Computation of Betti numbers of monomial ideals associated with cyclic polytopes

N. Terai and T. Hibi

Series ♯277. January 1995

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

♯ 251 H. Kubo, K. Kubota, Asymptotic behaviors of radially symmetric solutions of $\Box u = |u|^p$ for super critical values p in odd space dimensions, 51 pages. 1994.

♯ 252 T. Mikami, Large Deviations and Central Limit Theorems for Eyraud-Farlie-Gumbel-Morgenstern Processes, 9 pages. 1994.

♯ 253 T. Nishimori, Some remarks in a qualitative theory of similarity pseudogroups, 19 pages. 1994.

♯ 254 T. Suwa, Residues of complex analytic foliations relative to singular invariant subvarieties, 15 pages. 1994.

♯ 255 T. Tsujishita, On Triple Mutual Information, 7 pages. 1994.

♯ 256 T. Tsujishita, Construction of Universal Modal World based on Hyperset Theory, 15 pages. 1994.

♯ 257 A. Arai, Trace Formulas, a Golden-Thompson Inequality and Classical Limit in Boson Fock Space, 35 pages. 1994.

♯ 258 Y-G. Chen, Y. Giga, T. Hitaka and M. Honma, A Stable Difference Scheme for Computing Motion of Level Surfaces by the Mean Curvature, 18 pages. 1994.

♯ 259 K. Iwata, J. Schäfer, Markov property and cokernels of local operators, 7 pages. 1994.

♯ 260 T. Mikami, Copula fields and its applications, 14 pages. 1994.

♯ 261 A. Inoue, An Abel-Tauber theorem for Fourier sine transforms, 6 pages. 1994.

♯ 262 N. Kawazumi, Homology of hyperelliptic mapping class groups for surfaces, 13 pages. 1994.

♯ 263 Y. Giga, M. E. Gurtin, A comparison theorem for crystalline evolution in the plane, 14 pages. 1994.

♯ 264 J. Wierzbicki, On Commutativity of Diagrams of Type II_1 Factors, 26 pages. 1994.

♯ 265 N. Hayashi, T. Ozawa, Schrödinger Equations with nonlinearity of integral type, 12 pages. 1994.

♯ 266 T. Ozawa, On the resonance equations of long and short waves, 8 pages. 1994.

♯ 267 T. Mikami, A sufficient condition for the uniqueness of solutions to a class of integro-differential equations, 9 pages. 1994.

♯ 268 Y. Giga, Evolving curves with boundary conditions, 10 pages. 1994.

♯ 269 A. Arai, Operator-theoretical analysis of representation of a supersymmetry algebra in Hilbert space, 12 pages. 1994.

♯ 270 A. Arai, Gauge theory on a non-simply-connected domain and representations of canonical commutation relations, 18 pages. 1994.

♯ 271 S. Jimbo, Y. Morita and J. Zhai, Ginzburg landau equation and stable steady state solutions in a non-trivial domain, 17 pages. 1994.

♯ 272 S. Izumiya, A. Takiyama, A time-like surface in Minkowski 3-space which contains light-like lines, 7 pages. 1994.

♯ 273 K. Tsutaya, Global existence of small amplitude solutions for the Klein-Gordon-Zakharov equations, 11 pages. 1994.

♯ 274 H. Kubo, On the critical decay and power for semilinear wave equations in odd space dimensions, 22 pages. 1994.

♯ 275 N. Terai, T. Hibi, Alexander duality theorem and second Betti numbers of Stanley-Reisner rings, 2 pages. 1995.

♯ 276 N. Terai, T. Hibi, Stanley-Reisner rings whose Betti numbers are independent of the base field, 12 pages. 1995.

