

NUNEN		NUTTO IT ANAL	
Title	Targeted Suppression of EVI1 Oncogen Sequence-Specific Pyrrole-Imidazole Po	ne Expr olyami	ression by de.
Author(s)	Syed, Junetha; Pandian, Ganesh N; Sato Junichi; Chandran, Anandhakumar; Has Toshikazu; Sugiyama, Hiroshi	o, Shins shiya, k	suke; Taniguchi, Kaori; Bando,
Citation	Chemistry & biology (2014), 21(10): 13	370-138	30
Issue Date	2014-10-23		
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/191246		
Right	© 2014 Elsevier Ltd.		
Туре	Journal Article		
Textversion	author		

Targeted suppression of EVI1 oncogene expression by sequence-specific pyrrole–imidazole polyamide

Syed Junetha¹, Ganesh N.Pandian², Shinsuke Sato², Junichi Taniguchi¹, Chandran Anandhakumar¹, Kaori Hashiya¹, Toshikazu Bando¹, Hiroshi Sugiyama^{1, 2*}

¹ Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Sakyo, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan; E-Mail: <u>hs@kuchem.kyoto-u.ac.jp</u>

² Institute for Integrated Cell-Material Sciences (iCeMS), Kyoto University, Sakyo, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; Professor Hiroshi Sugiyama, Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Sakyo, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan Tel: +81-75-753-4002 and Fax: 81-75-753-3670

E-Mail: <u>hs@kuchem.kyoto-u.ac.jp</u>

Running Title: Py-Im polyamide targeted to EVI1 oncogene.

Summary:

Human ectopic viral integration site 1 (EVI1) is an oncogenic transcription factor known to play a critical role in many aggressive forms of cancer. Its selective modulation is thought to alter the cancer-specific gene regulatory networks. Pyrrole–imidazole polyamides (PIPs) are a class of small DNA binders that can be designed to target any destined DNA sequence. Herein, we report for the first time a novel sequence-specific pyrrole–imidazole polyamide, PIP1, which can target specific base pairs of the REL/ELK1 binding site in the *EVI1* minimal promoter. The designed PIP1 significantly inhibited EVI1 in MDA-MB-231 cells. Whole-transcriptome analysis confirmed that PIP1 affected a fraction of EVI1-mediated gene regulation. In vitro assays suggested that this polyamide can also effectively inhibit breast cancer cell migration. Taken together, these results suggest that EVI1-targeted PIP1 as an effective transcriptional regulator in cancer cells.

Introduction

Ectopic viral integration site 1 (*EVI1*) was identified initially as an integration locus of retroviruses in AKXD myeloid tumor mouse models (Mucenski et al., 1988). *EVI1* is a zinc finger transcription regulator encoded in the human chromosome 3q26 that undergoes frequent rearrangements that can activate EVI1 expression in association with pathogenesis caused by myeloid leukemia (Morishita et al., 1992). Ectopic viral integration site 1 plays an important role in hematopoietic stem cell proliferation, and its defects cause deregulated vascularization and neural development during embryogenesis (Hoyt et al., 1997; Yuasa et al., 2005). High expression of EVI1 is categorized as a risk factor for cancer and several reports have suggested a major role of

EVI1 in the onset of leukemic, pancreatic, ovarian, and breast cancers (Brooks et al., 1996; Jazaeriet al., 2010; Lugthart et al., 2008; Tanaka et al., 2014) regardless of the presence of a 3q26 rearrangement. EVI1 coordinates with the proto-oncogene FOS in regulating gene expression to control numerous tumorigenic properties like cellular motility, adhesion and growth (Bard-Chapeau et al., 2012).

Among the epithelial cancers, Breast cancer is the commonly diagnosed malignancy with increasing mortality rate. Robust expression and polymorphism of EVII has been reported in breast cancer patients and many breast cancer cell lines (Patel et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014) and EVII influences the cancer risk and prognosis in breast cancer patients. EVII is the downstream target of the tumor suppressor microRNA miR-22, and the loss of expression of miR-22 leads to the activation of EVII mediated metastasis specific oncogenic signaling pathways thus contributing to the pathogenesis of breast cancer cells (Patel et al., 2011). Therefore, development of effectors capable of the targeted repression of EVII could be useful in counteracting its tumor-promoting property in the treatment of breast cancer.

The naturally occurring antibiotic distamycin A paved the way for the development of a class of targeting small molecules called Pyrrole–imidazole (Py–Im) polyamides (PIPs). PIPs can bind to the DNA minor groove in a sequence specific mode

and competes with the specific transcription factor in binding to its DNA recognition sequence. Thereby polyamides inhibit the DNA-protein interface causing the modulation in gene expression (Dervan, 2001; Dickinson et al., 1999; Nickols and Dervan, 2007; Trauger et al., 1996; Willis et al., 2010). PIPs are synthetic oligomers of N-methylpyrrole and N-methylimidazole that have easy access to cells without the need for a precise delivery system (Bando et al., 2002; Best et al., 2003; Murty and Sugiyama, 2004). PIPs are unaffected by nucleases and are relatively stable inside cells. The pyrrole of PIP prefers binding to T, A, and C bases, whereas the imidazole favors only the G base. Thus, side-by-side pairings of Im/Py and Py/Im in a hairpin bind to G•C and C•G, respectively, whereas Py/Py pairing binds to A•T or T•A. A γ -aminobutyric acid turn in a hairpin PIP selectively prefers A/T and the paired β -alanine residue (β/β) favors A•T or T•A binding (Bando et al., 2002; Dervan, 2001; Matsuda et al., 2006; Trauger et al., 1996). A hairpin PIP (N to C terminus) generally prefers binding in the 5'-3' direction of the DNA strand termed, "forward orientation" (White et al., 1997). However, recent studies employing high throughput Bind n seq analysis revealed the N to C aligning of polyamides also in the 3'-5' direction of the DNA strand termed ,"reverse orientation". However, the binding affinity and the orientation preference of the polyamides seem to be completely dependent on its structure- activity

relationship that remains to be unsolved (Kang et al., 2014; Meier et al., 2012).

The ability to regulate gene expression suggests the possible use of hairpin polyamides in medical therapeutics. PIPs designed to bind to the AP-1-binding sequence have been shown to bind to the promoter region of $TGF-\beta$ gene and to reduce TGF-β expression, resulting in improved treatment of progressive renal disease in rat models (Matsuda et al., 2006). The potential application of hairpin polyamides as antitumor agents is a major subject of interest, and several studies have focused on this aspect. Polyamides modulate the expression of downstream genes regulated by androgen, glucocorticoid, and estrogen in cell culture when targeted to their respective consensus response elements (Muzikar et al., 2009; Nickols and Dervan, 2007; Nickols et al., 2013). Hairpin polyamides targeted to the 5'-WGWWCW-3' sequence significantly reduce tumor growth in mouse xenograft models (Yang et al., 2013). These studies have indicated that polyamides targeted to the gene promoter region may act as an effective gene silencer.

