women's Health Study. American journal of epidemiology 2007; 166:79-87
- Luisi S, Latini G, de Felice C, Sanseverino F, di Pasquale D, Mazzeo P, Petraglia F. Low serum concentrations of di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate in women with uterine fibromatosis.

 Gynecological endocrinology: the official journal of the International Society of Gynecological Endocrinology 2006; 22:92-95

Supplemental Table 2 Human endometriosis: Summary of adult association studies

Reference	Exposure	Comments			
Pollack et al, 2015 ⁽¹⁾	BPA Phthalates UV filters	N= 495 surgical patients No significant OR w/ adjustment for relevant covariants			
Pollack et al, 2013 ⁽²⁾	Trace elements	Matched cohort design No significant associations			
Buck Louis et al, 2013 ⁽³⁾	BPA Phthalates	Population and operative cohorts. Select phthalates associated w/ higher odds of endometriosis			
Upson et al, 2013 ⁽⁴⁾	Pesticides	Case-control study using WREN data N=248 endometriosis, 538 controls Association with serum βHCH concentrations			
Upson et al, 2013 ⁽⁵⁾	Phthalates	Population-based case-control study Inverse association between urinary MEHP and endometriosis			
Vichi et al, 2012 ⁽⁶⁾	PCBs	Case-control study with laprascopic diagnosis N=181 endometriosis, 162 controls Increased blood levels associated with disease			
Trabert et al, 2010 ⁽⁷⁾	PCBs	Case-control study N= 251 surgically confirmed endometriosis, 538 age matched controls (not confirmed) No consistent patterns of risk			
Huang et al, 2010 ⁽⁸⁾	Phthalates	Case control study N=28 endometriosis, 29 controls (surgically confirmed) Elevated but nonsignificant OR			
Weuve et al, 2010 ⁽⁹⁾	Phthalates	Cross-sectional study using 1999-2004 NHANES Sef-reported diagnosis Positive associations for MBP, inverse for MEHP			
Cooney et al, 2010 ⁽¹⁰⁾	Pesticides	N=100 patients undergoing laparoscopy Increased OR for highest compared to lowest tertile for several organochlorine pesticides			

Simsa et al, 2010 ⁽¹¹⁾	Dioxin-like compounds	Surgical patients; N= 96 endometriosis, 106 control Significant odds ratio for women with highest plasma concentrations	
Porpora et al, 2009 ⁽¹²⁾	Dioxin-like compounds	Case-control study of laparoscopy patients N=80 cases, 78 controls Association between increased PCB and p,p'-DDE serum concentrations	
Itoh et al, 2009 ⁽¹³⁾	Phthalates	Japanese infertility patients N=57 endometriosis, 80 controls (laparoscopic dx) No significant associations	
Niskar et al, 2009 ⁽¹⁴⁾	PCBs DDE	Case-control study of laparoscopy patients N=90 endometriosis, 34 controls No associations	
Jackson et al, 2008 ⁽¹⁵⁾	Lead Cadmium Mercury	Cross sectional study using 1999-2004 NHANES data and self-reported endometriosis Dose-response association with cadmium	
Itoh et al, 2008 ⁽¹⁶⁾	Cadmium	Infertile Japanese women; 54 cases, 74 controls No association with urinary cadmium levels	
Hoffman et al, 2007 ⁽¹⁷⁾	PBBs PCBs	Study of women inadvertantly exposed to PBBs in 1973. Self-reported endometriosis. No association between PBB exposure and disease.	
Porpora et al, 2006 ⁽¹⁸⁾	PCBs	Case-control study of nulliparous Italian women N=40 cases, 40 w/ benign gynecological condition Association between blood levels and disease	
Quaranta et al, 2006 ⁽¹⁹⁾	PCBs DDE	Laparoscopy patients N=10 endometriosis, 8 controls Significantly higher serum PCB and DDE levels with endometriosis	
Heilier et al, 2006 ⁽²⁰⁾	Cadmium Lead	N= 119 cases, 25 controls Lower lead levels in cases	
Reddy et al, 2006 ⁽²¹⁾	Phthalates	Laparoscopy patients N=49 endometriosis, 59 controls Significantly higher concentrations of phthalate esters with endometriosis and correlations with	

