The Real Part Of An Outer Function And A Helson-Szegö Weight

Takahiko Nakazi and Takanori Yamamoto

Series #490. August 2000

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY
PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

#466 S. Izumiya, G. Kossioris and G. Makrakis, Multivalued solutions to the eikonal equation in stratified media, 24 pages. 1999.

#467 K. Kubota and K. Yokoyama, Global existence of classical solutions to systems of nonlinear wave equations with different speed of propagation, 105 pages. 1999.

#468 A. Higuchi, K. Matsue and T. Tsujishita, Deductive hyperdigraphs – a method of describing diversity of coherences, 35 pages. 1999.

#469 M. Nakamura and T. Ozawa, Small solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations in the Sobolev spaces, 26 pages. 1999.

#470 M. Nakamura and T. Ozawa, Small solutions to nonlinear wave equations in the Sobolev spaces, 27 pages. 1999.

#471 K. Ito and Y. Kohsaka, Stability of a stationary solution for evolving boundaries of symmetric three-phases driven by surface diffusion, 36 pages. 1999.

#472 Y. Giga and M.-H. Sato, A level set approach to semicontinuous viscosity solutions for Cauchy problems, 32 pages. 1999.

#473 R. Yoneda, Characterization of Bloch space and Besov spaces by oscillations, 31 pages. 1999.

#474 T. Nakazi, Norm inequalities for some singular integral operators, 13 pages. 1999.

#475 A. Inoue and Y. Kasahara, Asymptotics for prediction errors of stationary processes with reflection positivity, 15 pages. 1999.

#476 T. Sano, On affine parallels of generic plane curves, 8 pages. 1999.

#477 T. Nakazi, On an invariant subspace whose common zero set is the zeros of some function, 11 pages. 1999.

#478 M.-H. Giga and Y. Giga, Generalized motion by nonlocal curvature in the plane, 68 pages. 2000.

#479 M.-H. Giga and Y. Giga, Crystalline and level set flow – Convergence of a crystalline algorithm for a general anisotropic curvature flow in the plane, 16 pages. 2000.

#480 A. Arai and M. Hirokawa, Stability of ground states in sectors and its application to the Wigner-Weisskopf model, 16 pages. 2000.

#481 T. Nakazi, Two dimensional Q-algebras, 11 pages. 2000.

#482 N. H. Bingham and A. Inoue, Tauberian and Mercerian theorems for systems of kernels, 16 pages. 2000.

#483 N. H. Bingham and A. Inoue, Abelian, Tauberian and Mercerian theorems for arithmetic sums, 29 pages. 2000.

#484 I. A. Bogaevski and G. Ishikawa, Lagrange mappings of the first open Whitney umbrella, 22 pages. 2000.

#485 A. Arai and H. Kawano, A class of deformations of the Schrödinger representation of the Heisenberg commutation relation and exact solution to a Heisenberg equation and a Schrödinger equation, 22 pages. 2000.

#486 T. Nakazi, Functions in N_+ with the positive real parts on the boundary, 21 pages. 2000.

#487 Y. Shibukawa, Classification of the R-operator, 36 pages. 2000.

#488 A. Inoue, Asymptotic behaviour for partial autocorrelation functions of fractional ARIMA processes, 20 pages. 2000.

#489 S. Ohtani, Construction of unramified Galois extensions over maximal abelian extensions of algebraic number fields, 14 pages. 2000.

The Real Part Of An Outer Function And A Helson-Szegö Weight

by

Takahiko Nakazi* and Takanori Yamamoto*

*This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Ministry of Education of Japan.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 30D55; Secondary 42B30, 47B35.

Key words and phrases: Hardy space, outer function, Helson-Szegö weight.

Abstract. Suppose F is a nonzero function in the Hardy space H^1. We study the set $\{f\ ;\ f \text{ is outer and } |F| \leq \text{Re } f \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial D\}$ where ∂D is a unit circle. When F is a strongly outer function in H^1 and γ is a positive constant, we describe the set $\{f\ ;\ f \text{ is outer, } |F| \leq \gamma \text{ Re } f \quad \text{and} \quad |F^{-1}| \leq \gamma \text{ Re}\,(f^{-1}) \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial D\}$. Suppose W is a Helson-Szegö weight. As an application, we parametrize real valued functions v in $L^\infty(\partial D)$ such that the difference between $\log W$ and the harmonic conjugate function $\tilde{v}$ of v belongs to $L^\infty(\partial D)$ and $\|v\|_\infty$ is strictly less than $\pi/2$ using a contractive function α in H^∞ such that $(1+\alpha)/(1-\alpha)$ is equal to the Herglotz integral of W.

