View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk
brought to you by CORE
provided by Earth-prints Repository

Wood Andersom Magnitude Scale for Mt. Vesuvius

- A revised ML scale for VT events at Mt. Vesuvius -

Edoardo Del Pezzo[1,2] and Simona Petrosino[1]

1 Osservatorio Vesuviano

2 Physics Department - University of Salerno- Italy

A Mathcad-8 Professional Program

Osservatorio Vesuviano
Open file report
1999 n° 3

Wood Anderson Magnitude Scale for Mt. Vesuvius
A revised ML scale for VT events at Mt. Vesuvius

Edoardo Del Pezzo[1,2], Simona Petrosino[1]
1 - Osservatorio Vesuviano
2 - Physics Department, University of Salerno. Italy
A *Mathcad-8 Professional* Program

Abstract

A Mathcad-8 program to calculate a revised magnitude scale is presented. An application to Mt. Vesuvius is included as a program test. Wood-Anderson seismograms for 131 local earthquakes recorded at station BKE (Osservatorio Vesuviano seismic network) were synthesized to estimate local magnitude from the original definition:
Ml=log Amax(Δ) - logAo(Δ)
The distance correction logAo(Δ) was empirically determined simulating a wave packet which propagates in a structure with assigned Q.
Moment magnitude (calculated both with Kanamori and Tatcher-Hanks formulas) was also determined from the displacement spectra.
Finally a relation between Wood-Anderson magnitude and duration magnitude was derived, allowing the estimate of local magnitude from the duration of the earthquake.

Theory
Local Magnitude definition

The definition of local magnitude is:

Ml=log Amax(Δ) - logAo(Δ) (1)

where Amax is the Wood Anderson maximum amplitude, and Ao is the Wood Anderson maximum amplitude for the reference earthquake. This scale uses as reference the earthquake of Magnitude 3 which in California, where the scale was set up, takes the max amplitude of 1 mm at a distance Δ of 100 km. For California the formula giving the local Magnitude as a function of distance is:

Mlcal=logAmax(Δ)+2.76log(Δ)-2.48+C (2)

where C is a correction term taking into account the deviation of the scale at the station of the network.
We normalize the scale for Mt Vesuvius in such a way that an earthquake at Δ=10 km has the same local Magnitude as in California. This means that at 10 km from the source an earthquake of a given Magnitude in California, would have the same maximum amplitude as at Mt. Vesuvius. This allows a comparison of the Magnitude values at Mt. Vesuvius with those for California. A similar normalization for a distance close to the source was proposed by Hutton and Boore (1987) for local earthquakes. In this way the above authors eliminated the strong regional attenuation anomalies for S wave propagation.
The empirical formula for the attenuation of the maximum amplitude with distance at Mt. Vesuvius was calculated using a numerical simulation. First we generate a synthetic S-wave packet, with a flat spectrum at a distance close to the source (0.1 km). A sequence of 125 random numbers between 1 and -1 with a uniform distribution simulate the wave packet. Then we multiply the sequence by a Hanning window. The signal represents the S-wave packet sampled at 1/125 sps.

Simulation of the synthetic wave packet

$k := 0..\ 100$

$R_k := \frac{k+1}{10}$ This is the distance range in km

$rr_k := \log(R_k)$

$v(k) := \text{runif}(125, -1, 1)$ This is the vector of 125 samples, uniformly distributed

$hn := \text{hanning}(125)$ This is the hanning window

$i := 1 .. 124$

$vv_{k,i} := hn_i \cdot v(k)_i \cdot 1000$ This is the wave packet. The amplitude is arbitrary



$\beta := 2$ This is the S-wave wave velocity

$VV(k) := \text{CFFT}\left[\left(vv^T\right)^{\langle k \rangle}\right]$ This is the Fourier transform of the synthetic signal

Now we apply the attenuation operator with Q=60 as measured at Mt Vesuvius

$f_i := i$

$fc := 10$ This is the corner frequency of the source spectrum

$$ampl_{i,k} := \frac{\left|VV(k)_i\right| \cdot 0.1}{R_k \cdot \left[1 + \left(\frac{f_i}{fc}\right)^2\right]} \cdot \left(\left(\exp\left(\frac{-\pi \cdot f_i \cdot R_k}{\beta \cdot 60}\right)\right)\right)$$

$fase_i := \arg\left(VV(k)_i\right)$

$VVatt_{i,k} := ampl_{i,k} \cdot \exp\left(i \cdot fase_i\right)$



$Attensismo^{\langle k \rangle} := \text{ICFFT}\left(VVatt^{\langle k \rangle}\right)$ This is the synthetic seismogram at different distances from the source. The next plot shows the seismogram recorded at 0.1 and 0.3 km distance from the source.

$t_i := \frac{i}{125}$ $\qquad R_1 = 0.2 \qquad R_{100} = 10.1$



$massimo_k := \max(\mathrm{Re}(Attensismo^{\langle k \rangle}))$ This is the vector of the maximum amplitudes at different distances.



