

# Boundary blowup solutions to curvature equations

Kazuhiro Takimoto<sup>1</sup>

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We consider the so-called *curvature equations* of the form

$$H_k[u] = S_k(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) = f(u)g(|Du|) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (1)$$

with the following boundary condition

$$u(x) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow 0. \quad (2)$$

Here, for a function  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ ,  $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n)$  denotes the principal curvatures of the graph of the function  $u$ , and  $S_k, k = 1, \dots, n$ , denotes the  $k$ -th elementary symmetric function, i.e.,

$$S_k(\kappa) = \sum \kappa_{i_1} \cdots \kappa_{i_k}, \quad (3)$$

where the sum is taken over increasing  $k$ -tuples,  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$ . We study the existence and the asymptotic behavior near  $\partial\Omega$  of a solution to (1)-(2).

The family of equations (1),  $k = 1, \dots, n$  contains some well-known and important equations.

The case  $k = 1$  corresponds to the **mean curvature equation**;

The case  $k = 2$  corresponds to the **scalar curvature equation**;

The case  $k = n$  corresponds to **Gauss curvature equation**.

We remark that (1) is a quasilinear equation for  $k = 1$  while it is a *fully non-linear* equation for  $k \geq 2$ . In the particular case that  $k = n$ , it is an equation of Monge-Ampère type. It is much harder to analyze fully non-linear equations, but the study of the classical Dirichlet problem for curvature equations in the case that  $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$  has been developed in the last two decades, see for instance [4, 11, 24].

The condition (2) is called the “boundary blowup condition,” and a solution which satisfies (2) is called a “boundary blowup solution,” a “large solution,” or an “explosive solution.” The boundary blowup problems arise from physics, geometry and many branches of mathematics, see for instance

---

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Hiroshima University  
1-3-1, Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima city, Hiroshima, 739-8526 Japan  
e-mail : [takimoto@math.sci.hiroshima-u.ac.jp](mailto:takimoto@math.sci.hiroshima-u.ac.jp)

[12, 19, 21]. The study of such problems for non-linear PDEs starts from the pioneering work of Bieberbach [3] and Rademacher [21] who considered  $\Delta u = e^u$  in two and three dimensional domain respectively. For the case of semilinear equations, they have been extensively studied (see, for example, [13, 20] and [2, 6, 15, 16, 17, 19]). The case of quasilinear equations of divergence type to which the mean curvature equation ( $k = 1$ ) belongs has been treated in [1, 7, 9]. The case of Monge-Ampère equations has been studied in [5, 10, 18]. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are no results concerning such problems for other fully non-linear PDEs, except for the work of Salani [22] who considered the case of Hessian equations.

Throughout the article, we assume the following conditions on  $f$  and  $g$ :

- Let  $t_0 \in [-\infty, \infty)$ .  $f \in C^\infty(t_0, \infty)$  is a positive function and satisfies  $f'(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in (t_0, \infty)$ .
- If  $t_0 > -\infty$ , then  $f(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow t_0 + 0$ ; otherwise (i.e., if  $t_0 = -\infty$ ),

$$\int_{-\infty}^t f(s) ds < \infty \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4)$$

- $g \in C^\infty[0, \infty)$  is a positive function.

The first condition assures us that the comparison principle for solutions to (1) holds. The typical examples of  $f$  are  $f(t) = t^p$  ( $p > 0$ ),  $t_0 = 0$  and  $f(t) = e^t$ ,  $t_0 = -\infty$ .

In the subsequent two sections, we state our main results.

## 2 Existence results

We recall the notion of  $k$ -convexity. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a domain with boundary  $\partial\Omega \in C^2$ . For  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ , we say that  $\Omega$  is  $k$ -convex (resp. *uniformly  $k$ -convex*) if the vector of the principal curvatures of  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\kappa' = (\kappa'_1, \dots, \kappa'_{n-1})$ , satisfies  $S_j(\kappa') \geq 0$  (resp.  $> 0$ ) for  $j = 1, \dots, k$  and for every  $x \in \partial\Omega$ . We note that a  $C^2$  domain is  $(n-1)$ -convex (resp. *uniformly  $(n-1)$ -convex*) if and only if it is convex (resp. *strictly convex*).