1. Introduction

Let D be the open unit disc in the complex plane and let ∂D be the boundary of D. An analytic function f on D is said to be of class N if the integrals

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log^+ |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta$$

are bounded for $r < 1$. If f is in N, then $f(e^{i\theta})$ which we define to be $\lim_{r\to 1} f(re^{i\theta})$ exists almost everywhere on ∂D. If

$$\lim_{r\to 1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log^+ |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log^+ |f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta$$

then f is said to be of class N_+. The set of all boundary functions in N or N_+ is denoted by N or N_+, respectively. For $0 < p \leq \infty$, the Hardy space H^p is defined by $N_+ \cap L^p$. Hence any function in H^p has an analytic extension to D.

A function h in N_+ is called outer if h is invertible in N_+. A function g in H^1 is called strongly outer if the only functions $f \in H^1$ such that $\frac{f}{g} \geq 0$ a.e. on ∂D are scalar multiples of g. If g is strongly outer then outer. Suppose F is a nonzero function in H^1. Define α by

$$\frac{1+\alpha(z)}{1-\alpha(z)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta}+z}{e^{i\theta}-z} |F(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \quad (z \in D).$$

The right hand side is the Herglotz integral of $|F|$. Then α is a contractive function in H^∞. Let $f_0 = \dfrac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}$. Then Re $f_0(z) > 0 \quad (z \in D)$,

$$|F| = \text{Re } f_0 = \frac{1-|\alpha|^2}{|1-\alpha|^2} \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial D,$$

and $f_0 \in \bigcap_{p<1} H^p$ by a theorem of Kolmogorov (c.f. [1, Theorem 4.2]). Since Re $f_0(z) > 0$, $f_0 = c\, e^{\tilde{v}-iv}$ where c is a positive constant and $\|v\|_\infty \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, $\tilde{v}$ is a harmonic conjugate function of v satisfying $\tilde{v}(0) = 0$. By a theorem of Kolmogorov, $\tilde{v} - iv \in \bigcap_{p<\infty} H^p$,

$$|F| = e^{u+\tilde{v}} \quad \text{and} \quad e^u = c \cos v \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial D$$

where u is a real valued function. In Section 2, when F is strongly outer we study an outer function f in N_+ such that $|F| \leq$ Re f a.e. on ∂D. We then show that $|F| \leq \gamma$ Re F if and only if α^2 is a γ-Stolz function, where γ is a positive constant. If β is a contractive function in H^∞ and $|1-\beta| \leq \gamma(1-|\beta|)$ a.e. on ∂D then we call β a γ-Stolz function. Suppose W is a Helson-Szegö weight (cf. [3]). In Section 3, using Theorem 1 in Section 2, we parametrize real valued functions v such that $\log W - \tilde{v} \in L^\infty$ and $\|v\|_\infty < \frac{\pi}{2}$.

2. The Real Part of an Outer Function

In this section, we study the inequality : $|F| \le \gamma$ Re F a.e. on ∂D when F is a nonzero function in H^1. The first author [4] studied the inequality : $|F| \le \gamma$ Re f a.e. on ∂D when F is strongly outer and f is outer in N_+. We give necessary and sufficient conditions of this inequality. We study two inequalities : $|F| \le \gamma$ Re f and $|F^{-1}| \le \gamma$ Re (f^{-1}) a.e. on ∂D when F is strongly outer and f is in N_+. Results in this section will be used in the latter section.

Proposition 1. *Suppose F is a nonzero function in H^1 and γ is a constant satisfying $\gamma \ge 1$. Then the following (1) $\sim$ (3) are equivalent.*

(1) $|F| \le \gamma$ Re F a.e. on ∂D.

(2) $F = \dfrac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}$ a.e. on ∂D for a contractive function α in H^∞ such that α^2 is a γ-Stolz function.

(3) $F = c\, e^{\tilde{v}-iv}$ a.e. on ∂D , where c is a positive constant and v is a real function in L^∞ satisfying $\|v\|_\infty \le \cos^{-1}\left(\dfrac{1}{\gamma}\right) < \dfrac{\pi}{2}$.

