Analysis of damaging hydro-geological events: the case of Calabria region (southern Italy)

ABSTRACT

A period of bad weather conditions due to prolonged intense rainfall and strong winds can trigger landslides, floods, secondary floods (accumulation of rain on surfaces with low permeability), and sea storms, causing damage to humans and infrastructure. As a whole, these periods of bad weather and triggered phenomena can be defined as Damaging Hydro-geological Events (DHEs).

We define a methodological approach based on seven simple indices to analyze such events. The indices describe the return period (T) and trend of rainfall, the extent of hit areas, and the level of damages; they can be considered attributes of georeferenced features and analysed with GIS techniques.

We tested our method in an Italian region frequently hit by DHEs. In a period of 10 years, 747 damaging phenomena (landslides 43%, floods 38%) and 94 DHEs have been classified. The road network and housing areas are the most frequently damaged elements, threatened by all types of damaging phenomena. T classes are almost in accordance with the level of damages.

These results can be used to outline warning levels for civil protection purposes, to forecast the areas most likely to be hit and the potential ensuing damage, to disseminate information concerning vulnerable areas, to increase people’s awareness of risk.
1 Introduction

A period of bad weather conditions, lasting from one to a few days, characterised by prolonged intense rainfall and strong winds, can trigger almost simultaneous damaging landslides, floods, secondary floods (i.e. accumulation of rain on surfaces with low permeability), and sea storms, causing casualties and economic and environmental damages. As a whole, these periods of bad weather conditions and triggered phenomena can be defined as Damaging Hydro-geological Events (DHEs) (Petrucci and Polemio 2003).

The costs of DHEs are not well-documented, are often extremely difficult to calculate, and depend strictly on the anthropogenic characteristics of affected areas (I.F.R.C. 2001). Some of these costs take place immediately, while others can manifest over a long time period and may be difficult to relate to DHEs (Crozier 1986).

The social, economic, environmental and psychological damages caused by DHEs are difficult to assess. With the exclusion of human life, the economic damage induced by natural hazards can be classified as: direct damage, i.e. the cost to repair or replace damaged structures and activities, and indirect damage, i.e. the economic loss linked to the event (loss of industrial productivity, fall in price of properties, loss of human productivity and so on) (Aleotti and Polloni 2005). Several indices have been introduced to describe damage caused by natural hazards (Blong 2003), and many efforts have been carried out to assess social vulnerability (Dwyer et al. 2004).

The study of past DHEs (i.e. happened before the study begins) can provide elements for forecasting potential damage. Disseminating information concerning the probable effects of future events could increase people's awareness of risk and promote more aware behaviour.
However, past or historical events can provide only an approximate guide to the future because community vulnerability continuously varies (Dwyer 2003). Urbanization, land use changes, and engineering projects must be taken into account (Etkin 1999; Barrera et al. 2005). Climate change can also modify the frequency and seriousness of damage (Dore 2003; Petrucci and Polemio 2007).

Nevertheless, disaster mitigation must include lessons from the past. For each geohydrological context, it is important to know the frequency of each type of disaster, their effects and whether the pattern is changing with time (Dore 2003).

Historical research is the only way to collect data regarding the effects of past events. Defining historical as *the period for which human recorded information is available* (Glade et al. 2001), the usefulness of historical data in the study of past events has been shown by many authors (Flageollet et al. 1999; Guzzetti 2000; Glade 2001; Barnikel and Becht 2003; Glaser and Stangl 2003; Glaser and Stangl 2004).

According to Carrara et al. (2003), despite the lack of consensus on the reliability and usefulness of historical information, some investigators have used these records for single landslides or landslide-prone regions (Wieczorek and Jäger 1996; Ibsen and Brunsden 1996; Cruden 1997; Glade 2001; Calcaterra et al. 2003), obtaining results that are useful, e.g., in landslide hazard assessment.

In particular, press archives can be used to collect historical data because newspapers quite often report damage induced by natural phenomena (Cuesta et al. 1999).

## 2 Methodology

The proposed methodology aims to characterize DHEs that affect large study areas to determine how to prevent and mitigate DHE damage. The data used concern hydrological
or climatic conditions (mainly rainfall data), damaging phenomena, and resulting damage for a selected time period.

We use geographical or political boundaries to divide the study area into subsets or provinces. These can be further divided in smaller subsets fitting with municipalities. For each of these subsets, detailed and homogeneous data on DHEs should be collected.