Computation of Betti numbers of monomial ideals associated with cyclic polytopes

Naoki Terai Takayuki Hibi

Abstract

We give a combinatorial formula for the Betti numbers which appear in a minimal free resolution of the Stanley–Reisner ring $k[\Delta(\mathcal{P})]= A/I_{\Delta(\mathcal{P})}$ of the boundary complex $\Delta(\mathcal{P})$ of an odd-dimensional cyclic polytope $\mathcal{P}$ over a field k. A corollary to the formula is that the Betti number sequence of $k[\Delta(\mathcal{P})]$ is unimodal and does not depend on the base field k.

Introduction

Let $A = k[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_v]$ denote the polynomial ring in v variables over a field k, which will be considered to be the graded algebra $A = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} A_n$ over k with the standard grading, i.e., each $\deg x_i = 1$. Let $\mathbf{Z}$ (resp. $\mathbf{Q}$) denote the set of integers (resp. rational numbers). We write $A(j)$, $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, for the graded module $A(j) = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}[A(j)]_n$ over A with $[A(j)]_n := A_{n+j}$. Let I be an ideal of A generated by homogeneous polynomials and R the quotient algebra A/I. When R is regarded as a graded module over A with the quotient grading, it has a graded *finite free resolution*

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbf{Z}} A(-j)^{\beta_{hj}} \xrightarrow{\varphi_h} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbf{Z}} A(-j)^{\beta_{1j}} \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} A \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} R \longrightarrow 0; \qquad (1)$$

where each $\bigoplus_{j\in\mathbf{Z}} A(-j)^{\beta_{ij}}$, $1 \leq i \leq h$, is a graded free module of rank $0 \neq \sum_{j\in\mathbf{Z}} \beta_{ij} < \infty$, and where every φ_i is degree-preserving. Moreover, there exists a unique such resolution which minimizes each β_{ij}; such a resolution is called *minimal*. If a finite free resolution (1) is minimal, then the *homological dimension* $\mathrm{hd}_A(R)$ of R over A is the non-negative integer h and $\beta_i = \beta_i^A(R) := \sum_{j\in\mathbf{Z}} \beta_{ij}$ is called the i-th *Betti number* of R over A.

When R is a Stanley-Reisner ring, i.e., $R = A/I$ where I is generated by square-free monomials, its Betti numbers can be studied not only from an algebraic view point but also from topological and combinatorial one. It is an interesting problem to determine all the Betti numbers of the Stanley-Reisner rings for a good class of simplicial complexes.

In this paper, we give a combinatorial formula for the Betti numbers of the Stanley-Reisner ring of the boundary complex of an odd-dimensional cyclic polytope. Cyclic polytopes are important in combinatorics and have many good properties. For an even-dimensional cyclic polytope, its associated Stanley-Reisner ring has a pure minimal free resolution. Thus its Betti numbers can be easily computed from its Hilbert function. See [Sch]. On the other hand, when a cyclic polytope has an odd dimension, its associated Stanley-Reisner ring does not have a pure minimal free resolution. We need much deeper analysis to calculate the Betti numbers.

§1. Simplicial complexes and Hochster's formula

We first recall some notation on simplicial complexes and Hochster's topological formula on Betti numbers of Stanley-Reisner rings. We refer the reader to, e.g., [Bru–Her], [H_1], [Hoc] and [Sta] for the detailed information about combinatorial and algebraic background.

(1.1) A *simplicial complex* Δ on the *vertex set* $V = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_v\}$ is a collection of subsets of V such that (i) $\{x_i\} \in \Delta$ for every $1 \leq i \leq v$ and (ii) $\sigma \in \Delta$, $\tau \subset \sigma \Rightarrow \tau \in \Delta$. Each element σ of Δ is called a *face* of Δ. Let $\sharp(\sigma)$ denote the cardinality of a finite set σ. We set $d = \max\{\sharp(\sigma) \mid \sigma \in \Delta\}$ and define the *dimension* of Δ to be $\dim \Delta = d - 1$.

Given a subset W of V, the *restriction* of Δ to W is the subcomplex

$$\Delta_W = \{\sigma \in \Delta \mid \sigma \subset W\}$$

of Δ. In particular, $\Delta_V = \Delta$ and $\Delta_\emptyset = \{\emptyset\}$.

