

1	Modeling of ALOS and COSMO-SkyMed satellite data at Mt
2	Etna: implications on relation between seismic activation of the
3	Pernicana fault system and volcanic unrest
4	
5	Gilda Currenti ¹ , Giuseppe Solaro ² , Rosalba Napoli ¹ , Antonio Pepe ² ,
6	Alessandro Bonaccorso ¹ , Ciro Del Negro ¹ , Eugenio Sansosti ²
7	
8	¹ Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia – Sezione di Catania, Osservatorio Etneo, Catania, Italy
9	² National Research Council (CNR) – Istituto per il Rilevamento Elettromagnetico dell'Ambiente (IREA), Napoli,
10	Italy
11	

12 Abstract

13 We investigate the displacement induced by the 2-3 April 2010 seismic swarm (the largest 14 event being of MI 4.3 magnitude) by means of InSAR data acquired over the volcano by the 15 Cosmo-SkyMed and ALOS radar systems. Satellite observations, combined with leveling 16 data, allowed us to perform a high-resolution modeling inversion capable of fully capturing 17 the deformation pattern and identifying the mechanism responsible for the PFS seismic 18 activation. The inversion results well explain high gradients in the radar line of sight 19 displacements observed along the fault rupture. The slip distribution model indicates that the 20 fault was characterized by a prevailing left-lateral and normal dip-slip motion with no fault 21 dilation and, hence, excludes that the April 2010 seismic swarm is a response to 22 accommodate the stress change induced by magma intrusions, but it is due to the tectonic 23 loading possibly associated with sliding of the eastern flank of the volcano edifice. These 24 results provide a completely different scenario from that derived for the 22 September 2002 25 M3.7 earthquake along the PFS, where the co-seismic shear-rupture was accompanied by a 26 tensile mechanism associated with a first attempt of magma intrusion that preceded the 27 lateral eruption occurred here a month later. These two opposite cases provide hints into the

28 behavior of the PFS between quiescence and unrest periods at Etna and pose different 29 implications for eruptive activity prediction and volcano hazard assessment. The dense 30 pattern of ground deformation provided by integration of data from short revisiting time 31 satellite missions, together with refined modeling for fault slip distribution, can be exploited at 32 different volcanic sites, where the activity is controlled by volcano-tectonic interaction 33 processes, for a timely evaluation of the impending hazards.

- 34
- 35 *Key-words*: Etna volcano, ground deformation, satellite interferometry, source modeling.
- 36

37 **1. Introduction**

38 Eruptive sequences and local seismicity on Etna volcano have often revealed a significant 39 temporal correlation between the occurrence of large earthquakes along the main volcano-40 tectonic structures and periods of volcanic unrest. In the Etna northern flank, one of the most 41 intriguing volcano-tectonic structures is the Pernicana Fault System (PFS), which have been 42 showing a controversial relationship between seismic activation and volcanic unrest over the 43 last decades. The PFS is characterized both by aseismic continuous "slow" movements associated with the sliding of the Mt Etna eastern flank (Azzaro et al., 2001; Ruch et al., 44 45 2010) and by shallow earthquakes causing surface ruptures and severe damages to man-46 made infrastructures in the surrounding area (Bonforte et al., 2007; Currenti et al., 2010). 47 Several times the seismic energy release has preceded the onset of lateral eruptions in the 48 Etna northern flank.

49 The 22 September 2002 M3.7 event represents an exemplary case, in which the seismic 50 release preceded by nearly a month the violent and dramatic explosive-effusive flank 51 eruption of October 2002 - January 2003 (Bonforte et al., 2007; Currenti et al., 2010; 52 Alparone et al., 2012). A critical question was whether the seismic activity could have been 53 viewed as a signature of volcanic unrest in the northern sector. Carrying out a 3D numerical 54 modeling inversion of deformation data encompassing the seismic event, Currenti et al. 55 (2010) evidenced the active tensile loading exerted by the attempt of a magmatic intrusion in 56 the upper part of the fault system. Therefore, this event, which was a response to the initial 57 forming intrusion, provided insights into a possible interaction between pre-eruptive 58 magmatic intrusion and subsequent loading and rupture of the PFS.

59 On more other occasions, however, the earthquakes were not followed by any ensuing 60 volcanic unrest (Bonaccorso et al., 2012). The latest intense seismic swarm took place on 2-61 3 April 2010 and caused coseismic surface faulting and severe damages to tourist resorts 62 and villages close to the PFS structure (Guglielmino et al., 2011). Subsequently, explosions 63 and ash emissions occurred at the summit craters on 7-8 April. These events raised the alert 64 level for the volcanic and seismic hazard related to an impending flank eruption in the 65 northern sector of the volcano, similar to what happened before the 2002-2003 eruption. 66 Therefore, the question to be addressed is whether the seismic swarm was a possible 67 response to accommodate the stress change induced by possible magma intrusions and, 68 consequently, a fingerprint of an impending eruption. In fact, no volcanic unrest occurred in 69 the following months.

70 To investigate the behavior of the PFS during the 2-3 April 2010 seismic swarm, we carried 71 out a detailed study of the fault kinematics by inversion of ground deformation data. The 72 analysis and modeling of ground deformation data could shed light on the interaction 73 between volcanic activity and seismicity in such a complex volcano-tectonic setting. 74 Differential Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) Interferometry (DInSAR) (Gabriel et al., 1989; 75 Massonnet et al., 1993) has made it possible detailed observations of surface deformation (Neri et al., 2009; Solaro et al., 2010; 2011), providing important constraints on active 76 77 deformation sources. A preliminary estimation of the active sources of the April 2010 seismic 78 event was performed in Guglielmino et al. (2011) by inverting a set of geodetic data from 79 ground based stations (GPS and levelling) and satellite platforms (ENVISAT and ALOS 80 satellites) and by using a model based on the analytical formulation of rectangular fault 81 segments embedded in a homogeneous half-space (Okada, 1992).

