1 Volcanic and seismic activity at Stromboli preceding the 2002-03

2 eruption

3

4 Burton M., Calvari S., Spampinato L., Lodato L., Pino N.A., Marchetti E., Murè F.

5

6 Abstract

7

8 Regular surveys with a PM695 FLIR thermal imaging camera from both the ground and 9 from helicopter were conducted on Stromboli from October 2001. These measurements 10 allow us to (i) examine changes in morphology of the summit craters produced by 11 paroxystic explosions and (ii) track the increasing level of magma within the conduits of 12 Stromboli that preceded and led to the 2002/03 effusive eruption. Two geophysical 13 surveys in May and September/October 2002 demonstrated a clear increasing trend in the 14 amplitude of VLP events, consistent with the presence of a higher magma column above 15 the VLP source region. The observed increase in magma level was probably induced by 16 an increase in the pressure of the magma feeding system at Stromboli, controlled by 17 regional tectonic stress. The increased magma level induced strain on the uppermost part of the crater terrace, allowing an increase in soil permeability and therefore CO₂ and 18 19 Radon degassing. Eventually this stress caused the northeast flank of the craters to fracture, allowing lava to flood out at high effusion rates on 28th December. Regular 20 21 surveys with the thermal imaging camera, combined with geophysical monitoring, are an 22 invaluable addition to the armory of volcanologists attempting to follow the evolution of 23 activity on active volcanoes.

1 **1.** Introduction

2 Stromboli volcano is the eastern-most island in the Aeolian archipelago, Italy (figure 1). 3 It has been almost continuously active during the least thirteen centuries (Rosi et al., 4 2000), producing mild explosive activity interspersed with rarer effusive and major 5 explosive events (Barberi et al., 1993). Typical explosions send small volumes of ejecta 6 50-100 m above the craters every 15-20 min; this style of activity has become 7 synonymous with Stromboli, and is commonly called strombolian activity when observed at other volcanoes. Explosion products are typically ~50% crystallized, 30-60% 8 9 vesiculated black scoria sourced from the superficial part of Stromboli's magma 10 plumbing system (Landi et al., 2004; Lautze & Houghton, 2005, 2007; Polacci et al., 11 2006). Continuous quiescent degassing occurs between explosive events (Burton et al., 12 2007). Mild strombolian activity is interrupted roughly twice a year by larger, 13 paroxysmal events, explosions that can eject magma fragments hundreds of meters above 14 the craters, producing a hazard for any nearby volcano observers. Paroxysms usually erupt volumes of 10³-10⁵ m³ (Bertagnini et al., 2003) of crystallized resident magma, 15 16 which is mixed with "golden pumice", a glassy and gas-rich magma rising straight from 17 the source region (Bertagnini et al., 1999).

18

Lava effusions are regularly observed on Stromboli with a typical period between eruptions of 5-20 years (Barberi et al., 1993). The most recent effusive eruptions occurred in 1975 (Capaldi et al., 1978), 1985 (De Fino et al., 1988), 2002/03 (Bonaccorso et al., 2003; Calvari et al., 2005) and 2007 (Calvari et al., 2007, submitted to GRL). The 2002-03 event was of particular significance as on the 30th December it produced a minor collapse of the NW flank of the volcano, from the Sciara del Fuoco, inducing a tsunami
wave that inundated the coast of Stromboli, and mildly damaged coastlines of other
islands in the archipelago as well as the port of Milazzo (Tinti et al., 2004). The landslide
was followed by a ~6-month long effusion of lava from the NW flank.

5

6 Regular surveys of the summit craters of Stromboli have been conducted using a thermal 7 imaging camera since October 2001. In 2002 two seismic surveys were also carried out 8 close to the summit craters. The objective of this paper is to present and interpret thermal 9 imagery and seismic data collected at Stromboli prior to the 2002-03 eruption, 10 highlighting coupled volcanological and seismic eruption precursors as well as 11 morphological changes in the summit craters associated with paroxysmal explosions.



2.

Thermal imaging methodology

3 The thermal surveys were carried out using a FLIR PM695 thermal camera. The infrared 4 detector within the PM695 is an un-cooled focal plane array of microbolometers with 5 sensitivity between 7.5 and 13 µm. It has a 24° by 18° field of view (FOV) producing an 6 effective 1.3 µrad FOV for each pixel in the 320 by 240 detector array. Images were 7 collected from the ground at Pizzo Sopra la Fossa and from a helicopter provided by the 8 Italian Department of Civil Protection (figure 2). The acquisition rate of the PM695 was 9 limited to 1 image every 1.5 seconds, dictated by the performance of the detector array. 10 This relatively long integration time produced a challenge for data collection from the 11 helicopter as the velocity of the aircraft tended to produce blurred imagery of the summit 12 craters. Fortunately, this problem is easily overcome by collecting imagery whilst 13 hovering, which allows a sufficiently stable platform for the thermal camera.