Encouraged by these previous findings, we aimed to produce a hairpin polyamide that could target and inhibit EVI1 expression in the breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231. Here, we show that our synthetic PIP1 targeting the position overlapping the REL and ELK1 recognition sequences in the minimal promoter region of *EVI1* modulates the expression of EVI1. Using genome-wide expression analysis, we found that PIP1 could modulate the downstream targets of EVI1 and the migration of MDA-MB-231 cells. This is the first report showing the ability of a synthetic small molecule to target EVI1. This strategy could be expanded to target critical oncogenic transcription factors of therapeutic importance.

Results:

Design of PIPs

ELK1, a member of the ETS family of transcription factors, is one of the major regulators of EVI1 expression (Maicas et al., 2013). Targeting the key sequences like the ELK1 recognition sequence on the promoter region of the therapeutically important factor like *EVI1* could be an efficient way in controlling its expression. In order to obtain the specificity in targeting a particular gene promoter, polyamides have to be designed to cover the boundary that span the consensus sequence recognized by the transcription factor (Matsuda et al., 2006). Specificity towards *EVI1* promoter could be achieved by designing PIPs that cover the overlapping sequences recognized by ELK1 and REL on the human ectopic viral integration site 1 minimal promoter. Such strategy of targeting overlapping sequences has the advantage of controlling both ELK1 and REL mediated regulation of EVI1 expression. Two nine-base pair-recognizing hairpin

PIPs were designed and synthesized to study their effect on the expression of EVI1. We incorporated β/β in the structure of our PIPs because our previous studies have shown that the replacement of pyrrole with β -alanine increases the binding affinity of PIPs to the target DNA sequence (Han et al., 2013). PIP1 was anticipated to bind in the forward orientation 5'-3' to the targeted REL and ELK1 overlapping bases of the ectopic viral integration site 1 minimal promoter. To substantiate the sequence specificity, PIP2 was designed as a negative control with a single mismatch (a single pyrrole replaced by an imidazole, which interrupts the binding to the match site). Figure 1A shows the structure of the PIP1 and PIP2, and Figure 1B depicts the binding of the polyamide matched to its target sequences.

Effect of PIPs on *EVI1* promoter activity

We first measured the luciferase activity of the designed PIPs in MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with the human ectopic viral integration site 1 promoter to verify their inhibitory effect. Match hairpin PIP1 significantly repressed the promoter activity by reducing the luciferase emission at 2 μ M concentration compared with the control DMSO. By contrast, the mismatch PIP2 (also at 2 μ M) had no effect on luciferase activity (Figure 2A).

Quantification of EVI1 mRNA in PIP-treated MDA-MB-231 cells

We next performed quantitative real-time PCR to confirm whether the expression of human ectopic viral integration site 1 mRNA could be modulated by the designed PIPs. The expression level of ectopic viral integration site 1 was significantly inhibited in MDA-MB-231 cells with increasing final concentrations of match PIP1 at concentrations of 2 μ m and 10 μ m. However, the mismatch PIP2 (ns: non-significant Vs. Control DMSO) did not reduce the *EVI1* mRNA level significantly within the effective concentration range of the match polyamide. This finding confirmed the importance of sequence specificity (Figure 2B).

Genome-wide profiling of EVI1-regulated genes by match PIPs

We analyzed the global expression changes with the favorable forward-binding PIP1 to verify the biological significance of gene repression. EVI1 is a zinc finger transcription factor that coordinates with various coactivators and corepressors, thereby activating and repressing a large set of functional genes (Izutsu et al., 2001; Soderholm et al., 1997). We next analyzed the comprehensive effect of match PIP1 at a concentration of 10 μ M on EVI1-regulated genes using an Affymetrix Human Gene 2.1 ST Array Strip in MDA-MB-231 cells. This array covers about 53,617 gene transcripts. The generated probe set intensity files (CEL files) of the array strips were subjected to Iter-PLIER

algorithm in the core probeset analysis of the Affymetrix expression console software to evaluate the gene level expression measurements. Iter-PLIER algorithm removes probesets with unreliable signals and estimates the normalized gene level signal intensities (Huang et al., 2012) after background subtraction in log2scale. To detect the statistically significant changes between DMSO and PIP1 treated samples, the normalized signal intensities is subjected to t-test statistics and the differential expression values were calculated in terms of log 2 ratio. Compared with the control DMSO-treated cells, match PIP1 significantly regulated 985 genes by more than two fold, of which 738 genes were downregulated and 247 genes were upregulated (*t* test, p<0.05).

We then performed gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of the significant differentially regulated genes (p< 0.05) using the published gene expression profiles in NFS-60 cells after knocking down EVI1 (Glass et al., 2013) with the gene sets (Table S1). GSEA demonstrated that the genes modulated by EVI1-targeted PIP1 showed similarity with the defined EVI1 knocked down gene set (Glass et al., 2013). Furthermore, by assigning the cut-off p value to be lesser than 0.01 and FDR < 0.25 GSEA revealed that the match PIP1 could significantly downregulate the genes upregulated by EVI1 when compared to the control DMSO (Figure 3A). GSEA derived heat map of the EVI1 upregulated genes in the control DMSO and PIP1 treated samples is shown in Figure 3B. Signal intensities are demonstrated by shades of red (upregulation) and blue (downregulation).We also performed qRT-PCR for *PLXNC1* and *LOXL3* as representatives from the GSEA top ranked list of EVI1 target genes and demonstrated that the expression of the above mentioned genes were modulated only by match PIP1 but not by mismatch PIP2 (Figure S1).This findings indicated the inability of PIP2 in regulating the GSEA ranked EVI1 target genes and demonstrated that PIP1 inhibited EVI1 expression and the observed gene expression profile was due to the modulation by EVI1.

To obtain a deeper insight into the downstream genes differentially regulated by EVI1-targeted PIP1, we next examined the changes in the expression levels of some of the reported EVI1 targets (Bard-Chapeau et al., 2012; Glass et al., 2013; Goyama et al., 2008) and compared these between the DMSO- and PIP1- treated microarray expression profile data using heat map. Heat maps are employed to display the signal intensities of gene probes in colored cell where strong signal intensities (highest expression value) are represented by yellow or red and blue represents the weak intensity (lowest expression value) whereas the intermediate probe expression values are indicated by varying shades of yellow/red and blue. This analysis included the genes that were significantly regulated (*t* test, p < 0.05) by >1.2-fold by match PIP1. The heat map image generated to represent the differentially expressed signatures of the known EVI1-regulated genes in PIP1-treated samples relative to the expression in the control DMSO-treated samples is shown in Figure 4A. The analysis revealed that match PIP1 downregulated those genes upregulated by EVI1 and PIP1 also upregulated the EVI1-repressed genes. The genes represented in the heat map and their respective fold changes are shown in Table 1 and Table S2.

