Existence of periodically evolving convex curves moved by anisotropic curvature

Y. Giga and N. Mizoguchi

Series #232. March 1994

#### HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

#### PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

- # 203: A. Arai, Characterization of anticommutativity of self-adjoint operators in connection with Clifford algebra and applications, 13 pages. 1993.
- # 204: J. Wierzbicki, An estimation of the depth from an intermediate subfactor, 7 pages. 1993.
- # 205: N. Honda, Vanishing theorem for the tempered distributions, 11 pages. 1993.
- # 206: T. Hibi, Betti number sequences of simplicial complexes, Cohen-Macaulay types and Möbius functions of partially ordered sets, and related topics, 25 pages. 1993.
- # 207: A. Inoue, Regularly varying correlations, 23 pages. 1993.
- \$\\$ 208: S. Izumiya, B. Li, Overdetermined systems of first order partial differential equations with singular solution,9 pages. 1993.
- # 209: T. Hibi, Hochster's formula on Betti numbers and Buchsbaum complexes, 7 pages. 1993.
- # 210: T. Hibi, Star-shaped complexes and Ehrhart polynomials, 5 pages. 1993.
- # 211: S. Izumiya, G. T. Kossioris, Geometric singularities for solutions of single conservation laws, 28 pages. 1993.
- # 212: A. Arai, On self-adjointness of Dirac operators in Boson-Fermion Fock spaces, 43 pages. 1993.
- # 213: K. Sugano, Note on non-commutative local field, 3 pages. 1993.
- # 214: A. Hoshiga, Blow-up of the radial solitions to the equations of vibrating membrane, 28 pages. 1993.
- # 215: A. Arai, Scaling limit of anticommuting self-adjoint operators and nonrelativistic limit of Dirac operators, 35 pages. 1993.
- # 216: Y. Giga, N. Mizoguchi, Existence of periodic solutions for equations of evolving curves, 45 pages. 1993.
- # 217: T. Suwa, Indices holomorphic vector fields relative to invariant curves, 10 pages. 1993.
- # 218: S. Izumiya, G. T. Kossioris, Realization theorems of geometric singularities for Hamilton-Jacobi equations, 14 pages. 1993.
- # 219: Y. Giga, K. Yama-uchi, On instability of evolving hypersurfaces, 14 pages. 1993.
- # 220: W. Bruns, T. Hibi, Cohen-Macaulay partially ordered sets with pure resolutions, 11 pages. 1993.
- # 221: S. Jimbo, Y. Morita, Ginzburg Landau equation and stable solutions in a rotational domain, 32 pages. 1993.
- # 222: T. Miyake, Y. Maeda, On a property of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms of half-integral weight, 12 pages. 1993.
- # 223: I. Nakai, Notes on versal deformation of first order PDE and web structure, 34 pages. 1993.
- # 224: I. Tsuda, Can stochastic renewal of maps be a model for cerebral cortex?, 30 pages. 1993.
- # 225: H. Kubo, K. Kubota, Asymptotic behaviors of radial solutions to semilinear wave equations in odd space dimensions, 47 pages. 1994.
- # 226: T. Nakazi, K. Takahashi, Two dimensional representations of uniform algebras, 7 pages. 1994.
- # 227: N. Hayashi, T. Ozawa, Global, small radially symmetric solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations and a gauge transformation, 16 pages. 1994.
- # 228: S. Izumiya, Characteristic vector fields for first order partial differential equations, 9 pages. 1994.
- # 229: K. Tsutaya, Lower bounds for the life span of solutions of semilinear wave equations with data of non compact support, 14 pages. 1994.
- # 230: H. Okuda, I. Tsuda, A coupled chaotic system with different time scales: Toward the implication of observation with dynamical systems, 31 pages. 1994.
- # 231: A. Hoshiga, The asymptotic behaviour of radial solutions near the blow-up point to quasi-linear wave equations in two space dimensions, δ pages. 1994.

# Existence of periodically evolving convex curves moved by anisotropic curvature

#### Yoshikazu Giga

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060, Japan

AND

#### Noriko Mizoguchi

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Gakugei University, Koganei, Tokyo 184, Japan

### 1 Introduction.

This note reports our recent results [2] on the existence of time-periodic solution of curvature flow equations in the plane. The present paper includes a natural extension of results in [2].