14 As discussed below a great deal of information on the morphology of the active structures 15 within Stromboli's summit craters can be derived from thermal images, however 16 quantitative determination of the surface temperatures is a challenge for three main 17 reasons: (i) absorption of infrared (IR) radiation from the atmosphere; (ii) absorption of 18 IR radiation by volcanic gases and aerosols; (iii) non-Lambertian emission of radiation 19 from the highly structured emitting surface. Sawyer and Burton (2006) conducted an 20 investigation into the effects of volcanic gases on the absorption of radiation from 21 Stromboli's summit craters, concluding that the presence of a volcanic plume between the 22 observer and the radiation source could produce 10's to 100's of °C underestimation of 23 the true source temperature due to attenuation of radiation principally from SO₂ gas and 24 aerosol absorptions.



Figure 2. Thermal image of the summit craters of Stromboli and Pizzo Sopra la Fossa
 (Pizzo) collected on 23rd July 2002 from Civil Protection helicopter. View is from the
 northwest. All ground-based imagery reported here was collected from Pizzo.

15 The data presented here has been carefully selected to minimize the effect of plume 16 attenuation, however the fact that the source of degassing is coincident with the volcanic 17 structures that we observe means that it is impossible to completely exclude this effect. 18 All images were collected within a kilometer of the summit craters and minor corrections 19 due to attenuation from atmospheric water vapor were taken into account using the inbuilt 20 correction algorithm of the thermal camera. The effects of non-Lambertian radiation 21 emission have been ignored in this analysis, but given the clarity with which we observed 22 the active structures at the summit craters we believe that this effect is minor compared 23 with plume attenuation. In conclusion, determining errors on the measured temperature of the summit craters of Stromboli is a challenge; we estimate that our quantitative measurements may underestimate the true source temperature by up to ~100°C. Fortunately the order of magnitude of observed temperature variations is significantly larger than this underestimation, and therefore clear trends are detectable.

- 5
- 6

3. Thermal images on Stromboli after paroxysmal explosive events

Prior to the 2002-03 eruption, field and thermal surveys were carried out immediately after three paroxysmal explosions: on 20 October 2001, 23 January 2002, and 25 July 2002. On 23 January 2002 some morphologic changes at the summit craters were detected by comparison with the previous survey, as well as mapping of the fallout and characterization of the erupted products (Calvari & Pompilio, 2001a; Calvari et al., 2002). Thermal surveys were also carried out on 16 May and 22 June 2002 (Burton & Murè, 2002a, 2002b).

14

In 2001, three field reports concerning the activity of the volcano observed from Il Pizzo
Sopra la Fossa were collected, on 21 August, 20 October and 5 November (Burton &
Muré, 2001; Calvari & Pompilio, 2001a, 2001b). The survey on 20 October was the first
carried out on Stromboli by INGV researchers where a hand-held thermal camera (FLIR
695) has been used (figure 3).

- 20
- 21
- 22



Figure 3: Composite thermal image of the summit craters of Stromboli on 20 October
2001, as seen from Il Pizzo Sopra la Fossa, SE of the craters. Crater 1 is also known as
the northeast crater (NEC) and Crater 3 as the southwest crater (SWC). Note the hotspots
are the still-hot debris from a paroxystic explosion that occurred on 20 October 2001 at
00:32 GMT.

13 In August, during 3 hours of field observation from Pizzo (Burton & Muré, 2001), crater 14 3 was the most active (Fig. 3), with maximum height of ejecta up to 400 m above the 15 crater, with more typical explosions throwing clasts up 75-200 m. A total of 20 16 explosions were recorded during the measurement period. Two vents were inferred at 17 crater 1, distributed along the main axis of the summit craters. A total of nine explosions 18 were observed at crater 1 during the 3 hour survey, with maximum height of ejecta up to 19 50m above the crater rim. Crater 1 also occasionally demonstrated vigorous gas venting, 20 with little or no ejecta reaching the crater rim.