Best fit with a relation of the form of (2)

$y_k := -\log(massimo_k)$

$ter_k := 1$

$G^{\langle 0 \rangle} := rr$

G is the matrix of coefficients

$G^{\langle 1 \rangle} := ter$

$par := \left(G^T \cdot G\right)^{-1} \cdot G^T \cdot y$ This is the least square fit for the coefficients of relation (2)

$par = \begin{bmatrix} 1.3422631 \\ -1.0849864 \end{bmatrix}$ This is the solution

The relation for Mt Vesuvius is 1.28 log(Δ) + b. b has to be determined by the normalization at 10 km distance. The normalization is given by the amplitude of a Ml=3 earthquake at 10 km. For this earthquake:

Ml=log Amax +2.76 log 10 -2.48 = 3.----> log Amax = 2.72

For Mt. Vesuvius, Ml=2.72+1.28 log 10+b=3, which gives b=-1.1, then the formula is:

Ml=log Amax +1.28 log (Δ) -1.1

Application to an example

These are the input traces:

:=

A:\mag\03010947.e

:=

A:\mag\03010947.n

$trace := trac \cdot volt \cdot 10^{-3}$ The original signal is in mV. This is the correction to Volts

$trace2 := trac2 \cdot volt \cdot 10^{-3}$

$last(trace) = 2499$

$max(trace) = 0.007872 \cdot V$ Traces in Volts. Note that Mathcad automatically checks the units.

$last(trace2) = 2499$

$max(trace2) = 0.00776 \cdot V$

$j := 1 .. last(trace)$

$t_j := j \cdot \frac{1}{125} \cdot s$

$T := last(trace) \cdot \frac{1}{125} \cdot sec$

$T = 19.992\,s$ T is the seismogram window duration





$f0 := 1 \cdot Hz$

γ is the damping coefficient of the Lennartz portable station which recorded the event shown above

$\gamma := 0.68$

$$Ga := \frac{4}{2.4 \cdot 0.01} \cdot \frac{V}{\frac{m}{sec}}$$

$Ga = 166.6666667 s \cdot m^{-1} \circ V$ This is the internal damping main coil motor constant

$G := 125 \cdot V \cdot s \cdot m^{-1}$ G is the motor constant at γ

$traspecvel := cfft(trace - mean(trace))$ These are the Fourier Transforms of the detrended traces

$traspecvel2 := cfft(trace2 - mean(trace2))$

$last(traspecvel) = 2499$ The number of points in the Fourier Transform

$last(traspecvel2) = 2499$

$f_j := \left(\frac{1}{125} \cdot last(trace)\right)^{-1} \cdot j \cdot Hz$ The frequency in Hz

Anti-aliasing Filter Lennartz:

$a0 := 1$ $b_1 := 0.3887$

$a_1 := 1.2217$ $b_2 := 0.3505$

$a_2 := 0.9686$ $b_3 := 0.2756$

$a_3 := 0.5131$ $fc := 25 \cdot Hz$

$$filtro(f) := \frac{a0}{\left[\prod_{i=1}^{3} \left[1 + a_i \cdot \frac{f}{fc} \cdot i + b_i \cdot \left(\frac{f}{fc} \cdot i\right)^2\right]\right]}$$

The transfer function of the anti-alias filter

$$H(f) := \frac{(G \cdot (2 \cdot \pi \cdot f)^2) \cdot filtro(f)}{(2 \cdot \pi \cdot f0)^2 - (2 \cdot \pi \cdot f)^2 + 2 \cdot i \cdot (2 \cdot \pi \cdot f) \cdot \gamma \cdot (2 \cdot \pi \cdot f0)}$$

This is the velocity response curve in V/m/s

$Hspo(f) := H(f) \cdot i \cdot 2 \cdot \pi \cdot f$ This is the displacement response curve, obtained multiplying for frequency

The the Wood Anderson magnification curve is:

$$HWA(f) := \frac{2800 \cdot (2 \cdot \pi \cdot f)^2}{(-2 \cdot \pi \cdot f)^2 + \left(2 \cdot \pi \cdot \frac{1}{0.8 \cdot sec}\right)^2 + 2 \cdot i \cdot (2 \cdot \pi \cdot f) \cdot 0.8 \cdot \left(2 \cdot \pi \cdot \frac{1}{0.8 \cdot sec}\right)}$$

$\left| Hspo\left(f_6\right) \right| = 21.2881184 m^{-1} \circ V$ Note the physical dimensions in V/m



In the plot the amplitude response for Velocity, Displacement and Wood Anderson is shown.

