

**Star-shaped complexes and
Ehrhart polynomials**

Takayuki Hibi

Series #210. July 1993

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY
PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

- # 183: G. Ishikawa, T. Ozawa, The genus of a connected compact real algebraic surface in the affine three space, 11 pages. 1993.
- # 184: T. Hibi, Canonical modules and Cohen-Macaulay types of partially ordered sets, 6 pages. 1993.
- # 185: Y. Giga, K. Yama-uchi, On a lower bound for the extinction time of surfaces moved by mean curvature, 16 pages. 1993.
- # 186: Y. Kurokawa, On functional moduli for first order ordinary differential equations, 9 pages. 1993.
- # 187: O. Ogurisu, Unitary equivalence between a spin $1/2$ charged particle in a two-dimensional magnetic field and a spin $1/2$ neutral particle with an anomalous magnetic moment in a two-dimensional electric field, 4 pages. 1993.
- # 188: A. Jensen, T. Ozawa, Existence and non-existence results for wave operators for perturbations of the laplacian, 30 pages. 1993.
- # 189: T. Nakazi, Multipliers of invariant subspaces in the bidisc, 12 pages. 1993.
- # 190: S. Izumiya, G.T. Kossioris, Semi-local classification of geometric singularities for Hamilton-Jacobi equations, 24 pages. 1993.
- # 191: N. Hayashi, T. Ozawa, Finite energy solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations of derivative type, 21 pages. 1993.
- # 192: J. Seade, T. Suwa, A residue formula for the index of a holomorphic flow, 22 pages. 1993.
- # 193: H. Kubo, Blow-up of solutions to semilinear wave equations with initial data of slow decay in low space dimensions, 8 pages. 1993.
- # 194: F. Hiroshima, Scaling limit of a model of quantum electrodynamics, 52 pages. 1993.
- # 195: T. Ozawa, Y. Tsutsumi, Global existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions for the Zakharov equations in three space dimensions, 34 pages. 1993.
- # 196: H. Kubo, Asymptotic behaviors of solutions to semilinear wave equations with initial data of slow decay, 25 pages. 1993.
- # 197: Y. Giga, Motion of a graph by convexified energy, 32 pages. 1993.
- # 198: T. Ozawa, Local decay estimates for Schrödinger operators with long range potentials, 17 pages. 1993.
- # 199: A. Arai, N. Tominaga, Quantization of angle-variables, 31 pages. 1993.
- # 200: S. Izumiya, Y. Kurokawa, Holonomic systems of Clairaut type, 17 pages. 1993.
- # 201: K.-S. Saito, Y. Watatani, Subdiagonal algebras for subfactors, 7 pages. 1993.
- # 202: K. Iwata, On Markov properties of Gaussian generalized random fields, 7 pages. 1993.
- # 203: A. Arai, Characterization of anticommutativity of self-adjoint operators in connection with Clifford algebra and applications, 13 pages. 1993.
- # 204: J. Wierzbicki, An estimation of the depth from an intermediate subfactor, 7 pages. 1993.
- # 205: N. Honda, Vanishing theorem for the tempered distributions, 11 pages. 1993.
- # 206: T. Hibi, Betti number sequences of simplicial complexes, Cohen-Macaulay types and Möbius functions of partially ordered sets, and related topics, 25 pages. 1993.
- # 207: A. Inoue, Regularly varying correlations, 23 pages. 1993.
- # 208: S. Izumiya, B. Li, Overdetermined systems of first order partial differential equations with singular solution, 9 pages. 1993.
- # 209: T. Hibi, Hochster's formula on Betti numbers and Buchsbaum complexes, 7 pages. 1993.

Version of May 28, 1993.