21

On 20 October a field survey was carried out on the summit in response to a paroxysmal event that had occurred at 00:32 GMT that morning, causing injuries to two tourists sleeping at the summit, one of whom later died of their injuries. The web camera at Pizzo
showed that the explosive event occurred at crater 2, as shown by the image where it is
surrounded by hot ejecta (figure 4).



Figure 4 – Frame recorded by the web camera located at Il Pizzo on 20 October 2001 showing a number of incandescent blocks surrounding crater 2. Time (0:36:41) in GMT (Calvari & Pompilio, 2001)

Thermal imagery collected during a field survey carried out a few hours after the explosion showed a wide dispersion of the still-hot blocks (max 100°C between 13:00 and 14:00 GMT, 20 October 2001, Fig. 2). The central part of the crater terrace between crater 1 and crater 3 cinder cones contained three closely spaced vents in the middle portion, and three hornitos oriented approximately NE-SW to the northern margin. The eruptive activity observed during the field survey was taking place from a single vent located on the northern margin of crater 3, and from two vents within crater 2.

20

During ~1 hour of observation, ~10 explosions were recorded from crater 1, throwing jets
of lava ~100 m above the crater rim (Fig. 5a). Crater 3 instead exhibited high-pressure
gas venting, with rare strombolian explosions producing jets 20-30 m in height (Fig. 5b).



Figure 5 – Thermal images recorded on 20 October 2001 from Il Pizzo Sopra la Fossa,
showing explosive activity at crater 1 and crater 3 (Calvari & Pompilio, 2001). The
temperature scale does not show the peak values, which reached over 500°C.

10 On 23 January 2002 at 20:54 (local time) another paroxysm occurred at Stromboli. The 11 noise from the explosion was audible from the villages at the base of the island, and was 12 accompanied by ash fallout that lasted several minutes. In the morning of 24 January 13 INGV researchers (Calvari et al., 2002) surveyed the summit area of the volcano to verify 14 the dispersal of the erupted products and their nature. The area around the summit craters 15 was covered with ash and blocks. Most of the fallout comprised lithic material up to 60 16 cm in size, with minor amounts of spatter up to 1.7 m in length. No low-porphyritic 17 products were found, which usually characterize the most energetic events (Bertagnini et 18 al., 1999). The greatest density of lithic deposits was observed in a belt about 200 m wide 19 between the craters and Pizzo. Spatter was more heavily deposited northeast of Pizzo. 20 Fine-grained material covered the crater zone and the NE flank of the volcano up to the 21 village of Stromboli. Fallout material formed an almost continuous carpet at Pizzo, in the 22 areas where usually many tourists observe the eruptive activity. During the 2.5 hours of 23 field survey (Calvari et al., 2002), only 5 weak explosions from crater 1 were recorded, and none at all from craters 2 and 3. This activity was much weaker compared with that
observed after the major explosion of 20 October 2001 (figure 5). Our survey also
revealed profound morphological changes at crater 2, which had significantly widened
compared with observations made during our previous survey of 20 October 2001 (figure
6).



Figure 6: Two thermal images collected from Pizzo on 20 October 2001 (upper image) and 24 January 2001 (lower image) collected ~10 hours after a paroxysmal explosion that occurred on 23 January. The three yellow spots above Crater 2 in the upper image are hornitos. The yellow spots on the flanks of Crater 1 are spatter from the explosive event. The black circle around Crater 2 in the upper plot indicates the portion blown up during the paroxysm of 23 January 2002. The yellow circle in the lower plot highlights the new morphology of crater 2 after the explosion.

1 Thermal imagery recorded on 20 October 2001 showed three hot spots with maximum 2 temperatures of 205°C in crater 2. On the contrary, the maximum apparent temperature 3 recorded at this crater after the 23 January paroxysm was 320°C averaged over a pixel 4 area of 40 cm. The high temperature of the inner walls of crater 2 was due to spatter 5 coating, following the explosive event. Measurements taken with laser range-finding 6 binoculars demonstrated that crater 2 had enlarged to a diameter of 26 m, compared with 7 a pre-paroxysmal crater size on October 2001 of ~10 m. From the nature of erupted 8 products, their distribution, and the morphology changes observed at the craters, Calvari 9 et al. (2002) concluded that the eruptive event of 23 January 2002 could have been 10 caused by an the obstruction of crater 2, leading to an over-pressurization and explosion. 11 This idea is supported by the high abundance of lithic material and absence of low-12 porphyritic products, consistent with a superficial source for the explosion. After this 13 major explosion, some concern arose regarding the lack of explosive activity at CR3, 14 suggesting a potential obstruction of this crater, which might be followed by a new 15 violent episode similar to that of 23 January 2002. A further paroxystic explosion occurred on 24th July 2002, but poor weather inhibited detailed examination of the crater 16 17 area in the immediate aftermath of the explosion.