		disease severity			
Louis et al, 2005 ⁽²²⁾	PCBs	Laparoscopy patients N=32 endometriosis, 50 controls Significantly elevated OR for 3 rd tertile PCBs			
Heilier et al, 2005 ⁽²³⁾	Dioxins PCBs	Case-control study; N=25 cases, 21 controls Increased risk association for dioxin and total dioxin-like PCB serum concentrations			
Tsukino et al, 2005 ⁽²⁴⁾	Dioxins PCBs	Infertile Japanese women; N= 58 cases, 81 controls No significant associations			
Heilier et al, 2004 ⁽²⁵⁾	Cadmium	Case-control study; N= 29 No difference in serum cadmium concentration			
De Felip et al, 2004 ⁽²⁶⁾	Dioxins PCBs	Case-control study of 22 Italian, 18 Belgian women No significant difference between cases and controls			
Corbellis et al, 2003 ⁽²⁷⁾	Phthalates	Laparoscopy patients N=59 endometriosis, 24 age-matched controls Significantly higher plasma DEHP with disease			
Fierens et al, 2003 ⁽²⁸⁾	Dioxins PCBs	Population-based studies of exposed Belgian women No significant associations			
Eskenazi et al, 2002 ⁽²⁹⁾	TCDD	Population-based historical cohort study; 19 endometriosis, 277 nondiseased No significant association			
Pauwels et al, 2001 ⁽³⁰⁾	Dioxins PCBs	Infertile women N= 34 cases, 29 controls No significant associations			
Taskinen et al, 1999 ⁽³¹⁾	Formaldehyde Organic solvents	Occupationally exposed women Suggestion of association			
Lebel et al, 1998 ⁽³²⁾	PCBs Chlorinated pesticides	Case-control study of laparoscopy patients N=86 endometriosis, 70 controls No significant differences			

Mayani et al, 1997 ⁽³³⁾	TCDD	Infertile women undergoing larparoscopy; N=44 endometriosis, 35 age-matched controls	
Gerhard and Runnebaum, 1992 ⁽³⁴⁾	PCBs	Women with hormonal irregularities PCB values significantly higher in women with endometriosis	

- 1. Pollack AZ, Buck Louis GM, Chen Z, Sun L, Trabert B, Guo Y, Kannan K. Bisphenol A, benzophenone-type ultraviolet filters, and phthalates in relation to uterine leiomyoma. Environmental research 2015; 137:101-107
- 2. Pollack AZ, Louis GM, Chen Z, Peterson CM, Sundaram R, Croughan MS, Sun L, Hediger ML, Stanford JB, Varner MW, Palmer CD, Steuerwald AJ, Parsons PJ. Trace elements and endometriosis: the ENDO study. Reproductive toxicology 2013; 42:41-48
- 3. Buck Louis GM, Peterson CM, Chen Z, Croughan M, Sundaram R, Stanford J, Varner MW, Kennedy A, Giudice L, Fujimoto VY, Sun L, Wang L, Guo Y, Kannan K. Bisphenol A and phthalates and endometriosis: the Endometriosis: Natural History, Diagnosis and Outcomes Study. Fertility and sterility 2013; 100:162-169 e161-162
- **4.** Upson K, De Roos AJ, Thompson ML, Sathyanarayana S, Scholes D, Barr DB, Holt VL. Organochlorine pesticides and risk of endometriosis: findings from a population-based case-control study. Environmental health perspectives 2013; 121:1319-1324
- 5. Upson K, Sathyanarayana S, De Roos AJ, Thompson ML, Scholes D, Dills R, Holt VL. Phthalates and risk of endometriosis. Environmental research 2013; 126:91-97
- 6. Vichi S, Medda E, Ingelido AM, Ferro A, Resta S, Porpora MG, Abballe A, Nistico L, De Felip E, Gemma S, Testai E. Glutathione transferase polymorphisms and risk of endometriosis associated with polychlorinated biphenyls exposure in Italian women: a gene-environment interaction. Fertility and sterility 2012; 97:1143-1151 e1141-1143
- 7. Trabert B, De Roos AJ, Schwartz SM, Peters U, Scholes D, Barr DB, Holt VL. Non-dioxin-like polychlorinated biphenyls and risk of endometriosis. Environmental health perspectives 2010; 118:1280-1285
- 8. Huang PC, Tsai EM, Li WF, Liao PC, Chung MC, Wang YH, Wang SL. Association between phthalate exposure and glutathione S-transferase M1 polymorphism in adenomyosis, leiomyoma and endometriosis. Human reproduction 2010; 25:986-994
- 9. Weuve J, Hauser R, Calafat AM, Missmer SA, Wise LA. Association of exposure to phthalates with endometriosis and uterine leiomyomata: findings from NHANES, 1999-2004. Environmental health perspectives 2010; 118:825-832