Let  $\{\Gamma_t\}$  be a smooth one parameter family of closed embedded curves bounding a domain in the plane. Let  $\theta$  be the argument of the inward unit normal n of  $\Gamma_t$ . The normal velocity of  $\Gamma_t$  in the direction of n denotes V. We consider an equation of  $\Gamma_t$  of the form

$$V = a(\theta)k - Q(\theta, t), \tag{1}$$

where k is the inward curvature of  $\Gamma_t$  and a and Q are given functions. Since  $\theta$  is argument, a and Q are assumed to be  $2\pi$ -periodic in  $\theta$ , i.e.,  $a(\theta + 2\pi) = a(\theta)$  and  $Q(\theta + 2\pi, t) = Q(\theta, t)$ . We assume that a is strictly positive so that our problem is

parabolic.

Existence Theorem. Assume that Q is T-periodic in time, i.e.,  $Q(\theta, T + t) = Q(\theta, t)$  for all  $0 \le \theta < 2\pi, t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that a > 0 and Q > 0 is continuous with partial derivatives  $Q_{\theta\theta}, Q_t, Q_{\theta\theta t}$ . Assume that

$$Q_{\theta\theta} + Q > 0$$
 for all  $\theta$  and  $t$ . (2)

Then there are a constant vector  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{R}^2$  and a closed evolving curve  $\Gamma_t$  solving (1) and

$$\Gamma_{t+T} = \Gamma_t + \mathbf{c} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbf{R}.$$
 (3)

The curvature of  $\Gamma_t$  is always positive and the quantities in (1) is continuous. If Q is smooth, so is  $\Gamma_t$ .

This shows the existence of time-periodic solution of (1) when Q is time-pereiodic. Several exmples of (1) are provided in [3] where a standard form of (1) for thermodynamics is derived. A general motion by anisotropic curvature is described as

$$V = \frac{1}{\beta(\theta)} ((\sigma''(\theta) + \sigma(\theta))k - c(t))$$
(4)

where  $\beta > 0$  is called a kinetic coefficient and  $\sigma > 0$  is called the surface energy density of material; c(t) is the temperature difference. Since the condition (2) is equivalent to say that the Frank diagram of  $Q(\cdot,t)$  has a positive curvature everywhere for Q>0, our Existence Theorem yields:

Corollary. Assume that  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\sigma > 0$  and c are continuous with the second derivative  $\sigma''$ . Assume that c is T-periodic and that the Frank diagram of  $\sigma$  and  $1/\beta$  has a positive curvature everywhere. Then there is a closed evolving curve  $\Gamma_t$  solving (4) which is T-periodic in the sense of (3). The curvature of  $\Gamma_t$  is always positives.

In our previous paper [2] these existence results are proved only for (1) with  $a \equiv 1$ . It turns out the method applies to general (1) with a small modification.

Curvature evolution equations. Since a solution  $\Gamma_t$  we seek is convex, we may use  $\theta$  as a coordinate to represent  $\Gamma_t$ . In  $\theta$ -coordinate evolution of curvature is described by

$$k_t = k^2 (V_{\theta\theta} + V)$$

as in [3]. If  $\Gamma_t$  evolves by (1), k fulfills

$$k_t = k^2((ak)_{\theta\theta} + ak - (Q_{\theta\theta} + Q))$$
(5)

Since  $\Gamma_t$  is closed, k fulfills the constraint

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta}}{k(\theta, t)} d\theta = 0.$$
 (6)

Of course, since  $\theta$  is the argument of a normal, k is  $2\pi$ -periodic in  $\theta$ . As in [2] to show Existence Theorem it suffices to find a positive T-periodic solution k of (5), (6) with  $2\pi$ -periodicity in  $\theta$ . To simplify the notation we set

$$T = R/2\pi Z$$
 and  $K = T \times (R/TZ)$ .

By  $h \in C(K)$  we mean that h is continuous in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and that h(x,t) is  $2\pi$ -periodic in x and T-periodic in time t. As in [2], the following existence result implies the existence of k satisfying (5), (6) by setting  $Q_{\theta\theta} + Q = f$ ,  $\theta = x$ , k = w and it yields our Existence Theorem.