82 We extend this analysis with the inclusion of data from the new-generation COSMO-SkyMed 83 satellites, which could give further constraints thanks to the short (a few days) revisiting times 84 and the high spatial resolution (about 3 m). The availability of SAR datasets from different 85 platforms allows us to compare the estimated displacement fields and to derive a high-86 resolution model for the slip distribution along the PFS. The integration of different satellite 87 data and the construction of a more realistic model give us the opportunity to discriminate the 88 mechanism responsible for the PFS seismic activation during the April 2010 seismic swarm. 89 More generally, the inferences derived from geodetic inversions improve our understanding 90 of the relationship between volcanic unrest at Etna and seismic activation along the PFS, 91 providing useful implications for eruptive activity prediction and volcano hazard assessment.

93 2. M4.3 earthquake rupture along the Pernicana Fault System

94 The PFS is one of the most outstanding and active tectonic structures of Mt Etna and 95 delineates the northern margin of the volcano's sliding flank, as confirmed by geological, 96 seismological and geophysical investigations (Neri et al., 2004; Azzaro et al., 2001; Bonforte 97 et al., 2007). It develops eastward from the North East Rift (from 1850 m a.s.l.) to the 98 coastline, over a distance of about 18 km (Acocella and Neri et al., 2005), constituting a left-99 lateral transcurrent zone that cuts across the northeastern flank of the volcano (Tibaldi and 100 Groppelli 2002). The PFS, composed of discrete segments arranged in a right stepping en-101 èchelon configuration and of a near continuous left lateral shear zone, shows a very high 102 slip-rate of about 2 cm/year, mainly related to the sliding of the eastern flank of the volcano 103 (Lo Giudice and Rasà, 1992; Azzaro et al., 2001; Bonforte et al., 2007, Ruch et al., 2010). In 104 the upper part of the PFS, which is often intruded by magma, tensile rupture mechanisms 105 prevail, while normal/strike-slip characterizes the intermediate zone and pure strike-slip the 106 easternmost shallow part. Earthquakes related to this structure, which are characterized by 107 small to moderate magnitude (< 4.5 MI) and by shallow hypocentral area (about 2-4 km), 108 mainly affect the western and central segments while the lower eastern segment is 109 characterized by an aseismic fault movement (Azzaro et al., 2001). The widespread 110 seismicity, which characterizes the western and central segments of the fault, confirms that 111 the structure is highly active (Bonforte et al., 2007). Sometimes the PFS has been 112 seismically active immediately before the onset of an eruption and within the first week of 113 volcanic activity at the nearby NE Rift (Neri et al., 1991; Garduno et al., 1997; Tibaldi and 114 Groppelli, 2002; Acocella and Neri, 2003; Acocella et al., 2003; Currenti et al., 2008). On the 115 other hand, there were long periods (i.e. 1984-1988) during which the PFS has shown 116 continuous activity, but no eruptions occurred from the NE Rift (Azzaro et al., 1988; Acocella 117 and Neri, 2005). After the 2002-03 eruption, which was preceded by a M3.7 event (Table 1), 118 a marked acceleration of the eastern motion of the PFS was observed. Over the last years, a

119 strong increase of seismicity, also characterized by swarms, was recorded by INGV-CT 120 permanent local seismic network close to the Pernicana Fault (Alparone et al., 2009). The 121 last intense seismic release started along the fault on 2 April 2010 at 19:06 (GMT). About 122 170 events were recorded until 07:42 of 3 April, accompanied by ground fracturing with left 123 lateral movement of about 0.5 m. The largest event occurred at 20:04 on 2 April 2010 with a 124 magnitude of MI 4.3 at a depth of 300 m b.s.l. (Table 1). At the beginning, the earthquakes 125 affected the central sector of the PFS (Fig. 1), at a depth of about 1000 m b.s.l. and 126 successively moved westwards approaching the NE Rift (Langer, 2010).

127

128 **3. DInSAR data analysis**

We investigated the displacement induced by the 2-3 April 2010 seismic events by means of InSAR data acquired over the volcano using the Cosmo-SkyMed (CSK) and ALOS radar systems. A set of 66 CSK images acquired with a look angle around 56 deg on descending orbits between September 2009 and September 2010 was considered; in addition, one ALOS couple acquired with a look angle of about 38 deg on an ascending orbit was also used in this study.

135 The CSK differential interferogram with the shortest available time span across the event, 136 i.e., the one involving the acquisitions on 30 March 2010 and 7 April 2010, was computed 137 shortly after the event (Italian Space Agency, 2011) and is shown (in radar coordinates) in 138 Fig. 2a. The white line represents the known trace of the PFS. It is evident that in a narrow 139 area surrounding the middle part of the fault, the interferogram is affected by a strong 140 decorrelation noise that severely corrupts the computed phase (Zebker and Villasenor, 141 1992). This is most probably due to the temporal decorrelation induced by the heavy 142 vegetation covering the area, which is particularly relevant for X-band radar systems. In fact, 143 large decorrelated areas have been observed also in short time interferograms not including 144 the earthquake. In addition to this effect, a low spatial frequency fringe pattern that mimics a 145 typical seismic signal is also observed. However, both leveling campaigns and other independent satellite observations (Guglielmino et al., 2011) indicate that the deformation associated with the earthquake should rapidly decrease away from the fault trace, thus suggesting the presence of a significant contribution related to atmospheric effects (Goldstein, 1995) in the interferogram.

150 To capture the possible small scale deformation associated with the earthquake, we take 151 advantage of the high resolution capability of CSK data. Fig. 2b shows a zoom in the area 152 indicated by the dashed rectangle in Fig. 2a at the full sensor resolution (about 3m x 3m). 153 This underlines that a large and very concentrated deformation signal is present in the 154 eastern part of the area (indicated by the black arrow), very close to the fault trace. Due to its 155 limited spatial extension, this deformation pattern is not visible at a lower resolution, thus 156 making the use of CSK data a unique opportunity to study this phenomenon with more 157 details.