Star-shaped complexes and Ehrhart polynomials*)

Takayuki Hibi

*Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Hokkaido University
Kita-ku, Sapporo 060, Japan*

A polyhedral complex Γ in \mathbb{R}^N is a finite set of convex polytopes in \mathbb{R}^N such that (1.1) if $\mathcal{P} \in \Gamma$ and \mathcal{F} is a face of \mathcal{P} then $\mathcal{F} \in \Gamma$, and (1.2) if $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} \in \Gamma$ then $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q}$ is a face of \mathcal{P} and of \mathcal{Q} . We are concerned with a polyhedral complex Γ in \mathbb{R}^N which satisfies the following conditions: (2.1) every vertex α of $\mathcal{P} \in \Gamma$ has integer coordinates, i.e., $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, and (2.2) the underlying space $X := \cup_{\mathcal{P} \in \Gamma} \mathcal{P}$ ($\subset \mathbb{R}^N$) of Γ is homeomorphic to the d -ball. Let ∂X denote the boundary of X , thus ∂X is homeomorphic to the $(d-1)$ -sphere. Given an integer $n > 0$, write nX for $\{n\alpha; \alpha \in X\}$ and define $i(X, n)$ to be $\#(nX \cap \mathbb{Z}^N)$, the cardinality of $nX \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$. In other words, $i(X, n)$ is equal to the number of rational points $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N) \in X$ with each $n\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is known that (3.1) $i(X, n)$ is a polynomial in n of degree d , called the *Ehrhart polynomial* of X , (3.2) $i(X, 0) = 1$, and (3.3) $(-1)^d i(X, -n) = \#[n(X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N]$ for every $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define the sequence $\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots$ of integers by the formula

*) This paper was presented in the meeting "Combinatorial Convexity and Algebraic Geometry" held at Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach, March 28 - April 3, 1993.

$$(1 - \lambda)^{d+1} \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} i(X, n) \lambda^n \right] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta_i \lambda^i.$$

Then (4.1) $\delta_0 = 1$ and $\delta_1 = \#(X \cap \mathbb{Z}^N) - (d+1)$, (4.2) $\delta_i = 0$ for each $i > d$, and (4.3) $\delta_d = \#[(X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N]$. We say that $\delta(X) = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_d)$ is the δ -vector of X . We refer the reader to, e.g., [4, Chap. IX] for geometric proofs of the above fundamental results due to Ehrhart. Note that, even though X is not necessarily convex, the proofs in [4] valid without modification since X is homeomorphic to the d -ball.

Some algebraic technique¹⁾ is indispensable for the study of combinatorics on δ -vectors. Fix a field k and let $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N, t$ be (commutative) indeterminates over k . If $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N) \in nX \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$, then we set $\xi^{\alpha} t^n = \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \xi_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \xi_N^{\alpha_N} t^n$. We write $[A_k(\Gamma)]_n$ for the vector space spanned by all monomials $\xi^{\alpha} t^n$ with $\alpha \in nX \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$. Thus, in particular, $\dim_k [A_k(\Gamma)]_n = i(X, n)$. Let $A_k(\Gamma)$ denote $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} [A_k(\Gamma)]_n$ with $[A_k(\Gamma)]_0 = k$ and define multiplication $(\xi^{\alpha} t^n)(\xi^{\beta} t^m)$ of monomials $\xi^{\alpha} t^n$ and $\xi^{\beta} t^m$ in $A_k(\Gamma)$ as follows: $(\xi^{\alpha} t^n)(\xi^{\beta} t^m) = \xi^{\alpha + \beta} t^{n+m}$ if there exists $\wp \in \Gamma$ with $\alpha \in n\wp$ and $\beta \in m\wp$; $(\xi^{\alpha} t^n)(\xi^{\beta} t^m) = 0$ otherwise. Then $A_k(\Gamma)$ is a noetherian (i.e., finitely generated graded) algebra over k and the Hilbert series $F(A_k(\Gamma), \lambda) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \dim_k [A_k(\Gamma)]_n \lambda^n$ is $(\delta_0 + \delta_1 \lambda + \delta_2 \lambda^2 + \dots + \delta_d \lambda^d) / (1 - \lambda)^{d+1}$. Let $\Omega(A_k(\Gamma)) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} [\Omega(A_k(\Gamma))]_n$ be the graded ideal of $A_k(\Gamma)$ which is generated by those monomials $\xi^{\alpha} t^n$ such that $0 < n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha \in n(X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$. Since X is homeomorphic to the d -ball, $A_k(\Gamma)$ is Cohen-Macaulay [8, Lemma 4.6]. Thus, a well-known technique of commutative algebra enables us to obtain $\delta(X) \geq 0$, i.e., each $\delta_i \geq 0$ (cf. Stanley [6]). On the other hand, $\Omega(A_k(\Gamma))$ is the canonical module of $A_k(\Gamma)$, see [7, p.81].