18

19 4. Thermal surveys after April 2002

A total of 9 field surveys were conducted with the PM695 thermal imaging camera on
Stromboli from April 2002 until the eruption onset on 28th December 2002 (see figure 7).
Below we highlight some of the main observations recorded during these surveys.

23



May 16th 2002: FLIR measurements were made continuously from Pizzo, starting at 10.14 GMT and concluding at 12.35 GMT. Activity at the summit was primarily located at crater 1 and consisted of relatively weak explosions, containing smaller amounts of pyroclasts than had been seen in the preceding months.

5

June 22nd 2002: FLIR measurements were made from Pizzo, observing all three craters in sequence, starting from 09.42 GMT and concluding at 11.50 GMT. Activity at the summit was primarily located at crater 1 and consisted of explosions that propelled scoriae to a maximum height of approximately 250 m above the craters. Occasional ash emissions were observed from crater 1 and crater 3. The activity was of significantly greater intensity compared with that observed in May.

12

July 23rd 2002: Measurements with a thermal imaging camera were carried out at Pizzo 13 14 on the summit of Stromboli, with the assistance of a Civil Protection helicopter. During 15 the period of observation (10.12 - 13.57 GMT) activity was characterized by 50-300m 16 high explosions of incandescent material from crater 1 that fell primarily on the north 17 flank of the crater. Jets of ash and scoria from crater 3 powered convecting clouds of ash 18 to maximum heights of approx 500m above the summit craters. The thermal signature of 19 the deposits of explosive activity from crater 1 is clearly shown in figure 2. Explosions 20 occurred approximately every 10 minutes from CR1a and every 20 minutes from CR3. 21 Gas emissions were observed at the other craters in the absence of explosive activity. The 22 presence of large (1-2 meter), incandescent scoria ejected from crater 1 suggests that 23 magma was present at a superficial level in this crater. On the contrary, high-pressure jets

of ash from crater 3 suggest that this conduit was less fully open, with a deeper magma
 level during the observations. The level of volcanic activity was significantly higher
 compared with that observed in the preceding surveys.

4

5 **25th July 2002:** In response to a paroxystic event that occurred on 24th July an over flight 6 of the summit craters of Stromboli was conducted on 25th July. Low cloud prevented 7 landing at the summit, but thermal images and digital photographs were collected from 8 the helicopter (figure 8). No obvious morphological changes had taken place within the 9 summit craters, however a clear NE-trending thermal anomaly was visible within the 10 craters and on the north flank of crater 1.



Figure 8. Upper image, aerial photograph of the summit craters of Stromboli, 25th July
2002, from NW. Red box indicates approximate field of view shown in the thermal

image, below.

2	2 nd August from Pizzo and on 2 nd August from helicopter. A low level of explosive
3	activity was observed on both days, leaving few hot deposits on the crater floor.
4	
5	26 th August 2002: Observations from Pizzo showed a higher frequency of explosions
6	from crater 1 and crater 3 compared with that observed on 2 nd August. The northernmost
7	sector of crater 1 showed almost continuous mild strombolian activity during 5 minutes
8	of a one hour observation. Helicopter-borne measurements showed modest temperatures
9	at the base of the summit craters.
10	
11	16 th September: Explosions were of greater intensity from both craters 1 and 3, with
12	scoria landing outside the crater rim. Crater 2 showed an intermittent, passively released,
13	high temperature gas emission.
14	
15	23 rd October 2002: Observations were conducted from helicopter, and showed higher
16	temperatures than those previously seen for all three craters. Craters 1 and 3 had large
17	thermal anomalies at their base associated with recently deposited pyroclasts from
18	explosive activity. One vent in crater 2 demonstrated continuous high pressure gas
19	venting, at an inclined angle relative to vertical. In general, the observations of this day
20	showed a notable increase in thermal energy release compared with the previous
21	observations in 2001 and 2002.
22	

1 1st and 2nd August 2002: Sequences of thermal imagery were collected on both 1st and

23

1 15 and 19 November 2002: A thermal survey carried out on 15 November demonstrated 2 exceptionally high temperatures (see figure 9) and the presence of two small lava flows 3 sourced from overflowing magma from vents within craters 1 and 2. Intense explosive 4 activity and increasingly shallow magma level had filled the summit craters with scoria. 5 Superficial magma is clearly visible in the digital photograph.