Proof. (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2): Since $F \in H^1$ and Re $F \ge 0$ a.e. on ∂D, it follows that

$$\text{Re } F(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2}\text{Re } F(e^{i\theta})d\theta \ge 0 \quad (z \in D).$$

Hence $F = \dfrac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}$ for a contractive function α in H^∞. Since $|F| \le \gamma$ Re F a.e. on ∂D,

$$\left|\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right| \le \gamma \text{ Re}\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right) = \gamma\, \frac{1-|\alpha|^2}{|1-\alpha|^2} \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial D.$$

Hence $|1-\alpha^2| \le \gamma(1-|\alpha|^2)$ and so α^2 is a γ-Stolz function. The converse is clear.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Since $\|\alpha\|_\infty \le 1$, Re $F = \dfrac{1-|\alpha|^2}{|1-\alpha|^2} \ge 0$ a.e. on ∂D. Since $F \in H^1$, this implies that Re $F(z) \ge 0$ $(z \in D)$. Hence $F = c\, e^{\tilde{v}-iv}$ and $|v| \le \dfrac{\pi}{2}$ a.e. on ∂D. Since α^2 is a γ-Stolz function, it follows that

$$|F| = \left|\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right| = \frac{|1-\alpha^2|}{|1-\alpha|^2} \le \gamma\frac{1-|\alpha|^2}{|1-\alpha|^2} = \gamma \text{ Re } F \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial D.$$

Hence $1 \le \gamma \cos v$. Since $|v| \le \dfrac{\pi}{2}$, this implies that $\|v\|_\infty \le \cos^{-1}\left(\dfrac{1}{\gamma}\right) < \dfrac{\pi}{2}$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1): By (3), $|F| = c\, e^{\tilde{v}} \le \gamma c\, e^{\tilde{v}} \cos v = \gamma$Re F. This implies (1). □

By (3) in Proposition 1 and Corollary 2.6 in [2, Chapter III], if $|F| \leq \gamma$ Re F a.e. on ∂D then both F and F^{-1} belong to H^p for some $p > 1$.

Proposition 2. *Suppose F is a strongly outer function in H^1. Define α by*

$$\frac{1+\alpha(z)}{1-\alpha(z)} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta}+z}{e^{i\theta}-z}|F(e^{i\theta})|d\theta \quad (z \in D).$$

For f in N_+, (1) $\sim$ (3) are equivalent.

(1) $|F| \leq \mathrm{Re}\, f$ a.e. on ∂D and f is an outer function.

(2) $f = \dfrac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} + \dfrac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}$ a.e. on ∂D for some contractive function β in H^∞.

(3) $|F| = e^{u+\tilde{v}}$, $|v| < \dfrac{\pi}{2}$, $e^u \leq c \cos v$ and $f = c\, e^{\tilde{v}-iv}$ a.e. on ∂D where c is a positive constant and u and v are real functions.

Proof. The following proof is similar to one of Theorem 6 in the first author's paper [4]. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Let Arg f denote the argument of f restricted to $-\pi <$ Arg $f \leq \pi$. Let $v = -\mathrm{Arg}\, f$. Then $|v| \leq \pi$ and $f = |f|e^{-iv}$. Since $0 < |F| \leq \mathrm{Re}\, f$, $|v| < \frac{\pi}{2}$. By the proof of Lemma 5.4 in [2, Chapter IV], if $|v| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ then $e^{\tilde{v}} \cos v \in L^1$. Let $g = e^{iv-\tilde{v}}$. Then $fg = |f|e^{-\tilde{v}} > 0$. Since f is outer, $F/fg \in N_+$. Since

$$\left|\frac{F}{fg}\right| \leq \frac{\mathrm{Re}\, f}{|fg|} = \frac{\cos v}{|g|} = e^{\tilde{v}} \cos v \in L^1,$$

it follows that $F/fg \in H^1$. Since F is strongly outer, F/fg is a scalar multiple of F. Hence $fg = c$ for some positive constant c. Hence $f = c\, e^{\tilde{v}-iv}$, and hence $|F| \leq c\, e^{\tilde{v}} \cos v$. Define u by $|F| = e^{u+\tilde{v}}$. Then $e^u \leq c \cos v$. This implies (3).
(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2): In the following we do not assume that F is strongly outer. We assume that F is a nonzero function in H^1. By (3), $|F| \leq \mathrm{Re}\, f$ and $\mathrm{Re}\, f \in L^1$. Let $(\tilde{v} - iv)(z)$ denote the Poisson transform of $(\tilde{v} - iv)(e^{i\theta})$. Let $g(z) = c\, e^{(\tilde{v}-iv)(z)}$. Then $\mathrm{Re}\, g(z) \geq 0 \quad (z \in D)$, $\lim_{r\to 1} g(re^{i\theta}) = f(e^{i\theta})$ a.e. on ∂D, and