158 In addition to single interferogram analysis, multiple acquisition approaches, exploiting a 159 large number of data acquired on the same satellite orbit (Sansosti et al., 2010), can be used 160 to further characterize the phenomenon and to separate noises from the useful signal. We 161 first applied a stacking technique (Peltzer et al., 2001) aimed essentially at calculating the 162 average of all the cumulative displacements computed from each single full resolution 163 interferograms covering the event. To reduce both the temporal and spatial decorrelation 164 effects, we used only the interferograms characterized by a short temporal interval (temporal 165 baseline) and a short orbital separation (spatial baseline) between the interferometric SAR 166 image couples. The full range (unwrapped) phase was computed by using the Minimum Cost 167 Flow phase unwrapping algorithm (Costantini and Rosen, 1999). Obviously, in this case, the 168 stacking approach relies on the hypothesis that no event, other than the earthquake, 169 occurred in the considered time interval, which is verified later on in this section. For this 170 reason, we restricted the time period considered for stacking to a few months (except for one 171 data pair), thus selecting the 7 interferograms reported in Table 2. The obtained cumulative 172 displacement, shown in Fig. 2c for the same area of Fig. 2a, highlights that the deformation is

173 concentrated around the fault and a significant part of the atmospheric signal has been174 reduced.

175 As an additional analysis, we also applied a full resolution implementation of the Small 176 BAseline Subset (SBAS) approach (Berardino et al., 2002) to all the available 66 CSK data 177 acquired on the same descending orbit covering almost one year (from September 2009 to 178 September 2010). To limit the computational load, we processed only data associated with 179 the small area delimited by the white box in Fig 2c. In this case, we applied the Extended 180 Minimum Cost Flow Phase Unwrapping procedure (Pepe et al., 2011), which allows the 181 generation of full resolution deformation time series through the SBAS approach. This 182 technique is particularly appropriate for non-linear signals (as those expected for a seismic 183 event) since it does not rely on any linear model assumption to compute the time series and 184 it exploits the SBAS inversion directly on the full resolution DInSAR unwrapped 185 interferograms, without using the corresponding multilook phase sequences, as in Lanari et. 186 al. (2004).

187 The obtained cumulative ground deformation is shown in Fig. 2d and substantially confirms 188 the results of the stacking procedure. However, the use of a larger number of images 189 improves the atmospheric filtering operation. The atmospheric noise presents a strong 190 correlation in space, but it is poorly correlated in time, thus a spectral filtering in time can be 191 implemented to detect and cancel out the noise (Ferretti et al., 2000; Berardino et al., 2002). 192 However, the filtering procedure must be carefully adapted whenever seismic signals are 193 involved in order not to impair possible true discontinuities present in the data and associated 194 with the seismic event. In fact, to separate components of seismic signal and atmospheric 195 noise in the time domain, instead of using a classical low pass strategy, we used a median 196 filtering that has the peculiarity to preserve discontinuities while reducing the noise 197 (Niedzwiecki and Sethares, 1995).

The plot in Fig. 2e shows the displacement time series (projected onto the line of sight) for the corresponding area indicated in Fig. 2d. The time series shows a clear jump in correspondence to the date of the earthquake, while no other sharp variations before and

after the event are evident, thus indicating that, apart from the earthquake, no other significant event occurred in the considered area during the investigated period (mid 2009 end of 2010). This also validates the hypothesis that allowed us to compute the deformation map shown in Fig 2c via the stacking approach.

205 However, the maximum LOS displacement observed by CSK is about 5 cm, which is smaller 206 than 8 cm and up to 37 cm for vertical and East-West components, respectively, as reported 207 in Guglielmino et al. (2011). Horizontal ground displacements of the order of 30 cm have also 208 been observed during field trips (Neri, personal communication). These data do not match 209 CSK measurements, even considering possible compensation of horizontal and vertical 210 components of the displacement due to the projection onto the line of sight. This is because 211 the area of maximum deformation falls within the decorrelated area where CSK, which 212 operates at X-band (wavelength 3.1 cm), is unable to provide any measurement, being the 213 coherent pixels essentially limited to the areas covered by lava.

214 To circumvent this problem, we complement the above discussed deformation information 215 with that obtained by processing SAR data acquired by the ALOS radar system that operates 216 in L-band with a larger wavelength (23.6 cm) and, therefore, is less affected by decorrelation 217 phenomena, especially in vegetated terrains such as in the PFS area. One ALOS differential 218 interferogram (22 March 2010 - 7 May 2010) was computed (Fig. 3a). The maximum 219 detectable deformation in the direction of satellite line of sight (LOS) is about 23 cm, 220 corresponding approximately to two fringes in the interferogram, in agreement with previous 221 analysis based on the same data (Guglielmino et al., 2011) and on field campaign 222 information (Neri, personal communication). In this case, the lack of a sufficiently large 223 number of ALOS acquisition on the same track prevented us from generating deformation 224 time series via the SBAS approach; however, other ALOS interferograms generated with 225 independent acquisition show a similar deformation pattern, thus confirming that the 226 observed signal is related to the actual deformation rather than atmospheric noise 227 (Guglielmino et al., 2011). The ALOS differential interferogram has been unwrapped by using 228 the Minimum Cost Flow (MCF) approach (Costantini and Rosen, 1999). The corresponding

deformation map is shown in Fig. 3b and has been used, jointly with the computed CSKdisplacement map (Fig. 2c), to model the deformation source.

231 The joint use of both CSK and ALOS data gives us complementary information about the 232 occurred ground changes. Full spatial coverage of the ALOS interferogram allows 233 understanding that the displacements are confined in a very narrow area nearby the PFS 234 and exponentially decay moving away from the fault trace (Fig. 3). On the other hand, CSK 235 data allow analyzing the displacement field with a greater detail (Fig. 2b and 2d). However, 236 the smaller operational wavelength of CSK data introduces more significant decorrelation 237 noise in the interferograms (Zebker and Villasenor, 1992), thus reducing the spatial 238 coverage. Accordingly, the combined use of ALOS and CSK data allows us to retrieve the 239 whole deformation pattern with an overall satisfactory level of detail.

