

Effect of temperature and photoperiod on broccoli development, yield and quality in south-east Queensland

By

Daniel Kean Yuen Tan

B. App. Sc. (Hort. Tech.) (First Class Hons.)

The University of Queensland

A Thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in

The University of Queensland

School of Land and Food

June 1999

DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY

This thesis reports the original work of the author, except otherwise acknowledged.

It has not been submitted previously at this or any other University.

Daniel K.Y. Tan

ABSTRACT

Broccoli is a vegetable crop of increasing importance in Australia, particularly in south-east Queensland and farmers need to maintain a regular supply of good quality broccoli to meet the expanding market. However, harvest maturity date, head yield and quality are all affected by climatic variations during the production cycle, particularly low temperature episodes. There are also interactions between genotype and climatic variability. A predictive model of ontogeny, incorporating climatic data including frost risk, would enable farmers to predict harvest maturity date and select appropriate cultivar – sowing date combinations.

The first stage of this research was to define floral initiation, which is fundamental to predicting ontogeny. Scanning electron micrographs of the apical meristem were made for the transition from the vegetative to advanced reproductive stage. During the early vegetative stage (stage 1), the apical meristem was a small, pointed shoot tip surrounded by leaf primordia. The transitional stage (stage 2) was marked by a widening and flattening to form a dome-shaped apical meristem. In the floral initiation stage (stage 3), the first-order floral primordia were observed in the axils of the developing bracts. Under field conditions, the shoot apex has an average diameter of $500 \pm 3 \mu\text{m}$ at floral initiation and floral primordia can be observed under a light microscope.

Sub-zero temperatures can result in freezing injury and thereby reduce head yield and quality. In order to predict the effects of frosts, it is desirable to know the stages of development at which plants are most susceptible. Therefore, the effects of sub-zero temperatures on leaf and shoot mortality, head yield and quality were determined after exposure of plants to a range of temperatures for short periods, at different stages of development (vegetative, floral initiation and buttoning). Plants in pots and in the field were subjected to sub-zero temperature regimes from $-1 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ to $-19 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. Extracellular ice formation was achieved by reducing temperatures slowly, at a rate of $-2 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ per hour. The floral initiation stage was most sensitive to freezing injury, as yields were significantly reduced at $-1 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $-3 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, and shoot apices were killed at $-5 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. There was no significant yield reduction when the inflorescence buttoning

stage was subjected to $-1\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $-3\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. Although shoot apices at buttoning survived the $-5\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ treatment, very poor quality heads of uneven bud size were produced as a result of arrested development. The lethal temperature for pot-grown broccoli was between $-3\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $-5\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, whereas the lethal temperature for field-grown broccoli was between $-7\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $-9\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. The difference was presumably due to variation in cold acclimation. Freezing injury can reduce broccoli head yield and quality, and retard plant growth. Crop development models based only on simple thermal time without restrictions will not predict yield or maturity if broccoli crops are frost-damaged.

Field studies were conducted to develop procedures for predicting ontogeny, yield and quality. Three cultivars, ('Fiesta', 'Greenbelt' and 'Marathon') were sown on eight dates from 11 March to 22 May 1997, and grown under natural and extended (16 h) photoperiods in a sub-tropical environment at Gatton College, south-east Queensland, under non-limiting conditions of water and nutrient supply. Daily climatic data, and dates of emergence, floral initiation, harvest maturity, together with yield and quality were obtained. Yield and quality responses to temperature and photoperiod were quantified. As growing season mean minimum temperatures decreased, fresh weight of tops decreased while fresh weight harvest index increased linearly. There was no definite relationship between fresh weight of tops or fresh weight harvest index and growing season minimum temperatures $\geq 10\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. Genotype, rather than the environment, mainly determined head quality attributes. 'Fiesta' had the best head quality, with higher head shape and branching angle ratings than 'Greenbelt' or 'Marathon'. Bud colour and cluster separation of 'Marathon' were only acceptable for export when growing season mean minimum temperatures were $< 8\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. Photoperiod did not influence yield or quality in any of the three cultivars. A better understanding of genotype and environmental interactions will help farmers optimise yield and quality, by matching cultivars with time of sowing.

Crop developmental responses to temperature and photoperiod were quantified from emergence to harvest maturity (Model 1), from emergence to floral initiation (Model 2), from floral initiation to harvest maturity (Model 3), and in a combination of Models 2 and 3 (Model 4). These thermal time models were based on optimised base

and optimum temperatures of 0 and 20 °C, respectively. These optimised temperatures were determined using an iterative optimisation routine (simplex). Cardinal temperatures were consistent across cultivars but thermal time of phenological intervals were cultivar specific. Sensitivity to photoperiod and solar radiation was low in the three cultivars used. Thermal time models tested on independent data for five cultivars ('Fiesta', 'Greenbelt', 'Marathon', 'CMS Liberty' and 'Triathlon') grown as commercial crops on the Darling Downs over two years, adequately predicted floral initiation and harvest maturity.

Model 4 provided the best prediction for the chronological duration from emergence to harvest maturity. Model 1 was useful when floral initiation data were not available, and it predicted harvest maturity almost as well as Model 4 since the same base and optimum temperatures of 0 °C and 20 °C, respectively, were used for both phenological intervals. Model 1 was also generated using data from 1979-80 sowings of three cultivars ('Premium Crop', 'Selection 160' and 'Selection 165A'). When Model 1 was tested with independent data from 1983-84, it predicted harvest maturity well. Where floral initiation data were available, predictions of harvest maturity were most precise using Model 3, since the variation, which occurred from emergence to floral initiation, was removed. Prediction of floral initiation using Model 2 can be useful for timing cultural practices, and for avoiding frost and high temperature periods.