$$\sup_{0\leq r<1} \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \mathrm{Re}\, g(re^{i\theta})d\theta = \mathrm{Re}\, g(0) < \infty.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Re}\, g(z) &\geq \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2}\mathrm{Re}\, f(e^{i\theta})d\theta \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2}|F(e^{i\theta})|d\theta = Re\left(\frac{1+\alpha(z)}{1-\alpha(z)}\right) \quad (z \in D).\end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists a contractive function β in H^∞ such that

$$g(z) = \frac{1+\alpha(z)}{1-\alpha(z)} + \frac{1+\beta(z)}{1-\beta(z)} \quad (z \in D).$$

Since $\lim_{r\to 1} g(re^{i\theta}) = f(e^{i\theta})$ a.e. on ∂D, this implies (2).

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Since $|\beta| \le 1$, Re $\dfrac{1+\beta}{1-\beta} \ge 0$. Hence

$$|F| = \text{Re}\, \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} \le \text{Re}\, \left(\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} + \frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) = \text{Re}\, f \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial D.$$

This implies (1). □

By (3) in Proposition 2 and Corollary 2.6 in [2, Chapter III], if $|F| \le \text{Re}\, f$ a.e. on ∂D and f is an outer function then both f and f^{-1} belong to H^p for all $p < 1$.

By (1), the set of all functions f satisfying one of the conditions (1) $\sim$ (3) is a convex subset of N_+. If F is a nonzero function in H^1, then (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) holds in Proposition 2. But by Theorem 6 in [4], (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) does not hold in general.

Theorem 1. *Suppose F is a strongly outer function in H^1. Define α by*

$$\frac{1+\alpha(z)}{1-\alpha(z)} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta}+z}{e^{i\theta}-z}|F(e^{i\theta})|d\theta \quad (z \in D).$$

For f in N_+, (1) $\sim$ (4) are equivalent. $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_5$ are positive appropriate constants.

(1) $|F| \le \gamma_1$ Re f *and* $|F^{-1}| \le \gamma_1$ Re (f^{-1}) *a.e. on* ∂D.

(2) $\dfrac{1}{\gamma_2}$Re $f \le |F| \le \gamma_2$Re f *and* $|f| \le \gamma_2$Re f *a.e. on ∂D and f is in H^1.*

(3) There exists a contractive function β in H^∞ such that

$$\gamma_3 f = \frac{1-\alpha\beta}{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)} \quad \textit{and} \quad \frac{|1-\alpha\beta|}{|1-\alpha|\cdot|1-\beta|} \le \gamma_4 \frac{1-|\alpha|^2}{|1-\alpha|^2} \quad \textit{a.e. on } \partial D.$$

(4) There exists a constant $c > 0$ and real functions u, v in L^∞ such that

$$|F| = e^{u+\tilde{v}}, \quad \|v\|_\infty \le \cos^{-1}\gamma_5 < \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \textit{and} \quad f = c\, e^{\tilde{v}-iv} \quad \textit{a.e. on } \partial D.$$

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): By (1),

$$(\text{Re}\, f)^2 \le |f|^2 \le \gamma_1(\text{Re}\, f)|F| \le \gamma_1^2(\text{Re}\, f)^2.$$

Hence $|f| \le \gamma_1 \text{ Re } f \le \gamma_1^2 |F| \in L^1$. This implies (2) with $\gamma_2 = \gamma_1$.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): By (2),

$$\frac{1}{|F|} \le \gamma_2 \frac{1}{\text{Re } f} \le \gamma_2^3 \frac{\text{Re } f}{|f|^2} = \gamma_2^3 \text{ Re } \frac{1}{f}.$$

This implies (1) with $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2^3$.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Since $f \in H^1$ and $\text{Re } f \ge 0$ a.e. on ∂D, $\quad \text{Re } f(z) > 0 \quad (z \in D)$. Hence f is an outer function. Since $|F| \le \gamma_2 \text{ Re } f$, by Proposition 2,

$$\gamma_2 f = \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} + \frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta} = \frac{2(1-\alpha\beta)}{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)}$$

for some contractive function β in H^∞. Since $|f| \le \gamma_2 \text{ Re } f \le \gamma_2^2 |F|$,

$$\frac{2|1-\alpha\beta|}{|1-\alpha| \cdot |1-\beta|} = \left| \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} + \frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta} \right| = \gamma_2 |f| \le \gamma_2^3 |F| = \gamma_2^3 \frac{1-|\alpha|^2}{|1-\alpha|^2}.$$