240

4. Slip distribution models

242 An interpretation of the co-seismic displacements due to the April 2010 seismic swarm was 243 recently proposed in Guglielmino et al., (2011) using the analytical solution for rectangular 244 dislocations (Okada, 1992). However, the computed displacement magnitudes and spatial 245 distributions show a limited consistency with the satellite and in situ ground deformation data, 246 which may be related to the simplified fault geometry and the uniform-slip assumption. The 247 gaps and overlaps between the assumed rectangular dislocation sources induced strain 248 concentrations at the source edges (Meade, 2007), which are not in agreement with the 249 observed deformation pattern. Starting from these results, we investigated how the model 250 can be improved for a more accurate estimation of the movements of the PFS in a realistic 251 description of the fault slip distribution from the joint inversion of deformation data acquired 252 by both the new generation X-band SAR sensors onboard the COSMO-SkyMed satellites 253 and the L-band sensor of ALOS. The inversion procedure is composed of three main steps 254 (Currenti et al., 2010; 2011): (i) meshing the computational domain and subdividing the fault 255 surface in a finite number of elements; (ii) computing the Green's functions for static

displacements caused by unit slip over each element; and (iii) solving an inversion problemconstrained by geodetic data to determine the slip distribution.

258 The observed ground displacements well evidence the marked dislocation across the fault 259 trace, which is in good agreement with field mapping of surface ruptures and traces from 260 high resolution DEM. This constrains the length (along-strike dimension) and strike of the 261 fault segments on the ground well. To account for its spatial complexity, the fault rupture is 262 closely approximated by a curved line composed of 16 segments of about 400 m length 263 longitudinally extended from 504 to 510 km eastward, where the main deformation pattern is 264 observed (Figs. 2 and 3). Over the last decade, the integration of multiple data sets such as 265 mapped surface ruptures, high-precision seismic locations (Alparone et al., 2009), results of 266 geodetic inversions for a simplified fault geometry (Bonforte et al., 2007; Currenti et al., 2010; 267 Guglielmino et al., 2011) have well defined the geometry of the PFS and, hence, we adopt a 268 fixed fault geometry. However, because of the non-planar geometry of the PFS, we 269 represented the fault system as a set of guadrangles, which better adapt to its complex 270 geometry. Discretization of surfaces into quadrangular elements allows the construction of 271 three-dimensional fault surfaces that more closely approximate curviplanar surfaces and 272 curved tiplines, consistent with the full extent of available data. Considering that the main 273 seismogenic volume of the PFS extends at depth for few kilometers, we discretized the fault 274 surface from the free surface to 1500 m in depth. Using the LaGriT (2010) meshing software, 275 we discretized the fault surface into sub-quadrangles, whose size increases with depth to 276 provide a more uniform resolution of slip among quadrangles at different depth (Simons et 277 al., 2002). Given that the deformation pattern is guite narrow, the spatial resolution of the 278 discretization has to be very high. We subdivided the fault surface into 592 quadrangles, 279 whose sizes increase with depth. Using a quadtree resampling algorithm, we obtained 280 quadrangles whose larger size varies from 100 m near the surface to 400 m at greater depth. 281 The computation of the Green's function of each guadrangle is performed as the sum of the 282 Green's functions for the two triangles composing each quadrangle, thus implementing the 283 analytical solution devised by Meade (2007) for triangular dislocations embedded in a

284 homogeneous elastic half-space. The DInSAR data (Figs. 2c and 3b), which provide a dense 285 spatial resolution able to ensure a robust inversion, were used to find the slip in each patch 286 by means of a Quadratic Programming (QP) algorithm with bound and smoothening 287 constraints based on the second-order spatial derivative to suppress slip oscillations 288 (Currenti et al., 2010). In order to limit the computational burden in the modeling inversion, 289 the number of DInSAR observations from both ALOS and CSK satellites was reduced. Since 290 the deformation rapidly decreases within about 500 m from the PFS trace (Fig. 4c), we 291 implemented a sub-sampling procedure of the displacement points on the basis of the 292 distance from the fault, ending up with a final dataset of 761 points for CSK and 1822 points 293 for ALOS (Fig. 4a-b). Note that, despite the higher resolution of the CSK sensor, the number 294 of usable ALOS points is sensibly higher than the CSK one because the latter sensor is 295 drastically limited by the decorrelation phenomenon affecting the X-band data. In addition to 296 SAR data, also the leveling data recorded at 19 benchmarks during two surveys carried out 297 in November 2009 and April 2010 along the Pernicana levelling route (Guglielmino et al., 298 2011) were included in the inversion process. Since CSK deformation time series show that 299 no other significant deformative episode occurs in the time period covered by the data (Figs. 300 2d-e), we can easily assume that all the available geodetic data basically capture the 301 deformation related to the earthquake only.

As multiple datasets are used to constrain the model, the inversion account for errors by weighting the data misfit using a matrix of data weights in order to give each data set its influence over the slip estimates. We used a diagonal matrix containing the inverse of the standard deviation of the data. The estimated errors (standard deviation) were half a fringe for the DInSAR and 1 mm/km^{1/2} for the levelling data, respectively (Currenti et al., 2010; Guglielmino et al., 2011).

We imposed a left-lateral strike-slip and normal dip-slip constraints to the solution in agreement with the historical activity of the fault (Azzaro et al., 2001), thus formalizing an inversion problem with 1184 unknowns on the strike and dip slip components. The deformation patterns (projected along the LOS) computed from the best-fitting model and the 312 corresponding residuals with respect to the observed data are shown in Fig. 5a-d. The 313 deformation measured by DInSAR data, both from CSK and ALOS satellites, are well 314 predicted by the retrieved model (Fig. 5a-b), with residuals within the error range of the used 315 DInSAR technique over most of the covered area (Fig. 5c-d). The Root Mean Square Error 316 (RMSE) of the residual is 2.1 cm for ALOS and 0.9 cm for CSK data, accordingly to the 317 higher sensitivity of CSK data and to the use of stacked displacement image that reduces the 318 atmospheric errors. Moreover, thanks to the high resolution of the proposed model, the 319 leveling data are also well reproduced despite the high deformation gradient along the fault. 320 A comparison between the deformation predicted by the model and that measured at the 321 levelling benchmarks is shown in Fig. 5e. The slip distribution model obtained with the joint 322 inversion of all the available geodetic data is shown in Fig. 6.

