Preinvasive Adenocarcinoma of the Vermiform Appendix
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Primary adenocarcinoma of the vermiform appendix is a rare lesion. Beger2) is generally credited as having reported the first authenticated case in 1882. Since then, less than 200 cases of adenocarcinoma of the appendix are on record in English-language literature126). In Japan, IWASAKI et al.14) (1976) collected 58 cases of primary carcinomas of the appendix (adenocarcinoma and malignant mucocele included). Recently, routine histologic examination of all surgical specimens has resulted in the discovery of an increasing number of cases.

This paper reports a case of preinvasive adenocarcinoma of the appendix of colonic type, which was removed incidentally at laparotomy for intestinal obstruction by angulation. It reviews some of the relevant literature, with regard to the classification, pathology, incidence, diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis of this lesion.

Case Report

The patient (MK), a seventy-six year old Japanese woman, was admitted to National Himeji Hospital on March 8, 1977 with a two week history of lower abdominal pain. The pain was initially located in the left lower abdomen, became subsequently bilateral, and gradually crampy and associated with nausea and vomiting. There had been some increased vaginal discharge for two weeks before her admission.

Physical examination revealed a pale-colored, emaciated and dehydrated female patient.
Blood pressure was 140/70 mmHg, pulse 100 beats per minute, temperature 35.0°C (95.0°F). The lower abdomen showed a general distension, the contour of the intestinal loop was clearly seen and increased intestinal peristalsis was visible. Abdominal palpation revealed slight tenderness in the lower abdomen, but muscle spasm or guarding and rebound tenderness were not elicited. Neither any definite mass could be palpated. The bowel sounds were hyperactive. A roentgenogram of the abdomen showed a pattern compatible with ileus. Preoperative diagnosis of small bowel obstruction was made.

Laboratory findings were as follows: White blood cell count 9,400/mm³, red blood cell count 282 × 10⁴, platelet 22 × 10⁴, hemoglobin 8.7 g/dl, hematocrit 29%. Blood chemistry revealed BUN of 160 mg/dl, serum creatinine 7.8 mg/dl, Na 165, K 6.4, Cl 119 mEq/l, total protein 5.7 g/dl, total bilirubin 0.6 mg/dl, GOT 16, GPT 10 King-Armstrong unit, alkaline phosphatase 8 King-Armstrong unit, LDH 290 Wroblewski unit, serum amylase 580 IU. CRP +5. Fasting blood sugar was 107 mg/dl. Urinalysis gave specific gravity 1.015, protein 30 mg/di, 0.5 white blood cells, 0.5 red cells, 1-5 epithelial cells per high power field in the sediment. Stools were negative for occult blood. Electrocardiogram showed tall peaked T-wave and depression of S-T segment. Arterial blood gas analysis: pH 7.331, PaO₂ 127 mmHg, PaCO₂ 18.2 mmHg, O₂ saturation 100%, base excess −14 mEq/l (the patient breathing oxygen through nasal canula, 3 liter per minute).

Operation was done on March 9, with general anesthesia. At laparotomy some turbid peritoneal fluid was found. A loop of ileum was attached to the pelvic floor. The surfaces of the ovaries, cul-de-sac and adherent bowel loop were covered with yellowish purulent exudate. The exudate culture yielded α-streptococci. Acute pelvic infection was suspected to occur at first, and then, small bowel loop was involved, resulting in the intestinal obstruction by kinking. Adhesions were freed by scissor dissection, and an “incidental” appendectomy was done, and the peritoneal cavity drained. No enlarged lymph nodes were found. Removed appendix was 5 cm long and 1cm in diameter with a grayish-white outer appearance, but showed no evidence of acute inflammation. Formalin fixed specimen showed the mucosal surface of villous appearance in the entire appendix (from the base to the tip), which was slightly elevated, resembling to “type IIa” (superficial, elevated type) early gastric cancer⁵ (Fig. 1).

Histologic examination of the specimen disclosed a papillary adenocarcinoma of the appendix, which had not yet begun to invade the submucosa, or carcinoma in situ (Fig. 2, 3 and 4). No further surgical procedure was performed on this patient because of her poor general condition.

Postoperatively, the patient was referred for hemodialysis but died on the eighth postoperative day from renal failure. No autopsy was made.

Discussion

Classification and Pathology. Collins⁵ (1963) surveyed 71,000 human appendices (removed for disease, incidentally, or at autopsy), and found about 20 malignant conditions
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Fig. 1. Formalin fixed specimen showed mucosal surface of villous appearance in the entire appendix, where the mucosa was superficially elevated.

Fig. 2. Low power view of papillary adenocarcinoma in situ of the appendix. Lymphoid tissue is disappeared. (Hematoxylin and eosin stain, ×40).