First, we shall establish the existence of a boundary blowup solution to the curvature equation (1). We focus on the case  $k \geq 2$ , because for  $k = 1$  the existence has been already studied in [9].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $2 \leq k \leq n-1$ . We suppose that  $\Omega, f$  and  $g$  satisfy the following conditions.*

(A1)  $\Omega$  is a bounded and uniformly  $k$ -convex  $C^\infty$  domain.

(A2) There exists a constant  $T > 0$  such that  $g$  is non-increasing in  $[T, \infty)$ , and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = 0$ .

(A3) Set  $\tilde{g}(t) = g(t)/t$  and  $F(t) = \int_{t_0}^t f(s) ds$ . Then

$$\int^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\tilde{g}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{F(t)}\right)} < \infty. \quad (5)$$

(A4) Set

$$H(t) = \int_0^t \frac{s^k}{g(s)(1+s^2)^{(k+2)/2}} ds. \quad (6)$$

Then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H(t) = \infty$ .

(A5) Set  $\varphi(t) = g(t)(1+t^2)^{k/2}$ . Then  $\varphi(t)$  is a convex function in  $[0, \infty)$ .

(A6)  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} g'(t)t^2 < \infty$ .

Then there exists a viscosity solution to (1)-(2).

The strategy of the proof of this theorem is as follows (we refer the readers to [23] for details). We note that **comparison principles** for viscosity solutions play important roles.

**Step 1.** We show that there exists a classical solution to the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} H_k[u_n] = f(u_n)g(|Du_n|) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_n \equiv n & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n > t_0$ . It is enough to derive the  $C^2$ -a priori estimate for (7) (see [8, 14]).

**Step 2.** We prove that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n (= u)$  exists and is a viscosity solution to (1)-(2).

Next we obtain the following non-existence result.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $2 \leq k \leq n-1$ . We define two functions  $\bar{g}, \bar{h}$  by

$$\bar{g}(t) = \max_{s \geq t} g(s), \quad \bar{h}(t) = \frac{t}{\sqrt{1+t^2}} \left( \frac{\binom{n-1}{k}}{\bar{g}(t)} \right)^{1/k}. \quad (8)$$

We assume that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = 0$ . If there exists  $R \geq \inf_{x \in \Omega} \sup_{y \in \Omega} |x-y|$  such that

$$\int^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\bar{h}^{-1}(f(t)^{1/k}R)} < \infty, \quad (9)$$

then (1)-(2) has no solutions.

**Example 1.** Let  $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$  and  $p, q$  be positive constants. Suppose  $\Omega$  is a bounded and uniformly  $k$ -convex  $C^\infty$  domain. We consider these three equations:

$$H_k[u] = \frac{u^p}{(1 + |Du|^2)^{q/2}} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (10)$$

$$H_k[u] = \frac{e^{pu}}{(1 + |Du|^2)^{q/2}} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (11)$$

$$H_k[u] = \frac{e^{pu}}{e^{q|Du|}} \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (12)$$

It follows from Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 that

- The equation (10) has a boundary blowup solution provided  $p > q$  and  $1 \leq q \leq k - 1$ .
- The equation (11) has a boundary blowup solution provided  $1 \leq q \leq k - 1$ .
- The equation (12) does not have any boundary blowup solutions.

**Remark 1.** Theorem 2 indicates that as far as (10) is concerned,  $p$  is necessarily greater than  $q$  in order for a boundary blowup solution to exist. In this case, our condition (A3) reduces to  $p > q$  as well. We conjecture that (10) has a boundary blowup solution provided we assume only  $1 \leq q < p$ .

The case  $k = n$ , which corresponds to Gauss curvature equation, is excluded from Theorem 1. We state the existence result for the case  $k = n$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded and strictly convex  $C^\infty$  domain, and  $k = n$ . We assume that the condition (A3) is satisfied and that  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)t < \infty$ . Then there exists a viscosity solution to (1)-(2).*

### 3 Asymptotic behavior near the boundary

In this section we establish the asymptotic behavior of a boundary blowup solution near the boundary when the domain is strictly convex. We shall prove the following.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ . We assume that (A2) and (A3) in Theorem 1 and the conditions given below are satisfied.*

(B1)  $\Omega$  is a bounded and strictly convex  $C^\infty$  domain.