Some of the representative upregulated genes (*DUSP1* and *SOCS3*) and downregulated genes (*LTBP1* and *LYST*) were selected for qRT-PCR to verify the microarray expression data obtained for PIP1. The observed results demonstrated that the Match PIP1 effectively reproduced the gene regulation in a pattern similar to that of the microarray data, whereas mismatch PIP2 failed to regulate the EVI1 target genes (Figure 4B). These results explain that PIP1 gains over the mismatch PIP2 in the specificity towards targeting EVI1 and its downstream genes.

We also performed Ingenuity pathway analysis (IPA) of the differentially expressed genes to gain a more precise global understanding of the underlying biological processes. Interestingly, in this analysis, the large number of genes corresponding to functions such as homing of cells, metastasis, lymphoma adhesion, and cell maturation were downregulated. EVII functions as a survival factor and bring resistance to cell death (Liu et al., 2006) and it also promotes cell proliferation by modulating the expression of growth-stimulating factors (Gómez-Benito et al., 2010; Nayak et al., 2013). The IPA analysis of the microarray data also revealed that most genes related to organismal death and growth failure were upregulated to suggest the role of EVI1- targeted PIP1 in inducing apoptotic cell death and inhibiting the cellular proliferation respectively. The above mentioned functional annotations were highly enriched in the genes differentially regulated by PIP1 compared with the DMSO-treated control (Table 2). In the IPA, Z-scores above (activation) and below (inhibition) the value of 2 were statistically significant.

Biological evaluation of PIP1 reveals antitumor activities:

To verify whether the changes in gene expression are manifested in changes in biological activity, we evaluated the ability of PIP1 to inhibit the migration of MDA-MB-231 cells in a scratch wound-healing assay. The DMSO-treated control tumor cells induced the complete closure of the wound area within 24 h, whereas treatment with PIP1 at 2μ M efficiently hindered the migration of the breast cancer cells compared with PIP2 (Figure 5A).

To determine whether EVI1-targeted PIP1 can affect cancer cell invasion, we

performed an invasion assay by incubating MDA-MB-231 cells with DMSO, PIP1, or PIP2 at 2 μ M for 24 h. PIP1 reduced the number of cells invading across Matrigel, but DMSO and PIP2 had no significant effect on cell invasion (Figure 5B and 5C).

An in vitro cell proliferation assay was performed to assess the effect of PIP1 on cell growth. MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with PIP1 at various concentrations and incubated for 72 h. The WST8 assay showed that PIP1 exhibited a mild range of cytostatic activity in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 5D).

Discussion

PIPs have the unique property of being able to recognize nucleic acid base sequences by following the proposed DNA-recognition rule (Geierstanger et al., 1994; Trauger et al., 1996; White et al., 1996). PIPs can bind to a specific predetermined sequence wrapped inside nucleosomes and can influence chromatin structure. The prospective application of PIPs in gene therapy relies on their controlled sequence specificity (Bando et al., 2002; Dervan, 2001; Matsuda et al., 2006; Nickols and Dervan, 2007; Nickols et al., 2013; Ueno et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2013). Human cancer is often associated with overexpression of tumor inducing genes, under such conditions PIPs have the potential use as novel antitumor agents as they can prevent the enhanced expression of the target gene by interfering with the binding of transcription factors to their respective regulatory sequences without affecting the basal expression needed for the normal cellular function (Takahashi et al., 2008). Several recent investigations have focused on the antitumor properties of PIPs (Nickols and Dervan, 2007; Nickols et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2013).

The transcriptional activity of the highly conserved oncoprotein EVI1 is regulated by phosphorylation at serine 196 in its first zinc finger domain (White et al., 2013). Elevated expression of EVI1 results in the malignant transformation of many epithelial cells as breast, ovarian and pancreatic cancers (Brooks et al., 1996; Jazaeri et al., 2010; Lugthart et al., 2008; Tanaka et al., 2014; Patel et al., 2011). Many basal and BRCA1 associated breast tumors have been correlated with the over expression of EVI1 in comparison with the normal breast tissue (Weber-Mangal et al., 2003; Wessels et al., 2002). Also, EVI1 is known to be associated with reduced metastasis- free and overall survival rate of ER α - positive subgroup of breast cancer patients. The abnormal expression of EVI1 is the result of its genetic variants and it may offer resistance towards chemotherapy resulting in the breast cancer patient relapse (Patel et al., 2011). Recent population studies of breast cancer patients revealed the existence of EVI-1 rs6774494 polymorphism that is responsible for the etiology of breast cancer (Wang et al., 2014). This aberrant expression of EVI1 in carcinogenic conditions is governed by the transcription factors ELK1 and RUNX1 by binding to their respective regulatory sequences on the *EVI1* minimal promoter region (Maicas et al., 2013).

Accumulating evidence indicates that EVII overexpression plays an important role in malignancy and has prompted an interest in developing inhibitors against EVII as targeted cancer therapy. At present, siRNA therapeutics is the only available option to treat EVII-associated diseases. However, nucleic acid medicines have the disadvantages that they can be degraded easily by nucleases and that they require efficient delivery systems to reach their designated targets (Takahashi et al., 2008). PIPs obviate these drawbacks because they can permeate into the nuclei of living cells (Best et al., 2003) and are highly resistant to nuclease digestion.

In our study, PIP1 (forward 5'-3' binding) designed to cover the overlapping ELK1 and REL recognition sequences on the *EVI1* promoter reduced the promoter activity by decreasing the luciferase emission and also inhibited the expression of EVI1. Since polyamides can also align in the reverse orientation to the DNA (Kang et al., 2014; Meier et al., 2012), we evaluated the ability of a polyamide (PIP3) designed to bind in the 3'-5' orientation to the target *EVI1* promoter sequence (Figure S2A) in inhibiting EVI1 expression. As expected, a significant inhibitory effect of PIP3 could be observed on the EVI1 expression (Figure S2B). Our study could contribute to the future

studies focusing on the importance of PIP binding preferences, which in turn could aid the development of efficient PIPs for gene regulation in targeted therapeutics. Zhang et al., previously developed polyamides to target the DNA motif recognized by EVI1. However, in this study only the *in vivo* EVI1 responsive reporter activity assay was done to prove that the polyamide could block the binding of EVI1 to the DNA and inhibit the EVI1 mediated transcription activity (Zhang et al., 2011). Herein, we substantiated the scope of previous work by developing a new polyamide PIP1 capable of significantly inhibiting the expression of EVI1 itself. Furthermore, through genome-wide transcriptome analysis we have demonstrated in cell culture model, that PIP1 at a concentration of 10 µM can modulate a fraction of EVI1-driven transcription of its target genes. These results demonstrate that MDA-MB-231 cells effectively took up EVI1 targeting PIP1 and that they could bind to the target sequence, resulting in the reduction of EVI1 and its downstream genes expression.