- 10. Cooney MA, Buck Louis GM, Hediger ML, Vexler A, Kostyniak PJ. Organochlorine pesticides and endometriosis. Reproductive toxicology 2010; 30:365-369
- **11.** Simsa P, Mihalyi A, Schoeters G, Koppen G, Kyama CM, Den Hond EM, Fulop V, D'Hooghe TM. Increased exposure to dioxin-like compounds is associated with endometriosis in a case-control study in women. Reproductive biomedicine online 2010; 20:681-688
- **12.** Porpora MG, Medda E, Abballe A, Bolli S, De Angelis I, di Domenico A, Ferro A, Ingelido AM, Maggi A, Panici PB, De Felip E. Endometriosis and organochlorinated environmental pollutants: a case-control study on Italian women of reproductive age. Environmental health perspectives 2009; 117:1070-1075
- 13. Itoh H, Iwasaki M, Hanaoka T, Sasaki H, Tanaka T, Tsugane S. Urinary phthalate monoesters and endometriosis in infertile Japanese women. The Science of the total environment 2009; 408:37-42
- **14.** Niskar AS, Needham LL, Rubin C, Turner WE, Martin CA, Patterson DG, Jr., Hasty L, Wong LY, Marcus M. Serum dioxins, polychlorinated biphenyls, and endometriosis: a case-control study in Atlanta. Chemosphere 2009; 74:944-949
- **15.** Jackson LW, Zullo MD, Goldberg JM. The association between heavy metals, endometriosis and uterine myomas among premenopausal women: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 1999-2002. Human reproduction 2008; 23:679-687
- **16.** Itoh H, Iwasaki M, Nakajima Y, Endo Y, Hanaoka T, Sasaki H, Tanaka T, Yang B, Tsugane S. A case-control study of the association between urinary cadmium concentration and endometriosis in infertile Japanese women. The Science of the total environment 2008; 402:171-175
- **17.** Hoffman CS, Small CM, Blanck HM, Tolbert P, Rubin C, Marcus M. Endometriosis among women exposed to polybrominated biphenyls. Annals of epidemiology 2007; 17:503-510
- **18.** Porpora MG, Ingelido AM, di Domenico A, Ferro A, Crobu M, Pallante D, Cardelli M, Cosmi EV, De Felip E. Increased levels of polychlorobiphenyls in Italian women with endometriosis. Chemosphere 2006; 63:1361-1367
- 19. Quaranta MG, Porpora MG, Mattioli B, Giordani L, Libri I, Ingelido AM, Cerenzia P, Di Felice A, Abballe A, De Felip E, Viora M. Impaired NK-cell-mediated cytotoxic activity and cytokine production in patients with endometriosis: a possible role for PCBs and DDE. Life sciences 2006; 79:491-498
- **20.** Heilier JF, Donnez J, Verougstraete V, Donnez O, Grandjean F, Haufroid V, Nackers F, Lison D. Cadmium, lead and endometriosis. International archives of occupational and environmental health 2006; 80:149-153
- **21.** Reddy BS, Rozati R, Reddy BV, Raman NV. Association of phthalate esters with endometriosis in Indian women. BJOG: an international journal of obstetrics and gynaecology 2006; 113:515-520

