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The problem of counting dimer coverings on random graphs has been intensively studied for a long time by mathematicians and computer scientists [1, 2, 3]. In the statistical physics language, the logarithm of the expectation $\mathbb{E}(K(G))$ on random graph $G$ is also called the annealed entropy of $G$. In [1], Zdeborová and Mézard studied the annealed entropy on random regular and Erdös-Rényi random graphs by means of the cavity method, in which an analytic result for the entropy in random regular and Erdös-Rényi random graph had been obtained. But it is clear that most of the samples of these two types of random graph are far away from molecular graph.
Stimulated by the widely existence of benzenoid hydrocarbons [4] and the produce of two-dimensional material graphene [5]. We consider a particular random planar honeycomb lattice model whose samples existed in the real would. The growth procedure of the model is inspired by the growth of single walled graphene zigzag nanotubes [5]. In our knowledge this random model is the first one whose sample is existed in the real world.
In statistical physics a dimer represents a diatomic molecule. The dimer model was firstly considered by Roberts in 1935 [6], and by Fowler and Rushbrook [7], which was introduced in order to describe the absorption of diatomic molecules on crystal surface. In graph theoretic terms, a dimer is a molecule which can be placed on a graph $G$ such that it covers an edge and the two incident vertices. A dimer arrangement is a set of dimers placed on $G$ such that no vertex is covered by more than one dimer. A dimer arrangement which covers all vertices in $G$ is called a (pure) dimer covering, or perfect matching in terms of graph theory. The dimer model is a classical statistical mechanics model dealing with the set of all dimer coverings of a graph [8].
Historically the underlying graph for dimer covering problem is taken to be a regular lattice in two dimensions, e.g., the square grid, the honeycomb lattice, or a finite part of such a lattice. The dimer model for planar quadratic lattice were considered by Kasteleyn [9] and by Temperley and Fisher independently in the 1960’s who computed the partition function [10, 11] by using different methods and arrived at the same results. Later in [12], Elkies et al. provided a proof for the explicit expression of the number of dimers on Aztec diamond. In [13] Sachs and Zeritz obtained the entropy constant of dimers of another type of finite plane quadratic lattices.
Based on these results, it could be observed that the shape of the boundary of planar quadratic lattices has a strong effect on its free energy per dimer while the other types of lattices are different. Another similar phenomenon pointed out by Propp [14] and Klein [15] is that the shape of the boundary of a finite sub-region of a quadratic planar lattice has a strong effect on the local entropy and local statistics (frequencies of local patterns) of a random dimer configuration. Many fundamental observations about the dimer and monomer-dimer model in general lattice graphs have been given by Heilmann and Lieb [16, 17].
Introduction

As the carbon atom framework of a typical benzenoid hydrocarbon compound, the honeycomb lattice received particular interests from chemists and mathematicians. A large number of works on determining $K$, i.e., the number of perfect matchings, for various honeycomb lattices were established in literature, e.g., in [4, 18] and the references cited therein. In [19], Klein considered the long-range order for spin pairing in valence bond theory in which three types of dimers are distinguished. Klein et al. also found some further results for the honeycomb lattice strips of arbitrary widths, arbitrary lengths, and arbitrary long-range-order values [20, 21].
The above results show that the shape of the boundary of a planar honeycomb lattice has a strong effect on its free energy per dimer. For details, we may refer to a survey article of Kenyon [30], in which the boundary effects in, and methods for, planar lattices are summarized.
The partition function of the dimer model was introduced as to distinguish various type of dimers, which could be viewed as a density function of energy levels. For the planar honeycomb lattice, Elser studied the partition function by using generating function approach and gave the expression for the hexagon shaped honeycomb lattice [23]. In general, Yan, Yeh and Zhang [18] gave an unified expression of the partition functions for honeycomb lattice, which distinguishes the three types of dimers with different thermodynamic activities. Based on this partition function, they established an algebraic solution to the free energy per dimer for many types of planar honeycomb lattices with fixed shape of boundaries.
Transfer matrix on random multiple chains