Fig. 3. Showing the structural and cellular atypism of the glands. (Hematoxylin and eosin stain, ×100).

Fig. 4. High power magnification of adenocarcinoma of the appendix. (Hematoxylin and eosin stain, ×400).

(Table 1). UhleIN and McDonald33 (1943) classified primary carcinomas of the appendix into three types: 1) carcinoma of the carcinoid type (88.2%), 2) carcinoma of the cystic type producing pseudomyxoma peritonei (8.3%), and 3) carcinoma of the colonic type which resembles, both grossly and microscopically, that found in the colon (3.5%). Today they are known as the carcinoid, malignant mucocele, and adenocarcinoma of the appendix, of which adenocarcinoma is by far the rarest and has the worst prognosis. Carcinoid tumor is most benign, and is now regarded as a distinct entity. Malignant mucocele and colonic type adenocarcinoma are histologic variants of the same tumor (McGregor and McGregor18, 1960), and there exists some overlap in these two groups, that is, at one end of the scale are the less differentiated colonic type adenocarcinoma, and at the other end are the well differentiated, more mucus producing malignant mucocele type of tumors (Wilson31, 1962), but because of their different natural history and prognosis, they are also described separately.

Carcinoid tumors were first described by Oberrndorfer in 190729. The tumor cells of the
Table 1. Primary malignant tumors in 71,000 human appendices (Collins51)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor Type</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomyxoma peritonei (From perforated appendiceal mucocele)</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoid tumors</td>
<td>(489)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localized to appendix</td>
<td>417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastasizing and malignant</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>57*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reticuloendothelial sarcoma</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follicular lymphoblastoma</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphosarcoma</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant mucosal solitary polyp</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrosarcoma</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undifferentiated sarcoma</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spindle-cell</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round-cell</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple myeloma</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanoblastoma</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liposarcoma</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant mucosal polyp associated with congenital familial polyposis</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphangiosarcoma</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroblastoma</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myxosarcoma</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boeck’s sarcoid</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanoblastoma (Nonpigmented)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroepithelioma</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>958**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* : 0.080%, ** : 1.351%

carcinoid derive from the enterochromaffin granular cells (Nicholas Kultschitzky cells) in the crypt of LIEBERKÜHN, and release serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine), which causes the symptoms of the functioning syndrome recognized as the malignant carcinoid syndrome. The appendix is the commonest site of the carcinoid tumors, originating in the submucosa of the tip of the appendix, but metastases (2 per cent35) and functioning syndrome (1.2-3.4 per cent28) are extremely rare. Whereas initially it was felt that hepatic metastases were a sine qua non to the development of syndrome, it is now thought that milder forms of the syndrome exist in the absence of metastatic disease. In a recent study, SOGA and TAZAWA29 (1971) attempted more detailed classification of the carcinoids, dividing them into 5 types histologically, and 3 groups on the basis of silver impregnations. Ultrastructural observations also demonstrate several specific secretory granules in the tumor cells. Not only serotoninoma, but other polypeptide hormone-producing tumors, for example, such as motilinoma, VIPoma and GIPoma, might have been included in classic carcinoids28.

*Mucoceles* of the appendix, characterized by a cystic dilatation of the lumen containing mucinous material, comprise 3 distinctive clinicopathological entities: mucosal hyperplasia,
mucinous cystadenoma, and mucinous cystadenocarcinoma\textsuperscript{11}. Of the mucoceles, fewer than 10 per cent show malignant characteristics\textsuperscript{12}. Malignant mucoceles belong, pathohistologically, to the mucinous cystadenocarcinoma group. Those tumors produce large quantities of mucus, invade the stroma, and are prone to perforation (25 per cent\textsuperscript{23}), but nodal metastasis or visceral invasion are rare. The main form of spread is by peritoneal dissemination, as in the production of so-called pseudomyxoma peritonei of appendiceal origin. Ovarian mucinous cystomas also exhibit a similar clinical picture, when spread to the peritoneum.

\textit{Adenocarcinomas} of the colonic type resemble ordinary carcinomas of the large bowel, in gross appearance and biological behavior. They may occur at the base or tip of the appendix, although they are more frequent at the base\textsuperscript{23,33}. Grossly, they may be polypoid or papillary, ulcerative, or may infiltrate the appendiceal wall diffusely (infiltrative)\textsuperscript{27,33}. They have been referred to previously as of low grade malignancy and often remain confined to the mucosa for a long time\textsuperscript{18}, but recent reviews suggest that they behave as aggressively as any other colonic cancers. The muscular layers of the appendix are frequently incomplete in some instances, where the submucosa becomes adjacent to the subserosa, and submucosal invasion of the tumor is actually subserosal extension. The colonic type of adenocarcinoma metastasizes to lymphatics, liver, peritoneum, and lung. Ten per cent of the patients had wide spread metastatic disease when first seen\textsuperscript{24}. The lymphatic spread is initially to the ileocolic nodes, later to the nodes on the anterior surface of the third portion of the duodenum and the para-aortic nodes.