Theorem 1. Assume that  $a \in C(\mathbf{T})$  is positive. Assume that  $f \in C(K)$  with f > 0 and  $f_t \in C(K)$  satisfies

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f(x,t)e^{ix}dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbf{R}.$$
 (7)

Then there is a positive solution  $w \in C(K)$  ( with  $aw \in \bigcap_{p>1} W_p^{2,1}(K)$  )

$$w_t = w^2((aw)_{xx} + aw - f)$$
 in  $K$  (8)

satisfying

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{ix}}{w(x,t)} dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (9)

Here  $W_p^{2,1}$  denotes  $L^p$  - Sobolev space of order 2 in x, 1 in t.

If we set u = aw, u solves

$$au_t = u^2(u_{xx} + u - f).$$

The outline of the proof of Theorem 1 is the same as that of the Main Existence Theorem in [2] where a is assumed to equal one. Instead of presenting a whole proof, we point out necessary alternations when a depends on space variable x.

The main idea is to get a priori lower and upper bounds for approximate penalized equations admitting a solution. The penalty method applies to recover constraint (9).

The biography of [2] includes many references to recent work on equations of form

$$u_t = u^{\gamma}(u_{xx} + g(u, x, t)), \quad \gamma \ge 1$$

where g is a given function. We do not repeat it again here.

# 2 Harnack type inequalities.

In this section, we consider the equation

$$au_t = u^{\gamma} \{u_{xx} + g(u, x, t)\}$$
 in  $K$ , (10)

where  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  and a is a continuous positive function on K with  $a_t \in C(K)$ .

Putting  $z = u_t/u$ , we have

$$z_x = \frac{u_{xt}}{u} - \frac{u_x u_t}{u^2},$$

$$z_{xx} = \frac{u_{xxt}}{u} - \frac{2u_x z_x}{u} - \frac{u_{xx} u_t}{u^2}.$$

Differentiating  $az = u^{\gamma-1}(u_{xx} + g)$  in t yields

$$az_{t} = u^{\gamma}z_{xx} + 2u^{\gamma-1}u_{x}z_{x} + \gamma az^{2} + \{u^{\gamma-1}(g_{u}u - g) - a_{t}\}z + u^{\gamma-1}g_{t}.$$

Let  $(x_0, t_0)$  be a minimizer of z over K. Then we have

$$\gamma a z^2 + \{u^{\gamma-1}(g_u u - g) - a_t\}z + u^{\gamma-1}g_t \le 0$$
 at  $(x_0, t_0)$ 

and hence

$$z \geq -u^{\gamma-1} \frac{(g_u u - g)_+}{\gamma a} - \frac{(a_t)_+}{\gamma a} - u^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}} \frac{|g_t|^{1/2}}{(\gamma a)^{1/2}} \quad \text{at } (x_0, t_0).$$

Such differential identity is obtained for (8) with a = 1, f = 0 by Gage [1]. Inequalities of Harnack type in time direction (Lemma1) and in space direction (Lemma2) follow from this estimate of  $\min_{\mathbf{z}} z$  as in [2,§2].

Lemma 1. Assume that  $\gamma \geq 1$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Suppose that there are positive constants  $c_0, c_1, c_2$  such that

$$vg_v(v, x, t) - g(v, x, t) \le c_0, |g_t(v, x, t)|^{1/2} \le c_1$$
(11)

for all  $(v, x, t) \in (\alpha, \infty) \times K$  and  $\max_K u \ge c_2$  for each positive solution u of (10). Then there exists  $C = C(c_0, c_1, c_2, \max_K a, \min_K a, \max_K |a_t|, \gamma) > 0$  such that for each solution u of (10) with  $u > \alpha$ 

$$u(x,t) \le u(x,s) \exp(-CM^{\gamma-1}(t-s))$$
 (12)

for all  $(x, t), (x, s) \in K$  with  $s - T \le t \le s$ , where  $M = \max u$ .