We denote by $L_n$ the straight condensed hexagonal chain of $n$ hexagons and, for convenience, we always place $L_n$ in such a position that its interior edges are vertical. A two-layer multiple chain $H_{2,n}$ is constructed by fusing two copies of $L_n$. There are two ways of fusing: one is called the $\alpha$-type fusion, as shown in Figure 1(a) and the other is called the $\beta$-type fusion, as shown in Figure 1(b).
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Figure 1
We denote by $[L_n]_\theta$ the two-layer multiple chain obtained by the $\theta$-type fusion and call the second layer (bottom) the $\theta$-type, where $\theta \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$. A multiple chain with $m$ layers is therefore constructed by successively fusing $m$ copies of $L_n$ and is denoted by $H_{m,n} = [\ldots [[[L_n]_{\theta_1}]_{\theta_2}] \ldots ]_{\theta_{m-1}}$, or $H_{m,n} = \theta_1 \theta_2 \ldots \theta_{m-1}$ for short, where $\theta_i \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$ and $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m-1$. Similarly, we call the $i$-th layer in such $H_{m,n}$ the $\theta_{i-1}$-type, $i = 2, 3, \ldots, m$. The multiple chain $\alpha\alpha\beta\beta\alpha$ with $n = 6$ is depicted in Figure 2.
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Figure 2
Let us consider the vertical edges in each layer. An edge \( b \) is said to be a dimer edge of a dimer covering \( K \) if \( b \) is covered by a dimer in \( K \), see Figure 2. It could be observed that there is exactly one dimer edge in each layer for any dimer covering \( K \), for details we may refer to [20]. Let the vertical edges in each layer be numbered by \( 1, 2, 3, \cdots, n+1 \), in an order from the left to the right. Let \( K(H_m,n,i) \) be the number of dimer coverings of \( H_{m,n} \) which contain the \( i \)-th vertical edge in the \( m \)-th layer.
Since $H_{m,n}$ is a bipartite graph, we may color its vertices using two colors, say the black and the white, such that the adjacent vertices have different colors, as illustrated in Figure 2. Let $(i, s)$ and $(i - 1, t)$ be the unique dimer edges in the $i$-th and $(i - 1)$-th layer of a dimer covering $K$, respectively. For convenience, we label the black vertices incident to the vertical edges in the $i$-th layer by $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{n+1}$ in an order from the left to the right, respectively. Similarly, we label the white vertices incident to the vertical edges in the $(i - 1)$-th layer by $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{n+1}$, as shown in Figure 2.
Assume that the $i$-th layer is of $\beta$-type as illustrated in Figure 2. Since $(i, s)$ is the unique dimer edge in the $i$-th layer, $b_{n+1}$ must match $w_{n+1}$ (i.e., $b_{n+1}w_{n+1}$ must be a dimer edge) and therefore, $b_n$ must match $w_n$. In this way, $b_j$ must match $w_j$ for each $j \in \{s + 1, s + 2, \ldots, n + 1\}$. This means that the unique dimer edge $(i - 1, t)$ in the $(i - 1)$-th layer must locate at the left-hand side of the edge $(i - 1, s + 1)$ (also the edge $(i, s)$), i.e., $t \leq s$. The discussion is similar if the $i$-th layer is of $\alpha$-type.
Conversely, if we choose one vertical edge from each layer as a dimer edge such that the dimer edge in the \((i - 1)\)-th layer \((i \in \{2, 3, \cdots, m\})\) locates at the left-hand (resp., right-hand) side of the dimer edge in the \(i\)-th layer if the \(i\)-th layer is of \(\beta\)-type (resp., \(\alpha\)-type), then these dimer edges determine an unique dimer covering.
Let $H_{m-1,n}$ be obtained from $H_{m,n}$ by removing the last layer. Then the above argument shows that:

If the $m$-th layer of $H_{m,n}$ is of $\alpha$-type, then

$$K(H_{m,n}, i) = \sum_{j=i}^{n+1} K(H_{m-1,n}, j)$$

and if the $m$-th layer of $H_{m,n}$ is of $\beta$-type, then

$$K(H_{m,n}, i) = \sum_{j=1}^{i} K(H_{m-1,n}, j).$$
Proposition 2.1. Let

\[ V(H_{m,n}) = (K(H_{m,n}, 1), K(H_{m,n}, 2), \cdots, K(H_{m,n}, n + 1)) \].

If the \( m \)-th layer is of \( \alpha \)-type then \( V(H_{m,n}) = V(H_{m-1,n})M_\alpha \) and if the \( m \)-th layer is of \( \beta \)-type then \( V(H_{m,n}) = V(H_{m-1,n})M_\beta \), where \( M_\alpha \) and \( M_\beta \) are the transfer matrices defined by

\[
M_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
& & & \ddots & \\
1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1
\end{pmatrix}_{(n+1) \times (n+1)}
\]

\[
M_\beta = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
& & & \ddots & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1
\end{pmatrix}_{(n+1) \times (n+1)}.
\]
In the following, we will consider to generate $H_{m,n}$ randomly subject to the Bernoulli distribution on the two types of fusing. That is, the probability that the $\alpha$-type fusing occurs in each layer is equal to a constant, say $p \in [0, 1]$, which is independent to the parameter $m$. Correspondingly, the probability that the $\beta$-type fusing occurs in each layer is equal to the constant $1 - p$. In this way, we get the ensemble of random multiple chains $H_{m,n}$ and denote it by $\mathcal{H}(m, n, p)$. 
Let $\mathbb{E}(K(H_{m,n}))$ (or $\mathbb{E}_m$ for simplicity) and $\mathbb{E}(K(H_{m,n}, i))$ (or $\mathbb{E}_m(i)$ for simplicity) be the expected values of $K(H_{m,n})$ and $K(H_{m,n}, i)$, respectively, where $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n + 1$. Let $M_p = pM_\beta + (1 - p)M_\alpha$.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $H_{m,n} \in \mathcal{H}(m, n, p)$. Then

$$
\mathbb{E}(K(H_{m,n})) = UM_p^{m-1}U^T,
$$

where $U = (1, 1, \cdots, 1)$ and $U^T$ is the transpose of $U$. 
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By the linear algebra theory, the power of \( M_p \) could be represented in terms of the eigenvalues of \( M_p \). To this end, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.2.** The characteristic polynomial of the transfer matrix \( M_p \) is

\[
P(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - M_p) = (\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 1 + p)^n - p \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\lambda - p)^i (\lambda - 1 + p)^{n-i}
\]

and the largest (in modulus) eigenvalue of \( M_p \) is

\[
\lambda_{\text{max}} = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } p = 0, 1, \\
1 + \frac{1}{2} n, & \text{if } p = \frac{1}{2}, \\
\frac{pq - q + p}{1 - q}, & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]

where \( q = \left( \frac{1}{p} - 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \).
Applying Cayley-Hamilton Theorem to $M_p$, we have

$$M_p^{n+1} + c_1 M_p^n + c_2 M_p^{n-1} + \cdots + c_n M_p + c_{n+1} I = O,$$

where $I$ is the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ identity matrix, $O$ is the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix of all 0’s and $c_i$ is the coefficient of $\lambda^i$ in (2), $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n+1$. Then by Theorem 2.1, we get the recurrence relation of the form

$$E_m + c_1 E_{m-1} + c_2 E_{m-2} + \cdots + c_n E_{m-n} + c_{n+1} E_{m-n-1} = 0. \quad (2)$$
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By Proposition 2.2 and the theory of linear difference equation with constant coefficients, the homogeneous solution of (2) is given by:

**Case 1.** If \( p = 0 \) or \( 1 \) then is

\[
\mathbb{E}(K(H_{m,n})) = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} a_k m^{n+1-k} 1^m,
\]

where \( a_k \) are the constant coefficients, \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, n + 1 \).