1) We refer to, e.g., [4, Chap. IV] for "Commutative Algebra for Combinatorialists."

We say that X is "star-shaped" with respect to a point $\alpha \in X - \partial X$ if $t\alpha + (1-t)\beta \in X - \partial X$ for every point $\beta \in X$ and for each real number $0 < t < 1$.

THEOREM. We employ the same notation as above. Suppose that the set $(X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$ is non-empty and that the underlying space X is star-shaped with respect to some $v_1 \in (X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$. Then the δ -vector $\delta(X) = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_d)$ of X satisfies the linear inequalities as follows:

$$(5.1) \quad \delta_0 + \delta_1 + \dots + \delta_i \leq \delta_d + \delta_{d-1} + \dots + \delta_{d-i}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq [d/2];$$

$$(5.2) \quad \delta_1 \leq \delta_i, \quad 2 \leq i < d.$$

Sketch of proof. First, recall that a simplicial complex in \mathbb{R}^N is a polyhedral complex Δ in \mathbb{R}^N such that every convex polytope belonging to Δ is a simplex in \mathbb{R}^N . Fix an arbitrary simplicial complex $\Delta(0)$ in \mathbb{R}^N with the vertex set $\partial X \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$ whose underlying space is the boundary ∂X of X . Since X is star-shaped with respect to $v_1 \in (X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$, we can define the cone $\Delta(1)$ over $\Delta(0)$ with apex v_1 , i.e., $\Delta(1)$ is the simplicial complex in \mathbb{R}^N which consists of those simplices σ such that either $\sigma \in \Delta(0)$ or σ is the convex hull of $\tau \cup \{v_1\}$ in \mathbb{R}^N for some $\tau \in \Delta(0)$. The vertex set of $\Delta(1)$ is $(\partial X \cap \mathbb{Z}^N) \cup \{v_1\}$ and the underlying space of $\Delta(1)$ is X . Let $(X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\ell\}$ and, for each $2 \leq j \leq \ell$, construct a simplicial complex $\Delta(j)$ with the vertex set $(\partial X \cap \mathbb{Z}^N) \cup \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_j\}$ and with the underlying space X by the same way as in [5]. We write Δ for $\Delta(\ell)$. Then the element $\theta = \xi v_1 t + \xi v_2 t + \dots + \xi v_\ell t$ of $[\Omega(A_k(\Delta))]_1$ is a non-zero divisor on $A_k(\Delta)$. Hence, it follows from a standard technique of commutative algebra [9] (see also [2]) that $\sum_{0 \leq j \leq i} \delta_j \leq \sum_{0 \leq j \leq i} \delta_{d-j}$ for every $0 \leq i \leq [d/2]$. On the other hand, let $h(\Delta) = (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_d, 0)$ be the h -vector (e.g., [7]) of the simplicial complex Δ . Then $h_1 \leq h_i$ for each $2 \leq i < d$ (cf. [5]). Also, $h_1 = \delta_1$. Since $h_i \leq \delta_i$, $0 \leq i \leq d$, by [1], we have $\delta_1 \leq \delta_i$ for each $2 \leq i < d$ as desired. Q. E. D.