Let $\tilde{H}_i(\Delta; k)$ denote the i-th *reduced simplicial homology group* of Δ with the coefficient field k. Note that $\tilde{H}_{-1}(\Delta; k) = 0$ if $\Delta \neq \{\emptyset\}$ and

$$\tilde{H}_i(\{\emptyset\}; k) = \begin{cases} 0 & (i \geq 0) \\ k & (i = -1). \end{cases}$$

(1.2) Let $A = k[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_v]$ be the polynomial ring in v variables over a field k. Here, we identify each $x_i \in V$ with the indeterminate x_i of A.

Define I_Δ to be the ideal of A which is generated by square-free monomials $x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_r}$, $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r \leq v$, with $\{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \cdots, x_{i_r}\} \notin \Delta$. We say that the quotient algebra $k[\Delta] := A/I_\Delta$ is the *Stanley-Reisner ring* of Δ over k. In what follows, we consider A to be the graded algebra $A = \oplus_{n\geq 0} A_n$ with the standard grading, i.e., each $\deg x_i = 1$, and may regard $k[\Delta] = \oplus_{n\geq 0}(k[\Delta])_n$ as a graded module over A with the quotient grading.

(1.3) Let $h = \mathrm{hd}_A(k[\Delta])$ denote the homological dimension of $k[\Delta]$ over A and consider a graded minimal free resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbf{Z}} A(-j)^{\beta_{hj}} \xrightarrow{\varphi_h} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbf{Z}} A(-j)^{\beta_{1j}} \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} A \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} k[\Delta] \longrightarrow 0$$

of $k[\Delta]$ over A. It is known that $v - d \leq h \leq v$.

We say that a simplicial complex Δ (or a Stanley-Reisner ring $k[\Delta]$) is *Cohen-Macaulay* (resp. *Gorenstein*) over a field k if $h = v-d$ (resp. $h = v-d$ and $\beta_{v-d} = 1$). Hochster's formula [Hoc, Theorem (5.1)] guarantees that

$$\beta_{ij} = \sum_{W\subset V,\ \sharp(W)=j} \dim_k \tilde{H}_{j-i-1}(\Delta_W; k).$$

Thus, in particular,

$$\beta_i^A(k[\Delta]) = \sum_{W\subset V} \dim_k \tilde{H}_{\sharp(W)-i-1}(\Delta_W; k).$$

Some combinatorial and algebraic applications of Hochster's formula have been studied. See, e.g., [Bac], [B–H_1], [B–H_2], [Mun], [H_2], [H_3], [H_4], and [T-H].

§2. Cyclic polytopes

In this section we briefly summarize the definition and basic facts of cyclic polytopes according to [Bil-Lee] and [Brø]. See those references for the detailed information.

(2.1) Let $\mathbf{R}$ denote the set of real numbers. For any subset M of the d-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^d$, there is a smallest convex set containing M. We call this convex set the *convex hull* of M and denote it by $\mathrm{conv}M$. For $d \geq 2$ the *moment curve* in $\mathbf{R}^d$ is the curve parametrized by

$$t \longmapsto x(t) := (t, t^2, \cdots, t^d), \qquad t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

By a *cyclic polytope* $C(v,d)$, where $v \geq d+1$ and $d \geq 2$, we mean a polytope $\mathcal{P}$ of the form $\mathcal{P} = \mathrm{conv}\{x(t_1), \cdots, x(t_v)\}$, where $t_1, \cdots, t_v$ are distinct real numbers. It is well known that $C(v,d)$ is a simplicial d-polytope with the vertex set $\{x(t_1), \cdots, x(t_v)\}$, and its face lattice is independent of the particular values of t. Therefore its boundary complex is a simplicial complex and has the same combinatorial structure for any choices of vertices. We denote it by $\Delta(C(v,d))$.