**Case 2.** If \( p = \frac{1}{2} \) then

\[
\mathbb{E}(K(H_{m,n})) = b_{n+1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^m + \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k m^{n-k} \left( 1 + \frac{n}{2} \right)^m,
\]

where \( b_k \) are the constant coefficients, \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, n + 1 \).
Case 3. If \( p \neq 0, 1, \frac{1}{2} \), then

\[
\mathbb{E}(K(H_m,n)) = \sum_{t=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \left( a_t(b_t - il_t)^m + a'_t(b_t + il_t)^m \right) + a_0 \left( \frac{pq - q + p}{1 - q} \right)^m
\]

if \( n \) is even and

\[
\mathbb{E}(K(H_m,n)) = \sum_{t=1}^{\frac{n+1}{2} - 1} \left( a_t(b_t - il_t)^m + a'_t(b_t + il_t)^m \right) + a_0 \left( \frac{pq - q + p}{1 - q} \right)^m + a_{n+1} \left( \frac{-pq + q + p}{1 + q} \right)^m
\]

if \( n \) is odd, where \( a_0, a_{n+1}, a_t, a'_t, b_t \) and \( l_t, t = 1, 2, \cdots, \frac{n}{2} \) are the constant coefficients, \( q = \left( \frac{1}{p} - 1 \right)^{n+1} \) and \( b_t \pm il_t \) are conjugate complex roots.
From the above discussion, we can now give the asymptotic property of the annealed entropy:

1. $$\lim_{m,n \to \infty} \frac{2}{M} \log(\mathbb{E}(K(H_{m,n}))) = 0.$$ 

2. If \(m\) is fixed, 

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2}{M} \log(\mathbb{E}(K(H_{m,n}))) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{m}{mn + m + n} \log(n + 1) = 0.$$ 

3. If \(n\) is fixed, then 

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{2}{M} \log(\mathbb{E}(K(H_{m,n}))) = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } p = 0, 1, \\
\frac{1}{(1+n)} \log(1 + \frac{1}{2} n), & \text{if } p = \frac{1}{2}, \\
\frac{1}{(1+n)} \log \frac{pq-q+p}{1-q}, & \text{otherwise,} 
\end{cases}$$

where \(q = \left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right)\frac{1}{n+1}\).
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We now present some numerical results of $K(H_{m,n})$ for the multiple chains $H_{m,n}$ and $\mathbb{E}(K(H_{m,n}))$ for random multiple chain $H_{m,n} \in \mathcal{H}(m, n, p)$. Note that