In the IPA analysis, the biological process enriched in the regulated transcripts suggested that PIP1 is involved in the negative regulation of cell movement, cell survival and cellular growth. The contributory role of ectopic viral integration site 1 in metastasis is supported by growing evidence. EVI1 has been shown to contribute to the epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) to promote the migration potential of breast

and ovarian cancer cells (Dutta et al., 2013). The epigenetic control possessed by EVI1 is considered to be responsible for the adaptation of the breast cancer cells in the metastatic sites (Patel et al., 2011). Several reports have demonstrated that EVI1 protects cells from programmed cell death (Liu et al., 2006; Pradhan et al., 2011). Interestingly, in our experiments PIP1 targeting the ectopic viral integration site 1 reduced the cell migration as well as induced more apoptosis (Annexin V staining, Figure S3A and S3B) when compared to the control DMSO and mismatch polyamide PIP2. Ectopic viral integration site 1 stimulates cell proliferation (Gómez-Benito et al., 2010; Nayak et al., 2013) but the level of effect completely varies between cell types (Wieser 2007). For example in Rat-1 fibroblasts, murine myeloid and embryonic stem cells (Chakraborty et al., 2001; Kilbey et al., 1999; Sitailo et al., 1999) EVI1 plays an important role in controlling the cell proliferation, whereas in REH cells, ectopic viral integration site 1 displays a weak proliferative activity (Konantz et al., 2013). The mechanism of EVI1 in the control of cellular proliferation remains to be inconclusive due to these conflicting reports. Already reported polyamide designed to inhibit the binding of EVI1 to DNA also exhibited partial inhibition of leukemic cell growth (Zhang et al., 2011). This context- dependent behavior of EVI1 on cell growth may demonstrate the weak antiproliferative effects of EVI1-targeted PIP1 even at 100 µM concentration in MDA-MB-231 cells. The mode of action of many cancer drugs targeting DNA is non-specific resulting in undesirable cytotoxicity and the potential development of secondary malignant tumors (Arseneau et al., 1972; Yang et al., 2013). Therefore, the tailor made PIP1 could be a promising agent in targeting EVI1 and its corresponding context- dependent downstream effects.

While developing potent anti-tumor drugs, their solubility is an important factor to be considered with respect to the adverse toxicity. Polyamides have been reported to exhibit limited or no cytotoxicity in mouse xenograft models and cell cultures (Wang et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2013). However, some pyrrole- imidazole polyamides can form aggregates at higher concentration. Thus, optimizing their structure can improve their solubility and biological activity (Meier, Montgomery, and Dervan, 2012; Hargrove et al., 2012). Prospective studies on the solubility and pharmacokinetic behavior of the EVI1-targeted PIP1 will be helpful in evaluating its therapeutic potential.

The potential applications of these EVI1-targeted PIPs in future therapeutics will depend on the effects of this small molecule in animal model experiments. Future investigations should include efforts to optimize the reported hairpin PIP structures to improve their specificity towards inhibition of EVI1 expression. Target sequences recognized by other transcription factors in the regulation of ectopic viral integration site 1 expression cannot be ruled out when designing more effective hairpin PIPs for targeted therapy (Warren et al., 2006). Based on these findings, future studies should address the possible applications of this DNA-binding small molecule as an effective gene silencer to treat EVI1-associated tumorigenesis.

Significance:

In our study, we have developed a potent small molecule PIP1, targeting the human ectopic viral integration site 1 (*EVI1*) promoter. The designed polyamide was found to significantly reduce the *EVI1* mRNA level indicating that PIP1 interfere with the protein binding to its regulatory sequence. EVI1 is an oncogenic transcription regulatory protein governing a wide range of potential tumor genes and as expected PIP1 exerted its effect on some of the EVI1 regulated downstream genes like *LOXL3*, *LTBP1* etc. which have major role in tumor development. In addition, PIP1 exhibited potent anti-metastatic property in breast cancer cell line. These results propose that PIP1 may be a selective silencer of EVI1 and stimulate new prospects to develop novel antitumor agents based on the molecular recognition ability of pyrrole-imidazole polyamides.

Experimental Procedures:

Polyamide synthesis:

Polyamide 1 to 3 syntheses was performed on a PSSM-8 peptide synthesizer (Shimadzu) with a computer-assisted operation system by using Fmoc chemistry as previously reported (Bando et al., 2002). Reaction steps in the synthetic cycle were as follows: deblocking steps by piperidine in DMF; coupling step with corresponding carboxylic acids by 1H-Benzotriazolium 1-[bis(dimethylamino)methylene] -5chloro-, hexafluorophosphate (1-), 3-oxide (HCTU), DIEA, NMP; washing steps by DMF. Each coupling reagents in steps were prepared in DMF solution of Fmoc-Py-COOH, Fmoc-I-COOH, Fmoc-PyIm-COOH, Fmoc-β-COOH, Fmoc-γ-COOH. All other couplings were carried out with single-couple cycles with stirred by N2 gas bubbling. Typically, Fmoc-Py loaded oxime-acid resin (40 mg, ca. 0.2 mmol/g, 200~400 mesh) was swollen in 1 mL of DMF in a 2.5-mL plastic reaction vessel for 30 min. 2-mL plastic centrifuge tubes with loading Fmoc-monomers with HCTU in NMP 1 mL were placed in order position. After the completion of the syntheses on the peptide synthesizer, the resin was washed with a mixture of methanol (2 mL) and dried in a desiccator at room temperature in vacuum. After the cleavage from the resin by dimethylaminopropane (Dp), the crude was purified by flash column chromatography to

obtain the desired Py-Im polyamides 1-3. Analytical high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry was conducted to confirm the purity of the compounds.

Cell culture:

MDA-MB-231 (Breast, human) cell line was purchased from European collection of cell cultures (ECACC). Cells were grown in DMEM (Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 μ g/mL streptomycin, at 37°C in 5% CO2 (Wang et al., 2010).

Luciferase assay:

To determine the effect of Py-Im polyamides on *EVI1* promoter, the human *EVI1*-promoter-pMCS Cypridina luciferase reporter (Thermo Scientific) chimeric plasmid was constructed to perform the luciferase reporter assay. The primers used in the *EVI1* promoter cloning are listed in the Table S3. MDA-MB-231 cells were seeded in 24 well plates at 8×10^4 cells/well. The reported plasmid containing the *EVI1* promoter (1µg) was transfected into the cells using FuGENE 6 transfection reagent (Promega). After 2h the cells were treated with 2µM of Py-Im polyamide. The luciferase activity was measured after 48h with Pierce Cypridina luciferase Flash Assay

Kit (Thermo Scientific) at 463 nm using Spectra Max 190 (Molecular Devices) microplate reader.

Quantitative reverse-transcription PCR:

MDA-MB-231 cells were plated in 6 well-plates at 2×10^5 cells/well and were treated with various concentrations of Py-Im polyamide for 48 h. Cells treated with DMSO is represented as control samples. Total RNA was extracted using an RNEasy Kit (Qiagen) and cDNA was synthesized by ReverTra Ace qPCR RT Kit (Toyobo) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The expressions of mRNA were analyzed by ABI 7300 Real Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA) using Thunderbird SYBR q-PCR mix (Toyobo).The delta Ct (Δ Ct) method was used to analyze the qRT-PCR data. The expression level of β -actin gene was used as the internal control to normalize the target mRNA expression. The primer list used for performing Quantitative Real Time PCR is given in the Table S3.