Let $V = \{x_1, \cdots, x_v\}$ be the vertex set of $C(v,d)$. Let W be a proper subset of V. A subset X of W of the form $X = \{x_i, x_{i+1}, \cdots, x_j\}$ is said to be a *contiguous subset* of W if $i > 1, j < v, x_{i-1} \notin W$, and $x_{j+1} \notin W$. The set X is a *left end-set* of W if $i = 1$ and $x_{j+1} \notin W$, and a *right end-set* of W if $j = v$ and $x_{i-1} \notin W$. We say that X is a *component* of W if X is a contiguous subset or an end-set of W. A subset X of W is said to be *even* (resp. *odd*) if the number of elements in X is even (resp. odd). The set W can be written uniquely in the form $W = Y_1 \cup X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_n \cup Y_2$, where X_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$, is a contiguous subset of W, and Y_i, $i = 1, 2$, is an end-set of W or an empty set. We quote two facts which are necessary later. We may abuse notation and call a subset W of V itself a face of $C(v,d)$ if conv$W$ is a face of $C(v,d)$.

(2.2) LEMMA ([Brø, Corollary 13.7]). *Let W be an m-element subset of V, where $m \leq d$. Then W is an $(m-1)$-face of $C(v,d)$ if and only if the number of odd contiguous subsets of W is at most $d-m$.*

(2.3) LEMMA ([Brø, Corollary 13.8]). *Let m be an integer such that $1 \leq m \leq [\frac{d}{2}]$. Then all m-element subsets of V are $(m-1)$-faces of $C(v,d)$.*

§3. Betti numbers of Stanley–Reisner rings associated with cyclic polytopes

In this section we compute the Betti numbers of a minimal free resolution of the Stanley-Reisner ring $k[\Delta(C(v,d))]$ of the boundary complex $\Delta(C(v,d))$ of the cyclic polytope $C(v,d)$.

We fix a field k .

If the dimension d is even, a minimal free resolution of $k[\Delta]$ is pure and the Betti numbers can be easily computed from the Hilbert function of $k[\Delta]$.

(3.1) PROPOSITION ([Sch]). *Let Δ be the boundary complex $\Delta(C(v,d))$ of the cyclic polytope $C(v,d)$, where $d \geq 2$ is even. Then a minimal free*

resolution of $k[\Delta]$ over A is of the form:

$$0 \longrightarrow A(-v) \longrightarrow A(-v+\frac{d}{2}+1)^{\beta_{v-d-1}} \longrightarrow$$
$$\cdots \longrightarrow A(-\frac{d}{2}-2)^{\beta_2} \longrightarrow A(-\frac{d}{2}-1)^{\beta_1} \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow k[\Delta] \longrightarrow 0,$$

where for $1 \leq i \leq v-d-1$,

$$\beta_i = \binom{v-\frac{d}{2}-1}{\frac{d}{2}+i}\binom{\frac{d}{2}+i-1}{\frac{d}{2}} + \binom{v-\frac{d}{2}-1}{i-1}\binom{v-\frac{d}{2}-i-1}{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Our formula on β_i in Proposition 3.1. is, in fact, a little bit different from the one in [Sch]. But it is easy to show that they are coincident.

If the dimension d is odd, the minimal free resolution of $k[\Delta]$ is not pure, and the situation is much more complicated.

Now we state the main theorem in this paper.

(3.2) THEOREM. *Let Δ be the boundary complex $\Delta(C(v,d))$ of the cyclic polytope $C(v,d)$, where $d \geq 3$ is odd. Then a minimal free resolution of $k[\Delta]$ over A is of the form:*

$$0 \longrightarrow A(-v) \longrightarrow A\left(-v+\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]+2\right)^{b_{v-d-1}} \oplus A\left(-v+\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]+1\right)^{b_1} \longrightarrow$$
$$\cdots \longrightarrow A\left(-\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]-2\right)^{b_2} \oplus A\left(-\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]-3\right)^{b_{v-d-2}} \longrightarrow A\left(-\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]-1\right)^{b_1}$$
$$\oplus A\left(-\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]-2\right)^{b_{v-d-1}} \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow k[\Delta] \longrightarrow 0,$$

where for $1 \leq i \leq v-d-1$,

$$b_i = \binom{v-[\frac{d}{2}]-2}{[\frac{d}{2}]+i}\binom{[\frac{d}{2}]+i-1}{[\frac{d}{2}]}.$$