$$K(H_{m,n}) = K(H_{m,n}, 1) + K(H_{m,n}, 2) + \cdots + K(H_{m,n}, n + 1).$$

Then $K(H_{m,n})$ can be calculated by applying Proposition 2.1 and the numerical result for $n = 3$ and $m \leq 7$ is presented in Table 1. The expected value $\mathbb{E}(K(H_{m,n}))$ for random multiple chain $H_{m,n} \in \mathcal{H}(m, n, p)$ is calculated by applying Theorem 2.1 and the numerical result for $n = 3$ and $m \leq 7$ is presented in Table 2, in which we choose the probability $p = 0.1 \times i, i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots , 10$. The asymptotic behavior is illustrated in Figure 3.
Numerical results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m$</th>
<th>$H_{m,3}$</th>
<th>$K(H_{m,3})$</th>
<th>$H_{m,3}$</th>
<th>$K(H_{m,3})$</th>
<th>$H_{m,3}$</th>
<th>$K(H_{m,3})$</th>
<th>$H_{m,3}$</th>
<th>$K(H_{m,3})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$L_3$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha$</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta$</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\alpha$</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\alpha$</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\beta$</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\beta$</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\alpha\alpha$</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\alpha\alpha$</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\beta\alpha$</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\beta\alpha$</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\alpha\beta$</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\beta\beta$</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\beta\beta$</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\alpha\alpha\alpha$</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\alpha\alpha\alpha$</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\beta\alpha\alpha$</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\beta\alpha\alpha$</td>
<td>457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\alpha\beta\alpha$</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\alpha\beta\alpha$</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\beta\beta\alpha$</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\beta\beta\alpha$</td>
<td>399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\alpha\alpha\beta$</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\alpha\alpha\beta$</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\beta\alpha\beta$</td>
<td>573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\alpha\beta\beta$</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\alpha\beta\beta$</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\beta\beta\beta$</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\beta\beta\beta$</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\alpha\alpha\alpha\alpha$</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\alpha\alpha\alpha\alpha$</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\beta\alpha\alpha\alpha$</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\beta\alpha\alpha\alpha$</td>
<td>848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\alpha\beta\alpha\alpha$</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\alpha\beta\alpha\alpha$</td>
<td>1498</td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\beta\beta\alpha\alpha$</td>
<td>1008</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\beta\beta\alpha\alpha$</td>
<td>909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\alpha\alpha\beta\alpha$</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\alpha\alpha\beta\alpha$</td>
<td>1588</td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\beta\alpha\beta\alpha$</td>
<td>1498</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\beta\alpha\beta\alpha$</td>
<td>1669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$</td>
<td>1669</td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\beta\beta\alpha\beta$</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\beta\beta\beta\alpha$</td>
<td>680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\alpha\alpha\beta\beta$</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\alpha\alpha\beta\beta$</td>
<td>1086</td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\beta\alpha\beta\beta$</td>
<td>1196</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\beta\alpha\beta\beta$</td>
<td>1437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\alpha\beta\beta\alpha$</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\alpha\beta\beta\alpha$</td>
<td>2037</td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\beta\beta\alpha\beta$</td>
<td>1277</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\beta\beta\beta\alpha$</td>
<td>1086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\alpha\beta\beta\beta$</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\alpha\beta\beta\beta$</td>
<td>1277</td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\beta\beta\beta\beta$</td>
<td>1117</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\beta\beta\beta\beta$</td>
<td>1196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha\alpha\beta\beta\beta\beta$</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\beta\beta\beta\beta$</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\beta\beta\beta\beta$</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>$\alpha\beta\beta\beta\beta\beta$</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The numerical results of $K(H_{m,n})$ for $n = 3$ and $m \leq 7$. 
Numerical results

The numerical results of $\mathbb{E}(K(H_{m,n}))$ for $n = 3$ and $m \leq 7$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m$</th>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$\mathbb{E}(K(H_{m,3}))$</th>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$\mathbb{E}(K(H_{m,3}))$</th>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$\mathbb{E}(K(H_{m,3}))$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>∈ [0, 1]</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>∈ [0, 1]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0, 1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.1, 0.9</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>0.2, 0.8</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3, 0.7</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>0.4, 0.6</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0, 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.1, 0.9</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>0.2, 0.8</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3, 0.7</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>0.4, 0.6</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>62.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0, 1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.1, 0.9</td>
<td>90.4196</td>
<td>0.2, 0.8</td>
<td>118.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3, 0.7</td>
<td>139.236</td>
<td>0.4, 0.6</td>
<td>151.962</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>156.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0, 1</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.1, 0.9</td>
<td>180.647</td>
<td>0.2, 0.8</td>
<td>266.502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3, 0.7</td>
<td>333.551</td>
<td>0.4, 0.6</td>
<td>376.07</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>390.625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0, 1</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.1, 0.9</td>
<td>360.08</td>
<td>0.2, 0.8</td>
<td>599.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3, 0.7</td>
<td>799.</td>
<td>0.4, 0.6</td>
<td>930.676</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>976.562</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Asymptotic behavior**