(B2)  $t_0 = -\infty$ , or  $t_0 > -\infty$  and  $f^{1/k}$  is Lipschitz continuous at  $t_0$ .

(B3) There exists a constant  $T' > 0$  such that  $f$  is a convex function in  $[T', \infty)$ .

(B4) Set  $h(t) = \frac{t}{g(t)^{1/k}\sqrt{1+t^2}}$ . Then there exists a constant  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $h(t)/t^\alpha$  is non-decreasing in  $(0, \infty)$ .

(B5)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(t)}{(1+t^2)g'(t)} = 0$ .

Then there exist positive constants  $C_1, C_2$  such that every solution  $u$  to (1)-(2) satisfies

$$C_1 \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \leq \psi(u(x)) \leq C_2 \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega), \quad (13)$$

where  $\psi$  is defined by

$$\psi(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{ds}{h^{-1}(f(s)^{1/k})}. \quad (14)$$

We state the idea of the proof. Since  $\Omega$  is a bounded and strictly convex domain with boundary  $\partial\Omega \in C^\infty$ , there exist positive numbers  $R_1, R_2$  with  $R_1 < R_2$  satisfying the following condition: for every  $z \in \partial\Omega$ , there are two balls  $B_{1,z}, B_{2,z}$  whose radii are  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  respectively such that  $B_{1,z} \subset \Omega \subset B_{2,z}$  and  $\partial B_{1,z} \cap \partial B_{2,z} = \{z\}$ .

Let  $v_1$  (resp.  $v_2$ ) be a radially symmetric solution to (1) with  $v_1(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial B_{1,z}) \rightarrow 0$  (resp.  $v_2(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial B_{2,z}) \rightarrow 0$ ). The condition (B4) guarantees the existence of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . By the comparison principle, we see that

$$v_2 \leq u \leq v_1 \quad \text{in } B_{1,z}. \quad (15)$$

In view of (15), it suffices to study the asymptotic behavior of the radially symmetric solution near the boundary. The assertion follows from the claim that if  $u = u(|x|)$  is a radially symmetric solution to (1)-(2) in  $B_R(0)$  with  $R > 0$ , then there exist constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  which are independent of  $r$  such that

$$C_1(R-r) \leq \psi(u(r)) \leq C_2(R-r) \quad (16)$$

when  $r$  is near  $R$ .

**Example 2.** Let  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$  and  $p, q > 0$ . Suppose  $\Omega$  is a bounded and strictly convex  $C^\infty$  domain. Then Theorem 4 implies that

- A boundary blowup solution  $u$  to (10) (if it exists) satisfies

$$C_1 \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)^{-\frac{q}{p-q}} \leq u(x) \leq C_2 \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)^{-\frac{q}{p-q}} \quad \text{near } \partial\Omega \quad (17)$$

for some constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$ , provided  $p \geq k$  and  $p > q$ .

- A boundary blowup solution  $u$  to (11) (if it exists) satisfies

$$u(x) = -\frac{q}{p} \log \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) + O(1) \quad \text{near } \partial\Omega, \quad (18)$$

provided  $q > 0$ .

We state our result concerning the asymptotic behavior of a solution to (1)-(2) near  $\partial\Omega$  for the case  $k = n$ . We mention that

$$H(t) = \int_0^t \frac{s^n}{g(s)(1+s^2)^{(k+2)/2}} ds \quad (19)$$

in this case, and introduce the following condition:

(B6) There exists a constant  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $H(t)/t^\alpha$  is non-decreasing.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $k = n$ . We assume the conditions (A3), (B1), (B2) and (B6). Then there exist positive constants  $C_1, C_2$  such that every solution  $u$  to (1)-(2) satisfies*

$$C_1 \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \leq \Psi(u(x)) \leq C_2 \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega), \quad (20)$$

where  $\Psi$  is defined by

$$\Psi(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{ds}{H^{-1}(F(s))}. \quad (21)$$

**Example 3.** Let  $k = n$  and  $p, q > 0$ . Suppose  $\Omega$  is a bounded and strictly convex  $C^\infty$  domain. Then Theorems 3 and 5 implies that

- If  $p > q \geq 1$ , then there exists a boundary blowup solution to (10). Moreover, the solution  $u$  satisfies

$$C_1 \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)^{-\frac{q-1}{p-q+2}} \leq u(x) \leq C_2 \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)^{-\frac{q-1}{p-q+2}} \quad \text{near } \partial\Omega \quad (22)$$

for some constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$ , provided  $p \geq n$  and  $p > q > 1$ .