QIZILBASH\textsuperscript{26} (1975) reported two cases of “linitis plastica carcinoma” of the appendix, of which the entire wall was diffusely infiltrated by signet-ring cells. KLEIN\textsuperscript{15} (1974) has proposed that signet-ring cell tumors of the appendix (which are morphologically identical to microglandular type tumor described by WOLFF and AHMED\textsuperscript{36}, 1976) are “mucinous variants of appendiceal carcinoid tumors” rather than adenocarcinoma. SUBBUSSWAMY et al.\textsuperscript{31} (1974) described “goblet cell carcinoid” of the appendix, which clearly resembles the goblet cell in the epithelium of the intestinal tract. These investigators consider this group of neoplasms to represent a distinctive type, which may well be related to carcinoid.

\textbf{Incidence.} Carcinoid tumors of the appendix are most common, and are found in approximately every 500 routine appendectomies\textsuperscript{18}. Malignant mucoceles are found once in every 2,000 to 5,000 appendectomies\textsuperscript{32}.

Incidence of colonic type adenocarcinoma varies from 0.02 to 0.25 per cent of appendectomies. STEINBERG and COHN\textsuperscript{30} (1967) found 3 cases of adenocarcinoma in 15,000 cases or with an incidence of 0.02 per cent. MOSS\textsuperscript{22} (1974) reported 10 cases in a survey of 3,989 cases, in which the incidence was 0.25 per cent. COLLINS\textsuperscript{5} (1963) found 57 cases of adenocarcinoma in 71,000 cases, an incidence of 0.08 per cent (one in every 1,250 appendices); this possibly represents the incidence. Adenocarcinoma of the appendix accounts for 0.2 to 0.5 per cent of all tumors of the gastrointestinal tract\textsuperscript{24}.

The age range of the adenocarcinoma of the appendix is from 17 to 84 with the majority occurring in the fifth to the seventh decades of life\textsuperscript{3,24}. There probably is no sig-
significant sex predominance, but several reports slightly favor the male\cite{10,11,12,23,27}. Preinvasive appendiceal adenocarcinoma is very rarely reported\cite{27}.

**Symptoms and Diagnosis.** The diagnosis of appendiceal adenocarcinoma has never been made preoperatively, since there is no specific clinical or pathognomonic sign and symptom. Most of it is found by the pathologist in specimen removed. The early clinical signs are a vague abdominal discomfort or pain especially in the right lower quadrant, and a palpable mass may be the late metastatic and invasive symptoms. Hesketh\cite{10} (1963) reported a series of 95 cases in which 69 per cent were presented as benign appendiceal disease, 11 per cent in a terminal phase, and 14 per cent had an “incidental” appendectomy in association with other abdominal operations, with subsequent histological discovery of an adenocarcinoma (Table 2). The recently developed diagnostic procedure, such as double-contrast enema, fibro-optic colonoscopy, and selective angiography of superior mesenteric artery, may be used for the early detection of neoplastic lesions of the appendix\cite{7}. Fibro-optic colonoscopy could be of some help by visualization of the mucosal pattern of the cecum and of the ostium of the appendix, or by direct biopsy, aspiration biopsy, and brush biopsy for cytological studies, instead of exploratory laparotomy which is usually performed at a later stage of the disease with metastases. No effort should be spared in attempting to diagnose malignancy in its earliest stage\cite{25}.

In some instances of malignant mucoceles, “calcium flecks” in the walls may suggest the diagnosis\cite{18,27}.

**Treatment and Prognosis.** At present, the only definitive measure for control of adenocarcinoma of colonic type of the appendix is surgery. The recommended operation is right hemicolectomy with node dissection. Initially, it was thought that simple appendectomy was sufficient treatment if the lesion was limited to the mucosa. However, recent studies suggest that more radical surgery is proper procedure, offering a better prognosis\cite{14,19,20,21,24}. Cohen and Wolfman\cite{17} (1974) reported a three year survival rate in case of appendectomy alone ranged from 20 to 73 per cent, and in case of right hemicolectomy, from 63 to 92 per cent. Hesketh\cite{10} (1963) reported that only 4 out of 19 patients treated by appendectomy alone were alive at the end of five years (five year survival rate of 21 per cent), whereas 19 out of 31 patients who underwent right hemicolectomy were alive and well for five years (five year survival rate of 61 per cent).