Microarray Analysis:

MDA-MB-231 cells were plated in 6 well-plates at 2×10^5 cells/well and were treated for 48 h with DMSO (0.005% Control) and 10 μ M concentration of PIP1, with technical duplicates in each condition respectively. Total RNA was prepared and the integrity of the RNA was checked using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, USA).100 ng of total RNA quantified by Nanodrop ND1000 v3.5.2 (Thermo Scientific) was labeled using GeneChip® WT PLUS Reagent kit (Affymetrix, USA) and was hybridized to Human Gene 2.1 ST Array Strip (Affymetrix, USA) for 20 ± 1 hours at 48°C. The hybridized arrays were washed, stained and imaged on GeneAtlas® Personal Microarray System (Affymetrix, USA). The hybridized probeset values were normalized using Affymetrix gene expression console software and analyzed for gene expression microarray using MeV data analysis platform (http://www.tm4.org/mev.html). Significant differentially expressed genes between the different conditions were analyzed using T-Test statistics (p < 0.05). Heat map of the differentially regulated genes was generated using Cluster 3.0 and Java Tree View software. Microarray data reported here were deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus database under the accession number GSE59502.

Data Analysis:

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA; Subramanian et al., 2005) was conducted using GSEA v2.0.14 software to detect statistically significant PIP 1 targeted genes associated with gene set containing EVI1 regulated genes. Data were further analyzed by IPA (Ingenuity Systems, Redwood City, CA; www.ingenuity.com): The IPA functional analysis was conducted to identify the significant biological functions associated with

the microarray data set. Genes from the data sets that were regulated by \geq 1.5-fold cutoff at p<0.05 were considered for the analysis. The p-value was calculated using right-tailed Fisher's exact test that defines the degree of association of the data set to the assigned biological function.

Scratch-wound migration assay:

MDA-MB-231 cells were seeded in an eight-well chamber slide at 3×10^5 cells/ well. The cell layers were wounded with a large orifice plastic micropipette in order to measure the cell migration during wound-healing. The medium with the cell debris was removed and supplemented with 400 µl of fresh medium containing 2µM of Py-Im polyamide. Cells treated with DMSO (0.005%) were used as control. Diff-Quik solution (Kokusaishiyaku, Kobe, Japan) was used to stain the cells at 24 h after wounding and images were captured by phase-contrast microscopy (Biorevo, BZ-9000, Keyence, Tokyo, Japan).

Matrigel invasion assay:

BioCoat Matrigel Invasion Chambers (BD Bioscience) comprising of transwell (8- μ m pore-sized membranes coated with Matrigel) filter inserts in a 24-well tissue-culture plate was used to evaluate cell invasion. The upper chamber was placed with MDA-MB-231 (5 × 10⁴ cells/well) suspended in 300 μ l of DMEM medium + 0.1%

FBS containing 2µM concentration of PIPs and 700µl DMEM medium containing 5% FBS was added in the lower well. After 24 h of incubation the non-invaded cells on the upper surface of the filter were wiped out with a cotton swab, and cells that invaded across the Matrigel were fixed and visualized using Diff-Quik solution staining.

In vitro cell proliferation:

MDA-MB-231 cells were seeded on 96-well microplates (5×10^3 cells/well) and treated with diverse concentrations of PIPs and then incubated for 72 h at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Count Reagent SF (Nacalai tesque, Kyoto, Japan) was added to each well to evaluate the cell proliferation. The absorbance of each well was measured at 450nm by MPR-A4iII (Tosoh, Tokyo, Japan) microplate reader (Wang et al., 2010).

Apoptosis Detection Assay:

The apoptosis detection assay was performed using Apoptotic/Necrotic/Healthy cells detection kit (Promokine), according to manufacturer's instructions. MDA-MB-231 cells were cultured in eight well chamber slides at a density of 2×10^4 cells/well and treated with 2µM of Py-Im polyamide for 48h. The cells were washed with binding buffer and stained with FITC-Annexin V, Ethidium Homodimer III and Hoechst 33342 (Firat et al., 2012) and then were subjected to fluorescence microscopy analysis (Biorevo, BZ-9000, Keyence, Tokyo, Japan) and the images were processed by BZ-II

analyzer software. Percentage of apoptotic cells were calculated by number of Annexin V positive cells/total number of cells X100.

Statistical Analysis:

Results are expressed as mean values ±standard error of the mean (SEM).Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA, followed by the Tukey's multiple comparison test. The p-values less than 0.05 were considered to be significant.

Contributions

Experiments were designed by H.S., S.J., T.B., and G.N.P. Research performed by S.J. K.H. synthesized Py-Im polyamides. S.J., S.S., J.T., and C.A. analyzed the data. The manuscript was written by S.J., and G.N.P.

Acknowledgements:

This work was supported by Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) of Japan, administrated by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. S.J. is thankful to Japan Educational Exchange and Services (JEES) and Mitsubishi Corporation for providing scholarship.

References:

Arseneau, J.C., Sponzo, R.W., Levin, D. L., Schnipper, L. E., Bonner, H., Young, R. C., Canellos, G. P., Johnson, R. E., and DeVita, V.T. (1972) Nonlymphomatous malignant tumors complicating Hodgkin's disease. Possible association with intensive therapy. N Engl J Med. 287, 1119–1122.

Bando, T., Narita, A., Saito, I., and Sugiyama, H. (2002). Molecular design of a pyrrole-imidazole hairpin polyamides for effective DNA alkylation. Chemistry, 8, 4781–4790.

Bard-Chapeau, E.A., Jeyakani, J., Kok, C.H., Muller, J., Chua, B.Q., Gunaratne, J., Batagov, A., Jenjaroenpun, P., Kuznetsov, V.A., Wei, C.-L., D'Andrea, R.J., Bourque, G., Jenkins, N.A., and Copeland, N.G. (2012). Ecotopic viral integration site 1 (EVI1) regulates multiple cellular processes important for cancer and is a synergistic partner for FOS protein in invasive tumors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 109, 2168–2173.

Best,T.P., Edelson,B.S., Nickols,N.G., and Dervan, P.B. (2003) .Nuclear localization of pyrrole-imidazole polyamide-fluorescein conjugates in cell culture. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 14, 12063–12068

Brooks, D.J., Woodward, S., Thompson, F.H., Dos Santos, B., Russell, M., Yang, J.M., Guan, X.Y., Trent, J., Alberts, D.S., and Taetle, R. (1996). Expression of the zinc finger gene EVI1 in ovarian and other cancers. Br. J. Cancer 74, 1518–1525.

Chakraborty, S., Senyuk, V., Sitailo, S., Chi, Y., and Nucifora, G. (2001). Interaction of EVI1 with cAMP-responsive element-binding protein-binding protein (CBP) and p300/CBP-associated factor (P/CAF) results in reversible acetylation of EVI1 and in

co-localization in nuclear speckles. J Biol Chem 276: 44936–44943.

Dervan, P.B. (2001). Molecular recognition of DNA by small molecules. Bioorg. Med. Chem., 9, 2215–2235.

