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Abstract

This thesis examines some projects of moral regulation, implemented by the agents of the church and king in the late Anglo-Saxon period, which sought to modify and govern marital conduct. Theories of moral regulation are analysed in the Introduction, which also examines Germanic marriage practices, as far as they can be recovered, and the Anglo-Saxon church’s inherited attitudes towards marriage. Manuscripts and texts are examined firstly as projects of moral regulation, and secondly as projects which attempted to alter marital behaviour.

In Chapter 1, moral regulation is situated within the context of the Benedictine reform through the examination of one manuscript – Cambridge, Corpus Christi College, MS 201 – as a case-study in the cooperative efforts of the church and king to regulate society. In particular, the legislative and penitential texts which are compiled in MS 201 bear witness to the tendency in late Anglo-Saxon England for legislation to be moralised, and for morality to be legislated. MS 201 also includes the unique copy of the Old English translation of Apollonius of Tyre, and the marital morality inscribed therein perhaps accounts for its inclusion in this predominantly Wulfstanian manuscript.

In Chapter 2 the riddles recorded in the Exeter Book are interpreted as literary exercises in regulation. This chapter establishes the possible moral and regulatory agenda of the Exeter Book riddles by offering a new interpretation of, and solution to, one riddle. It also analyses the marriages made manifest in some of the so-called ‘double entendre’ riddles, which regulate the moral relationship following Pauline exegesis: emphasis in these riddles is on the sanctity of marriage, wifely obedience, and the payment of the conjugal debt. Conversely, Ælfric, in his Lives of Saints, idealises marriage as characterised by the absence of all sexual relations. In his Life of St Agnes (examined in Chapter 3), and in his
*Lives of married saints* (SS Julian and Basilissa, SS Cecilia and Valerian, and SS Chrysanthus and Daria, examined in Chapter 4), Ælfric makes non-sexual, companionable, and loving marriage morally paradigmatic.

Whilst both marriage and morality have been studied by modern critics, neither topic has inspired extended, specific study (with a few, notable, exceptions), and the nexus between these two topics has been hitherto unacknowledged. Although new, and often profound, insight is gained into Anglo-Saxon texts by considering them in the context of moral regulation, the morality they propose, as well as the regulatory process used to impose that morality, varies across context, text, genre, and author. This conclusion is also true for marital morality, Anglo-Saxon perceptions of which differed in each of the texts chosen for evaluation. This thesis does not claim to be comprehensive; nor does it attempt to synthesise attitudes towards marriage and morality, since a synthesis does not do justice to the richness or complexity with which this topic was treated. It is hoped that this thesis will provide insight into not only individual Anglo-Saxon attitudes towards marriage but also processes of regulation and social control, and, indeed, into the intersection between attitudes and processes.
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In examining marriage and morality, I have journeyed far from my original thesis topic, which was to have been a study of gender in Anglo-Saxon society. The transition from gender to marriage is explicable, especially since I had originally intended to focus primarily on gender as constructed in marital relationships. However, two external circumstances can best account for my final topic: firstly, contemporary Australian, and world, politics; secondly, my own wedding, eighteen months into my PhD candidature. In many modern societies, religion has a profound relationship with government, influencing political and cultural dialogue: the interaction of religion and politics informs the development and philosophy of both. Often the separation between church and state is ambiguous, if such a separation can be made at all. In this context, I have been particularly fascinated, and often horrified, by the ways in which modern Australian society increasingly moralises (and regulates through moral manipulation) politics, law, culture, and thought, often from a specifically Christian stance. Particularly in Australia and the United States of America, the ‘religionisation’ of politics, and the consequent politicisation of morals, is conspicuous, and this political trend struck me as disquietingly ‘medieval’. Yet my (admittedly only undergraduate) knowledge of issues of church-state division was restricted to the High Middle Ages. It struck me as both immediately relevant, as well as excitingly new, to investigate the regulation of morality through processes of governance and power in late Anglo-Saxon England. It has been both satisfying and dismayng to see immediate and profound correlations between Anglo-Saxon governance, and some contemporary governments: satisfying in that my evaluation of the quasi-medieval appearance of modern politics is, at least in Australia, not incorrect, and dismayng by the same token. On a happier note, my own wedding and marriage motivated and inspired me to study the institution into which I entered so exultantly.
Throughout this dissertation, quotations of Old English and Latin texts have not been normalised, and wherever possible are reproduced exactly from the editions consulted. I have not, however, replicated Skeat’s metrical lineation of Ælfric’s *Lives of Saints*, although I have retained his pointing which indicates manuscript punctuation. Any other deviations from this policy are mentioned in the notes. Except for word division, quotations directly from manuscripts have not been normalised or corrected (even when corrupt); common abbreviations have been silently expanded; minimal punctuation has been introduced to clarify sense only in cases in which the manuscript punctuation is unclear. Major or interlinear corrections in manuscripts are indicated by < >; major erasures or *lacunae* in manuscripts are indicated by { }. In quotations from both editions and manuscripts, italic type has been used to indicate emphasis; when the emphasis is my own and does not occur in the original, mention will be made in the notes. Modern English translations from Old English are nearly always my own; any translations from Old English which are not my own are referenced in the notes. English translations from Latin texts are generally not my own, except where noted. In Chapters 3 and 4, in which quotations from Ælfric’s Latin sources frequently appear (occasionally at length) in a comparative context, no translations have been given, primarily in order to avoid over-burdening the footnotes. Unless otherwise indicated, all definitions of Old English words beginning A–F are taken from the Toronto *Dictionary of Old English*; all definitions of Old English words beginning G–Z are taken in the first instance from Bosworth Toller, *An Anglo-Saxon Dictionary*, and cross-referenced against Clark Hall, *A Concise Anglo-Saxon Dictionary*.
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