Figure 9: Visible image of the summit craters of Stromboli on 15th November. Lava
overflows from craters 1 and 2 are highlighted with a yellow line. Note the presence of
superficial magma in the northern sector of crater 1. The craters are filled with pyroclastic
deposits, compare with figure 2.

- 1
- 2 5.0

5. Quantitative analysis of thermal imagery

Thermal images collected on Stromboli allow us to track variations in the temperatures of each crater since surveys began in 2001; a plot showing these time series is shown in figure 10. As discussed in Methods, thermal images are attenuated by water vapor, volcanic aerosol and SO₂, leading to a potential underestimation in temperature of tens of °C. However, the variations in temperature shown in figure 10 cannot be explained simply due to this attenuation, as the temperatures vary by a much larger magnitude during the measurement period.



Figure 10: Time series of temperatures observed at the summit craters of Stromboli from mid-2001 until November 2002. Circles show the peak temperatures at each crater during persistent degassing, crosses show the peak temperatures at each crater during explosions.

Whilst the detailed variations of temperature in each crater are complex, a clear overall trend is observable, that of steadily increasing temperatures of both degassing and explosions after September 2002. A significant but smaller peak is also seen in June 2002. The increased thermal emission from September followed by minor lava overflows from craters 1 and 2 in November, followed by the eruption itself on 28th December suggests that a significant increase in the magma level within the conduit occurred during this period.

8

9

6. Seismic investigations on Stromboli during 2002

10 Explosive activity at Stromboli volcano has been investigated and monitored for the 11 last 30 years with permanent geophysical instruments and temporary experiments [see 12 Harris and Ripepe 2007 for a review] and contributed largely to the understanding of the 13 dynamics driving explosive activity at open conduit basaltic volcanoes. A description of 14 seismic activity at Stromboli before the 2002-2003 eruption is based here on data 15 provided by a temporary seismic station (SX15), equipped with a 3-components Lennartz 16 seismometer with eigenperiod of 5 s and sensitivity of 400 V/m/s, installed for the project 17 SAPTEX (Southern Apennines Tomography EXperiment project, P.I.G.B., Cimini) since 18 May 2002 in the village of Stromboli (Figure 1) [Pino et al., 2004], and data collected 19 during 2 temporary seismic broadband-acoustic-thermal experiment (Figure 1) in May 20 14-27, and September 29 – October 2, 2002 on the summit area of the volcano [Marchetti 21 and Ripepe, 2005]. Given the broader frequency content of the instrument deployed and 22 the shorter source-to-receiver distance, we focus on data collected during the 2 temporary summit experiments, as they best describe the VLP seismic activity recorded during the
 typical explosive activity at Stromboli volcano.

3 During May 2002 two seismic-acoustic stations (BBS, GRA) were deployed on the 4 summit of Stromboli volcano at distances of 250-300 meters from the summit craters 5 (Figure 1). Four months later, between September 29 and October 2, 2002, a seismo-6 acoustic station was deployed again at one of the two sites investigated during the 7 previous experiment (GRA). For both experiments, each station consisted into a 5 8 channel 16 bits A/D converter and was equipped with a Guralp CMG-40T broadband 9 seismometer, with sensitivity of 800 V/m/s and 30 seconds eigenperiod, and a Monacor 10 pre-amplified electred microphones with sensitivity of 46 mV/Pa. Time syncronization 11 was achieved with DCF radiocode receiver. Despite the short deployment of the seismic-12 acoustic stations the two temporary experiment are of particular interest, as they represent 13 the only geophysical observations at close distance from the active craters within 7 14 months before the eruption onset.

At the time of the May 2002 experiment the level of explosive activity was low, with rare and mild explosions recorded from the crater 1 and 3, and a sustained intermittent degassing process from the Central crater. On September 2002 the explosive activity was higher with frequent explosive emissions of bombs and lithics both from the crater 1 and 3. Moreover, the explosive activity changed during the 4-day-long investigation period, with increased energy of explosions from crater 3 starting September 30, 2002, when bombs and fragments were ejected up to heights of ~300 m above the summit vents.