In the above Sketch of proof, let $A_k(\Delta)^*$ denote the graded subalgebra of $A_k(\Delta)$ generated by $[A_k(\Delta)]_1$ over k . Then $A_k(\Delta)^*$ coincides with the Stanley-Reisner ring [7] of the simplicial complex Δ . Thus $A_k(\Delta)^*$ is Cohen-Macaulay with the Hilbert series $F(A_k(\Delta)^*, \lambda) = (h_0 + h_1\lambda + h_2\lambda^2 + \dots + h_d\lambda^d)/(1-\lambda)^{d+1}$. Moreover, $A_k(\Delta)$ is finitely generated as a module over $A_k(\Delta)^*$.

EXAMPLE. Let $N = d = 3$ and $X = \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}$, where $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ (resp. $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$) is the tetrahedron with the vertices $(1,0,0)$, $(0,1,0)$, $(0,0,1)$, $(-1,-1,-1)$ (resp. $(1,0,0)$, $(0,1,0)$, $(0,0,1)$, $(1,1,0)$). Then $(X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^3 = \{(0,0,0)\}$ and X is not star-shaped with respect to $(0,0,0)$. However, X is star-shaped with respect to, e.g., $(1/3, 1/3, 1/3)$. We have $\delta(X) = (1, 2, 1, 1)$ which fails to satisfy (5.1) for $i = 1$ and (5.2) for $i = 2$.

COROLLARY ([5], [9]). Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an integral convex polytope of dimension d and suppose that $(\mathcal{P} - \partial\mathcal{P}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$ is non-empty. Then the δ -vector $\delta(\mathcal{P}) = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_d)$ of \mathcal{P} satisfies the following linear inequalities:

$$(6.1) \quad \delta_0 + \delta_1 + \dots + \delta_i \leq \delta_d + \delta_{d-1} + \dots + \delta_{d-i}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq [d/2];$$

$$(6.2) \quad \delta_1 \leq \delta_i, \quad 2 \leq i < d.$$

We conclude the paper with a remark about the question when $A_k(\Gamma)$ is Gorenstein. For a while, we assume that $N = d$ and the origin of \mathbb{R}^d is contained in the interior of X . We say that $\delta(X) = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_d)$ is symmetric if $\delta_i = \delta_{d-i}$ for every $0 \leq i \leq d$. It follows from, e.g., [3] that X is star-shaped with respect to the origin if $\delta(X)$ is symmetric. On the other hand, $\delta(X)$ is symmetric if and only if there exists a polyhedral complex Γ in \mathbb{R}^d with the underlying space X such that $A_k(\Gamma)$ is Gorenstein, i.e., the canonical module $\Omega(A_k(\Gamma))$ of $A_k(\Gamma)$ is generated by a single element of $A_k(\Gamma)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] U. Betke and P. McMullen, Lattice points in lattice polytopes, Monatshefte für Math. 99 (1985), 253-265.
- [2] T. Hibi, Face number inequalities for matroid complexes and Cohen-Macaulay types of Stanley-Reisner rings of distributive lattices, Pacific J. Math. 154 (1992), 253-264.
- [3] _____, Dual polytopes of rational convex polytopes, Combinatorica 12 (1992), 237-240.
- [4] _____, "Algebraic Combinatorics on Convex Polytopes," Carlslaw, Sydney, 1992.
- [5] _____, A lower bound theorem for Ehrhart polynomials of convex polytopes, Advances in Math., to appear.
- [6] R. Stanley, Decompositions of rational convex polytopes, Annals of Discrete Math. 6 (1980), 333-342.
- [7] _____, "Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra," Birkhäuser, Boston/Basel/Stuttgart, 1983.
- [8] _____, Generalized h-vectors, intersection cohomology of toric varieties, and related results, in "Commutative Algebra and Combinatorics" (M. Nagata and H. Matsumura, eds.), Advanced Studies in Pure Math., Vol. 11, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987, pp.187-213.
- [9] _____, On the Hilbert function of a graded Cohen-Macaulay domain, Journal of Pure and Appl. Algebra 73 (1991), 307-314.