This research has produced models to assist broccoli farmers in crop scheduling and cultivar selection in south-east Queensland. Using the models as a guide, farmers can optimise yield and quality, by matching cultivars with sowing date. By accurately predicting floral initiation, the risk of frost damage during floral initiation can be reduced by adjusting sowing dates or crop management options. The simple and robust thermal time models will improve production and marketing arrangements, which have to be made in advance. The thermal time models in this study, incorporating frost risk using conditional statements, provide a foundation for a decision support system to manage the sequence of sowings on commercial broccoli farms.

Additional Publications of Candidate Relevant to Thesis

- Tan, D.K.Y., Wearing, A.H., Rickert, K.G. and Birch, C.J. (1997). A systems approach to developing a model that predicts crop ontogeny and maturity in broccoli in south-east Queensland. In 'Third Australia and New Zealand Systems Conference Proceedings: Linking People, Nature, Business and Technology.' (Eds Wollin, A.S. and Rickert, K.G.). pp. 179-187. (The University of Queensland: Gatton.)
- Tan, D.K.Y., Wearing, A.H., Rickert, K.G. and Birch, C.J. (1998). Detection of floral initiation in broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *italica* Plenck) based on electron micrograph standards of shoot apices. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* **38**(3): 313-318.
- Tan, D.K.Y., Wearing, A.H., Rickert, K.G., Birch, C.J. and Joyce, D.C. (1999). Freeze-induced reduction of broccoli yield and quality. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* **39**(6) (In Press.)
- Tan, D.K.Y., Wearing, A.H., Rickert, K.G. and Birch, C.J. (1999). Broccoli yield and quality can be determined by cultivar and temperature but not photoperiod in south-east Queensland. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* **39**(7) (In Press.)
- Tan, D.K.Y., Birch, C.J., Wearing, A.H. and Rickert, K.G. (1999). Predicting broccoli development: I. Development is predominantly determined by temperature rather than photoperiod. *Scientia Horticulturae* (accepted.)
- Tan, D.K.Y., Birch, C.J., Wearing, A.H. and Rickert, K.G. (1999). Predicting broccoli development: II. Comparison and validation of thermal time models. *Scientia Horticulturae* (accepted.)

Table of Contents

TITLE		
	Declaration of Originality	(ii)
	Abstract	(iii)
	Additional Publications of Candidate Relevant to Thesis	(vi)
	Table of Contents	(vii)
	List of Tables	(xvi)
	List of Figures	(xix)
	List of Plates	(xxv)
	Abbreviations	(xxvi)
	Terminology	(xxvii)
	Acknowledgments	(xxviii)
Chapter 1 General Introduction		
1.1	Broccoli in Australia	1
1.2	Systems approach to define the problem	1
1.3	Yield and quality	5
1.4	Prediction of ontogeny and maturity	5
1.5	Objectives of this research	7
1.6	Steps in this research	9
Chapter 2 Review of Literature		
2.1	Introduction	11
2.2	Broccoli development	11
2.2.1	History and botany	11

2.2.2	Phenological development and ontogeny	13
	(a) Developmental stage 1	15
	(b) Developmental stage 2	15
	(c) Developmental stage 3	17
2.3	Yield and quality of broccoli	18
2.3.1	Yield and harvest index	18
2.3.2	Quality	20
	(a) Bractiness	21
	(b) Hollow stem	21
	(c) Starring	22
	(d) <i>Albugo candida</i> (White Blister)	22
	(e) Colour	22
2.4	Effect of temperature	23
2.4.1	Cardinal temperatures for development	23
2.4.2	Effect of temperature on phenological development	24
	(a) Seed vernalisation	24
	(b) Developmental stage 1	25
	(c) Developmental stage 2	26
	(i) Beginning of receptiveness	26
	(ii) Vernalisation requirement	28
	(iii) Inductive temperature range	29
	(iv) Premature floral initiation and flowering	29
	(a) Developmental stage 3	30
2.4.3	Effect of temperature on quality	31
	(a) Effect of low temperature on quality	32
	(b) Effect of high temperature on quality	32
2.5	Effect of sub-zero temperatures	33
2.5.1	Frost	33
	(a) Radiation frost	33
	(b) Black frost	34

	(c) Advection frost	35
2.5.2	Freezing injury	35
	(a) Intracellular freezing	35
	(b) Intercellular freezing	36
2.5.3	Resistance to freezing injury	37
	(a) Freezing avoidance	37
	(i) By antifreeze or dehydration	37
	(ii) Supercooling	38
	(iii) Thermal insulation by wrapping-leaves	38
	(a) Freezing tolerance	38
2.5.4	Cold acclimation (hardening)	39
	(a) Physiological factors during cold acclimation	39
	(i) Osmotic concentration	39
	(ii) Water content	40
	(iii) Lipids	40
	(iv) Proteins	40
	(v) Growth regulators	40
	(vi) Photosynthesis	41
	(a) Environmental factors	41
	(i) Temperature	41
	(ii) Light	42
	(iii) Photoperiod	42
	(a) Plant tissue	42
	(b) Stage of development	43
	(i) Vegetative stage	43
	(ii) Floral initiation stage	43
	(iii) Inflorescence development stage	44
2.6	Effect of other environmental factors	44
2.6.1	Effect of photoperiod	44
2.6.2	Effect of solar radiation	45
2.7	Models for predicting development and maturity	46
2.7.1	Forthside model	47