Dickinson, L.A., Trauger, J.W., Baird, E.E., Dervan, P.B., Graves, B.J., and Gottesfeld, J.M. (1999). Inhibition of Ets-1 DNA binding and ternary complex formation between Ets-1, NF-kappaB, and DNA by a designed DNA-binding ligand. J Biol Chem. 274.12765-73.

Dutta,P., Buic, T., Bauckmanb, K.A., Keyomarsic, K., Millsd, G.B., and Nanjundan,M. (2013). EVI1 splice variants modulate functional responses in ovarian cancer cells. Mol Oncol.7, 647-68.

Firat, E., Weyerbrock, A., Gaedicke, S., Grosu, A.L., and Niedermann, G. (2012). Chloroquine or Chloroquine-PI3K/Akt Pathway Inhibitor Combinations Strongly Promote γ-Irradiation-Induced Cell Death in Primary Stem-Like Glioma Cells. PLoS One 7. e47357.

Geierstanger, B.H., Mrksich, M., Dervan, P.B., and Wemmer, D.E. (1994). Design of a G.C-specific DNA minor groove-binding peptide. Science 266, 646–650.

Glass, C., Wuertzer, C., Cui, X., Bi, Y., Davuluri, R., Xiao, Y.Y., Wilson, M., Owens, K., Zhang, Y., and Perkins, A. (2013). Global Identification of EVI1 Target Genes in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. PLoS One 8, e67134.

Gómez-Benito, M., Conchillo, A., García, M.A., Vázquez, I., Maicas, M., Vicente, C.,

Cristobal, I., Marcotegui, N., García-Ortí, L., Bandrés, E., Calasanz, M.J., Alonso, M.M., and Odero, M.D. (2010). EVI1 controls proliferation in acute myeloid leukaemia through modulation of miR-1-2. Br. J. Cancer 103, 1292–1296.

Goyama, S., Yamamoto, G., Shimabe, M., Sato, T., Ichikawa, M., Ogawa, S., Chiba, S., and Kurokawa, M. (2008). EVI1 is a Critical Regulator for Hematopoietic Stem Cells and Transformed Leukemic Cells. Cell Stem Cell 3, 207–220.

Han, Y.W., Kashiwazaki, G., Morinaga, H., Matsumoto, T., Hashiya, K., Bando, T., Harada, Y., and Sugiyama, H. (2013). Effect of single pyrrole replacement with β-alanine on DNA binding affinity and sequence specificity of hairpin pyrrole/imidazole polyamides targeting 5`-GCGC-3'.Bioorganic Med. Chem. 21, 5436–5441.

Hargrove, A.E., Raskatov, J.A., Meier, J.L., Montgomery, D.C., and Dervan, P.B.(2012). Characterization and solubilization of pyrrole-imidazole polyamide aggregates.J. Med. Chem. 55, 5425–5432.

Hoyt, P.R., Bartholomew, C., Davis, A.J., Yutzey, K., Gamer, L.W., Potter, S.S., Ihle, J.N., and Mucenski, M.L. (1997). The EviI proto-oncogene is required at midgestation for neural, heart, and paraxial mesenchyme development. Mech. Dev. 65, 55–70.

Huang, G.-J., Ben-David, E., Tort Piella, A., Edwards, A., Flint, J., and Shifman, S. (2012). Neurogenomic Evidence for a Shared Mechanism of the Antidepressant Effects of Exercise and Chronic Fluoxetine in Mice. PLoS One 7.e35901.

Izutsu, K., Kurokawa, M., Imai, Y., Maki, K., Mitani, K., and Hirai, H. (2001). The corepressor CtBP interacts with EVI1 to repress transforming growth factor signaling. Blood, 97, 2815–2822.

Jazaeri, A.A., Ferriss, J.S., Bryant, J.L., Dalton, M.S., and Dutta, A. (2010).Evaluation of EVI1 and EVI1s (Delta324) as potential therapeutic targets in ovarian cancer. Gynecol. Oncol. 118, 189–195.

Kang, J.S., Meier, J.L., and Dervan, P.B. (2014). Design of Sequence-Specific DNA Binding Molecules for DNA Methyltransferase Inhibition. J. Am. Chem. Soc. ,136, 3687-3694.

Kilbey, A., Stephens, V., Bartholomew, C., (1999). Loss of cell cycle control by deregulation of cyclin-dependent kinase 2 kinase activity in Evi-1 transformed fibroblasts. Cell Growth Differ. 10, 601–610.

Konantz, M., André, M.C., Ebinger, M., Grauer, M., Wang, H., Grzywna, S., Rothfuss, O.C., Lehle, S., Kustikova, O.S., Salih, H.R. et al. (2013). EVI-1 modulates leukemogenic potential and apoptosis sensitivity in human acute lymphoblastic

leukemia. Leukemia. 27, 56–65.

Liu, Y., Chen, L., Ko, T.C., Fields, A.P., and Thompson, E.A. (2006). EVI1 is a survival factor which conveys resistance to both TGF beta- and taxol-mediated cell death via PI3K/AKT. Oncogene, 25, 3565–3575.

Lugthart, S., Van Drunen, E., Van Norden, Y., Van Hoven, A., Erpelinck, C.A. J., Valk, P. J.M., Beverloo, H.B., Löwenberg, B., and Delwel, R. (2008). High EVI1 levels predict adverse outcome in acute myeloid leukemia: Prevalence of EVI1 overexpression and chromosome 3q26 abnormalities underestimated. Blood.111, 4329–4337.

Maicas, M., Vázquez, I., Vicente, C., García-Sánchez, M.A., Marcotegui, N., Urquiza, L., Calasanz, M.J., and Odero, M.D. (2012). Functional characterization of the promoter region of the human EVI1 gene in acute myeloid leukemia: RUNX1 and ELK1 directly regulate its transcription. Oncogene. 32, 2069-78.

Matsuda,H., Fukuda,N., Ueno,T., Tahira,Y., Ayame,H., Zhang,W., Bando,T., Sugiyama, H., Saito, S., Matsumoto, K. et al. (2006) .Development of gene silencing pyrrole-imidazole polyamide targeting the TGF-β1 promoter for treatment of progressive renal diseases. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol., 17, 422–432.

Meier, J.L., Yu, A.S., Korf, I., Segal, D.J., and Dervan, P.B. (2012). Guiding the design of synthetic DNA-binding molecules with massively parallel sequencing. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134, 17814–17822.

Meier, J.L., Montgomery, D.C., and Dervan, P.B. (2012). Enhancing the cellular uptake of Py-Im polyamides through next-generation aryl turns. Nucleic Acids Res. 40, 2345–2356.

Morishita, K., Parganas, E., William, C.L., Whittaker, M.H., Drabkin, H., Oval, J., Taetle, R., Valentine, M.B., and Ihle, J.N. (1992). Activation of EVI1 gene expression in human acute myelogenous leukemias by translocations spanning 300-400 kilobases on chromosome band 3q26.Proc.Natl.Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 89, 3937–3941.