This implies (3) with $\gamma_3 = \gamma_2/2$ and $\gamma_4 = \gamma_2^3/2$.
(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4): By (3), f is outer, since α and β are contractive. Since

$$|F| = \text{Re} \left(\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right) \le 2\gamma_3 \text{ Re } f,$$

by Proposition 2, $|F| = e^{u+\tilde{v}}$, $|v| < \dfrac{\pi}{2}$, $e^u \le c_0 \cos v$ and $2\gamma_3 f = c_0 e^{\tilde{v} - iv}$, where c_0 is a positive constant and u, v are real functions. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 e^{\tilde{v}} &= 2\gamma_3 |f| = \frac{2|1-\alpha\beta|}{|1-\alpha| \cdot |1-\beta|} \\ &\le 2\gamma_4 \frac{1-|\alpha|^2}{|1-\alpha|^2} = 2\gamma_4 |F| = 2\gamma_4 \, e^{u+\tilde{v}} \\ &\le 2c_0\gamma_4 \, e^{\tilde{v}} \cos v \le 2c_0\gamma_4 \, e^{\tilde{v}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\dfrac{c_0}{2\gamma_4} \le e^u \le c_0$ and $\cos v \ge \dfrac{1}{2\gamma_4} > 0$. Hence $u, v \in L^\infty$ and $\|v\|_\infty \le \cos^{-1}\left(\dfrac{1}{2\gamma_4}\right) < \dfrac{\pi}{2}$. This implies (4) with $c = \dfrac{c_0}{2\gamma_3}$ and $\gamma_5 = \dfrac{1}{2\gamma_4}$.
(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Since $\cos v \ge \gamma_5$,

$$|F| = e^{u+\tilde{v}} \le \frac{1}{\gamma_5} e^{\|u\|_\infty} e^{\tilde{v}} \cos v = \frac{1}{c\gamma_5} e^{\|u\|_\infty} \text{Re } f,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{|F|} = e^{-u-\tilde{v}} \le \frac{c}{\gamma_5} e^{\|u\|_\infty} e^{-\tilde{v}} \cos v = \frac{c}{\gamma_5} e^{\|u\|_\infty} \text{Re } \frac{1}{f}.$$

This implies (1) with $\gamma_1 = \dfrac{1}{\gamma_5} \max\left(c, \dfrac{1}{c}\right) e^{\|u\|_\infty}$. □

By (2) in Theorem 1, the set of all functions f satisfying one of the conditions (1) $\sim$ (4) is a convex subset of H^1.

3. Helson-Szegö Weight

Let W be a positive function in L^1 and $\log W$ is in L^1. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, put

$$\mathcal{E}_{W,\varepsilon} = \left\{ v \in \text{Re } L^\infty \; ; \quad \log W - \tilde{v} \in L^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \|v\|_\infty \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon \right\}$$

and $\mathcal{E}_W = \bigcup_{\varepsilon>0} \mathcal{E}_{W,\varepsilon}$. $\mathcal{E}_{W,\varepsilon}$ and $\mathcal{E}_W$ are convex subsets of Re L^∞. When $\mathcal{E}_W$ is nonempty, W is called a Helson-Szegö weight. Then for each v in $\mathcal{E}_W$ there exists a $u \in$ Re L^∞ such that $\log\ W = u + \tilde{v}$. In this section, we study two problems about a Helson-Szegö weight. In Theorem 2 we describe $\mathcal{E}_W$. Theorem 3 follows from Theorem 2 immediately.

Theorem 2. *Let W be a positive function in L^1. Define α by*

$$\frac{1+\alpha(z)}{1-\alpha(z)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta}+z}{e^{i\theta}-z} W(e^{i\theta}) d\theta \quad (z \in D).$$

Then v belongs to $\mathcal{E}_W$ if and only if

$$v = - \text{ Arg } \frac{1-\alpha\beta}{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)} \quad \textit{a.e. on } \partial D,$$

where β is a contractive function in H^∞ satisfying

$$\frac{|1-\alpha\beta|}{|1-\alpha| \cdot |1-\beta|} \leq \gamma \, \frac{1-|\alpha|^2}{|1-\alpha|^2} \quad \textit{a.e. on } \partial D$$

for some constant $\gamma > 0$.