2.7.2	Gatton models	49
	(a) Non-linear, rectangular hyperbola model	49
	(b) Simple thermal time model	50
	(c) Modified thermal time (Barger System) model	50
	(d) Comparison of Gatton models	50
2.7.3	Scottish model	51
2.7.4	Massey model	52
2.7.5	Reading model	53
2.7.6	Kagawa model	54
2.7.7	Wellesbourne vernalisation model	55
2.7.8	Wellesbourne maturity prediction models	56
	(a) Quadratic transplanting to HM model	56
	(b) Maturity prediction from FI to HM	56
2.7.9	Clemson model	58
2.7.10	Aarslev model	59
2.7.11	Evaluation of prediction models	59
2.8	Conclusions	61

Chapter 3 General Materials and Methods

3.1	Field experiment with photoperiod extension	62
3.2	Commercial farm crops	66
3.3	Data collection	66
3.3.1	Climatic data	66
3.3.2	Crop ontogeny	69
3.3.3	Leaf number	69
3.3.4	Head quality	70
3.4	Data analysis	70

3.5	Summary	72
-----	---------	----

Chapter 4 Detection of floral initiation based on electron micrograph standards of shoot apices

4.1	Introduction	73
4.2	Materials and methods	74
4.2.1	Source of samples for scanning electron microscopy	75
4.2.2	Scanning electron microscopy	75
4.2.3	Source of samples for light microscope	75
4.2.4	Light microscopy	76
4.2.5	Statistical analysis	76
4.3	Results	77
4.3.1	Morphological development of the apical meristem	77
4.3.2	Definition of the apex diameter at initiation	79
4.4	Discussion	80
4.4.1	Morphological development of the apical meristem	80
4.4.2	Diameter of the apex at initiation	82
4.5	Conclusions	83

Chapter 5 Freeze-induced reduction of broccoli yield and quality

5.1	Introduction	84
5.2	Materials and methods	86
5.2.1	Field-grown broccoli (Experiments 1 and 2)	86
5.2.2	Pot experiment (Experiment 3)	86
5.2.3	Sub-zero temperature treatments	87

5.2.4	Data collection	88
	(a) Crop ontogeny	88
	(b) Relative electrical conductivity (REC)	88
	(c) Vital staining	89
	(d) Shoot apex, leaf lamina and petiole mortality	89
	(e) Yield and quality	90
	(f) Ambient temperature	90
5.2.5	Data analysis	91
5.3	Results	92
5.3.1	Electrolyte leakage of field-grown broccoli (Experiments 1 & 2)	92
5.3.2	Mortality of pot-grown broccoli (Experiment 3)	94
5.3.3	Yield and quality of pot-grown broccoli (Experiment 3)	98
5.4	Discussion	101
5.4.1	Yield and quality reduction	101
5.4.2	Tissue damage	102
5.4.3	Cold acclimation	103
5.5	Conclusions	104
Chapter 6 Influence of temperature and photoperiod on broccoli yield and quality		
6.1	Introduction	105
6.2	Materials and methods	106
6.2.1	Quality attributes of commercial grades	106
6.2.2	Field experiment with photoperiod extension	107
6.2.3	Data collection	108
6.2.4	Data analysis	109
6.3	Results	109

6.3.1	Quality attributes of commercial grades	109
6.3.2	Yield	110
6.3.3	Quality	112
6.3.4	Photoperiod	116
6.4	Discussion	117
6.4.1	Yield	117
6.4.2	Quality	117
6.4.3	Photoperiod	118
6.5	Conclusions	119

Chapter 7 Broccoli development is predominantly determined by temperature

7.1	Introduction	120
7.2	Materials and methods	123
7.2.1	Field experiment with photoperiod extension	123
7.2.2	Commercial farm crops for testing the model	123
7.2.3	Data collection	124
7.2.4	Data analysis	124
7.3	Results	125
7.3.1	Photoperiod, cultivar and sowing date effects	125
7.3.2	Temperature response	128
7.3.3	Photoperiod response during EFI	131
7.3.4	Total solar radiation	132
7.3.5	Total leaf number	132
7.3.6	Accuracy of fitted values from optimised T_{base} and T_{opt}	134
7.3.7	Evaluation of model against independent farm data	135
7.4	Discussion	138

7.4.1	Optimised temperature coefficients	138
7.4.2	Photoperiod response	140
7.4.3	Solar radiation response	141
7.4.4	Leaf number	142
7.5	Conclusions	144

Chapter 8 Comparison and validation of thermal time models from emergence to harvest maturity

8.1	Introduction	145
8.2	Materials and methods	146
8.2.1	Field experiment with photoperiod extension	146
8.2.2	Commercial farm crops for testing the models	146
8.2.3	Farm records	147
8.2.4	Titley's experiments	147
8.2.5	Data analysis	148
8.3	Results	148
8.3.1	Time from sowing to harvest maturity	148
8.3.2	Photoperiod, cultivar and sowing date effects	149
8.3.3	Accuracy of fitted values from optimised T_{base} and T_{opt}	151
8.3.4	Evaluation of models against independent farm data	154
8.3.5	Evaluation of EHM model against Titley's data	155
8.4	Discussion	158
8.5	Conclusions	159