Mucenski, M.L., Taylor, B.A., Ihle, J.N., Hartley, J.W., Morse, H.C., Jenkins, N.A., and Copeland, N.G. (1988). Identification of a common ecotropic viral integration site, EVI1, in the DNA of AKXD murine myeloid tumors. Mol. Cell. Biol. 8, 301–308.

Murty, M.S., and Sugiyama, H. (2004). Biology of N-methylpyrrole-N-methylimidazole hairpin polyamide. Biol. Pharm. Bull., 27, 468–474.

Muzikar, K.A., Nickols, N.G., and Dervan, P.B. (2009).Repression of DNA-binding dependent glucocorticoid receptor-mediated gene expression. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 106, 16598–16603.

Nayak, K.B., Kuila, N., Mohapatra, A. Das, Panda, A.K., and Chakraborty, S. (2013). EVI1 targets Δnp63 and upregulates the cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor p21 independent of p53 to delay cell cycle progression and cell proliferation in colon cancer cells. Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol. 45, 1568–1576.

Nickols, N.G., and Dervan, P.B. (2007) . Suppression of androgen receptor-mediated gene expression by a sequence-specific DNA-binding polyamide. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 104, 10418–10423.

Nickols, N.G., Szablowski, J.O., Hargrove, A.E., Li, B.C., Raskatov, J. A, and Dervan, P.B. (2013). Activity of a Py-Im polyamide targeted to the estrogen response element. Mol. Cancer Ther.12, 675–84.

Patel, J.B., Appaiah, H.N., Burnett, R.M., Bhat-Nakshatri, P., Wang, G., Mehta, R., Badve, S., Thomson, M.J., Hammond, S., Steeg, P., Liu, Y., and Nakshatri, H. (2011). Control of EVI1 oncogene expression in metastatic breast cancer cells through microRNA miR-22. Oncogene 30, 1290–1301.

Pradhan, A.K., Mohapatra, A. Das, Nayak, K.B., and Chakraborty, S. (2011). Acetylation of the Proto-Oncogene EVI1 abrogates BcL-xL promoter binding and induces apoptosis. PLoS One 6. e0025370.

Sitailo, S., Sood, R., Barton, K., and Nucifora G. (1999). Forced expression of the leukemia-associated gene EVI1 in ES cells: a model for myeloid leukemia with 3q26 rearrangements. Leukemia 13, 1639–1645.

Soderholm, J., Kobayashi, H., Mathieu, C., Rowley, J.D., and Nucifora, G. (1997). The leukemia-associated gene MDS1/EVI1 is a new type of GATA-binding transactivator. Leukemia, 11, 352–358.

Subramanian, A., Tamayo, P., Mootha, V.K., Mukherjee, S., Ebert, B.L., Gillette, M.A., Paulovich, A., Pomeroy, S.L., Golub, T.R., Lander, E.S., and Mesirov, J.P. (2005). Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102, 15545–15550.

Takahashi, T., Asami, Y., Kitamura, E., Suzuki, T., Wang, X., Igarashi, J., Morohashi, A., Shinojima, Y., Kanou, H., Saito, K. et al., (2008). Development of Pyrrole-Imidazole Polyamide for Specific Regulation of Human Aurora Kinase-A and -B Gene Expression. Chem. Biol. 15, 829–841.

Tanaka, M., Suzuki, H.I., Shibahara, J., Kunita, a, Isagawa, T., Yoshimi, a, Kurokawa, M., Miyazono, K., Aburatani, H., Ishikawa, S., and Fukayama, M. (2014). EVI1 oncogene promotes KRAS pathway through suppression of microRNA-96 in pancreatic carcinogenesis. Oncogene 33, 2454–2463.

Trauger, J.W., Baird, E.E., and Dervan, P.B. (1996). Recognition of DNA by designed ligands at subnanomolar concentrations. Nature 382, 559–561.

Ueno, T., Fukuda, N., Tsunemi, A., Yao, E.-H., Matsuda, H., Tahira, K., Matsumoto, T.,

Matsumoto, K., Matsumoto, Y., Nagase, H., Sugiyama, H., and Sawamura, T. (2009). A novel gene silencer, pyrrole-imidazole polyamide targeting human lectin-like oxidized low-density lipoprotein receptor-1 gene improves endothelial cell function. J. Hypertens. 27, 508–516.

Wang, T.Y., Huang, Y.P., and Ma, P. (2014). Correlations of common polymorphism of EVI-1 gene targeted by miRNA-206/133b with the pathogenesis of breast cancer. Tumor Biol. DOI 10.1007/s13277-014-2213-5

Wang, X., Nagase, H., Watanabe, T., Nobusue, H., Suzuki, T., Asami, Y., Shinojima, Y., Kawashima, H., Takagi, K., Mishra, R.et al., (2010). Inhibition of MMP-9 transcription and suppression of tumor metastasis by pyrrole-imidazole polyamide. Cancer Sci. 101, 759–766.

Warren, C.L., Kratochvil, N.C.S., Hauschild, K.E., Foister, S., Brezinski, M.L., Dervan,P.B., Phillips, G.N., and Ansari, A.Z. (2006). Defining the sequence-recognition profileof DNA-binding molecules. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 103, 867–872.

Weber-Mangal, S., Sinn, H.-P., Popp, S., Klaes, R., Emig, R., Bentz, M., Mansmann, U., Bastert, G., Bartram, C.R., and Jauch, A. (2003). Breast cancer in young women (< or = 35 years): Genomic aberrations detected by comparative genomic hybridization. Int. J. Cancer 107, 583–592. Wessels, L.F.A., van Welsem, T., Hart, A.A.M., van't Veer, L.J., Reinders, M.J.T., and Nederlof, P.M. (2002). Molecular classification of breast carcinomas by comparative genomic hybridization: a specific somatic genetic profile for BRCA1 tumors. Cancer Res. 62, 7110–7117.

White, D.J., Unwin, R.D., Bindels, E., Pierce, A., Teng, H.Y., Muter, J., Greystoke, B., Somerville, T.D., Griffiths, J., Lovell, S., Somervaille, T.C.P., Delwel, R., Whetton, A.D., and Meyer, S. (2013). Phosphorylation of the Leukemic Oncoprotein EVI1 on Serine 196 Modulates DNA Binding, Transcriptional Repression and Transforming Ability. PLoS One 8. e66510.

White, S., Baird, E.E., and Dervan, P.B. (1996).Effects of the A.T/T.A degeneracy of pyrrole--imidazole polyamide recognition in the minor groove of DNA. Biochemistry 35, 12532–12537.

White, S., Baird, E. E., and Dervan, P. B. (1997). Orientation Preferences of Pyrrole-Imidazole Polyamides in the Minor Groove of DNA. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 119, 8756–8765.

Wieser, R. (2007). The oncogene and developmental regulator EVI1: Expression, biochemical properties, and biological functions. Gene .396, 346–357.

Willis, B., and Arya, D.P. (2010). Triple recognition of B-DNA by a neomycin -

Hoechst 33258-pyrene conjugate. Biochemistry 49, 452–469.