Proof. If $v \in \mathcal{E}_W$, then $v \in \mathcal{E}_{W,\varepsilon}$ for some constant $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence

$$W = e^{u+\tilde{v}}$$

where $u \in L^\infty$ and $\|v\|_\infty \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon$. Hence there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$W \leq \gamma \, e^{\tilde{v}} \cos v \quad \text{and} \quad W^{-1} \leq \gamma \, e^{-\tilde{v}} \cos v$$

where $e^{\|u\|_\infty} \leq \gamma \cos v$. Put $f = e^{\tilde{v}-iv}$ then $W \leq \gamma$ Re f, $\quad W^{-1} \leq \gamma$ Re(f^{-1}) and $f \in H^1$. Since $W, W^{-1} \in L^1$, there exists an outer function F such that $|F| = W$ and $F, F^{-1} \in H^1$. Hence F is strongly outer. By Theorem 1, there exist constants $\gamma_3, \gamma_4 > 0$ and a contractive function $\beta \in H^\infty$ such that

$$\gamma_3 f = \frac{1-\alpha\beta}{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)}$$

and

$$\frac{|1-\alpha\beta|}{|1-\alpha|\cdot|1-\beta|} \leq \gamma_4 \frac{1-|\alpha|^2}{|1-\alpha|^2} \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial D.$$

Hence

$$v = -\text{ Arg } f = -\text{ Arg } \frac{1-\alpha\beta}{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)} \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial D.$$

This implies the 'only if' part. Conversely suppose v satisfies the condition. Define f by

$$f = \frac{1-\alpha\beta}{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)}.$$

Then

$$v = -\text{ Arg } f \quad \text{and} \quad |f| \leq \gamma \frac{1-|\alpha|^2}{|1-\alpha|^2} \quad \text{a.e.on } \partial D$$

for some constant $\gamma > 0$. Then f satisfies (3) of Theorem 1 and

$$W = \frac{1-|\alpha|^2}{|1-\alpha|^2} \leq \frac{1-|\alpha|^2}{|1-\alpha|^2} + \frac{1-|\beta|^2}{|1-\beta|^2} = 2\ Ref \leq 2|f| \leq 2\gamma \frac{1-|\alpha|^2}{|1-\alpha|^2} = 2\gamma W.$$

Since W is a positive function in L^1, Re $f \geq 0$ a.e. on ∂D and $f \in H^1$. Hence f is strongly outer. Since $\log W \in L^1$, there exists an outer function $F \in H^1$ such that $|F| = W$. Let k be any function satisfying $k \in H^1$ and $k/F \geq 0$ a.e. on ∂D. Since $f/F \in H^\infty$, $kf/F \in H^1$. Since f is strongly outer, $kf/F = cf$ for some constant c. Hence $k = cF$. Therefore F is strongly outer. By Theorem 1, there exists a constant $c > 0$ and real functions $u, v_0 \in L^\infty$ such that $\|v_0\|_\infty < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $W = e^{u+\tilde{v}_0}$ and $f = c\, e^{\tilde{v}_0 - iv_0}$ a.e. on ∂D. Hence

$$v_0 = -\text{ Arg } f = -\text{ Arg } \frac{1-\alpha\beta}{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)} = v.$$

Hence $W = e^{u+\tilde{v}}$ a.e. on ∂D and $\|v\|_\infty < \frac{\pi}{2}$. Hence v belongs to $\mathcal{E}_W$. □

By Theorem 2, if $W = 1$ then $\alpha = 0$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_1 &= \left\{ v \in \text{Re } L^\infty \,;\ \|v\|_\infty < \frac{\pi}{2} \text{ and } \tilde{v} \in L^\infty \right\} \\ &= \left\{ -\text{ Arg } \frac{1}{1-\beta} \,;\ \beta \in H^\infty,\ \|\beta\| \leq 1 \text{ and } \frac{1}{1-\beta} \in L^\infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3. *Let W be a positive function in L^1. Define α by*

$$\frac{1+\alpha(z)}{1-\alpha(z)} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta}+z}{e^{i\theta}-z} W(e^{i\theta})d\theta \quad (z \in D).$$

(1) W is a Helson-Szegö weight, that is, $\mathcal{E}_W \neq \emptyset$ if and only if there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ and a contractive function β in H^∞ such that

$$\frac{|1-\alpha\beta|}{|1-\alpha|\cdot|1-\beta|} \leq \gamma\,\frac{1-|\alpha|^2}{|1-\alpha|^2} \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial D.$$

(2) If α is a Stolz function, then W is a Helson-Szegö weight, and W^{-1} belongs to L^∞.