Even if the geometric realization $|\Delta|$ of a simplicial complex Δ is a sphere, a Betti number of the Stanley-Reisner ring $k[\Delta]$ may depend on the base field k in general. See [T-H, Example 3.3]. But as for the boundary complexes of cyclic polytopes we have the following result:

(3.3) COROLLARY. *Let Δ be the boundary complex $\Delta(C(v,d))$ of the cyclic polytope $C(v,d)$, where $d \geq 2$. Then all the Betti numbers of the Stanley-Reisner ring $k[\Delta]$ are independent of the base field k.*

We prepare several lemmas to prove the theorem. We put $\Delta = \Delta(C(v,d))$ and $V = \{1, 2, \cdots, v\}$ for simplicity, and fix an odd integer $d \geq 3$.

(3.4) LEMMA. *If v is odd and $W = \{1, 3, 5, \cdots, v\}$, then*

$$\tilde{H}_{[\frac{d}{2}]-1}(\Delta_W; k) = 0.$$

Proof. We have $\tilde{H}_{[\frac{d}{2}]-1}(\Delta_W; k) \cong \tilde{H}_{[\frac{d}{2}]}(\Delta_{V-W}; k)$ by the Alexander duality theorem (see, e.g.,[Sta, p76]). Since $V - W = \{2, 4, \ldots, v-1\}$, if σ is a subset of $V - W$ with $\sharp(\sigma) > [\frac{d}{2}]$, then σ does not belong to Δ by Lemma 2.2. Thus we have $\tilde{H}_{[\frac{d}{2}]}(\Delta_{V-W}; k) = 0$. Q.E.D.

(3.5) LEMMA. *If v is even and $W = \{1, 3, 5, \cdots, v-1\}$, then*

$$\tilde{H}_{[\frac{d}{2}]-1}(\Delta_W; k) = 0.$$

Proof. All the maximal faces of Δ_W are of the form $\{1\} \cup \sigma$, where $1 \notin \sigma$, $\sigma \subset W$, $\sharp(\sigma) = [\frac{d}{2}]$. Thus Δ_W is a cone with apex $\{1\}$. Hence we have $\tilde{H}_{[\frac{d}{2}]-1}(\Delta_W; k) = 0$. Q.E.D.

(3.6) LEMMA. *If v is even and $W = \{2, 4, 6, \cdots, v\}$, then*

$$\tilde{H}_{[\frac{d}{2}]-1}(\Delta_W; k) = 0.$$

Proof. As in Lemma 3.5, Δ_W is a cone with apex $\{v\}$. Hence we have $\tilde{H}_{[\frac{d}{2}]-1}(\Delta_W; k) = 0$. Q.E.D.

(3.7) LEMMA. *If v is odd and $W = \{2, 4, 6, \cdots, v-1\}$, then*

$$\dim_k \tilde{H}_{[\frac{d}{2}]-1}(\Delta_W; k) = \binom{[\frac{v}{2}]-1}{[\frac{d}{2}]}.$$

Proof. Let

$$0 \to C_d \to C_{d-1} \to \cdots \to C_1 \to C_0 \to C_{-1} \to 0$$

be the augmented chain complex of the simplicial complex Δ_W over k. Then we have $C_{[\frac{d}{2}]} = 0$ and, for $j < [\frac{d}{2}]$, all the $(j+1)$-subsets of W form a basis of C_j as a vector space by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. Thus we have $\tilde{H}_j(\Delta_W; k) = 0$ for all $j < [\frac{d}{2}] - 1$. Hence, the Euler-Poincaré formula (see, e.g.,[Bru-Her, p223]) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim_k \tilde{H}_{[\frac{d}{2}]-1}(\Delta_W; k) \\ = \; & (-1)^{[\frac{d}{2}]} \left(\binom{[\frac{v}{2}]}{0} - \binom{[\frac{v}{2}]}{1} + \binom{[\frac{v}{2}]}{2} - \cdots + (-1)^{[\frac{d}{2}]} \binom{[\frac{v}{2}]}{[\frac{d}{2}]} \right) \\ = \; & \binom{[\frac{v}{2}]-1}{[\frac{d}{2}]}. \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