The change in explosive level observed during the May and September 2002 experiment is reflected by the amplitude of VLP events (Figure 11) recorded at the

summit of Stromboli volcano. Here amplitude of VLP events appeared quite stable during May 2002, with a mean value of 5×10^{-6} m, and was higher (~ 10^{-5} m) during the September/October temporary experiment (Figure 11). In particular the amplitude of VLP events reflects the observed change in explosive activity during the 4-day-long experiment, with a rapid increase of VLP amplitude in September 30, 2002, with values rising from 6×10^{-6} to 1.5×10^{-5} m.



Figure 11: Amplitude of VLP seismic transients recorded at station GRA deployed on the summit of Stromboli volcano during temporary experiments carried on in May (a) and September 2002 (b).

15

16 7. Discussion

Laboratory experiments [Ripepe et al., 2001; James et al., 2004] and moment tensor inversion of seismic records [Chouet et al., 2003] suggest that VLP seismic transients may be produced by the rapid transfer and expansion of gas volumes within the conduit, and therefore their frequency should reflect the rate of formation of gas slugs within the magma column. The amplitude of VLP events is instead controlled by both the volume and gas overpressure within the gas slugs. Accordingly, the VLP seismicity is a direct expression of both gas dynamics and magma level at Stromboli, and the increased

1 amplitude recorded in October 2002 might result from an increased gas overpressure due 2 to the heightened magma free surface, as evidenced by the thermal monitoring data. The magma level within Stromboli's conduits exercises a fundamental control on the nature 3 4 of eruptive activity at this volcano. The fact that mild explosive activity has been 5 maintained almost continuously for hundreds of years at Stromboli (Rosi et al., 2000) 6 suggests that the magma level must have varied relatively little over that timescale; a 7 drop of only a few tens of meters in the magma level is sufficient to inhibit observable 8 explosive activity at the surface. On the contrary, a magma level at the surface will result 9 in overflows, which are observed every 5-20 years (Barberi et al., 1993). The majority of 10 the activity is instead consistent with a remarkably stable magma level; the observation of 11 a clear perturbation to this steady-state behavior allows a deeper insight into the plumbing 12 system feeding the volcano.

13

14 The main control on the level of magma in the conduit of Stromboli is the pressure 15 exerted at the feeding reservoir balanced by the weight of magma filling the conduit, and 16 therefore the density of magma. There are therefore two main ways in which the magma 17 level may be perturbed: a change in the density of magma or a change in the pressure of 18 the source. Whilst there is little evidence for a change in magma density from September 19 2002, there is ample evidence for a heightened magnitude of regional tectonic stress in 20 late 2002. A large magnitude earthquake occurred off the coast of Palermo in September 21 2002 (Cigolini et al., 2007), causing fractures to open in the countryside near the north 22 coast of Sicily. In October 2002 a major dike-driven eruption began on Mt. Etna 23 (Andronico et al., 2005). Cigolini et al., 2007 hypothesized that the increased levels of

1 Radon degassing at Stromboli observed leading up to the 2002-03 eruption may have 2 been induced by the regional tectonic stress. Our observations support the hypothesis that 3 such a stress could have induced increases in magma level that led to (i) Increase in the 4 thermal energy released at the surface; (ii) higher overpressure in VLP events; (iii) eventual rupturing of the north flank of crater 1, producing an effusive eruption. 5 6 Increased magma levels could also induce changes in permeability of gas flow through 7 the structure of the summit area, as the stress exerted by the high magma level produces 8 strain in the surrounding superficial rocks. Such strain could produce the observed 9 increases in CO₂ (Carapezza et al., 2002) and radon (Cigolini et al., 2007) degassing 10 measured prior to the 2002-03 eruption.

11

12 8. Conclusions

13 Regular surveys with a thermal imaging camera from both the ground and from 14 helicopter allowed us to (i) examine changes in morphology of the summit craters 15 produced by paroxystic explosions and (ii) track the increasing level of magma within the 16 conduits of Stromboli that preceded and led to the 2002-03 effusive eruption. Combining these observations with seismic surveys carried out in 2002 allows us to gain a clearer 17 18 picture of the effects of an increasing magma level within the conduit system of 19 Stromboli prior to the 2002/03 eruption. The increase in magma level was probably 20 produced by an increase in the pressure of the magma feeding system at Stromboli, 21 controlled by regional tectonic stress. The increased magma level induced strain on the 22 uppermost part of the crater terrace, allowing an increase in ground permeability and 23 therefore CO₂ and Radon degassing. Eventually this stress caused the northeast flank of