Lemma 2. Assume that  $\gamma \geq 1$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$  and (11) for g. If u is a solution of (10) with  $u > \alpha$ , then

$$u(x,t_0)^{\gamma} \ge M^{\gamma} - \frac{\gamma C_M}{2} (x-x_0)^2 \quad \text{in } K,$$

where

$$C_{M} = \frac{c_{0}}{\gamma} M^{\gamma-1} + \frac{\max(a_{t})_{+}}{\gamma} + \frac{c_{1}(\max a)^{1/2}}{\gamma^{1/2}} M^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}} + M^{\gamma-1}g_{M},$$

$$g_{M} = \max\{(g(v, x, t))_{+}; \alpha < v < M, (x, t) \in K\}.$$

$$M = \max_{K} u = u(x_{0}, t_{0}),$$

## 3 Upper bounds.

We shall obtain an a priori upper bound for positive smooth solutions of

$$au_t = u^{\gamma} \{ u_{xx} + \varphi(u)(u + \psi(x, u) - f(x, t)) \}$$
 in  $K$ , (13)

where  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$  are smooth functions on  $(0, \infty)$ ,  $T \times (0, \infty)$ , respectively. Hear and hearafter,  $a \in C(K)$  is assumed to be time independent. This equation corresponds to the equation (3.1) in [2], in which  $\psi$  is independent of x. The dependence of  $\psi$  on x has no effect on proofs in the rest of this paper.

Lemma 3. Suppose that  $\psi \geq 0$ ,  $f \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq \varphi \leq c_3$ ,  $v - \varphi(v)v \leq c_4$  on  $(\alpha, \infty)$  with  $\alpha > 0$  for some positive constants  $c_3$  and  $c_4$ . Then for each solution  $u \in C^{\infty}(K)$  of (13) with  $u > \alpha$ 

$$\int \int_K u dx dt \le 2\pi T (c_3 ||f||_{\infty} + c_4) \equiv C_1$$
$$\int \int_K \frac{u_t^2}{u^{\gamma}} dx dt \le \frac{c_3 C_1 ||f_t||_{\infty}}{\min a} \equiv C_2.$$

**Proof.** The first inequality is obtained in the same way as the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [2]. Multiplying  $u_t/u^{\gamma}$  with (13) and integrating over K yields

$$\int \int_{K} a \frac{u_{t}^{2}}{u^{\gamma}} dx dt = -\int \int_{K} \varphi(u) u_{t} f dx dt = \int \int_{K} \Phi(u) f_{t} dx dt,$$

where

$$\Phi(s) = \int_0^s \varphi(r) dr$$
 for  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

We thus have

$$\int \int_K a \frac{u_t^2}{u^{\gamma}} dx dt \le c_3 C_1 ||f_t||_{\infty}.$$

This implies the second inequality.

Lemmas 2 - 3 yield the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Suppose that  $1 \le \gamma < 3$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . In addition to the hypotheses in Lemma 3, assume that

$$\varphi'(v)(\psi(x,v)-f)+\varphi(v)\psi'(v)\leq 0,$$

$$0 \leq \varphi'(v)v^2 \leq c_5, \varphi(v)(\psi(x,v) - \min_K f) \leq c_6(v+1)$$

on  $\mathbf{T} \times (\alpha, \infty)$  for some constants  $c_5, c_6 > 0$ . Then there is a positive constant  $M_0$  depending only on  $c_j (3 \leq j \leq 6), T, ||f||_{\infty}, ||f_t||_{\infty}, \gamma, \min_{\mathbf{T}} a \text{ such that } \max_K u \leq M_0 \text{ for each solution } u \in C^{\infty}(K) \text{ with } u > \alpha.$ 

## 4 Lower bounds.

We consider the equation

$$au_t = u^2 \{ u_{xx} + \varphi_{\epsilon}(u)(u + \psi_{\epsilon}(x, u) - f_{\epsilon}) \} \quad \text{in } K$$
 (14)

in this section. To get a positive lower bound for positive smooth solutions of (14), we investigate the stationary problem

$$U_{xx} + U = F \quad \text{in } \mathbf{T}. \tag{15}$$

The coefficient a clearly gives no effect when we treat the stationary problem.

The following lemma is a key as in [2].