(3.8) LEMMA. *Let W be a non-empty proper subset of V with a unique decomposition*

$$W = Y_1 \cup X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \ldots \cup X_n \cup Y_2$$

for some $n \geq 0$, where $X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, is a contiguous subset and $Y_i, i = 1, 2$, is an end-set or an empty set. Then

$$\dim_k \tilde{H}_{[\frac{d}{2}]-1}(\Delta_W; k) = \begin{cases} \binom{n-1}{[\frac{d}{2}]}, & \text{if } Y_1 = \emptyset \text{ and } Y_2 = \emptyset, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where we define $\binom{n-1}{[\frac{d}{2}]} = 0$ if $n - 1 < [\frac{d}{2}]$.

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on the number v of vertices. First let $v = d + 1$. Then $C(v, d)$ is a d-simplex. Thus $\tilde{H}_{[\frac{d}{2}]-1}(\Delta_W; k) = 0$ for every subset $W \subset V$. Since $n \leq [\frac{v-1}{2}] = [\frac{d}{2}]$, the lemma holds.

Next let $v > d + 1$. Let

$$V - W = X'_1 \cup X'_2 \cup \cdots \cup X'_{n+1}$$

be a unique decomposition, where $X'_i, 1 \leq i \leq n + 1$, is a component of $V - W$. Suppose there exists X'_i $(1 \leq i \leq n + 1)$ with $\sharp(X'_i) \geq 2$. Let j be an element of X'_i. Put $V' = V - \{j\}$. Note that $W \subset V'$. We consider the simplicial complex $\Delta' = \Delta(C(v - 1, d))$ on the vertex set V'. Then we have $\Delta'_W = \Delta_W$ by Lemma 2.2. Thus we have $\tilde{H}_j(\Delta_W; k) = \tilde{H}_j(\Delta'_W; k)$. By the induction hypothesis, we are done in this case.

We put $X_0 := Y_1, X_{n+1} := Y_2$. Next suppose there exists X_i $(0 \leq i \leq n+1)$ with $\sharp(X_i) \geq 2$. Let j be an element of X_i. Put $V' = V - \{j\}$. We consider the simplicial complex $\Delta' := \Delta(C(v-1,d))$ on the vertex set V'. Then we have $\Delta'_{V-W} = \Delta_{V-W}$ by Lemma 2.2. By Alexander duality, we have

$$\tilde{H}_{[\frac{d}{2}]-1}(\Delta_W; k) \cong \tilde{H}_{[\frac{d}{2}]}(\Delta_{V-W}; k) = \tilde{H}_{[\frac{d}{2}]}(\Delta'_{V-W}; k) \cong \tilde{H}_{[\frac{d}{2}]-1}(\Delta'_W; k).$$

Thus we are done in this case.

In the remaining case we may assume $\sharp(X_i) = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, \sharp(X'_i) = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ and $\sharp(Y_i) \leq 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. But in this case we have the desired result by Lemmas 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, and 3.7. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Since $k[\Delta]$ is Gorenstein (see, e.g., [Bru-Her, Corollary 5.5.6]), we have $\mathrm{hd}_A k[\Delta] = v - d$. Let