The methodology is composed of two phases. The first one consists of data gathering and preliminary analyses of rainfall, damaging phenomena and damages to define the general trend of DHEs and their spatial and temporal limits. The second phase involves a detailed analysis of the largest DHEs using descriptive indices to synthetically define georeferenced characteristics of the data.

2.1 Data gathering and preliminary analysis

The first phase of the research aims to gather continuous time series of climatic data and homogeneous records concerning both damaging phenomena and induced damage. Rainfall and wind data are considered. The availability of long-lasting climatic time series, available parameters, gauge density and the frequency of measurements depend on both the site (country, state, region or province) and the study period.

If a regional study of the selected time series does not exist, data should be gathered considering the whole period of data availability in which the study period is included in order to improve the reliability of the statistical exceptionality analysis.

Since the end of the nineteenth century, rainfall data have been consistently gathered in several countries. At present, complete series of daily rainfall data for entire national gauge networks can be easily downloaded from the websites of government agencies. Using shorter time spans and other types of climatic data reduces the time series length and gauge density, making it more difficult to apply the method.
In general, statistical analysis of rainfall exceptionality is adequately consolidated. For damaging phenomena and induced damages, however, most countries do not systematically collect data or have a method to elaborate them. In fact, we must take into account that we deal with non-instrumental data, that is, text descriptions from which damage must be inferred and converted into qualitative or semi-quantitative values. Quantifying damage caused by DHEs that occur today is very complicated, even though focused surveys can be performed to collect further data to help in damage assessment. Concerning past DHEs, for which no surveys can be performed, we must find alternative data sources. The systematic analysis of newspapers to collect data about DHEs is a common practice (Cuesta et al. 1999, Devoli et al. 2007).

The characteristics of this kind of information source can be synthesized as follows:

- Newspapers are a continuous data source. Regional newspapers are preferred to national ones: until several decades ago, news coming from regions far from the editorial unit was only related to severe events. Therefore, only an analysis of daily editions of a regional newspaper allows a complete screening of major and minor events in a selected time-frame.

- Articles tend to focus mainly on damage, so details on phenomena can be scarce.

- Language is not technical, so the researcher must carefully analyse articles to correctly classify the described phenomena.

- To describe the size of a phenomenon (e.g., landslides), reporters use adjectives that are strongly affected by their personal perspective and familiarity with the type of phenomenon. The relevance of these adjectives must be assessed with caution.
The articles must be checked in order to avoid duplication: often, newspapers report a damaging phenomenon in several editions (at least until major damage has been repaired).

Considering the pros and cons of using newspaper data, a study approach can be appropriately formulated. Some simplifications must be made in order to obtain information that cannot be achieved in other ways.

The research should focus on a sufficiently wide time frame. In this work, we tested the methodology working over a period of ten years, but to increase the statistical significance of results, this time frame must be enlarged.

Reviewing newspapers, articles concerning the occurrence of damage caused by landslides, floods, secondary floods, and sea storms must be searched.

Selected articles can be acquired using a digital camera or a photocopier and transcribed in feature-length. Often, their low quality does not allow for an automatic conversion of image files to text files.

Each text file should be transformed into a database record for which the dates of events, the municipality where the phenomenon occurred, the type or types of triggered phenomena and the damage are described.

In general, the name of the municipality where damage occurred is quoted in almost all the data, but place names of areas hit are often not pinpointed. Even if a place name is available, the area really affected cannot be delimited because reporters cannot supply precise information on the size of a phenomenon.

To be strict, the data allowed us to identify the occurrence/non-occurrence of a certain type of phenomenon only at a municipal scale. Taking into account the temporary effects
of some kinds of phenomenon, only detailed surveys carried out immediately after the event could supply a reliable delimitation of hit areas.

We also used a municipal scale because it is congruent with the scale of the rain gauge network. In general, rain gauge networks are characterised by a municipal grid (each municipality usually has a rain gauge at least).

### 2.1.1 Main characteristics and trend of DHEs

The characteristics and trends of damaging phenomena and damage must be compared to the exceptionality and spatial trend of climatic triggering factors using available data (basically time series of daily rainfall). The whole daily rainfall time series of each gauge available for the region should be used.

To describe the damaging phenomena that occurred in the study period, the following parameters should be considered.

- **Density of phenomena in each province.** For each type of phenomenon in the study period, we can assess the *density of phenomena in each province* by dividing the number of phenomena by province area (km$^2$). For sea storms, the number of phenomena can be normalised to the area of a coastal strip obtained by multiplying the length of the coast for each municipality by the width in which *direct or indirect* damage caused by sea storms is reasonable. If this width is not known along the whole coast, a unique conventional width can be set to obtain an index with the same dimension as the indices concerning the other types of damaging phenomena.