323 We find that the combination of strike and dip slip on the PFS well reproduces the near-fault 324 deformation pattern. If a combination of the strike-slip, dip-slip, and tensile components is 325 taken into account, the results show a similar fit to the data. The RMSE between the data 326 and the model predictions slightly decreases to 1.9 cm for ALOS and 0.8 cm for CSK. 327 Moreover, the amplitude of the tensile component is found to be negligible with respect to the 328 strike-slip and dip-slip components, confirming the dominant mode of the fault. Integrating 329 the slip over the fault area and using an average shear rigidity modulus of 1 GPa for the shallow layers, we obtained an estimate of the geodetic moment (Santini et al., 2004) on the 330 order of 1×10¹⁵ Nm associated with a magnitude of MI 3.9 331

332

333 **5. Discussion**

The space geodetic observations provide robust constraints on the spatial extent and amount of the earthquake-induced displacements within the PFS fault zone. The resulting inversion, based on both SAR and levelling data, shows that the model, closely describing the geometry of the surface ruptures, yields good fit to the geodetic data. Using variable strike and dip slip over the fault, the geodetic inversion indicates that the fault is characterized by a 339 prevailing left-lateral and normal dip-slip motion. The numerical model shows a predominant 340 strike-slip concentrated in a very shallow layer, decaying within 500 m from the free surface 341 (Fig. 6a). The major strike-slip component, with a maximum of about 0.4 m, is in 342 correspondence to the epicenter of the most energetic seismic event (MI 4.3) recorded 343 during the swarm, with a longitudinally elongated extension of about 2 km. The amplitude of 344 the normal dip-slip component reaches a maximum of about 0.3 m and is also concentrated 345 in a shallow layer (Fig. 6b). A gap between the activated areas is observed, where a deficit in 346 the dip-slip occurs. This could be ascribed to the morphological change in the strike of the 347 fault trace, which could have played as a barrier to the eastward slipping as observed in the 348 deformation pattern (Fig. 4a).

349 Of particular interest is the absence of significant deformation in the western part of the PFS, 350 where the seismic swarm migrated, and the apparent deficit of slip at the depth of the most 351 energetic events. This leads us to suppose that the intense rupture occurred in the very 352 shallow layers characterized by reduced strength properties, as confirmed by the low QP 353 values, derived from seismic tomography attenuation analysis (Barberi et al., 2010), 354 indicative to the high degree of cracking along the PFS. The extent of this compliant zone 355 seems to be very shallow (less than 500 m deep), in which a strong reduction of effective 356 elastic moduli can be expected and interpreted in terms of changes in the micro-crack 357 density or the effective damage parameters. Slip is, however, also affected by several other 358 factors such as structural heterogeneity, friction and fault rheology, and the past activity of 359 the fault.

The model results give also evidence that no fault-normal dilation, neither shallow nor deep, is required to explain the observed deformation data. Therefore, no significant volume changes are found along the fault surface. Moreover, no significant deformation changes were detected in the summit area, excluding the presence of other active deformation sources from magmatic activity. This suggests that no tensile actions were exerted, and, hence, rules out the involvement of magmatic intrusions in the summit area or in the northeastern flank, as possible trigger mechanisms for the seismic swarm. These results provide a 367 completely different scenario from that derived for the 22 September 2002 M3.7 earthquake, 368 where the co-seismic shear-rupture that took place along the PFS was accompanied by a 369 tensile mechanism associated with a first attempt of magma intrusion that preceded the 370 lateral eruption occurred here a month later (Currenti et al., 2010). Indeed, the spatio-371 temporal evolution of the seismic pattern (migrating from east toward west) further supports 372 the assumption that for the 2-3 April 2010 events the most likely mechanism responsible for 373 the PFS seismic activation derives from the tectonic loading, possibly associated with the 374 eastern flank sliding of the volcano edifice (Ruch et al., 2010). The acceleration of the flank 375 sliding recorded since 2002 (Bonaccorso et al., 2006) could have significantly loaded the 376 PFS, intensifying the occurrence of seismic activity in the last years (Alparone et al., 2009).

377

6. Conclusive Remarks

379 SAR and leveling data combined with a high-resolution modeling inversion have proven to be 380 useful for giving a complete picture of the 2-3 April 2010 seismic swarm at Etna. CSK data 381 offered the quickest possibility to image the fault rupture within a few days of the earthquake 382 (Italian Space Agency, 2011). However, it was difficult to constrain the fault geometry using 383 CSK data only, because the X-band radar data are affected by decorrelation noise mostly 384 related to the strong vegetation of the PFS area. In order to amend this disadvantage of the 385 CSK data, we also used one available ALOS data pair covering the footwall of the PFS to 386 constrain the fault model. Leveling data, acquired at sparsely-distributed locations before and 387 after the earthquake, were also used to constrain the frames of InSAR observations.

The increasing quality and quantity of InSAR data available in terms of spatial and temporal resolution were fully exploited in the slip-inversion procedure, capturing the deformation pattern and identifying the mechanism responsible for the PFS seismic activation. The flexibility of the method permitted the construction of a fault model with curved threedimensional surfaces, which accounts for the fault surface trace. The fitting of our distributedslip model to the observed data is dramatically improved with respect to uniform slip models, especially for the near-field measurements accompanying the seismic swarm. The slip
distribution pattern allowed us to quantify the kinematics of the PFS and documented the
absence of new magmatic intrusion in the north-eastern flank.