Proof. By Theorem 2, (1) follows immediately. By Theorem 2 with $\beta = 0$, if α is a Stolz function, then

$$v = -\mathrm{Arg}\frac{1}{1-\alpha}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{E}_W$, and hence $\mathcal{E}_W \neq \emptyset$. By (1), W is a Helson-Szegö weight. Since

$$W = \frac{1-|\alpha|^2}{|1-\alpha|^2} = \frac{1+|\alpha|}{|1-\alpha|}\frac{1-|\alpha|}{|1-\alpha|} \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial D$$

and α is a Stolz function, it follows that $W^{-1} \in L^\infty$. □

Note that if α is a Stolz function, then α^2 is also a Stolz function. In fact if α is a γ-Stolz function, then $|\alpha| \leq 1$ and

$$|1-\alpha^2| \leq |1-\alpha| + |\alpha(1-\alpha)| \leq 2|1-\alpha| \leq 2\gamma(1-|\alpha|) \leq 2\gamma(1-|\alpha|^2).$$

Let W be a positive function in L^1. By Proposition 1, $W = c\, e^{\tilde{v}}$ for a constant $c > 0$ and a real function v with $\|v\|_\infty < \frac{\pi}{2}$ if and only if there exists an $\alpha \in H^\infty$ such that α^2 is a Stolz function and $W = \left|\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right|$. Then there exists a $u \in \mathrm{Re}\, L^\infty$ such that

$$W = \frac{|1-\alpha^2|}{1-|\alpha|^2}\frac{1-|\alpha|^2}{|1-\alpha|^2} = e^u\,\frac{1-|\alpha|^2}{|1-\alpha|^2} = e^u \mathrm{Re}\, F,$$

where $F = \dfrac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}$.

4. Remark

Put $B_r = \{\beta \in H^\infty; \|\beta\|_\infty \le r\}$ and put

$$B^\alpha = \left\{\beta \in B_1 \ ; \quad \frac{|1-\alpha\beta|}{|1-\alpha|\cdot|1-\beta|} \le \gamma \frac{1-|\alpha|^2}{|1-\alpha|^2} \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial D \quad \text{for some constant } \gamma > 0\right\}$$

where α is a contractive function in H^∞. The set B^α was important in Theorems 1, 2 and 3. Let W be a Helson-Szegö weight. Define α by

$$\frac{1+\alpha(z)}{1-\alpha(z)} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta}+z}{e^{i\theta}-z} W(e^{i\theta})d\theta.$$

Then by Theorem 2

$$\mathcal{E}_W = \left\{v = -\text{Arg}\, \frac{1-\alpha\beta}{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)} \ ; \ \beta \in B^\alpha\right\}.$$

If $W = 1$ then $\alpha = 0$ and

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = \left\{-\ \text{Arg}\, \frac{1}{1-\beta} \ ; \ \beta \in B^0\right\}.$$

In this section, we study such a set B^α. α is a Stolz function if and only if $0 \in B^\alpha$. α^2 is a Stolz function if and only if $\alpha \in B^\alpha$. Hence if $0 \in B^\alpha$ then $\alpha \in B^\alpha$. If α is a Stolz function and $\beta \in B_r$, $r < 1$, then for some constant $\gamma > 0$

$$\frac{|1-\alpha\beta|}{|1-\alpha|\cdot|1-\beta|} \le \frac{2}{(1-r)|1-\alpha|} \le \frac{2\gamma(1-|\alpha|^2)}{(1-r)|1-\alpha|^2} \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial D,$$

and hence $\beta \in B^\alpha$. Hence if α is a Stolz function then $B_r \subset B^\alpha \quad (r < 1)$.

For two positive functions f and g on ∂D, if there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{\gamma} g \le f \le \gamma g$ a.e. on ∂D, then we write $f \sim g$.