- **Regime of rainfall and of damaging phenomena.** For each gauge, considering mean monthly values in the entire observation period, the rainfall regime can be defined. An overall analysis of damage data, chronologically sorted, allows us to assess the mean monthly frequencies of different types of damaging phenomena. The statistical
significance of the regime should be considered related to the length of the study period, until this is almost shorter than the return period of meteorological conditions that can trigger damages. In this almost-frequent case, a preliminary regime of damaging phenomena can be considered roughly assessed if the dataset describes damaging phenomena in the whole vulnerable study area. This condition can be considered verified if each vulnerable municipality was hit by DHEs during the study period. The regime can thus be compared to the rainfall regime.

- **Annual Rainfall Index** (ARI). The Annual Rainfall Index (%) can be defined as:

\[
ARI = \left( \frac{\sum_{i}^{n} r_{i,j} - mr_{i}}{mr_{i}} \right) \cdot 100 / n
\]

where \(i\) is one of the \(n\) rain gauges available in the year \(j\), and \(r_{i,j}\) and \(mr_{i}\) are respectively the annual rainfall of gauge \(i\) in year \(j\) and the mean yearly value in the observation period of the gauge. The ARI can be used to assess the presence of a rainfall trend in the study area and can be compared to the series of DHEs. Thus, it is possible to investigate the role of climate variability on DHEs and its interaction with increasing vulnerability due to anthropogenic expansion.

### 2.2 Analysis of different types of events

For this step, single DHEs should be defined. During a rainy period, a DHE starts when a damaging phenomenon causes damage, and ends when the last damage is observed. In regions where rainy periods are long and continuous, based on regional features of meteorological events and geomorphological and hydrogeological characteristics of the hit areas, a threshold lag value between two subsequent damages can be defined.

For each DHE, the following *event descriptive indices* can be defined.
Index of Damaged Area (IDA). By summing the area of municipalities hit during the DHE (S) and dividing the obtained value by the area of the regional surface, we obtain the IDA, an index that expresses the area damaged by the event (Petrucci et al. 2003). S is greater than the area truly affected, but this simplification is necessary to by-pass the impossibility of delimiting areas really hit that characterises most cases. Moreover, the municipal scale is the basic level to compare rainfall, damaging phenomena and damages.

Extension Event Index. Based on the value of the IDA, we can classify events as: 1) local, if damage is confined to one province or the IDA is less than 2.5%; 2) wide, if the damage is observed in two provinces and the IDA ranges from 2.5 to 10%; and 3) regional, if the IDA is greater than 10% and hits municipalities belonging to three or more provinces.

Local Damage Index (LDI). This represents the sum of all damages D caused in a single municipality by the I damaging phenomena that occurred there. If observed damage is the product of the value of the damaged element and its level of loss, for the ith damage, the Local Damage Index can be calculated by multiplying the value of the element (ranging from 1 and 10, in the arbitrary scale of Table 1) by the Level of Damage, a measure of the percentage of loss affecting the element during the event (high=1 for level 1; medium=0.5 for level 2; low=0.25 for level 3) (Petrucci et al. 2003).

Local Damage Index Density (LDId). For each municipality hit, this parameter is assessed by dividing the LDI by the municipal area. Obtained values can be sorted into 4 classes (class 1: LDId ≤1; class 2: 1<LDId≤2; class 3: 2<LDId≤3; class 4: LDId>3). For each event, a regional map of municipalities classified according to the LDId summarises the regional pattern of damage.
Regional Damage Index (RDI). This is the sum of the LDIs calculated for all n municipalities affected by damage during a DHE, and quantifies damage caused by each DHE on the regional scale.

Return period of maximum daily rainfall (T). This parameter can be used to describe the exceptionality of rainfall causing a DHE. For each gauge, the time series of annual maxima of daily rainfall should be evaluated and the probability distribution function of these peak values should be assessed. A reliable choice could be the GEV (Generalized Extreme Value) probability distribution function (Jenkinson 1955), which is defined by three parameters that can be assessed using the PWM (Probability-Weighted Moments) method (Hosking 1986); this supplies consistent worldwide results without significant statistical difficulties, particularly if outliers are not observed.

On the other hand, the regionalisation approach to parameter definition should be preferred. If extremely exceptional values are observed (outliers), a four-parameter probability distribution function, like the TCEV (Two Component Extreme Value) (Rossi & Versace 1982), ensures higher reliability in the assessment of T.