- A boundary blowup solution  $u$  to (11) exists and satisfies

$$u(x) = -\frac{q-1}{p} \log \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) + O(1) \quad \text{near } \partial\Omega, \quad (23)$$

provided  $q > 1$ .

## References

- [1] C. Bandle, A. Greco, G. Porru, Large solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations: existence and qualitative properties, *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. VII. Ser. B* **11** (1997), 227–252.
- [2] C. Bandle, M. Marcus, “Large” solutions of semilinear elliptic equations: existence, uniqueness and asymptotic behaviour, *J. Anal. Math.* **58** (1992), 9–24.
- [3] L. Bieberbach,  $\Delta u = e^u$  und die automorphen Funktionen, *Math. Ann.* **77** (1916), 173–212.
- [4] L. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg, J. Spruck, Nonlinear second-order elliptic equations. V. The Dirichlet problem for Weingarten hypersurfaces, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **42** (1988), 47–70.
- [5] S.Y. Cheng, S.T. Yau, On the existence of a complete Kähler metric on noncompact complex manifolds and the regularity of Fefferman’s equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **33** (1980), 507–544.
- [6] F.-C. Cîrstea, V. Rădulescu, Blow-up boundary solutions of semilinear elliptic problems, *Nonlinear Anal.* **48** (2002), 521–534.
- [7] G. Diaz, R. Letelier, Explosive solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations: existence and uniqueness, *Nonlinear Anal.* **20** (1993), 97–125.
- [8] D. Gilbarg, N.S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Second edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [9] A. Greco, On the existence of large solutions for equations of prescribed mean curvature, *Nonlinear Anal.* **34** (1998), 571–583.
- [10] B. Guan, H.Y. Jian, The Monge-Ampère equation with infinite boundary value, *Pacific J. Math.* **216** (2004), 77–94.
- [11] N.M. Ivochkina, The Dirichlet problem for the equations of curvature of order  $m$ , *Leningrad Math. J.* **2** (1991), 631–654.
- [12] J.B. Keller, Electrohydrodynamics I. The equilibrium of a charged gas in a container, *J. Rational Mech. Anal.* **5** (1956), 715–724.
- [13] J.B. Keller, On solutions of  $\Delta u = f(u)$ , *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **10** (1957), 503–510.
- [14] N.V. Krylov, *Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations of the second order*, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1987.
- [15] J.M. Lasry, P.-L. Lions, Nonlinear elliptic equations with singular boundary conditions and stochastic control with state constraints. I. The model problem, *Math. Ann.* **283** (1989), 583–630.
- [16] A.C. Lazer, P.J. McKenna, On a problem of Bieberbach and Rademacher, *Nonlinear Anal.* **21** (1993), 327–335.
- [17] A.C. Lazer, P.J. McKenna, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of boundary blowup problems, *Differential Integral Equations* **7** (1994), 1001–1019.
- [18] A.C. Lazer, P.J. McKenna, On singular boundary value problems for the Monge-Ampère operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **197** (1996), 341–362.
- [19] C. Loewner, L. Nirenberg, Partial differential equations invariant under conformal or projective transformations, *Contributions to Analysis (A Collection of Papers Dedicated to Lipman Bers)*, Academic Press, New York, 1974, pp. 245–272.
- [20] R. Osserman, On the inequality  $\Delta u \geq f(u)$ , *Pacific J. Math.* **7** (1957), 1641–1647.
- [21] H. Rademacher, *Einige besondere Probleme partieller Differentialgleichungen*, *Die Differential- und Integralgleichungen der Mechanik und Physik*, I, Second edition, Rosenberg, New York, 1943, pp. 838–845.

- [22] P. Salani, Boundary blow-up problems for Hessian equations, *Manuscripta Math.* **96** (1998), 281–294.
- [23] K. Takimoto, Solution to the boundary blowup problem for  $k$ -curvature equation, submitted.
- [24] N.S. Trudinger, The Dirichlet problem for the prescribed curvature equations, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **111** (1990), 153–179.