**Figure 3** The asymptotic behavior of \( \frac{2}{M} \log(\mathbb{E}(K(H_{m,n}))) \)
In a planar honeycomb lattice, all dimers can be distinguished to be three classes corresponding to the three orientations: $x$-dimers, $y$-dimers and $z$-dimers. Dimers in the same class are all parallel. Let $H$ be a honeycomb lattice with $M$ sites. Denote by $g_{H}(n_x, n_y, n_z)$ the number of ways placing $n_x$, $n_y$ and $n_z$ $(2n_x + 2n_y + 2n_z = M)$ independently $x$-, $y$- and $z$-dimers on $H$ so that each site of $H$ is occupied exactly once.
The partition function of $H$ with three types of dimers is defined as the generating function [18]

$$Z_{x,y,z}(H) = \sum_{n_x, n_y, n_z} g_H(n_x, n_y, n_z) x^{n_x} y^{n_y} z^{n_z},$$

where, $x$, $y$ and $z$ are thermodynamically the activities of $x$-dimers, $y$-dimers and $z$-dimers, respectively. In graph theory, $Z_{1,1,1}(H)$ is the number of perfect matchings of $H$. 
The free energy per dimer of $H$ is defined as

$$f_H(x, y, z) = \lim_{M \to \infty} \frac{2}{M} \log Z_{x,y,z}(H)$$

and the entropy per dimer of $H$, denoted by $E(H)$, is defined as

$$E(H) = f_H(1, 1, 1)$$

by physicists [23, 22, 25, 24, 26, 27].
Let $H$ be a honeycomb lattice with a pure dimer covering $K$. The dimers in $K$ can be partitioned into three subsets $K_x$, $K_y$ and $K_z$ such that in each subset all the dimers are mutually parallel [28]. Zhang et al. [29] introduced the concept of $Z$-transformation graph and proved that $Z$-transformation graph is connected. This result implies that all the pure dimer coverings of $H$ have the same number of dimers in $K_x$, $K_y$ and $K_z$, respectively. Hence, Yan et al. obtained the following Lemmas [18].
Lemma 3.1. ([18]) If $H$ is a planar honeycomb lattice of $M$ sites with three activities $x$, $y$ and $z$ respectively, then

$$Z_{x,y,z}(H) = Z_{1,1,1}(H)^{x^{n_x} y^{n_y} z^{n_z}},$$

where $n_x$, $n_y$ and $n_z$ are the numbers of $x$-dimers, $y$-dimers and $z$-dimers in an arbitrary pure dimer covering of $H$. And the free energy per dimer

$$f_H(x, y, z) = \lim_{M \to \infty} \frac{2}{M} \log Z_{1,1,1}(H)^{x^{n_x} y^{n_y} z^{n_z}}$$

$$= E(H) + \lim_{M \to \infty} \frac{2n_x \log x}{M} + \lim_{M \to \infty} \frac{2n_y \log y}{M} + \lim_{M \to \infty} \frac{2n_z \log z}{M}$$

if these limits exist.
Lemma 3.2. ([18]) Let $H$ be a planar honeycomb lattice with $M$ sites and let the numbers of dimers belonging to the three different orientations be simply $n_x$, $n_y$ and $n_z$. If there exists one (say $n_x$) among $n_x$, $n_y$ and $n_z$ such that $n_x = o\left(\frac{M}{\log m_x}\right)$, where $m_x$ is the maximum number of hexagons intersected by one of the cut segments which are perpendicular to $x$-dimers of $H_{m,n}$. Then the entropy per dimer of $H$