Thus, for each gauge working during a DHE, the return period of the maximum daily rainfall (T) observed during the DHE must be assessed. This value can be assumed to be representative of the exceptionality of the rainfall that triggered the DHE. For each event, T maxima can be mapped using the kriging approach. The gauges can be sorted into 4 classes of increasing exceptionality according to T (class 1: T≤2 year; class 2: 2<T≤10 years; class 3: 10<T≤20 years; class 4: T>20 years), and the number of gauges classified in each class can be expressed as a percentage of the total number of gauges available during the analysed DHE.
To this point, the parameters assessed in the previous steps can be used to outline the main characteristics of DHEs, the areas most frequently hit and the most severe scenarios that can be expected.

3 Case study of the Calabria region

We applied the proposed approach to Calabria (Italy, Figure 1). Long-lasting time series with adequate gauge density are available only for daily rainfall. Homogeneous and affordable data concerning damaging phenomena and damages are available from January 1981 to December 1990 (the oldest regional newspaper “La Gazzetta del Sud,” appeared in 1953, and does not present gaps in this period). Apart from this period, many scattered discontinuous data of variable reliabilities are also available.

The Calabria region has an area of 15,230 km² and a coastal perimeter of 738 km. The mean and maximum altitudes are, respectively, 418 and 2266 m a.s.l.; 90% of regional territory is in areas of relief, and 10% is represented by coastal and fluvial plains.

The region is made up of five administrative provinces divided into 409 municipalities. Population density (133 inh/km²) is lower than the national value (198 inh/km²) (ISTAT 2003).

Due to its peninsular character, its boundary is a physical edge, inside of which the climatic regime is homogeneous; however, concerning the statistical characteristics of peak daily rainfall, different sub-zones can be distinguished (Versace et al. 1989).

The climate is Mediterranean, with dry summers (monthly minimum rainfall in July or August) and wet winters (monthly maximum rainfall in December or January). The mean regional annual rainfall is 1151 mm, higher than the national value (970 mm). Due to the prevalent movement from west to east of meteorological perturbations, the rainiest area is the west side of the region, but the east sector often experiences heavy storms (Versace
et al. 1989). In the latter sector, DHEs occur during the autumn-winter, when slow movement of Mediterranean depressions can produce daily rainfall that reaches up to 30-35% of mean annual rainfall (Petrucci and Polemio 2002).

The region is made up of allochthonous crystalline rocks (from the Palaeozoic to the Jurassic), stacked, during the middle Miocene (Tortorici, 1982), over carbonate units (Ogniben 1973). Neogene’s flysch fills tectonic depressions. Starting from the Quaternary, the region has been subjected to still-active uplift. Tectonic stresses and climatic conditions deteriorate the characteristics of rocks, predisposing slopes to instability phenomena. Today, both deep-seated (Iovine et al. 2006) and shallow landslides (Sorriso et al. 2004) are often activated by rainfall.

Because of the short distance between mountain chains and the sea, several rivers show characteristics of fiu mare, ephemeral streams characterised by huge sediment loads and violent flash floods (Fairbridge 1968; Ibbeken and Schleyer 1991).

Because of the lack of plain areas and low awareness of natural hazards, several urban settlements have developed in flood- and landslide-prone areas. This increases the number of vulnerable elements exposed to DHEs, increasing expected damage.

### 3.1 Data analysis

We reviewed about 3600 daily editions of La Gazzetta del Sud for the study period. Articles pertaining to damages caused by landslides, floods, secondary floods and sea storms were selected. All the gathered data were organised into the database format described in section 2.1.
3.2 Trend of damaging phenomena in the study period

We distinguished 747 phenomena: 43% (319 cases) landslides, 7% (53 cases) floods, 31% (232 cases) secondary floods and 19% (143 cases) sea storms (Figure 2). The widest province (Cosenza) shows the highest number (348), with a prevalence of landslides (138 cases) and secondary floods (113 cases), followed by Reggio C., with 240 phenomena, many of which are landslides (108 cases) (Figure 2A). In general, the most numerous damaging phenomena are landslides and secondary floods (Figure 2B).

The density of phenomena for landslides, floods and secondary floods is the highest in the province of Reggio Calabria, while sea storms show the highest density in the province of Cosenza (Figure 2C).

The regime of damaging phenomena is well correlated with the rainfall regime, as can be seen in Figure 3, in which the representative regime of the Cosenza gauge is plotted.