Lemma 4. Let  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  and d > 0. Suppose that  $V \ge 0$  on (b, b + d),  $V \not\equiv 0$  and  $V_x$  is Lipschitz continuous on [b, b + d]. If  $V_{xx} + V \ge 0$  on (b, b + d) with  $V(b) = V_x(b) = 0$  and V(b + d) = 0, then  $d > \pi$ .

Let  $\{\mu_{\epsilon}^{\pm}\}_{\epsilon\geq0}$  be a sequence of positive functions on  $\mathbf{T}\times(0,\infty)$  such that  $\mu_{\epsilon}^{\pm}(x,\cdot)$  is nonincreasing for each  $x\in\mathbf{T}$  and  $\mu_{\epsilon}^{\pm}\to\mu_{0}^{\pm}$  in  $\mathbf{T}\times(0,\infty)$  as  $\epsilon\to0$ . Suppose that  $\mu_{\epsilon}^{-}\to\mu_{0}^{-}$  uniformly in every conpact subset of  $\mathbf{T}\times(0,\infty)$  as  $\epsilon\to0$ . Let  $\{h_{\epsilon}^{-}\}_{\epsilon\geq0}$  be a sequence in  $L^{\infty}(0,\infty)$  with  $0\leq h_{\epsilon}^{-}\leq1$  such that  $h_{\epsilon}^{-}\to h_{0}^{-}\equiv1$  uniformly in every compact subset in  $\mathbf{T}\times(0,\infty)$  as  $\epsilon\to0$ . Put  $h_{\epsilon}^{+}\equiv1$  for all  $\epsilon\geq0$ . For a positive function U on  $\mathbf{T}$  and  $\epsilon\geq0$ , we set

$$A_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}(\zeta,U) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \sin_{\pm}(x-\zeta)\mu_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}(x,U)h_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}(U)dx \quad \text{for } \zeta \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $\sin_+ z = \max(\sin z, 0)$  and  $\sin_- z = -\min(\sin z, 0)$ .

The following lemma is the same as Lemma 4.2 in [2] except for the dependence of  $\mu_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}$  on  $x \in \mathbf{T}$ , which does not affect the proof.

Lemma 5. Assume that there are positive constants  $k_j (0 \le j \le 4)$  such that for each positive solution  $U \in C^2(\mathbf{T})$ 

- i)  $0 \le F \le k_0$ , where  $F = U_{xx} + U$
- ii)  $k_1 \leq \max U \leq k_2$ ,
- iii)  $A_{\varepsilon}^{-}(\zeta, U) \leq k_3 A_{\varepsilon}^{+}(\zeta, U) + k_4$  for all  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Suppose that

$$\int_0^1 \mu_0^-(x^2) dx = \infty.$$

Then there are positive constants  $\delta_0$ ,  $\varepsilon_0$  depending only on  $k_j$  's and  $\{\mu_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}\}$ ,  $\{h_{\varepsilon}^{-}\}$  such that  $\min_{\mathbf{T}} U \geq \delta_0$  for each positive solution  $U \in C^2(\mathbf{T})$  of (15) and  $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ .

The following is the same as Lemma 4.4 in [2].

Lemma 6. If  $u \in C(K)$  satisfies (12), then there are  $\lambda, \Lambda > 0$  depending only on  $C, \gamma, M, T$  such that

$$\lambda u(x,t) \le U(x) \le \Lambda u(x,t)$$
 for  $(x,t) \in K$ ,

where 
$$U(x) = \int_0^T u(x,t)dt$$
.

Lemma 5.3 in [2] remains valid even if  $\psi_{\varepsilon}(u)$  is replaced by  $\psi_{\varepsilon}(x,u)$  as stated below.

Lemma 7. Assume that  $f_{\epsilon} \in C^{\infty}(K)$  satisfies (7). If  $0 \le \varphi_{\epsilon} \le 1$  and  $1 - \varphi_{\epsilon}(v) \le c_7 \varepsilon^2 v^{-1}$  for  $v > \varepsilon^2$  with some positive constant  $c_7$ , then

$$\left| \int \int_{K} \{ \varphi_{\varepsilon}(u) \psi_{\varepsilon}(x, u) + (1 - \varphi_{\varepsilon}(u)) f_{\varepsilon} \} \sin(x - \zeta) dx dt \right| \le 4T c_{7} \varepsilon^{2}$$
 (16)

for each solution  $u \in C^{\infty}(K)$  of (14) with  $u > \varepsilon^2$  and  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Using Lemmas 5-7, we can prove our lower bound theorem in the same way as the proof of Theorem 5.7 in [2].