Chapter 9 General Discussion

9.1	Detection of floral initiation	161
-----	--------------------------------	-----

9.2	Yield and quality	161
9.3	Thermal time models	163
9.4	Applications of thermal time models	165
9.5	Cultivar selection	167
9.6	Conclusions	168
9.7	Suggested future work	170
	Bibliography	171

List of Tables

Table No.		Page
2.1	Morphological changes in broccoli in relation to leaf number and plant age (adapted from Gauss and Taylor 1969a)	15
2.2	Broccoli apex diameter at floral initiation	16
2.3	Selected reports of broccoli marketable head yield in studies covering a range of environmental and cultural conditions.	19
2.4	Base, optimum and maximum temperatures for the development of broccoli	24
2.5	Base, optimum and maximum temperatures for germination of broccoli	25
2.6	Effect of vernalisation (inductive temperature range and exposure time) on floral initiation of broccoli	28
2.7	Growing season mean temperatures (°C) at which broccoli head quality is unacceptable at the range of temperatures experienced during 50 sowing dates at Charleston, S.C., USA from 1990 to 1992 (adapted from Dufault 1996)	32
2.8	Models for the prediction of phenological development and maturity of broccoli.	48
4.1	Apex description during the transition from a vegetative to reproductive apex for broccoli.	79
4.2	Mean apex diameter (µm) of broccoli at the five morphological stages during the transition from a vegetative to reproductive apex measured from scanning electron micrographs. The standard error (s.e.), maximum and minimum of the range are included. Mean apex diameter between the morphological stages were significantly different at P=0.01 using the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test of ranked diameter.	80
5.1	Summary of sub-zero temperature treatments (ambient, -1, -3, -5, and -7 °C) and stage of development (floral initiation and buttoning) critical temperature ranges (°C) for pot-grown broccoli where damage or destruction was observed for >95% of the sample population in binomial vital staining, lamina mortality index, lamina destruction index, petiole mortality index, petiole destruction index or shoot apex destruction index	97

data.

- 5.2 Effect of sub-zero temperature treatments (ambient, -1, -3, -5, and -7 °C) and stage of development (floral initiation and buttoning) on yield: head diameter (mm), head fresh weight (g) and head dry weight (g), and quality ratings: bud colour (1-5), bud evenness (1-5) and cluster separation (1-5) for pot-grown broccoli. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $P = 0.05$ by Fisher's protected l.s.d. test and conducted only when F-test probability was significant at $P \leq 0.05$. Data presented in this figure are sub-zero temperature treatment by stage of development interaction means ($n = 10$). Dash (-) indicates no quality ratings were made as plants were killed resulting in no yield. 99
- 6.1 Head yield and quality attributes of five broccoli grades (Export Japan, Export South-east Asia, Domestic Chain Stores, Domestic Central Markets Large and Small), expressed as head fresh weight (g head^{-1}), head diameter (mm), head shape (1-5), branching angle (1-5) and cluster separation (1-5) ratings packed by eight packers in a packing house near Brookstead, south-east Queensland. Means of grades are averaged over eight packers ($n = 40$). L.s.d. values are at $P=0.05$ using Fisher's protected l.s.d. tests for grade main effect. Means followed by the same letter within the same row are not significantly different at $P=0.05$. 110
- 6.2 Head quality attributes of three broccoli cultivars ('Fiesta', 'Greenbelt' and 'Marathon'), expressed as head shape (1-5), branching angle (1-5), cluster separation (1-5) and bud evenness (1-5) ratings, bud size (mm), bractiness (number of bracts protruding through head), percent head dry weight (%) (dry/fresh weight), and principal components 1 and 2 (PC_1 & PC_2) grown under a range of photoperiod and temperature regimes at Gatton College, south-east Queensland. Means of cultivars are averaged over two photoperiods (natural and 16 h) and eight sowing dates ($n = 48$). L.s.d values are at $P=0.05$, using Fisher's protected l.s.d. tests for the cultivar main effect. Means followed by the same letter within the same row are not significantly different at $P=0.05$. 113
- 7.1 Main and interactive effects of photoperiod extension (PP), sowing date (SD) and cultivar (CV) on the chronological time (days), thermal time ($^{\circ}\text{C d}$) and accumulated solar radiation (MJ m^{-2}) during the interval from emergence to floral initiation, and total leaf number in broccoli [**, *, n.s. for $P<0.01$, $P<0.05$, not significantly different ($P=0.05$) respectively]. Dash (-) indicates no l.s.d. was calculated as the F-test was not significant at $P=0.05$. 126