The landslide regime shows one peak in January and a minor one in December, the latter mainly due to shallow landslides triggered by heavy rainfall. The third value, in decreasing order, is recorded in March: it is caused both by deep-seated landslides triggered by prolonged rainfall (in mountainous areas also coupled with snow melting), and shallow landslides that can be triggered in soils almost saturated by antecedent winter rainfall.

Floods and secondary floods show high and almost constant values from October to March, a period during which short and intense rainstorms are frequent. Considering both types of floods, the highest frequency is generally in October-January; if the sum of each monthly type is considered as a percentage of the annual total, the maximum is observed in January (28%), followed by December (17%).
Sea storms, although related only to DHEs characterised by strong winds, follow the rainfall regime, except for the low value in November. The unavailability of time series concerning winds does not allow a deeper analysis of these phenomena.

We assessed the ARI; in the eighties, it shows a decrease of -20 to -3% of the mean ARI of the period 1921-2001. This figure matches an anomalous sequence of dry or drought periods, observed from 1980 in all of southern Italy (Polemio and Casarano 2008).

For each year of the analysed period, we compared the ARI to the IDA (Figure 4). Based on total yearly IDA values, the percentage of regional area hit during each year ranges from 36% (in 1985) to 9% (1989), with a mean value of 20%. This is almost well-correlated with the ARI values (the apparent 1-year lag observed from 1984 to 1986 is mainly due to the effect of autumn rainfall at the beginning of the rainy season). As the significance of selected indices confirms, the forecast of the ARI index can be used to qualitatively assess the expected wideness of damaged areas.

### 3.3 Local and wide events during the study period

During the study period, 94 damaging events occurred. Between 1983 and 1987, a clustering of events of each type can be noted (Figure 5A). These can be classified as: 70 local, 19 wide and 5 regional events (Figure 5C). October and December show the highest number of events (13), and during December each type of event can be observed (2 regional, 2 wide and 9 local). Unlike regional events, local and wide events are observed every year (Figure 5B).

Taking into account the duration/frequency of meteorological perturbations and the regional geomorphological features, a maximum lag between two subsequent damaging phenomena in a DHE can be set equal to a week. 92% of DHEs were shorter than a week; the maximum observed length was 20 days.
Local events. The IDA ranges from 0.0004 to 1.99 (mean of 0.69). The number of municipalities hit ranges from 1 to 10 (mean 2.21). These primarily concern sea storms, in which only a coastal strip of each municipality was considered as having been hit. The duration of these events ranges from 1 to 4 days.

Wide events. The IDA ranges from 2.58 to 7.24 (mean 4.52). The maximum number of municipalities hit is 35, the minimum is 3 and the mean 3.89. The event duration is less than 8 days. The only event with a markedly different duration occurred in March (17 days; 23 municipalities damaged).

3.4 Regional events during the study period

During the study period, five regional events occurred in the winter and spring: two in January (A and B), one in March (D) and two in December (C and E).

Figure 6 provides and analysis and comparison of all gathered elements for each regional event. The LDId is expressed as the percentage of municipalities hit, classified in the four classes described in section 2.2. The exceptionality of rainfall is expressed as the percentage of rain gauges; the return period of maximum daily rainfall is classified in the four defined classes. The different types of triggered phenomena are expressed as the percentage of the total that occurred during each event. As indices, the RDI (divided by 3 for graphical purposes) and IDA are assessed. The numbers of municipalities hit and the event durations, expressed in days, are also reported for each event. For these events, the IDA ranges from 11.0 to 16.9 (mean 14.2); the number of municipalities hit ranges from 37 to 59, and the number of provinces hit is between 3 and 5. For rainfall analysis, the number of available rain gauges was 189, 182, 185, 174 and 160, respectively, for events A, B, C, D and E. The maximum return period (T) of daily rainfall was assessed using the approach proposed by Versace et al. (1989). According to these authors, in Calabria, three
rainfall sub-zones can be recognised: the Tyrrhenian, Central and Ionian sub-zones. For each sub-zone, we have evaluated the probability distribution function using the TCEV function. T was assessed by the growth factor of daily rainfall ($X'$) (2):

$$X' = \frac{h_d}{m_H}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $h_d$ is the daily rainfall for which T should be assessed, and $m_H$ is the average of the annual maxima of daily rainfall observed at the same gauge. For each sub-zone, the best-fitting function to estimate T, on the basis of $X'$, is known. Using this approach, for each gauge and each event, we assessed the maximum T values. For each event, we converted this information into a regional map of T values; the peak T at each gauge in each event was used to plot the max-map (Figure 7). Rain is observed in each regional event on the western regional side, but very exceptional rains are observed mainly on the eastern side (D and E events).