**Theorem 3.** Assume that  $f_{\epsilon} \in C^{\infty}(K)$  satisfies (7) with  $f_{\epsilon} > 0$  and that  $\varphi_{\epsilon}, \psi_{\epsilon}$  fulfill

$$0 \le \varphi_{\varepsilon}(v) \le 1, 0 \le \varphi_{\varepsilon v}(x, v) \le 2, \varepsilon^{2} \le 2v(1 - \varphi_{\varepsilon}(v)) \le 2\varepsilon^{2} \le 2 \quad \text{for } v > \varepsilon^{2}$$

$$\min_{\varepsilon > 0} \min_{K} (f_{\varepsilon} - \psi_{\varepsilon}(x, v)) > 0, \psi_{\varepsilon v}(x, v) \le 0, \quad \text{for } v > \varepsilon^{2}, x \in \mathbf{T}.$$

Then there are positive constants  $\varepsilon_0$ ,  $\delta_0$  depending only on T,  $||f||_{\infty}$ ,  $||f_t||_{\infty}$ ,  $\min_K f_{\varepsilon}$ ,  $\min_T a$ ,  $\max_T a$  such that  $\min_K u \geq \delta_0$  for each solution  $u \in C^{\infty}(K)$  of (14) with  $u > \varepsilon^2$  and  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ .

# 5 Existence of periodic solutions.

We start with approximate equations

$$aw_t = (w + \varepsilon^2)^2 \{ w_{xx} + \frac{w^2}{(w + \varepsilon^2)^2} (w + \frac{\varepsilon a}{\xi_{\epsilon}(x, aw + \varepsilon^2)} - f) \} \quad \text{in } K, \tag{17}$$

where  $a \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{T}), f \in C^{\infty}(K), \xi_{\varepsilon} : \mathbf{T} \times (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  is a smooth function such that  $\xi_{\varepsilon}(x, \cdot)$  is nondecreasing for every  $x \in \mathbf{T}$ ,

$$\xi_{\varepsilon}(x,v) = v$$
 for  $v \ge m\varepsilon a, x \in T$ ,

$$v \lor (m\varepsilon a) \le \xi_{\varepsilon}(x,v) \le l(v \lor (m\varepsilon a))$$
 for  $v \ge 0, x \in \mathbf{T}$ 

with some 1 < l < 2 and

$$\min_K f - \frac{1}{m} \ge \frac{1}{2} \min_K f.$$

To solve (17), we need the following fact, in which the coefficient a(v) of  $v_{xx}$  in Lemma 6.1 in [2] is replaced by a(v, x, t) and we can prove in the same way as the proof of Lemma 6.1

Lemma 8. Assume that b is a positive constant and that a is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R} \times K$  such that  $a(\sigma, x, t) \geq a_0$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  on K with some positive constant  $a_0$ . Then for each  $h \in C(K)$  there exists a unique solution  $v \in \bigcap_{q>1} W_q^{2,1}(K) \subset C(K)$  of

$$v_t = a(v, x, t)(v_{xx} - bv + h)$$
 in  $K$ .

Moreover the solution operator  $h \mapsto v$  is a continuous, compact operator from C(K) into itself. There are positive constants  $\theta_0$ ,  $C_0$  depending only on  $a_0$ ,  $||h||_{\infty}$ , b, T,  $\sup_K a$  such that

$$||v||_{W_2^{2,1}} \le C_0 ||h||_{\infty}$$
 for  $2 \le p \le 2 + \theta_0, h \in C(K)$ .