1	the craters to fracture, allowing lava to flood out at high effusion rates on 28 th December.
2	Regular surveys with the thermal imaging camera combined with geophysical
3	measurements are an invaluable addition to the armory of volcanologists attempting to
4	follow the evolution of activity on active volcanoes.
5	
6	Acknowledgements
7	We wish to thank the Italian Civil Protection and its pilots for the helicopter surveys of
8	the volcano, and Alessandro Bonaccorso and Enzo Boschi for their support to this work.
9	
10	
11	References
12	
13	Andronico, D., S. Branca, S. Calvari, M. Burton, T. Caltabiano, R.A. Corsaro, P. Del
14	Carlo, G. Garfi, L. Lodato, L. Miraglia, F. Muré, M. Neri, E. Pecora, M. Pompilio, G.
15	Salerno, L. Spampinato (2005), A multi-disciplinary study of the 2002-03 Etna
16	eruption: insights into a complex plumbing system, Bull. Volcanol., 67:314-330
17	Barberi, F., M. Rosi, and A. Sodi (1993), Volcanic hazard assessment at Stromboli based
18	on review of historical data, Acta Vulcanol., 3, 173-187.
19	Bertagnini A., Coltelli M., Landi P., Pompilio M. and Rosi M. (1999) - Violent
20	explosions yield new insights into dynamics of Stromboli volcano, EOS Transactions,
21	AGU, vol. 80, n. 52, 633-636.

1	Bertagnini, A., N. Métrich, P. Landi, and M. Rosi (2003) - Stromboli volcano (Aeolian
2	Archipelago, Italy): An open window on the deep-feeding system of a steady state
3	basaltic volcano, Jour. Geoph. Res., 108 (B7), 2336, doi:10.1029/2002JB002146.
4	Bonaccorso, A., S. Calvari, G. Garfi, L. Lodato, and D. Patané (2003) - Dynamics of the
5	December 2002 flank failure and tsunami at Stromboli volcano inferred by
6	volcanological and geophysical observations, Geoph. Res. Letters, 30 (18), 1941-
7	1944.
8	Burton, M.R., and Muré, F. (2001) - Report on visual observation made at Stromboli
9	summit 21/8/2001, Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, sezione di Catania -
10	Internal Report, 2 pp.
11	Burton, M.R., and Muré, F. (2002a) - Report on visual observation made at Stromboli
12	summit 16/5/2002, Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, sezione di Catania -
13	Internal Report, 4 pp.
14	Burton, M.R., and Muré, F. (2002b) - Report on visual observation made at Stromboli
15	summit 22/6/2002, Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, sezione di Catania -
16	Internal Report, 3 pp.
17	Burton, M.R., H.M. Mader, and M. Polacci, The role of gas percolation in quiescent
18	degassing of persistently active basaltic volcanoes, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. (2007),
19	doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2007.08.028
20	Calvari S. and Pompilio M. (2001a) - Rapporto del sopralluogo a Stromboli del 5

21 novembre 2001, Rapporto Interno INGV- Sezione di Catania, 5 pp.

1	Calvari S. and Pompilio M. (2001b) - Rapporto del sopralluogo a Stromboli del 20
2	ottobre 2001, Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, sezione di Catania -
3	Internal Report, 4 pp.
4	Calvari S., Pompilio M. and Andronico D. (2002) - Stromboli (Italy) Fallout from 23
5	January explosion carpets popular tourist area. Bulletin of the Global Volcanism
6	Network, v. 27, n. 1, 14-15.
7	Calvari, S., L. Spampinato, L. Lodato, A.J.L. Harris, M.R. Patrick, J. Dehn, M.R. Burton,
8	and D. Andronico, Chronology and complex volcanic processes during the 2002-2003
9	flank eruption at Stromboli volcano (Italy) reconstructed from direct observations and
10	surveys with a hand-held thermal camera, Jour. Geoph. Res., 110, B02201,
11	doi:10.1029/2004JB003129, 2005.
12	Calvari, S., L. Spampinato, Bonaccorso A., L. Lodato, and E. Boschi, Comparison
13	Between the 2002-03 and 2007 Flank Eruptions at Stromboli Volcano: Basis for
14	Predicting Future Volcanic Events, Geophys. Res. Letters, submitted 27 August 2007.
15	Capaldi, G., I. Guerra, A. Lo Bascio, G. Luongo, R. Pece, A. Rapolla, R. Scarpa, E. Del
16	Pezzo, M. Martini, M.R. Ghiara, L. Lirer, R. Munno, and L. La Volpe, Stromboli and
17	its 1975 Eruption, Bull. Volc., 41 (3), 259-285, 1978.
18	Carapezza, M., et al., Variations in CO2 degassing prior to the 2002/03 eruption of
19	Stromboli, 2005
20	Chouet, B., P. Dawson, T. Ohminato, M. Martini, G. Saccorotti, F. Giudicepietro, G. De
21	Luca, G. Milana, and R. Scarpa, 2003, Source mechanisms of explosions at Stromboli
22	Volcano, Italy, determined from moment-tensor inversions of very-long-period data, J.
23	Geophys. Res., 108(B1), 2019.