Summing up, our results elucidated the mechanisms responsible for earthquake ruptures occurring along the PFS. The 2002 and 2010 case studies are representative of the two main possible causes triggering the PFS seismicity. Similar seismic releases can be produced along the PFS by magma intrusion attempts leading to eruptions (2002 case) or flank sliding stretching the eastern sector of Mt Etna (2010 case). Establishing the relationship between volcanic unrest at Etna and PFS seismic activation, therefore, is critical to understanding Etna mechanical behavior, and could be important in forecasting future lateral eruptions.

404 More generally, the dense pattern of ground deformation, provided by integration of data 405 from different satellites, together with refined modeling for fault slip distribution enables a 406 comprehensive understanding of the kinematics across the different volcano sectors marked 407 by active fault systems subjected to seismic or aseismic deformation. In volcanoes where the 408 activity is controlled by volcano-tectonic interaction processes, such as Chaiten (Wicks et al, 409 2011), Kilauea (Brooks et al., 2008; Montgomery-Brown et al., 2010), Sierra Negra (Jonsson, 410 2009) and Arenal (Ebmeier et al., 2010), the data flow provided by COSMO-SkyMed 411 satellites and the upcoming Sentinel-1 mission at short revisiting time will contribute to a 412 timely evaluation of the ongoing seismic activity and, thus, also to a forecasting of impending 413 hazards.

414

415

416 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work has been partially supported by the Italian Space Agency (ASI) through the SAR4Volcanoes project under the agreement I/034/11/0. The seismic events were available from the catalogue of the Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia – Sezione di Catania 420 at the website http://www.ct.ingv.it/ufs/analisti. Comments by Editor Marvin Bauer and three

421 anonymous reviewers greatly improved the manuscript.

422

423

424 **References**

- Acocella, V., Behncke, B., Neri, M., D'Amico, S., 2003. Link between large-scale flank slip and 2002–
 2003 eruption at Mt. Etna (Italy). Geophysical Research Letters 30 (24), 2286.
- 427 Acocella, V., Neri, M., 2003. What makes flank eruptions? The 2001 Mount Etna eruption and its 428 possible triggering mechanisms. Bulletin of Volcanology 65, 517–529.
- Acocella V Neri M., (2005) Structural features of an active strike-slip fault on the sliding flank of Mt
 Etna (Italy). J. Strtuct. Geol. 27, 343-355.
- Alparone, S., Gambino, S., Mostaccio, A., Spampinato, S., Tuvè, T., Ursino A., (2009), Structural
 features of the Pernicana Fault (M. Etna, Sicily, Italy) inferred by high precise location of the
 microseismicity, Geophysical Research Abstracts, 11, EGU2009-8171, EGU General Assembly
 2009.
- Alparone, S., O. Cocina, S. Gambino, A. Mostaccio, S. Spampinato, T. Tuvè, A. Ursino (2012),
 Seismological features of the Pernicana–Provenzana Fault System (Mt. Etna, Italy) and
 implications for the dynamics of northeastern flank of the volcano, J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.,
 doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2012.03.010
- Azzaro R, Ferrelli L, Michetti AM, Serva L, Vittori E (1998) Environmental hazard of capable faults: the
 case of the Pernicana Fault (Mt. Etna, Sicily). Nat Hazards 17, 147–162
- Azzaro R, Mattia M, Puglisi G (2001) Dynamics of fault creep and kinematics of the eastern segment
 of the Pernicana fault (Mt. Etna, Sicily) derived from geodetic observations and their tectonic
 significance. Tectonophys 333,401–415.
- 444 Azzaro, R., D'Amico, S., Mostaccio, A., Scarfì, L. and Tuvè, T. (2006): Terremoti con effetti 445 macrosismici in Sicilia orientale nel periodo Gennaio 2002 - Dicembre 2005. Quad. Geof., 41.
- Barberi G., Cocina O., Giampiccolo E., Patané D., Zuccarello L., (2010) Advances in the VT
 earthquakes locations by new structural models at Mt. Etna obtained by using velocity and
 attenuation tomographies, In: The VOLUME Project VOLcanoes: Understanding subsurface

- 449 mass movement (C.J. Bean, A.K. Braiden, I. Lokmer, F. Martini e G.S. O'Brien, ed.), pp. 106-117,
 450 ISBN: 978-1-905254-39-2.
- Berardino, P., G. Fornaro, R. Lanari, and E. Sansosti (2002), A new algorithm for surface deformation
 monitoring based on small baseline differential SAR interferograms, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote
- 453 Sens., 40, 2375–2383, doi:10.1109/TGRS.2002.803792.
- Bonforte, A., S. Gambino, F. Guglielmino, F. Obrizzo, M. Palano, and G. Puglisi (2007), Ground
 deformation modeling of flank dynamics prior to the 2002 eruption of Mt. Etna, Bull. Volcanol. 69,
 757-768, doi: 10.1007/s00445-006-0106-1.
- Bonaccorso, A., A. Bonforte, F. Guglielmino, M. Palano, and G. Puglisi (2006), Composite ground
 deformation pattern forerunning the 2004–2005 Mount Etna eruption, J. Geophys. Res., 111,
 B12207, doi:10.1029/2005JB004206.
- Bonaccorso, A., G. Currenti, and C. Del Negro (2012), Interaction of volcano-tectonic fault with
 magma storage, intrusion and flank instability: A thirty years study at Mt. Etna volcano. J. Volcanol.
 Geotherm. Res., http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2011.03.031.
- Brooks, B. A., Foster, J., Sandwell, D., Wolfe, C. J., Okubo, P., Poland, M., Myer, D., (2008),
 Magmatically Triggered Slow Slip at Kilauea Volcano, Hawaii, Science, 321, 29, 1177.
- 465 Costantini, M. and P.A. Rosen, (1999), A generalized phase unwrapping approach for sparse data,
- 466 Proceedings of International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium 1999 (IGARSS'99),
- 467 Hamburg, Germany, June 28–July 21, 267–269.
- 468 Currenti, G., A. Bonaccorso, C. Del Negro, F. Guglielmino, D. Scandura, and E. Boschi (2010), FEM-
- 469 based inversion for heterogeneous fault mechanisms: application at Etna volcano by DInSAR data,
- 470 *Geophys. J. Int.*, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2010.04769.x.
- 471 Currenti, G., C. Del Negro, G. Ganci, and C. Williams (2008), Static stress changes induced by the
 472 magmatic intrusions during the 2002–2003 Etna eruption, J. Geophys. Res., 113, B10206,
 473 doi:10.1029/2007JB005301.
- 474 Currenti, G., Napoli, R., Del Negro, C., (2011). Toward a realistic deformation model of the 2008
 475 magmatic intrusion at Etna from combined DInSAR and GPS observations, Earth and Planetary
- 476 Science Letters Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 312, 22–27, DOI 10.1016/j.epsl.2011.09.058.