- 7.2 Main and interactive effects of photoperiod extension (PP), sowing date (SD) and cultivar (CV) on the chronological time (days), thermal time ($^{\circ}\text{C d}$), accumulated solar radiation (MJ m^{-2}), and effective thermal time (ETT) during the interval from floral initiation to harvest maturity (FIHM) in broccoli [**, *, n.s. for $P < 0.01$, $P < 0.05$, not significantly different ($P = 0.05$) respectively]. Dash (-) indicates no l.s.d. was calculated as the F-test was not significant at $P = 0.05$. 129
- 7.3 Optimum rate of development [expressed as rate of progress (day^{-1}), and chronological time (days)] and thermal time (TT $^{\circ}\text{C d}$, mean \pm s.e.) duration with optimised base and optimum temperatures of 0 and 20 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ during the time from emergence to floral initiation for three broccoli cultivars ('Fiesta', 'Greenbelt' and 'Marathon') grown under a range of photoperiod and temperature regimes in a field experiment at Gatton College, south-east Queensland. 130
- 7.4 Duration (mean \pm s.e.) from floral initiation to harvest maturity, expressed as chronological time (days), thermal time ($^{\circ}\text{C d}$), accumulated solar radiation (MJ m^{-2}), and effective thermal time (ETT) for three broccoli cultivars ('Fiesta', 'Greenbelt' and 'Marathon') grown under a range of photoperiod and temperature regimes in a field experiment at Gatton College, south-east Queensland, as estimated from optimisation routines using DEVEL. Thermal time was calculated using base and optimum temperatures of 0 and 20 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. ETT was calculated using a values of 0.045, 0.039 and 0.354 for 'Fiesta', 'Greenbelt' and 'Marathon'. Means given are of 48 experimental units. 131
- 8.1 Main and interactive effects of photoperiod extension (PP), sowing date (SD) and cultivar (CV) on the chronological time (days) and thermal time ($^{\circ}\text{C d}$) duration of the interval from emergence to harvest maturity in broccoli [**, *, n.s. for $P < 0.01$, $P < 0.05$, not significantly different ($P = 0.05$) respectively]. Dash (-) indicates no l.s.d. was calculated as the F-test was not significant at $P = 0.05$. 149
- 8.2 Duration (mean \pm s.e.) from emergence to floral initiation (EFI), from floral initiation to harvest maturity (FIHM) and from emergence to harvest maturity (EHM) for three broccoli cultivars ('Fiesta', 'Greenbelt' and 'Marathon') expressed as thermal time ($^{\circ}\text{C d}$) grown under a range of photoperiod and temperature regimes in a field experiment at Gatton College, south-east Queensland, as estimated from optimisation routines using DEVEL. Thermal time was calculated from base and optimum temperatures of 0 and 20 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. Means given were of 48 experimental units. 151

List of Figures

Fig. No.		Page
1.1	Systems for production and marketing of broccoli. The solid lines show the flow of broccoli through the system and the broken lines show the flow of information.	3
1.2	Effect of sowing date [Julian day (Jday) where 1 = 1 Jan] and cultivar, (a) 'Fiesta', (b) 'Greenbelt', and (c) 'Marathon' on chronological duration (days) from sowing to harvest maturity in a commercial farm for broccoli grown at Brookstead, south-east Queensland. The growing seasons (years) were 1996 (●), 1997 (■), and 1998 (♦) for (a) and 1991 (●), 1993 (■), 1994 (♦), 1995 (◆), 1996 (◆) and 1997 (●) for (b) and (c).	4
1.3	Schematic representative of (a) 2-stage broken linear temperature response and (b) 2-stage broken linear photoperiod response (adapted from Birch <i>et al.</i> 1996, 1998a, Holzworth and Hammer 1992).	8
2.1	Comparative morphology in broccoli and cauliflower (taken from Gray 1982).	13
3.1	Daily natural photoperiod (h) (curved line) at Gatton College from 1 February to 29 September. The day of the year is in Julian days (Jday) where 1 = 1 Jan. The horizontal line is the 16 h photoperiod treatment for the field experiment with photoperiod extension. S = sowing (1 to 8).	63
3.2	Field experiment layout (not to scale) used in a study of the effects of photoperiod extension, sowing date and cultivar on broccoli development, leaf number, yield and quality. S = sowing (1 to 8), C = Cultivar (C1 = 'Fiesta', C2 = 'Greenbelt', and C3 = 'Marathon').	64
3.3	Daily maximum and minimum temperatures (°C) at (a) Gatton College in 1997, (b) Brookstead in 1997 and (c) Brookstead in 1998 during the broccoli growing season from 1 February to 29 September. The day of the year is in Julian days (Jday) where 1 = 1 Jan. The horizontal lines are at 0 and 20 °C: the base and optimum temperatures for calculation of thermal time. S = sowing (1 to 8) for the field experiment with photoperiod extension at Gatton College (a).	67

- 3.4 Daily solar radiation ($\text{MJ m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$) at (a) Gatton College in 1997, (b) Brookstead in 1997 and (c) Brookstead in 1998 during the broccoli growing season from 1 February to 29 September. The day of the year is in Julian days (Jday) where 1 = 1 Jan. S = sowing (1 to 8) for the field experiment with photoperiod extension at Gatton College (a). 68
- 5.1 Daily minimum temperatures ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) from 31 March to 23 July 1998 at (○) Gatton College and (■) Brookstead in south-east Queensland. The time scale (day of the year) is in Julian day (Jday) where 1 = 1 Jan. Timing of sub-zero treatments for Experiment 1 (E1), Experiment 2 (E2), and the vegetative (E3V), floral initiation (E3F) and buttoning (E3B) stages of development in Experiment 3 are indicated. 91
- 5.2 Effect of sub-zero temperature treatments ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) on (a) (●) leaf lamina and (■) petiole relative electrical conductivity (REC) for explants sampled during the late vegetative stage (Experiment 1) and (b) (◆) leaf lamina and (◆) REC of shoot apex explants sampled just after floral initiation (Experiment 2) from field-grown broccoli cultivar 'Fiesta' at Brookstead. The control (ambient temperature) REC means were (a) 0.11 (lamina and petiole) for Experiment 1 and (b) 0.05 (lamina) and 0.31 (apex) for Experiment 2. Vertical lines indicate l.s.d. values at $P=0.05$ for sub-zero temperature treatments. Data presented in this figure are treatment means ($n = 3$ for a; $n = 5$ for b). 93
- 5.3 Effect of sub-zero temperature treatment (ambient, -1, -3, -5, and -7°C) and stage of development [(●) vegetative, (■) floral initiation and (◆) buttoning] on (a) leaf lamina relative electrical conductivity (REC), (b) leaf lamina mortality rating (1-5), and (c) leaf petiole mortality rating (1-5) for pot-grown broccoli at Gatton College (Experiment 3). The control (ambient temperature) means were (a) 0.07 for REC and 0 for both (b) leaf lamina and (c) petiole mortality ratings. Vertical lines indicate l.s.d. values at $P=0.05$ for sub-zero temperature treatment by stage of development interactions. Data presented in this figure are sub-zero temperature treatment by stage of development interaction means ($n = 10$). Means for the vegetative stage at -1 and -3°C are not available as these treatments were not imposed. 96