From the gathered data, the main features of the analysed regional events can be outlined as follows:

- **EVENT A: 3 January-5 February 1981.** This is the only event almost confined to the NW sector of the region. 85% of the gauges record rainfall with return periods less than 2 years and 13% between 2 and 10 years. The very few values classified in classes 3 and 4 (1%, not visible in Figure 7A) pertain to gauges located in the NW sector, along the western coast. Secondary floods and landslides, with almost the same frequency, are the most abundant types of phenomena. The comparison of LDId and maximum T maps shows some discrepancies between the two compared variables, due to the flood effect in the widest regional river basin, which covers this part of the region. According to the LDId, municipalities hit by the event are classified as follows. Class 1: 57%; class 2: 17%, class 3: 6% and class 4: 19%. The high RDI
is mainly due to damage to service networks (35 cases), followed by damage to housing areas (14) and road networks (12) (Table 2).

- **EVENT B: 2-19 January 1985.** This event mainly affected the eastern sector. The return period of rainfall remains in class 1 for 88% of the gauges and class 2 for 12%. Landslides and sea storms prevailed over the other types of damaging phenomena: during this event, the latter reached the highest value recorded during all the other events. 63% of municipalities hit underwent LDI of class 1, 15% of class 2, 15% of class 3, and 7% of class 4. The value of RDI, the highest among all the analysed events, was mainly due to damage affecting roads (39 cases), housing areas (18) and productive activities (15).

- **EVENT C: 20-21 December 1986.** Damaged municipalities were widespread on the regional surface. 92% of the gauges showed return periods less than 2 years, 6% between 2 and 10 years and 2% between 10 and 20 years. The highest values were mainly observed along the western coast. This event was characterised by the lowest duration (2 days) and number of municipalities hit (37). It was the least damaging of the analysed events: 70% of involved municipalities showed LDI of class 1, 16% of class 2, 5% of class 3 and 8% of class 4. The value of RDI (32, the lowest of all) was also in accordance with the least exceptional levels of rainfall. Damage mainly affected housing areas (27 cases).

- **EVENT D: 2-11 March 1988.** This event resulted in 63% of municipalities in class 1, 25% in class 2, 8% in class 3 and 4% in class 4. In terms of return period of rainfall, this was the most exceptional event. 67% of gauges show return periods of class 1, 21 of class 2, 5% of class 3 and 7% of class 4. Values of the latter two classes were mainly recorded in the central part of the region, where the peak value was more than
100 years. Damaging phenomena were mainly represented by landslides (75%). The RDI was not particularly high compared to the rainfall exceptionality, which can be considered an indicator of the low vulnerability of the area. It affected the eastern sector, mainly in the central and southern zones. We classified municipalities according to LDI_d: damaged elements consisted of roads (36 cases) and housing areas (12 cases) (Table 2).

- **EVENT E: 11-28 December 1990.** This event affected both the eastern and western sectors. Return periods were low for 64% of the gauges (class 1), medium for 27% (class 2), high for 5% (class 3) and very high for 4% (class 4). The highest values characterised the central part of the region, where the peak value was about 70 years. Landslides and sea storms were the most frequent types of phenomena. The areas hit mainly belonged to the Ionian and Inland sub-zones. We characterised damage by LDI_d of class 1 for 68%, 2 for 21%, 3 for 8% and 4 for 4% of hit municipalities. Damaged elements mainly consisted of roads (30 cases) and housing areas (14 cases).

Based on the collected data, similar features of the DHEs can be outlined, despite having been obtained from a 10-year period.

- Landslides are the most frequent type of damaging phenomenon during DHEs; Reggio Calabria province shows the highest landslide density (Figure 2C). The *Landslide regime* shows one peak in January and a minor one in December (Figure 3).
- During regional DHEs, more than 40% of phenomena are landslides, while the other types show fluctuating values from one event to the other. This denotes, in almost all
regional sectors, a higher sensitivity to landslides than to the other types of phenomena.

- The road network is the most damaged element, threatened by all types of damaging phenomena during each regional event. The number of cases of damage ranges from 10 (event C) to 39 (event B). The damage to housing areas, which in many cases required evacuating inhabitants, depends on the combination of the intrinsic fragility of the historical urban settlements and the damaging power of the events. The number of cases, for this element, ranges from 12 (event D) to 27 (event E).