- Ebmeier, S. K., J. Biggs, T. A. Mather, G. Wadge, and F. Amelung (2010), Steady downslope
 movement on the western flank of Arenal volcano, Costa Rica, Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst., 11,
 Q12004, doi:10.1029/2010GC003263.
- Ferretti, A., C. Prati, and F. Rocca (2000), Nonlinear subsidence rate estimation using permanent
 scatterers in differential SAR interferometry, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 38, 2202–2212,
 doi:10.1109/36.868878.
- Gabriel, A. K., R. M. Goldstein, and H. A. Zebker (1989), Mapping small elevation changes over large
 areas: Differential interferometry, J. Geophys. Res., 94, 9183–9191,
 doi:10.1029/JB094iB07p09183.
- 486 Garduno, V.H., Neri, M., Pasquare`, G., Borgia, A., Tibaldi, A., (1997). Geology of the NE Rift of Mount
 487 Etna, Sicily (Italy). Acta Vulcanologica 9, 91–100.
- 488 Goldstein, R. (1995), Atmospheric limitation to repeat-track radar interferometry, Geophys. Res. Lett.,
 489 22, 2517-2520.
- Guglielmino, F., Bignami, C., Bonforte, A., Briole, P., Obrizzo, F., Puglisi, G., Stramondo,S.,
 Wegmüller, U., (2011) Analysis of satellite and in situ ground deformation data integrated by the
 SISTEM approach: The April 3, 2010 earthquake along the Pernicana fault (Mt. Etna Italy) case
- 493 study, Earth and Planetary Science Letters 312, 327–336, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2011.10.028.
- Italian Space Agency (ASI) (2011), COSMO-SkyMed trains its eyes on Etna, available online at
 http://www.asi.it/en/news_en/cosmoskymed_trains_its_eyes_on_etna.
- Jónsson, S., (2009), Stress interaction between magma accumulation and trapdoor faulting on Sierra
 Negra volcano, Galápagos, Tectonophysics, 471, 36–44.
- Lanari, R., O. Mora, M. Manunta, J. J. Mallorqui, P. Berardino, and E. Sansosti (2004), A small baseline approach for investigating deformations on full-resolution differential SAR interferograms,
- 500 IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 42, 1377–1386, doi:10.1109/TGRS.2004.828196.
- 501 Langer, H., 2010. Rapporto sull'attività sismica in Sicilia orientale. Settimana 29.03.2010 –04.04.2010,
- 502INGVinternalreport,http://www.ct.ingv.it/index.php?option=com_docman&task503=docview&gid=1761&tmpl=component&format=raw&Itemid=3312010.
- 504 LaGriT, (2011) Los Alamos Grid Toolbox, Los Alamos National Laboratory, http://lagrit.lanl.gov>.
- 505 Lo Giudice E, Rasà R (1992) Very shallow earthquakes and brittle deformation in active volcanic
- 506 areas: the Etnean region as an example. Tectonophysics 202, 257–268

- Massonnet, D., M. Rossi, C. Carmona, F. Ardagna, G. Peltzer, K. Feigl, and T. Rabaute (1993), The
 displacement field of the Landers earthquake mapped by radar interferometry, Nature, 364, 138–
 142, doi:10.1038/364138a0
- 510 Meade, B.J., (2007) Algorithms for the calculation of exact displacements, strains, and stresses for 511 triangular dislocation elements in a uniform elastic half space, Computers & Geosciences 33,

512 1064–1075, doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2006.12.003.

- 513 Montgomery-Brown, E. K., D. Sinnett, M. Poland, P. Segall, T. Orr, H. Zebker, and A. Miklius, (2010).
- 514 Geodetic evidence for en echelon dike emplacement and concurrent slow-slip and Kilauea volcano,
- 515 Hawaii, 17 June 2007, J. Geophys Res., v. 115, B07405, doi:10.1029/2009JB006658.
- 516 Neri, M., Garduno, V.H., Pasquarè, G., Rasà, R., 1991. Studio strutturale e modello cinematico della
 517 Valle del Bove e del settore nord-orientale etneo. Acta Vulcanologica 1, 17–24.
- Neri, M., Acocella, V., Behncke, B., 2004. The role of the Pernicana Fault System in the spreading
 ofMt.Etna (Italy) during the 2002–2003 eruption. Bulletin of Volcanology, 66, 417–430, doi:
 10.1007/S00445-003-0322-X.
- Neri, M., F. Casu, V. Acocella, G. Solaro, S. Pepe, P. Berardino, E. Sansosti, T. Caltabiano, P.
 Lundgren, and R. Lanari (2009), Deformation and eruptions at Mt. Etna (Italy): A lesson from 15
 years of observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L02309, doi:10.1029/2008GL036151.
- 524 Niedzwiecki, M., and W. A. Sethares (1995), Smoothing of discontinuous signals: the competitive 525 approach, IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 43, doi: 10.1109/78.365281.
- 526 Okada, Y. (1992), Internal deformation due to shear and tensile faults in a half-space, Bull. Seism.
 527 Soc. Am., 82, 1018-1040.
- 528 Pepe A, L. D. Euillades, M. Manunta, R. Lanari (2011), New Advances of the Extended Minimum Cost
 529 Flow Phase Unwrapping Algorithm for SBAS-DInSAR Analysis at Full Spatial Resolution, IEEE
 530 Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 49, 4062-4079.
- Ruch, J., V. Acocella, F. Storti, M. Neri, S. Pepe, G. Solaro and E. Sansosti (2010), Detachment depth
 revealed by rollover deformation: An integrated approach at Mount Etna, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37,
 L16304, doi:10.1029/2010GL044131.
- Santini, S., P. Baldi, M. Dragoni, A. Piombo, S. Salvi, G. Spada, and S. Stramondo (2004), Monte
 Carlo Inversion of Dinsar Data for Dislocation Modeling: Application to the 1997 Umbria-Marche
 Seismic Sequence (Central Italy), Pure appl. geophys. 161, 817-838, doi: 10.1007/s00024-003-