Transformation of the Signal in Wood Anderson Equivalent

$j := 1 .. \mathrm{last}(trace)$

$$spostamento_j := \frac{traspecvel_j}{Hspo\left(f_j\right)}$$ The real ground displacement spectrum for E-W component

$$velocità_j := \frac{traspecvel_j}{H\left(f_j\right)}$$ The real ground velocity spectrum

$$spostamento2_j := \frac{traspecvel2_j}{Hspo\left(f_j\right)}$$ The same for N-S component

$$velocità2_j := \frac{traspecvel2_j}{H\left(f_j\right)}$$



$$woodandersonspec_j := HWA\left(f_j\right) \cdot spostamento_j$$ Wood Anderson

$woodandersonspec2_j := HWA(f_j) \cdot spostamento2_j$

$woodandersontrace := icfft(woodandersonspec)$ Wood Anderson converted trace for the two
$woodandersontrace2 := icfft(woodandersonspec2)$ ground motion components

$spostamento\_trace := icfft(spostamento)$
$velocità\_trace := icfft(velocità)$



$integral_0 := 0 \cdot V \cdot sec$
$integral_j := integral_{j-1} + trace_j \cdot (t_j - t_{j-1})$ The trace is integrated in time domain too, to check the results
$integral_2 = -0.0000014 s \cdot V$ chek of the units



$max(Re(spostamento)) = 0.0000266m$

$max(Re(woodandersontrace)) = 0.0328461m$

$max(Re(woodandersontrace2)) = 0.0409515m$

$$AWAspe := \frac{\sqrt{max(Re(woodandersontrace))^2 + max(Re(woodandersontrace2))^2}}{2}$$

$$\sqrt{max(Re(woodandersontrace))^2 + max(Re(woodandersontrace2))^2} = 0.0524966m$$

Local Magnitude Evaluation

$Q := 60$ Quality factor measured at Mt. Vesuvius (Bianco et al.,1999). It is independent of frequency

$v := 2$ Seismic wave velocity.

$\text{California}(r, \text{AWAspe}) := \log(2 \cdot \text{AWAspe}) - 2.48 + 2.76 \cdot \log(r)$ Local Magnitude for California

$\text{Vesuvio}(r, \text{AWAspe}) := \log(2 \cdot \text{AWAspe}) + 1.28 \cdot \log(r) - 1.1$ Local Magnitude for Mt. Vesuvius

Moment Magnitude (Kanamori):

$fo := 1 \cdot Hz$

$\rho := 2.700 \cdot \frac{gm}{cm^3}$

$vs := 2 \cdot 10^5 \frac{cm}{sec}$

$$\text{norm} := \frac{2 \cdot T}{\sqrt{\text{last}(\text{trace})}}$$

$\text{norm} = 0.79984\,s$

$$\text{Mo}(R, \text{omega}) := \frac{\left(\text{omega} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\pi \cdot R \cdot fo}{vs \cdot Q}\right) \cdot 4 \cdot \pi \cdot \rho \cdot vs^3 \cdot R\right)}{0.85}$$

$$\text{mum}_j := \frac{\left|\text{spostamento}_j \cdot \frac{1}{m} + \text{spostamento2}_j \cdot \frac{1}{m}\right|}{2}$$

$\text{musm} := \text{medsmooth}(\text{mum}, 11)$



$$omega := \frac{10^2 \cdot norm \cdot cm}{500} \cdot \sum_{k=100}^{600} musm_k$$

Authomatical evaluation of omega in cm*s:

$omega = 0.0000104 s \cdot cm$

$Mo(364000 cm, omega) = 1.3318806 10^{18} \cdot dyne \cdot cm$

Moment Magnitude (Kanamori) and (Tatcher) Formulas:

$$Mw := \frac{\log\left(Mo(364000 cm, omega) \cdot \frac{1}{dyne \cdot cm}\right)}{1.5} - 10.73$$

$$Tatcher := \frac{\log\left(Mo(364000 cm, omega) \cdot \frac{1}{dyne \cdot cm}\right)}{1.5} - \frac{16}{1.5}$$

$Mw = 1.3529769$ **Moment Magnitude (Kanamori)**

$Tatcher = 1.4163102$ **Moment Magnitude with Tatcher and Hanks Formula**

$California\left(3.64, 1000 \cdot AWAspe \frac{1}{m}\right) = 0.7887708$ **California W A Magnitude**

$Vesuvio\left(3.64, AWAspe\, 10^3 \cdot \frac{1}{m}\right) = 1.3383408$ **Vesuvius W A Magnitude**

We calculated the Vesuvius Magnitude, the Kanamori Magnitude and the Tatcher and Hanks Magnitude for 181 earthquakes recorded in 1996 by a Lennartz seismic station (BKE) of the Osservatorio Vesuviano Seismic Network. For 131 events it was possible to compare the Vesuvius Wood-Anderson Magnitude with the Duration magnitude estimated for the seismic station OVO using the empirical formula $M_D = 2.75 \log \tau - 2.35$. The Wood Anderson Magnitude can be related to the Duration Magnitude performing a linear fit (see the next plot), which provides the following relation:

$M_{WA} = 0.682 + 0.655\, M_D$



As we know the relation between the Duration Magnitude and log τ, we can combine the two formulas to obtain a final relation between the Wood Anderson Magnitude and the duration of the earthquake:

$M_{WA} = 0.682 + 0.655 * (2.75 \log \tau - 2.35) = 1.8 \log \tau - 0.9$