Lemma. *Suppose α and β are contractive functions in H^∞. Then the following (1) $\sim$ (5) are equivalent.*

(1) $\left\|\dfrac{\alpha-\bar{\beta}}{1-\alpha\beta}\right\|_\infty < 1.$

(2) $|1-\alpha\beta|^2 \le \gamma_2(1-|\alpha|^2)(1-|\beta|^2)$ *a.e. on ∂D for some constant $\gamma_2 > 0$.*

(3) There exists a constant $\gamma_3 > 0$ such that for any function $t > 0$

$$\frac{|1-\alpha\beta|}{|1-\alpha|\cdot|1-\beta|} \le \gamma_3 \left\{t\, \frac{1-|\alpha|^2}{|1-\alpha|^2} + \frac{1}{t}\, \frac{1-|\beta|^2}{|1-\beta|^2}\right\} \quad \textit{a.e. on } \partial D.$$

(4) *There exists a constant* $\gamma_4 > 0$ *such that*

$$\frac{|1-\alpha\beta|}{|1-\alpha|\cdot|1-\beta|} \leq \gamma_4 \frac{1-|\alpha|^2}{|1-\alpha|^2} \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial D$$

and

$$\frac{|1-\alpha\beta|}{|1-\alpha|\cdot|1-\beta|} \leq \gamma_4 \frac{1-|\beta|^2}{|1-\beta|^2} \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial D.$$

(5) $|1-\alpha| \sim |1-\beta|$ *and* $1-|\alpha| \sim 1-|\beta| \sim |1-\alpha\beta|$.

Proof. (1) and (2) are equivalent because

$$1-\left|\frac{\alpha-\bar{\beta}}{1-\alpha\beta}\right|^2 = \frac{(1-|\alpha|^2)(1-|\beta|^2)}{|1-\alpha\beta|^2}.$$

(cf.[5, p.58]). (2) and (3) are equivalent because if $a, b > 0$ then $2\sqrt{ab} \leq a+b$ and the equality holds when $a = b$. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (5): Let $f = \dfrac{\bar{\alpha}-\beta}{1-\alpha\beta}$. Then $\|f\|_\infty < 1$, $\beta = \dfrac{\bar{\alpha}-f}{1-\alpha f}$ and

$$|1-\beta| = \frac{|(1-\bar{\alpha})+f(1-\alpha)|}{|1-\alpha f|} \geq \frac{|1-\alpha|-|f|\cdot|1-\alpha|}{2} \geq \frac{1-\|f\|_\infty}{2}|1-\alpha|.$$

Let $g = \dfrac{\alpha-\bar{\beta}}{1-\alpha\beta}$. Then $\|g\|_\infty = \|f\|_\infty < 1$, $\alpha = \dfrac{g+\bar{\beta}}{1+g\beta}$ and

$$|1-\alpha| = \frac{|(1-\bar{\beta})-g(1-\beta)|}{|1+g\beta|} \geq \frac{|1-\beta|-|g|\cdot|1-\beta|}{2} \geq \frac{1-\|g\|_\infty}{2}|1-\beta|.$$

Hence $|1-\alpha| \sim |1-\beta|$. Since $0 < 1-\|f\|_\infty \leq |1-\alpha f| \leq 2$ and

$$1-|\beta|^2 = \frac{(1-|\alpha|^2)(1-|f|^2)}{|1-\alpha f|^2},$$

$1-|\alpha| \sim 1-|\beta|$. Since $|1-\alpha f| = \dfrac{1-|\alpha|^2}{|1-\alpha\beta|}$, $|1-\alpha\beta| \sim 1-|\alpha|$. It is clear that (5) implies (4). If we multiply both sides of two inequalities in (4), then (2) follows. □

By the above lemma, Proposition 3 follows immediately.

Proposition 3. *If* $\alpha \in B_1$, *then*

$$B^\alpha \supset \left\{\beta \in B_1 \;;\quad \left\|\frac{\alpha-\bar{\beta}}{1-\alpha\beta}\right\|_\infty < 1\right\}.$$

References

1. P.Duren, Theory of H^p Spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1970.

2. J.Garnett, Bounded Analytic Functions, Academic Press, New York, 1981.

3. H.Helson and G.Szegö, A problem in prediction theory, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **51** (1960), 107-138.

4. T.Nakazi, Sum of two inner functions and exposed points in H^1, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **35** (1992), 349-357.

5. K.Zhu, Operator Theory in Function Spaces, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1990.

Takahiko Nakazi
Department of Mathematics
Hokkaido University
Sapporo 060-0810
Japan
E-mail: nakazi@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

and

Takanori Yamamoto
Department of Mathematics
Hokkai-Gakuen University
Sapporo 062-8605
Japan
E-mail: yamatk@hucc.hokudai.ac.jp