- 537 2474-1.Simons, M., Fialko, Y. and Rivera, L., (2002) Coseismic Deformation from the 1999 Mw 7.1
 538 Hector Mine, California, Earthquake as Inferred from InSAR and GPS Observations, Bulletin of the
 539 Seismological Society of America, 92, 4, 1390–1402.
- Sansosti, E., F. Casu, M. Manzo, and R. Lanari (2010), Space-borne radar interferometry techniques
 for the generation of deformation time series: an advanced tool for Earth's surface displacement
 analysis, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L20305, doi:10.1029/2010GL044379.
- Solaro, G., V. Acocella, S. Pepe, J. Ruch, M. Neri, and E. Sansosti (2010), Anatomy of an unstable
 volcano from InSAR: Multiple processes affecting flank instability at Mt. Etna, 1994–2008, J.
 Geophys. Res., 115, B10405, doi:10.1029/2009JB000820.
- Solaro, G., F. Casu, L. Paglia, A. Pepe, S. Pepe, E. Sansosti, P. Tizzani, and R. Lanari (2011), SBASDInSAR time series in the last eighteen years at Mt. Etna volcano (Italy), 2011 IEEE International
 Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS), 3891-3894, doi:
 10.1109/IGARSS.2011.6050081.
- Tibaldi A, Groppelli G (2002) Volcano-tectonic activity along structures of the unstable NE flank of
 Mt.Etna (Italy) and their possible origin. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 115:277–302.
- 552 Wicks, C., de la Llera, J.C., Lara, L.E. and Lowenstern, J. (2011), The role of dyking and fault control 553 eruption the rapid onset of at Chaite'n volcano, Chile. Nature, 478. in 554 doi:10.1038/nature10541.Zebker, H. A., and Villasenor J. (1992), Decorrelation in interferometric 555 radar echoes, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 30, 950–959, doi:10.1109/36.175330.
- 556

- **Table**

Table 1 – Main parameters of the seismic events (http://www.ct.ingv.it/ufs/analisti; Azzaro et
561 al., 2006).

Date	Hour	Latitude [km]	Longitude [km]	Depth [km]	МІ
22/09/2002	16:01	4184.292	505.897	2.8	3.7
02/04/2010	20:04	4183.517	506.955	0.3	4.3

Table 2 – COSMO-SkyMed Interferometric pairs used in the stacking procedure

Master	Slave	Perpendicular	
[dd-mm-yyy]	[dd-mm-yyy]	Baseline [m]	
24-12-2009	07-04-2010	21.4	
24-12-2009	03-12-2010	-160.7	
15-03-2010	16-04-2010	84.6	
22-03-2010	16-04-2010	224.4	
30-03-2010	15-04-2010	152.2	
31-03-2010	15-04-2010	41.5	
31-03.2010	16-04-2010	513.5	



Figure 1 – 3D shaded relief map of Mt Etna with the structural lineament of the Pernicana
Fault System (PFS) shown by brown line. The epicentres of the seismic events from 2 to 3
April 2010 are indicated by circles (http://www.ct.ingv.it/ufs/analisti). The levelling
benchmarks (green circles) are also reported (from Guglielmino et al., 2011).



Figure 2 – (a) CSK differential interferogram in radar coordinates obtained with the data acquired along a descending orbit on 30 March 2010 and 7 April 2010. One fringe cycle corresponds to a displacement of about 1.5 cm; (b) zoom of the white box of the Fig. 2a: the interferogram is at the full resolution of the SAR sensor (3m x 3m); (c) cumulative displacement of the area of Fig. 2a obtained by applying the full resolution stacking procedure to the 7 interferograms covering the seismic event reported in Table 2; (d) cumulative displacement of the area of Fig. 2c obtained by applying the full resolution SBAS

- 589 processing to 66 CSK images acquired between 2009 and 2010; (e) deformation time series
- 590 for the point e in Fig. 2d.
- 591
- 592

593 **Figure 3**

594

595



596

Figure 3 – (a) ALOS differential interferogram (in radar coordinates) superimposed on a SAR amplitude image. One fringe cycle corresponds to a displacement of about 11.8 cm. Acquisition dates are 22 March 2010 and 7 May 2010, i.e., one repeat cycle (46 days) covering the seismic event. (b) ground deformation map (in LOS) corresponding to the interferogram in (a).



- 605
- 606



- 607
- 608

Figure 4 – Sampling of ALOS (a) and CSK (b) data. LOS displacements of ALOS (red line) and CSK (blue line) along the N-S profile centred at 506.8 km (c). Assuming that the displacement is almost EW, the CSK LOS displacement has been projected along the line of sight of ALOS (green line) to show the high agreement between the dataset from the two radar systems.

614 **Figure 5**



Figure 5 – Modeled and residual LOS displacements for ALOS (a, c) and CSK (b, d).
Observed (black line; from Guglielmino et al., 2011) and computed (red line) vertical
displacement at the levelling benchmarks (e).

619





Figure 6 - Slip distributions along the PFS: (a) strike-slip (positive for left-lateral movement)and (b) dip-slip (positive for normal movement) components.