$$0 \to F_{v-d} \to \cdots \to F_2 \to F_1 \to A \to k[\Delta] \to 0$$

be a minimal free resolution of $k[\Delta] = A/I_\Delta$ over A. By Lemma 2.3, we have $\min\{\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}; (I_\Delta)_\alpha \neq 0\} = [\frac{d}{2}] + 1$. Then F_1 has a direct summand of the form $A(-[\frac{d}{2}] - 1)^{b_1}$ with $b_1 > 0$ and $F_i, 1 \leq i \leq v-d-1$, may have $A(-[\frac{d}{2}] - i)^{b_i}$ with $b_i \geq 0$ as a direct summand. We have $F_{v-d} = A(-v)$ and $F_i, 1 \leq i \leq v-d-1$, may have $A(-v + [\frac{d}{2}] + (v-d-i))^{b_{v-d-i}} = A(-[\frac{d}{2}] - i - 1)^{b_{v-d-i}}$ as a direct summand by the self-duality of the minimal free resolution (see, e.g., [Sta, p59]). By [B-H$_2$, Proposition 1.1] we can easily check that other shiftings do not appear, since $k[\Delta]$ is Gorenstein. Thus we obtain the desired form of the minimal free resolution of $k[\Delta]$.

We now determine the graded Betti numbers $b_i, 1 \leq i \leq v-d-1$. By Hochster's formula we have

$$b_i = \beta_{i,[\frac{d}{2}]+i} = \sum_{W \subset V,\ \sharp(W) = [\frac{d}{2}]+i} \dim_k \tilde{H}_{[\frac{d}{2}]-1}(\Delta_W; k).$$

Let $c_i(n)$ denote the number of $([\frac{d}{2}] + i)$-subsets W of V such that W has a unique decomposition $W = X_1 \cup X_2 \cdots \cup X_n$ where $X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, is a contiguous subset of W. Then $c_i(n)$ is the number of positive integer solutions of the system of the equations

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n = [\frac{d}{2}] + i \\ y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_{n+1} = v - [\frac{d}{2}] - i. \end{cases}$$

Thus we have

$$c_i(n) = \binom{[\frac{d}{2}] + i - 1}{n-1} \binom{v - [\frac{d}{2}] - i - 1}{n}.$$

By Lemma 3.8 and combinatorial identities in [Brø, Appendix 3] we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_i &= \sum_{n\geq 1} c_i(n)\binom{n-1}{[\frac{d}{2}]} \\ &= \sum_{n\geq 1}\binom{v-[\frac{d}{2}]-i-1}{n}\binom{[\frac{d}{2}]+i-1}{n-1}\binom{n-1}{[\frac{d}{2}]} \\ &= \sum_{n\geq 1}\binom{v-[\frac{d}{2}]-i-1}{n}\binom{[\frac{d}{2}]+i-1}{[\frac{d}{2}]}\binom{i-1}{n-[\frac{d}{2}]-1} \\ &= \binom{[\frac{d}{2}]+i-1}{[\frac{d}{2}]}\binom{v-[\frac{d}{2}]-2}{i+[\frac{d}{2}]}. \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

§4. Unimodality of Betti number sequences

In this section we show unimodality of the Betti number sequence $(\beta_0, \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_{v-d})$ of the Stanley-Reisner ring $k[\Delta(C(v,d))]$ associated with the cyclic polytope $C(v,d)$. Since this sequence is symmetric, i.e., $\beta_i = \beta_{v-d-i}$ for every $0 \leq i \leq v-d$, the unimodality means $\beta_0 \leq \beta_1 \leq \cdots \leq \beta_{[(v-d)/2]}$.

(4.1) LEMMA. *Suppose d is odd. With the same situation as in Theorem (3.2) we have the following.*
(1) *If $v-d$ is even, then*

$$b_1 < b_2 < \cdots < b_{(v-d)/2} > b_{(v-d+2)/2} > \cdots > b_{v-d-1}.$$

(2) *If $v-d$ is odd, then*

$$b_1 < b_2 < \cdots < b_{(v-d-1)/2} > b_{(v-d+1)/2} > \cdots > b_{v-d-1}.$$

Proof. This lemma is clear from the following observation.