$$E(H) = \lim_{M \to \infty} \frac{2}{M} \log Z_{1,1,1}(H) = 0.$$
In the following, we consider \( H \) as a random multiple chain \( H_{m,n} \in \mathcal{H}(m, n, p) \) and determine its expected value of the free energy per dimer. Without loss of generality, we assume that the \( x \)-dimers in \( H_{m,n} \) are vertical, the \( y \)-dimers and \( z \)-dimers are then parallel with the other two directions of the hexagon, respectively, as illustrated in Figure 4. As we pointed out in section 2, any dimer covering \( K \) contains exactly one vertical dimer edge in each layer. Hence, we have \( n_x = m \). On the other hand, one can see that \( m_x = n \) and, therefore, \( n_x = m = o\left(\frac{M}{\log m_x}\right) \). So by Lemma 3.2, the entropy per dimer of \( H_{m,n} \) is zero, i.e., \( E(H_{m,n}) = 0 \). Hence, by Lemma 3.1,
Partition function on random multiple chains

Figure 4
Partition function on random multiple chains

\[ f_{H_m,n}(x, y, z) \]

\[ = E(H_m,n) + \lim_{M \to \infty} \frac{2nx \log x}{M} + \lim_{M \to \infty} \frac{2ny \log y}{M} + \lim_{M \to \infty} \frac{2nz \log z}{M} \]

\[ = \lim_{M \to \infty} \frac{2m \log x}{M} + \lim_{M \to \infty} \frac{2(n+mn-nz) \log y}{M} + \lim_{M \to \infty} \frac{2nz \log z}{M} \]

\[ = \log y + \lim_{M \to \infty} \frac{2nz (\log z - \log y)}{M}. \]

Therefore,

\[ E(f_{H_m,n}(x, y, z)) = \log y + \lim_{M \to \infty} E \left( \frac{2nz}{M} \right) (\log z - \log y). \]
Recall that any two dimer coverings of $H_{m,n}$ have the same number $n_z$ of dimers in $K_z$. So, in order to determine the value $\lim_{M \to \infty} \mathbb{E}(2n_z/M)$, it would be convenient to choose the first vertical edge in each layer as the dimer edge of $K$. Thus, one can check that $n_z = (k + 1)n$, where $k$ is the number of the $\alpha$-type layers, see Figure 4 for an example. In other word, $n_z$ depends only on the number of the $\alpha$-type layers in $H_{m,n}$. On the other hand, there are exactly $\binom{m-1}{k}$ random multiple chains with $k$ $\alpha$-type layers, each of which has probability $p^k(1 - p)^{m-k-1}$. Thus,
\[ \lim_{M \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{2nz}{M} \right) = \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \frac{1}{mn + m + n} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{m-1}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{m-k-1} (k + 1) n \]

\[ = \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \frac{n(1-p)^{m-1}}{mn + m + n} \left( t \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{m-1}{k} \left( \frac{pt}{1-p} \right)^k \right) \bigg|_{t=1} \]

\[ = \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \frac{(m-1)np}{mn + m + n} = p. \]

Therefore,

\[ \mathbb{E}(f_{H_{m,n}}(x, y, z)) = (1 - p) \log y + p \log z. \quad (3) \]
**Remark.** The \((k, h, n)\)-chevron region \(C(k, h, n)\) is a particular type of (non-random) multiple chains consisting of \(k + h - 1\) layers whose \(i\)-th layers with \(i \in \{2, 3, \cdots, k\}\) are of \(\alpha\)-type and the last \(h - 1\) layers are of \(\beta\)-type. The \((4, 3, 5)\)-chevron region is depicted in Figure 4, for an example. It has been known [18] that the free energy per dimer of the \((at, bt, ct)\)-chevron region with \(a + b + c = 1, c > 0\) and \(t \to \infty\) is

\[f_{C(bt, at, ct)}(x, y, z)) = \frac{b}{a + b} \log y + \frac{a}{a + b} \log z.\]

Combining with (3), we have

\[E(f_{H_{m,n}}(x, y, z)) = f_{C(bt, at, ct)}(x, y, z) = (1 - p) \log y + p \log z,\]

where \(a = \frac{p}{1+c}, b = \frac{1-p}{1+c}\) and \(t \to \infty\).
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