- 5.4 Effect of sub-zero temperature treatment (ambient, -1, -3, -5, and -7 °C), stage of development [(■) floral initiation and (♦) buttoning] and cultivar ['Fiesta' (closed symbols), and 'Marathon' (open symbols)] on (a) head shape rating and (b) branching angle rating for pot-grown broccoli at Gatton College (Experiment 3). The control (ambient temperature) means were (a) 4.9 for head shape, and (b) 4.4 for branching angle rating. Vertical lines indicate l.s.d. values at P=0.05 for sub-zero temperature treatment, stage of development by cultivar interaction. The data presented in this figure are sub-zero temperature treatment, stage of development by cultivar interaction means (n = 5). Means for floral initiation at -5 °C were not available as the shoot apex of plants were killed, producing no yield. 100
- 6.1 Effect of sowing date [Julian day (Jday) where 1 = 1 Jan] (closed symbols), growing season mean (GSM) minimum temperature (open symbols) and broccoli cultivar ['Fiesta' (circles), 'Greenbelt' (squares) and 'Marathon' (triangles)] on (a and b) fresh weight of tops (g), and (c and d) fresh weight harvest index (%) for eight sowing dates and two photoperiods (natural and 16 h) in a field experiment at Gatton College. The data presented in (a) and (c) are sowing date by cultivar interaction means (n = 6), and in (b) and (d) are experimental treatment means averaged over three blocks (n = 3). Vertical lines in (a) and (c) indicate l.s.d. values at P=0.05 (for comparisons between sowing date) for sowing date by cultivar interaction. 111
- 6.2 Effect of sowing date [Julian day (Jday) where 1 = 1 Jan] and broccoli cultivar ['Fiesta' (●), 'Greenbelt' (■) and 'Marathon' (♦)] on (a) bud colour (1-5) and (b) cluster separation (1-5) ratings; effect of growing season mean (GSM) minimum temperature on (c) bud colour (1-5) and (d) cluster separation (1-5) ratings in 'Marathon' (△) for eight sowing dates and 2 photoperiods (natural and 16 h) in a field experiment at Gatton College. The data presented in (a) and (b) are sowing date by cultivar interaction means (n = 6), and in (c) and (d) are experimental treatment means averaged over three blocks (n = 3). Vertical lines in (a and b) indicate l.s.d. values at P=0.05 (for comparisons between sowing date) for sowing date by cultivar interaction. 114
- 6.3 Plot of broccoli cultivars ['Fiesta' (●), 'Greenbelt' (□) and 'Marathon' (△)] against the first two principal components (PC₁, PC₂) for eight sowing dates and two photoperiods (natural and 16 h) in a field experiment at Gatton College. 116

- 7.1 Effect of sowing date [Julian day (Jday) where 1 = 1 Jan] and cultivar ['Fiesta' (●), 'Greenbelt' (■) and 'Marathon' (◆)] on (a) chronological time (days) and (b) total leaf number from emergence to floral initiation (EFI) for eight sowing dates in a field experiment at Gatton College. The data presented are sowing date by cultivar interaction means (n = 6). Vertical lines indicate l.s.d. values at P=0.05 (for comparisons between sowing dates) for sowing date by cultivar interaction. Effect of (c) sowing date and photoperiod [natural (◆) and 16 h (●)] on chronological time (days) from emergence to floral initiation (EFI) averaged over three cultivars of broccoli for eight sowing dates in a field experiment at Gatton College. Vertical line indicates l.s.d. value at P=0.05 (for comparisons between sowing dates) for sowing date by photoperiod interaction. The data presented are sowing date by photoperiod interaction means (n = 9). 127
- 7.2 Effect of sowing date [Julian day (Jday) where 1 = 1 Jan] and cultivar ['Fiesta' (●), 'Greenbelt' (■) and 'Marathon' (◆)] on (a) chronological time (days), (b) thermal time (°C d), (c) accumulated solar radiation (MJ m⁻²), and (d) effective thermal time (ETT) duration for eight sowing dates in a field experiment at Gatton College, south-east Queensland. Vertical lines indicate l.s.d. values at P=0.05 (for comparisons between sowing dates) for sowing date by cultivar interaction for (a), (b) and (c), and l.s.d. value at P=0.05 for cultivar main effect for (d). The data presented are sowing date by cultivar interaction means (n = 6). No significant (P=0.05) sowing date by cultivar interaction was observed in (d). 133
- 7.3 Effect of average temperature (°C) (open symbols) and thermal time (°C d) (closed symbols) from emergence to floral initiation (EFI) on the total leaf number at floral initiation in three broccoli cultivars, 'Fiesta' [circles (a,b)], 'Greenbelt' [squares (c,d)], and 'Marathon' [triangles (e,f)] for eight sowing dates in a field experiment at Gatton College. The data presented in this figure are experimental treatment means averaged over three blocks (n = 3). 134