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{b_i}{b_{i+1}} &= \frac{i(i+1+[\frac{d}{2}])}{([\frac{d}{2}]+i)(v-2[\frac{d}{2}]-2-i)} > 1 \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad & v-2\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]-2-i < i\left(\frac{[\frac{d}{2}]+i+1}{[\frac{d}{2}]+i}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad v - 2\left[\frac{d}{2}\right] - 2 - i \leq i$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{v - 2[\frac{d}{2}] - 2}{2} \leq i$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{v - d - 1}{2} \leq i.$$

Q.E.D.

(4.2) COROLLARY. *Let Δ be the boundary complex $\Delta(C(v,d))$ of the cyclic polytope $C(v,d)$. Then, the Betti number sequence $(\beta_0(k[\Delta]),\ \beta_1(k[\Delta]), \cdots,\ \beta_{v-d}(k[\Delta]))$ of the Stanley-Reisner ring $k[\Delta]$ over A is unimodal.*

Proof. Suppose d is odd. Then $\beta_i = b_i + b_{v-d-i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq v-d-1$. Now the corollary is clear from the above lemma.

Suppose d is even. By Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, we will see $\beta_i(k[\Delta]) = \beta_i(k[\Delta(C(v+1,d+1))])$ for $0 \leq i \leq v-d$. Thus we can reduce this case to the odd-dimensional case. Q.E.D.

References

[Bac] K. Baclawski, *Cohen-Macaulay connectivity and geometric lattices*, European J. Combin. **3** (1982), 293 - 305.

[Bil-Lee] L.J. Billera and C.W. Lee, *A proof of the sufficiency of McMullen's conditions for f-vectors of simplicial convex polytopes*, J. Combinatorial theory, Series A **31** (1981), 237 - 255.

[Brø] A. Brøndsted, "An introduction to convex polytopes," Springer-Verlag, New York / Heidelberg / Berlin, 1982

[Bru–Her] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, "Cohen-Macaulay Rings," Cambridge University Press, Cambridge / New York / Sydney, 1993.

[B–H_1] W. Bruns and T. Hibi, *Cohen-Macaulay partially ordered sets with pure resolutions*, preprint (October, 1993).

[B–H_2] W. Bruns and T. Hibi, *Stanley-Reisner rings with pure resolutions*, preprint (April, 1994).

[H_1] T. Hibi, "Algebraic Combinatorics on Convex Polytopes," Carslaw Publications, Glebe, N.S.W., Australia, 1992.

[H_2] T. Hibi, *Cohen-Macaulay types of Cohen-Macaulay complexes*, J. Algebra **168** (1994), 780–797.

[H_3] T. Hibi, *Canonical modules and Cohen-Macaulay types of partially ordered sets*, Advances in Math. **106** (1994), 118–121.

[H_4] T. Hibi, *Buchsbaum complexes with linear resolutions*, preprint (February, 1994).

[Hoc] M. Hochster, *Cohen-Macaulay rings, combinatorics, and simplicial complexes*, in "Ring Theory II" (B. R. McDonald and R. Morris, eds.), Lect. Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., No. 26, Dekker, New York, 1977, pp.171 – 223.

[Mun] J. Munkres, *Topological results in combinatorics*, Michigan Math. J. **31** (1984), 113 – 128.

[Sch] P. Schenzel, *Über die freien Auflösungen extremler Cohen-Macaulay-Ringe*, J. Algebra **64** (1980), 93 – 101.

[Sta] R. P. Stanley, "Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra," Birkhäuser, Boston / Basel / Stuttgart, 1983.

[T–H] N. Terai and T. Hibi, *Stanley-Reisner rings whose Betti numbers are independent of the base field,* to appear.

Department of Mathematics
Nagano National College of Technology
Nagano 381, Japan
E-mail address: terai@cc.nagano-nct.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics
Hokkaido University
Kita-ku, Sapporo 060, Japan
E-mail address: hibi@math.hokudai.ac.jp