- The IDA shows a very low variability from one event to the other, with a maximum of 16.

- The northern sector of the region, hit by event A, seems to be the most vulnerable zone. Here, rainfall characterised by low exceptionality values can trigger phenomena (mainly landslides and secondary floods), causing severe damage. Of all the analysed events, A shows the highest percentage of municipalities in class 4. Service networks, followed by housing areas, are the most damaged elements. As damage to people is not included in the proposed quantification of damage, we should report that, during this event, 4 victims died in a railway accident caused by a landslide. This considerably increases the severity of the event, confirming the vulnerability of this regional sector.

- The eastern side of the region, affected by many DHEs outside of the study period, can display two different behaviours, as in events B and D. In B, low exceptionality rainfall produced the highest value of RDI. In D, the relation is more linear: high damage is triggered by high exceptionality rainfall. This can be explained by the fact that, in B, part of the damage was caused by sea storms (see the location of high
LDIₜ on the map in Figure 6), which are more related to wind than to rainfall. From these data we can infer that, in this area, starting from low-medium exceptionality values of rainfall (T<10 years), damaging hydrogeological phenomena can occur. In both cases, roads and housing areas are the most damaged elements.

4 Concluding remarks

We have defined a methodological approach, based on seven simple indices, for studying hydrogeological damaging phenomena triggered by rainfall. The indices describe the exceptionality and trend of rainfall, the extent of hit areas, and the level of damages; these can be considered attributes of georeferenced features and analysed with GIS techniques.

We tested our method in an Italian region frequently hit by DHEs. Using the regionalisation approach and the four-parameter TCEV function, we assessed T for each day/gauge during a DHE. Instrumental damage data obtained from daily newspapers (in a period of 10 years) allowed us to recognise 747 damaging phenomena during 94 DHEs. All available data have been converted into index values.

Almost all the regional sectors are landslide-prone: more than 40% of triggered phenomena are landslides, reaching the highest density in the southernmost province (Reggio Calabria). The road network and housing areas are the most frequently damaged elements, and are threatened by all types of damaging phenomena.

The regime of damaging phenomena seems well-correlated with the rainfall regime. The landslide regime shows one peak in January and a minor one in December; Floods and secondary floods show high and almost constant values from October to March. As the Index of Damaged Area (IDA) is reasonably correlated with the Annual Rainfall Index, the forecast of ARI could be used to quantitatively assess the expected total wideness of damaged areas.
According to their spatial relevance, we classified the events into local, wide, and regional. 70 local, 19 wide and 5 regional events have been recognised. Deepening the analysis of regional events, we were able to outline some distinctive features of DHEs affecting the different regional sectors. The most severely damaged area is the eastern side of the region, which experienced severe damage after both ordinary (event B) and exceptional (event D) rainfall events. From the data analysed at present, we can infer that rainfall of low-medium exceptionality (T<10 years) can trigger DHEs in this area. The vulnerability of the northern part of the region appears to be high, as it experienced severe damage after rainfall of low-medium exceptionality. This high vulnerability, which seems to be distinctive of this area, is mainly due to the role of flooding in the largest drainage basin. In the case of regional events, T classes almost justify the level of damages. This figure can be easily used with real-time rainfall monitoring to define warning levels for civil protection purposes. Even simple outlines of damage scenarios of past DHEs can provide useful information for forecasting potential damage. The dissemination of information concerning the areas that were hit in the past and could be hit in the future, as well as the expected type of damaging phenomena, considering the T class or not, and resulting damage, could increase people’s awareness of risk and promote more aware behaviour. Forecasting the size of the area that could be hit, based on ARI forecasting, and the possible event scenario obtained for the different regional sectors, should be useful for regional civil protection offices, either in organising common operative activities or in emergency management during DHEs. In future works, we will extend the study period and, in selected areas, investigate the roles of other climatic parameters and the significance of rainfall thresholds.
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Fig. 1. Left: shaded relief map of Calabria and province boundaries (Abbreviations: Reggio Calabria= Reggio C.; Vibo Valentia= Vibo V.). Right: simplified geological sketch of the region. Keys: 1) limestone and dolostone; 2) metamorphic and igneous rocks; 3) clays, marls and evaporitic rocks; 4) sandstones, marly clays and limestone marls; 5) flysch and clayey formations; 6) conglomerates, sands and sandstones; 7) alluvial deposits.