- 7.4 (a) Comparison between fitted and observed duration (days) from emergence to floral initiation for three broccoli cultivars ('Fiesta', 'Greenbelt' and 'Marathon') when grown at Gatton College; and (b) comparison between predicted and observed duration (days) from emergence to floral initiation for independent data from five broccoli cultivars ('Fiesta', 'Greenbelt', 'Marathon', 'CMS Liberty', and 'Triathlon') grown on a commercial farm in Brookstead in 1997 and 1998. Predicted duration based on thermal time was calculated using base and optimum temperatures of 0 and 20 °C, respectively, derived using the optimisation routine, DEVEL from a field experiment at Gatton College, south-east Queensland. 136
- 7.5 (a) Comparison between fitted and observed duration (days) from floral initiation to harvest maturity for three broccoli cultivars ('Fiesta', 'Greenbelt' and 'Marathon') when grown at Gatton College; and (b) comparison between predicted and observed duration (days) from floral initiation to harvest maturity of independent data from five broccoli cultivars ('Fiesta', 'Greenbelt', 'Marathon', 'CMS Liberty', and 'Triathlon') grown on a commercial farm in Brookstead in 1997 and 1998. Predicted duration based on thermal time was calculated using base and optimum temperatures of 0 and 20 °C, respectively, derived using the optimisation routine, DEVEL from a field experiment at Gatton College, south-east Queensland. 137
- 8.1 Effect of sowing date [Julian day (Jday) where 1 = 1 Jan] and cultivar ['Fiesta' (●), 'Greenbelt' (■) and 'Marathon' (♦)] on chronological duration (days) for eight sowing dates in a field experiment at Gatton College. The data presented are sowing date by cultivar interaction means (n = 6). Vertical lines indicate l.s.d. values at P=0.05 (for comparisons between sowing dates) for sowing date by cultivar interactions. 150
- 8.2 (a) Comparison between fitted and observed duration (days) from emergence to harvest maturity for three broccoli cultivars ('Fiesta', 'Greenbelt' and 'Marathon') when grown at Gatton College; and (b) comparison between predicted and observed duration (days) from emergence to harvest maturity for independent data from five broccoli cultivars ('Fiesta', 'Greenbelt', 'Marathon', 'CMS Liberty', and 'Triathlon') grown on a commercial farm in Brookstead in 1997 and 1998, using a single emergence to harvest maturity model (Model 1). Predicted duration was calculated using T_{base} and T_{opt} of 0 and 20 °C, respectively, derived using the optimisation routine, DEVEL, from a field experiment at Gatton College, south-east Queensland. 152

- 8.3 (a) Comparison between fitted and observed duration (days) from emergence to harvest maturity for three broccoli cultivars ('Fiesta', 'Greenbelt' and 'Marathon') grown at Gatton College; and (b) comparison between predicted and observed duration (days) from emergence to harvest maturity for independent data from five broccoli cultivars ('Fiesta', 'Greenbelt', 'Marathon', 'CMS Liberty', and 'Triathlon') grown on a commercial farm in Brookstead in 1997 and 1998, using a combined emergence to floral initiation and floral initiation to harvest maturity model (Model 4). Predicted duration was calculated using base and optimum temperatures of 0 and 20 °C, respectively, derived using the optimisation routine, DEVEL, from a field experiment at Gatton College, south-east Queensland. 153
- 8.4 Comparison between predicted and observed duration (days) from emergence to harvest maturity for independent data from five broccoli cultivars ('Fiesta', 'Greenbelt', 'Marathon', 'CMS Liberty', and 'Triathlon') grown on a commercial farm in Brookstead in 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997 and 1998, using a single emergence to harvest maturity model. Predicted duration was calculated using T_{base} and T_{opt} of 0 and 20 °C, respectively, derived using the optimisation routine, DEVEL, from a field experiment at Gatton College, south-east Queensland. 155
- 8.5 (a) Comparison between fitted and observed duration (days) from emergence to harvest maturity for three broccoli cultivars ('Premium Crop', 'Selection 160' and 'Selection 165A') when sown during 1979-80, and (b) comparison between predicted and observed duration (days) from emergence to harvest maturity for independent data from three broccoli cultivars ('Premium Crop', 'Selection 160' and 'Selection 165A') sown commercially during 1983-84 at Gatton College, using a single emergence to harvest maturity model. Predicted duration was calculated using T_{base} and T_{opt} of 0 and 20 °C, respectively, derived using the optimisation routine, DEVEL, from the 1979-80 sowings at Gatton College, south-east Queensland. 157
- 9.1 Relational diagram of the work reported in this thesis. Broken lines show the flow of information. 160