Radiotherapy and immuno-chemotherapy have been used on inoperable patients, but the results are not encouraging.

**Is appendectomy followed by increase of cancer risk?** McVay\cite{19} (1943) showed a possible relationship between appendectomy and subsequent development of cancer. McVay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Preoperative diagnosis of adenocarcinoma of colonic type of the appendix (Hesketh\cite{10})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute appendicitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix abscess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Chronic appendicitis”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal phase with wide spread metastases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Incidental” appendectomy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
surveyed autopsy records and clinical histories (all patients older than 30 years), and found that the incidence of appendectomy in patients dying of carcinoma, especially of the colon, was significantly higher than in a comparable control group (patients who died of vascular disease). His report shows the incidence of previous appendectomy in patients dying of colon carcinoma (229 cases), other malignant neoplasms (198 cases), and vascular disease (271 cases) are 18.2 per cent, 12.6 per cent, and 7.7 per cent, respectively. McVay offered a hypothesis that the appendix may act as a protective device against enteric viruses that may initiate malignant change in the colon and possibly at various other sites in the body. Moertel et al.201 (1974), however, demonstrated no apparent predisposition for the development of cancer in those patients who had undergone appendectomy, based on a prospective study of 1,779 patients who were all over the age of forty years.

Summary

A case report of preinvasive appendiceal adenocarcinoma of the colonic type is presented. The literature dealing with primary carcinomas of the appendix is reviewed. Preoperative diagnosis is all but impossible. Acute obstructive appendicitis or appendiceal abscess are the clinical picture most often seen10112. Some patients have an "incidental" appendectomy with subsequent histological discovery of an adenocarcinoma. It should be emphasized that all appendices removed should be subjected to microscopic examination. Right hemicolectomy is the treatment of choice, offering the best prognosis for adenocarcinoma of colonic type of the appendix. But, it has a poor prognosis unless discovered early.
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和文抄録

虫垂腺癌はまれな疾患とされ、Beger（1882）の報告以来、現在までに200例くらい報告があるにすぎない。

われわれは最近、76才女子の紙性エイレウスで開腹中に切除了虫垂の上皮内腺癌を経験したので報告する。特に、虫垂癌の分類、病理、傾度、症状、診断、治療、予後について若干の考察を加えた。

Uihlein & McDonald（1943）は、虫垂癌をカルチノイド型（88.2％）、囊腫型（cystic type，8.3％）、結腸型（colonic type，3.5％）の3型に分類した。現在では、これらは1) carcinoid、2) malignant mucocele（破裂するといわゆる pseudomyxoma peritonei を生ずる。病理組織的には mucinous cystadenocarcinoma である）、3) adenocarcinoma として知られている。

虫垂腺癌は、虫垂の基部に多くみられ、肉眼的には大腸癌と同様、ポリープ型又は潰瘍型を呈する。われわれの症例では、ホルマリン固定標本で、早期胃癌のⅡa 型を思わせる発症例の隆起を認めた。虫垂腺癌は頻度は少ないが、悪性度は一律強く、粘膜下まで浸潤がありと、リンパ節や、肝、腹膜、肺に転移を形成しやすい。虫垂の malignant mucocele と結腸腺癌とは、同一起源の腫瘍の組織学的 variant とみなされ、両者の相違は分化度の差によるものと考えられている（前者の方が分化度が高い）が、臨床経過と予後が異なるので、別扱で記載される。なお、Qizilbash（1974）は、虫垂腺の全例に signet-ring cell で浸潤された liñitis plastica carcinoma の2例を報告しているが、最近の研究によると、虫垂の signet-ring cell tumor は実際 carcinoid であるかも知れないという。

虫垂腺癌の頻度は、虫垂標本の0.02～0.25％にみられ、Collins（1963）は71,000例中57例、0.08％に認め、全消化管腫瘍の0.2～0.5％を占める。

術前に虫垂癌を診断することは困難である。急性虫垂炎、虫垂周囲膿瘍、回盲部膿瘍などの診断のもとに開腹された、ほかの疾患で開腹したついてに切除され、組織検査で癌を発見されることもある。開腹した時には必ず虫垂を検査し、また虫垂を切除した時は必ず病理組織検査を行う必要がある。

虫垂腺癌の治療は、右半結腸切除術と所属リンパ節廓清が適当である。リンパ節は十二指腸前方まで精査する必要がある。

予後は、虫垂腺癌の3年生存率は、虫垂切除のみでは20～73％、右半結腸切除で63～92％である。5年生存率はHesketh（1963）によると、虫垂切除のみでは21％、右半結腸切除を行なっても61％であって、決して良好とはいえない。