- **22.** Louis GM, Weiner JM, Whitcomb BW, Sperrazza R, Schisterman EF, Lobdell DT, Crickard K, Greizerstein H, Kostyniak PJ. Environmental PCB exposure and risk of endometriosis. Human reproduction 2005; 20:279-285
- **23.** Heilier JF, Nackers F, Verougstraete V, Tonglet R, Lison D, Donnez J. Increased dioxin-like compounds in the serum of women with peritoneal endometriosis and deep endometriotic (adenomyotic) nodules. Fertility and sterility 2005; 84:305-312
- **24.** Tsukino H, Hanaoka T, Sasaki H, Motoyama H, Hiroshima M, Tanaka T, Kabuto M, Niskar AS, Rubin C, Patterson DG, Jr., Turner W, Needham L, Tsugane S. Associations between serum levels of selected organochlorine compounds and endometriosis in infertile Japanese women. Environmental research 2005; 99:118-125
- 25. Heilier JF, Verougstraete V, Nackers F, Tonglet R, Donnez J, Lison D. Assessment of cadmium impregnation in women suffering from endometriosis: a preliminary study. Toxicology letters 2004; 154:89-93
- **26.** De Felip E, Porpora MG, di Domenico A, Ingelido AM, Cardelli M, Cosmi EV, Donnez J. Dioxin-like compounds and endometriosis: a study on Italian and Belgian women of reproductive age. Toxicology letters 2004; 150:203-209
- **27.** Cobellis L, Latini G, De Felice C, Razzi S, Paris I, Ruggieri F, Mazzeo P, Petraglia F. High plasma concentrations of di-(2-ethylhexyl)-phthalate in women with endometriosis. Human reproduction 2003; 18:1512-1515
- **28.** Fierens S, Mairesse H, Heilier JF, De Burbure C, Focant JF, Eppe G, De Pauw E, Bernard A. Dioxin/polychlorinated biphenyl body burden, diabetes and endometriosis: findings in a population-based study in Belgium. Biomarkers: biochemical indicators of exposure, response, and susceptibility to chemicals 2003; 8:529-534
- **29.** Eskenazi B, Mocarelli P, Warner M, Samuels S, Vercellini P, Olive D, Needham LL, Patterson DG, Jr., Brambilla P, Gavoni N, Casalini S, Panazza S, Turner W, Gerthoux PM. Serum dioxin concentrations and endometriosis: a cohort study in Seveso, Italy. Environmental health perspectives 2002; 110:629-634
- **30.** Pauwels A, Schepens PJ, D'Hooghe T, Delbeke L, Dhont M, Brouwer A, Weyler J. The risk of endometriosis and exposure to dioxins and polychlorinated biphenyls: a case-control study of infertile women. Human reproduction 2001; 16:2050-2055
- **31.** Taskinen HK, Kyyronen P, Sallmen M, Virtanen SV, Liukkonen TA, Huida O, Lindbohm ML, Anttila A. Reduced fertility among female wood workers exposed to formaldehyde. American journal of industrial medicine 1999; 36:206-212
- **32.** Lebel G, Dodin S, Ayotte P, Marcoux S, Ferron LA, Dewailly E. Organochlorine exposure and the risk of endometriosis. Fertility and sterility 1998; 69:221-228
- **33.** Mayani A, Barel S, Soback S, Almagor M. Dioxin concentrations in women with endometriosis. Human reproduction 1997; 12:373-375
- **34.** Gerhard I, Runnebaum B. [The limits of hormone substitution in pollutant exposure and fertility disorders]. Zentralblatt fur Gynakologie 1992; 114:593-602