List of Plates

Plate No.		Page
3.1	The arrangement used in the photoperiod extension experiment showing (a) method of illumination, and (b) general view of the experiment, with broccoli plants at various stages of development.	65
3.2	Photographic representation of broccoli head showing (a) head shape [deep (5) and shallow (1)] and (b) branching angle [wide (5) and narrow (1)].	71
4.1	Morphological development of broccoli apical meristem. (a) Measurements used to calculate the mean apex diameter. The horizontal and vertical lines represent the diameter of the apical meristem which is the distance between the insertion of the outermost bract primordia measured in two directions at 90° to each other. (b) Stage 1. Broccoli apex at vegetative stage. The vegetative apex (A) was a small, pointed meristem surrounded by narrow, developing leaf primordia (L). (c) Stage 2. Broccoli apex at transitional stage. This stage was marked by a widening and flattening of the apical meristem (A). Bract primordia (B) were initiated around the apex. (d) Stage 3. Broccoli apex at early reproductive stage. Floral initiation was taken to have occurred at this stage with the development of first-order branch primordia (PB) in the axils of the bract primordia (B). (e) Stage 4. Broccoli apex at reproductive stage. Bract (B) and floral primordia (PB) were initiated distinctly in a spiral phyllotaxy. (f) Stage 5. Broccoli apex at reproductive stage. Numerous bract and floral primordia have developed. Second-order branch primordia (SB) were initiated from the apices of the first-order branch primordia (PB). Eventually, third and higher order branch primordia were initiated from their respective shoot apices. Horizontal bars represent 100 µm.	78
5.1	Freezing injury symptoms in broccoli. (a) Minor leaf damage showing epidermal splitting caused by frosts (-6 °C) at Brookstead. (b) Severe necrosis and chlorosis of leaf lamina leaving the petiole relatively undamaged.	95

Abbreviations used in this Thesis

Abbreviation	Meaning of abbreviation
DWHI	Dry weight harvest index
EFI	Emergence to floral initiation
EHM	Emergence to harvest maturity
ETT	Effective thermal time
FI	Floral initiation
Fig.	Figure
FIHM	Floral initiation to harvest maturity
FSM	Floral season mean temperatures (°C)
FWHI	Fresh weight harvest index (%)
GSM	Growing season mean temperature (°C)
h	Hour
ha	Hectare
HFW	Head fresh weight (g)
HM	Harvest maturity
l.s.d.	Least significance difference
LT ₅₀	Killing temperature for 50% of the population (°C)
r ²	Coefficient of determination
REC	Relative electrical conductivity
RMSD	Root mean square deviation
s.e.	Standard error
SE	Sowing to emergence
t	Metric ton
T _{base}	Base temperature (°C) for calculation of thermal time
T _{max}	Maximum temperature (°C) for calculation of thermal time
T _{opt}	Optimum temperature (°C) for calculation of thermal time
TT	Thermal time (°C d)
TTC	Triphenyl tetrazolium chloride

Terminology

Throughout this thesis, the following definitions of terms (Birch 1996) will be used.

Coefficient	Derived constant that appears in an equation. It may be a parameter.
Plant development	The change within an interval from one phenological event to another, and from one phenological interval to another in a plant.
Fitted value	The value of a dependent variable determined by substitution in a regression derived from data collected in one of the experiments reported in this thesis. Other parts of the word 'fit' are to be taken to have similar application.
Ontogeny	The sequence of events that constitute the life cycle of the plant from sowing until harvest maturity is reached (Birch 1996).
Phenology	Study of periodic biotic events that occur once in a growing season of a crop. It describes and measures developmental process, physiological processes controlling growth and development, and the environment (Alm <i>et al.</i> 1991).
Parameter	A constant for a simulation that characterises an element of a system. It is constant for a specific location or application or time period.
Prediction	The output value of a state variable provided by a model, other parts of the word 'predict' are to be taken to have similar application.

Acknowledgments

I would especially like to thank my supervisors, Assoc. Profs. Alan Wearing and Ken Rickert, and Dr Colin Birch, The University of Queensland, Gatton College (UQG) for their advice, guidance, support and encouragement throughout this research. A special thanks to Mr Allan Lisle, UQG for providing valuable statistical advice.

The provision of financial support by Mr Phillip Jauncey, Matilda Fresh Foods Pty Ltd and the Horticultural Research and Development Corporation is gratefully acknowledged. Thanks are due to UQG for provision of field resources and equipment. Gratitude is specially extended to Mr David Heath, Matilda Fresh Foods Pty Ltd, for providing technical support throughout this research. Thanks are also due to Prof. Daryl Joyce, Cranfield University, UK, Mr Peter Deuter, Queensland Department of Primary Industries, Dr David Woodruff, Queensland Wheat Research Institute and Mr John Adriaans, IAMA Seed and Grain, for advice on freezing injury and frost simulation.

I also thank the staff of UQG, Mr Ian Gordon for assistance at the plant nursery, Dr Robert Fletcher for advice on freeze physiology, Mr Maxwell Heslehurst for advice on thermal time calculations, Assoc. Prof. David Simons, Dr Donald Irving, Dr Robert Brown, Dr Doug George and Dr Gavin Porter for advice on the draft manuscripts, and Mr Dallas Williams for assistance in collection of field data. I gratefully acknowledge Mr Don Gowanlock, Centre for Microscopy and Microanalysis, University of Queensland for technical assistance with the scanning electron microscope. I am deeply indebted to the many friends and fellow PhD candidates, Ms Yu Sha Li, Ms Melissa Taylor, Mr Andrew Macnish and Mr Shaun O'Brien who also provided support and encouragement.

I dedicate this thesis to my beautiful, loving wife, Xiu Zhen (Lily) and appreciate her continuing support and sacrifices made. I also acknowledge the support and encouragement of my parents and sister, Christina, during this research.