Take b > 0 such that

$$\phi(w, x, t) = bw_{+} + \frac{(w_{+})^{2}}{(w_{+} + \varepsilon^{2})^{2}} (w_{+} + \frac{\varepsilon a}{\xi_{\varepsilon}(x, aw + \varepsilon^{2})} - f) \ge 0$$

for all  $w \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(x,t) \in K$  and  $\phi > 0$  if w > 0. For this b let S be the solution operator of

$$av_t = (v_+ + \epsilon^2)^2 (v_{xx} - bv + h)$$
 in  $K$ ,

which is well-defined by Lemma 8. Lemma 8 also yields;

- i) S is a continuous compact operator from C(K) into itself,
- ii) S(h) is Hölder continuous on K for  $h \in C(K)$ .

By standard regularity theory and maximum principle, we see that each fixed point of  $S \circ \phi$  in C(K) is a positive smooth solution of (17).

We can calculate values of the Leray-Schauder degree in a large and a small ball in C(K) in the same way as in Lemmas 6.3, 6.4 in [2].

Lemma 9. There is  $r_0 > 0$  such that the degree of  $I - S \circ \phi$  of the value zero in  $B_r(0)$  equals one, i.e.,

$$\deg (I - S \circ \phi, B_r(0), 0) = 1$$

for  $0 < r < r_0$ .

Lemma 10. There is  $R_0 > 0$  such that

$$\deg (I - S \circ \phi, B_R(0), 0) = 0 \quad \text{for } R > R_0.$$

We sketch proof of Theorem 1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Choose an approximate sequence  $\{a_{\varepsilon}\}\in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$  and  $\{f_{\varepsilon}\}\in C^{\infty}(K)$  satisfying (7) such that

$$a_{\varepsilon} \to a \text{ in } C(\mathbf{T}), f_{\varepsilon} \to f, f_{\varepsilon t} \to f_{t} \text{ in } C(K) \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

From Lemmas 9, 10, for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a positive solution  $v_{\epsilon} \in C^{\infty}(K)$  of (17) with  $a = a_{\epsilon}$  and  $f = f_{\epsilon}$  for each  $\epsilon > 0$ . Putting  $u_{\epsilon} = v_{\epsilon} + \epsilon^{2}$ ,  $u_{\epsilon}$  satisfies

$$a_{\varepsilon}u_{t} = u^{2}\left\{u_{xx} + \frac{(u - \varepsilon^{2})^{2}}{u^{2}}\left(u + \frac{\varepsilon a_{\varepsilon}}{\xi_{\varepsilon}(x, u + \varepsilon^{2})} - f_{\varepsilon} - \varepsilon^{2}\right)\right\} \quad \text{in } K.$$
 (18)

Setting

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon}(v) = \frac{(v - \varepsilon^2)^2}{v^2}, \psi_{\varepsilon}(x, v) = \frac{\varepsilon a_{\varepsilon}}{\xi_{\varepsilon}(x, v + \varepsilon^2)},$$

 $\varphi_{\epsilon}, \psi_{\epsilon}$  satisfy the assumptions of Theorems 2, 3, so there are positive constants  $M_0, \delta_0, \epsilon_0$  such that  $\delta_0 \leq u_{\epsilon} \leq M_0$  on K for  $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$ . Then we obtain a positive solution u of

$$au_t = u^2(u_{xx} + u - f) (19)$$

as the limit of a subsequence of  $\{v_{\epsilon}\}$  in  $W_p^{2,1}(K)$  with p>2. It remains to prove the constraint (9) for w=u/a. Multiplying  $\sin(x-\zeta)/u^2$  with (19) and integrating over  $(0,2\pi)$  yields

$$-\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{a}{u(x,t)}\sin(x-\zeta)dx=-\int_0^{2\pi}f\sin(x-\zeta)dx=0$$

for all  $t, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Letting  $\varepsilon \to 0$  in (16), it follows that

$$\int \int_K \frac{a}{u} \sin(x - \zeta) dx dt = 0 \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

These imply that w = u/a satisfies the constraint (9). Therefore u is our desired solution of (19).  $\square$ 

## References

- [1] M. Gage, On the size of the blow-up set for a quasilinear parabolic equation, Contemporary Math. 127 (1992), 41-58.
- [2] Y. Giga and N. Mizoguchi, Existence of periodic solutions for equations of evolving curves, preprint.
- [3] M. Gurtin, Thermomechanics of evolving phase boundaries in the plane, Oxford Press, United Kingdom (1993).