Fig. 2. Number and type of phenomena in the study period. A) Number and type of phenomena in each province; B) percentage of each type of phenomenon that occurred; C) phenomenon density for each province (number of phenomena/area (km²) x 1000). (*) Sea storms have been normalised to the coastal length of the province (number of phenomena/coastal strip area (km²) x 100). Note that the multiplying factors 100 and 1000 are used only for graphical purposes.

Fig. 3. Regime of damaging phenomena types and rainfall (Cosenza gauge), as percentage of the yearly total.

Fig. 4. Rain index ARI (%) and annual IDA (%) assessed separately for local, wide, and regional events and as total annual values.

Fig. 5. Classification of events on a yearly basis (A), monthly basis (B) and summarizing values (C).

Fig. 6. Summarizing table of regional events: each column represents an event, labelled with the letter in the right corner of the map. Maps represent municipalities, classified
according to four classes of $LDI_d$ ($LDI$/municipal Area $\times$ 10); red lines are boundaries of provinces. $LDI_d$ are also expressed as percentage in the first row of histograms. The second row represents the percentage of rain gauges classified according to the maximum return period of daily rainfall. Phenomena (as percentage of total) and indices are represented in the other two diagrams. RDI is divided by 3 for graphical needs; duration of events is in days.

Fig. 7. Return period maps and location of available rain gauges (T, years). A), B), C), D), D, and E) represent events A, B, C, D, and E, respectively. Max) maximum T of each gauge for each event was used to define the T maximum map.

Table 1. Types and sub-types of damaged elements. For each type and sub-type, the value considered for damage assessment is $E_i$. Column levels 1, 2 and 3 describe the damage levels. The multiplying factors for assessing the Local Damage Index are 1, 0.5 and 0.25 for levels 1, 2 and 3, respectively.

Table 2. Number of cases of damage for different kinds of elements in each regional event.

Fig. 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Landslides</th>
<th>Floods</th>
<th>Secondary floods</th>
<th>Sea storms</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Catanzaro</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosenza</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crotone</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reggio C.</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibo V.</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>319</strong></td>
<td><strong>53</strong></td>
<td><strong>232</strong></td>
<td><strong>143</strong></td>
<td><strong>747</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 2**
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Fig. 4

Fig. 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>N.</th>
<th>N. municipalities</th>
<th>IDA</th>
<th>Duration (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Min   Med Max</td>
<td>Min  Med Max</td>
<td>Min  Med Max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1 2.21   10</td>
<td>0.0004 0.69 1.99</td>
<td>1 1.50 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3 13.89   35</td>
<td>2.58 4.52 7.24</td>
<td>1 3.74 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>37 48.8 59</td>
<td>10.98 14.20 15.97</td>
<td>2 13.20 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Sub-Type</th>
<th>$E_i$</th>
<th>Level 1 (1)</th>
<th>Level 2 (0.5)</th>
<th>Level 3 (0.25)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road network</td>
<td>Highway</td>
<td>Bridge: 10, Tunnel: 10, Roadway: 8</td>
<td>Prolonged traffic interruption due to road breakage</td>
<td>Temporary traffic interruption due to road breakage</td>
<td>Effects on road without traffic interruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State road</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>County road</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Municipal road</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mule-track</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railway network</td>
<td>State railway</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regional railway</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Service railway</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Sub-Type</th>
<th>$E_i$</th>
<th>Level 1 (1)</th>
<th>Level 2 (0.5)</th>
<th>Level 3 (0.25)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing areas</td>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>Building collapse</td>
<td>Building evacuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City hall</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>School</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Barracks</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fire station</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Church</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Airport</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Collapse of a lot of construction</td>
<td>Collapse of some construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public buildings</td>
<td>Electricity line</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Prolonged service interruption in large areas</td>
<td>Temporary service interruption in large areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Telephone line</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drainage system</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aqueduct</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services networks</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Interruption of production and loss of productive system</td>
<td>Interrupted production and loss of products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Farming</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commerce/business</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fishing</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Productive activities</td>
<td>Hotel</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>Interruption of activity and loss of productive structure</td>
<td>Temporary interruption of activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Campground</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bathing beach</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sport resorts</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourist and sport resorts</td>
<td>Wharf</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Collapse</td>
<td>Loss of efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seafront</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Breakwater</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port equipment</td>
<td>Check dam</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Collapse</td>
<td>Loss of efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Embankment</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Retaining wall</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dam</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of element</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road network</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railway network</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing estates</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public buildings</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services networks</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Productive activities</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourist and sport resorts</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port equipment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic works</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>