1	Cigolini, C., G. Gervino, R. Bonetti, F. Conte, M. Laiolo, D. Coppola, and A. Manzoni,
2	Tracking precursors and degassing by radon monitoring during major eruptions at
3	Stromboli Volcano (Aeolian Islands, Italy), Geophys Res. Letters, 32, L12308, ,
4	doi:10.1029/2005GL022606, 2005
5	Cigolini, C., Laiolo, M., Coppola, D. (2007) - Earthquake-volcano interactions detected
6	from radon degassing at Stromboli (Italy), Earth and Planetary Science Letters 257
7	(2007) 511–525.
8	De Fino, M., L. La Volpe, S. Falsaperla, G. Frazzetta, G. Neri, L. Francalanci, M. Rosi,
9	and A. Sbrana, The Stromboli eruption of December 6, 1985 - April 25, 1986:
10	volcanological, petrological and seismological data, Rend. Soc. It. Min. Petr., 43,
11	1021-1038, 1988.
12	Harris, H.J.L., and M. Ripepe, 2007, Synergy of multiple geophysical approaches to
13	unravel explosive eruptions conduit and source dynamics - A case study from
14	Stromboli, Chemie der Erde, 67, 1-35
15	James, M.R., S.J. Lane, B. Chouet, and J.S. Gilbert, 2004, Pressure changes associated
16	with the ascent and bursting of gas slug in liquid-filled vertical and inclined conduits,
17	J. Volcanol. Geoth. Res., 129, 61-82.
18	Landi, L., N. Métrich, A. Bertagnini, M. Rosi, Dynamics of magma mixing and
19	degassing recorded in plagioclase at Stromboli (Aeolian Archipelago, Italy), Contrib.
20	Mineral. Petrol. 147 (2004) 213-227.
21	Lautze, N.C., and B.F. Houghton, Physical mingling of magma and complex eruption
22	dynamics in the shallow conduit at Stromboli volcano, Italy, Geology 33 (2005) 425-
23	428.

1	Lautze, N.C., and B.F. Houghton (2007) - Linking variable explosion style and magma
2	textures during 2002 at Stromboli volcano, Italy, Bull Volc., 69, 445-460,.
3	Marchetti, E, and M. Ripepe, 2005, Stability of the seismic source during effusive and
4	explosive activity at Stromboli Volcano, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L03307,
5	doi:10.1029/2004GL021406.
6	Pino, N.A., M. Ripepe, and G.B. Cimini, 2004, The Stromboli Volcano landslides of
7	December 2002: A seismological description, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L02605,
8	doi:10.1021/2003GL98385.
9	Polacci, M., D.R. Baker, L. Mancini, G. Tromba, F. Zanini, Three-dimensional
10	investigation of volcanic textures by X-ray computed microtomography and
11	implications for conduit processes, Geophys. Res. Lett. 33 (2006),
12	doi:10.1029/2006GL026241.
13	Ripepe, M., S. Ciliberto, and M. Della Schiava, 2001, Time constraints for modeling
14	source dynamics of volcanic explosins at Stromboli, J. Geophys. Res., 106(B5), 8713-
15	8727.
16	Rosi, M., A. Bertagnini, and P. Landi, Onset of the persistent activity at Stromboli
17	Volcano (Italy), Bull. Volc., 62, 294-300, 2000.
18	Sawyer, G.M. and M. R. Burton, Effects of a volcanic plume on thermal imaging data,
19	Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L14311, doi:10.1029/2005GL025320, 2006
20	Tinti S., A. Armigliato, G. Pagnoni, A. Manucci, R. Tonini, and F. Zaniboni (2004),
21	Analysis of landslides and tsunamis of the 30th December 2002 in Stromboli, Italy,
22	paper presented at L'eruzione di Stromboli (28 dicembre 2002 - 20 luglio 2003).
23	Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Catania, Italy, 20-21 May 2004.