Yang, F., Nickols, N.G., Li, B.C., Marinov, G.K., Said, J.W., and Dervan, P.B. (2013). Antitumor activity of a pyrrole-imidazole polyamide. Proc.Natl.Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110, 1863–8.

Yuasa, H., Oike, Y., Iwama, A., Nishikata, I., Sugiyama, D., Perkins, A., Mucenski, M.L., Suda, T., and Morishita, K. (2005). Oncogenic transcription factor EVI1 regulates hematopoietic stem cell proliferation through GATA-2 expression. EMBO J. 24, 1976–1987.

Zhang, Y., Sicot, G., Cui, X., Vogel, M., Wuertzer, C. A., Lezon-Geyda, K., Wheeler, J., Harki, D. A., Muzikar, K. A., Stolper, D. A., Dervan, P.B., and Perkins, A.S. (2011). Targeting a DNA binding motif of the EVI1 protein by a pyrrole-imidazole polyamide. Biochemistry.50, 10431–41.

Figure Legends:

Figure 1: Design of pyrrole- imidazole polyamides. A) Structures of match polyamide PIP1 and mismatch polyamide PIP2. Pyrrole and Imidazole are shown as open and closed circles respectively. B) Schematic representation of the binding of match Py-Im polyamide PIP1 in forward orientation (N to C terminal in 5'-3' direction) to the REL/ELK1 target sequences in the *EVI1* minimal promoter.

Figure 2: Effect of polyamide targeting on human *EVI1* promoter activity and on *EVI1* mRNA expression. A) MDA-MB-231 cells were transfected with pMCS-Cypridina luciferase vector carrying a human *EVI1* gene promoter insert and treated with DMSO, PIP1 and PIP2 at 2 μ m concentration. After 48 h, *EVI1* promoter activity was measured with the luciferase assay. (P < 0.01 vs. Control) B) Expression level of *EVI1* mRNA after match forward binding (PIP1) and mismatch (PIP2) treatment in MDA-MB-231 cells by qRT-PCR. Relative expression level of *EVI1* mRNA normalized to β -actin is shown (P < 0.05 with respective to the Control DMSO). Data are represented as mean ± SEM (n=3).

Figure 3: Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA). A) GSEA to conclude whether genes differentially repressed by EVI1 targeted PIP1 (10μ m) were significantly associated with the investigated gene expression profiles (Glass et al., 2013). The enrichment score clearly shows that the genes at the top of the ranked list are overrepresented in the reference gene set. NES, normalized enrichment score. NOM p-val ,Nominal p-value . FDR q-val, False Discovery Rate q-value. B) Heat map of the the top ranked genes upregulated in control DMSO (red color) and downregulated in PIP1 samples, (blue color) corresponding to the reference gene set. **Figure 4: Microarray analysis of gene expression.** A) Heat map depicting the effect of match Py-Im polyamide 1 on the expression pattern of known EVI1 target genes in the technical duplicates of whole transcriptome analysis (P < 0.05) regulated at least 1.2 fold (up-regulated value shown in yellow color and down regulated values represented by blue color). B) Confirming the effect of Py-Im polyamide 1 (10µm) in MDA-MB-231 cells observed by whole transcriptome analysis. Relative mRNA levels of four genes (two up-regulated (*DUSP1* and *SOCS3*) and two down-regulated (*LTBP1* and *LYST*)) were investigated using qRT-PCR (P < 0.01 Vs. DMSO Control). Data are represented as mean \pm SEM (n=3).

Figure 5: Biological activity of match PIP1. A) *In vitro* cell migration of the MDA-MB-231 cells. Cells were wounded with tips of micropipette and treated with DMSO, PIP1 and PIP2. Extent of wound closure was photographed after 24 h (Upper panel at 0h and lower panel at 24h) Scale bars represent 200 μ m. B) In vitro invasion assay of the MDA-MB-231 cells across the Matrigel coated membrane with or without polyamide treatment. (Scale bars represent 100 μ m). C) Quantification of the cells migrated through the Matrigel (P < 0.05 vs. Control). D) WST 8 assay to measure the percentage of breast cancer cell survival after 72 h post treatment with PIP1. Values are represented as mean ± SEM (n=3).

Table 1

Expression pattern of EVI1 downstream genes regulated by PIP1 in MDA-MB-231

cells.

Transcript ID	Gene Symbol	Fold change ^a	P< 0.05 ^b
16883675	IL1RL2	3.20025419	0.011043493
16869939	UCA1	3.197761186	0.004433311
16661508	SMPDL3B	2.053481144	0.04528849
16721905	ADM	1.969589943	0.017152285
16929925	GCAT	1.943088619	0.001396785
17013279	STX11	1.933034786	0.009880415
17049779	SH2B2	1.855266966	0.029205669
17110670	PIM2	1.769033048	0.04284442
16929015	OSBP2	1.753892713	0.04055794
16849652	TBC1D16	1.73395493	0.047790155
16863877	PPP1R15A	1.689966678	0.017193692
17089525	LCN2	1.663160961	0.035273492
16849400	SOCS3	1.6559035	0.024376618
16659238	TNFRSF1B	1.646927446	0.000786928
16848873	GALK1	1.639203875	0.023774723
16758130	ORAI1	1.63325154	0.004703509
17062679	IMPDH1	1.616689923	0.01009058
16772942	ZMYM2	-1.633062493	0.04165688
16952707	ZNF445	-1.638142318	0.001358996
16887702	ITGA6	-1.639071739	0.025391394
16805495	IGF1R	-1.655426434	0.008250059
16869596	LPHN1	-1.690483225	0.004838508
16961331	МЕСОМ	-1.723875995	0.042155035
16806249	OCA2	-1.88105574	0.04891156
16899357	LOXL3	-1.88830211	0.03693188
16755173	PLXNC1	-1.994683293	0.015575908
17019689	ENPP5	-2.098588493	0.033430777
16990288	PCDHB16	-2.108754723	0.014634904
16878947	LTBP1	-2.282375238	0.00473262

16700806	LYST	-2.446506812	0.03618726
17080082	ANGPT1	-6.098613551	0.04926165

^a Fold change of the activated and repressed downstream target genes of EVI1 more than 1.6 fold by match PIP1 with respective to the control.

^bThe p-value calculated using t-test statistics by MeV microarray data analysis platform.

p value less than 0.05 is considered to be statistically significant.

Table 2

Category	p-Value ^a	Functions Annotation ^b	Predicted Activation state	Activation Z-score ^c	Number of Molecules
Organismal survival	7.22E-04	Organismal death	Increased	3.394	204
Developmental	1.29E-02	Growth failure	Increased	2.620	56
Disorder					
Hematological system	3.79E-05	Quantity of blood	Decreased	-3.937	104
Development and		cells			
Function					
Cellular Movement	1.12E-02	Chemo taxis of cells	Decreased	-2.778	46
	6.16E-03	homing of cells	Decreased	-2.606	50
Cell-To-Cell Signaling	6.86E-03	adhesion of lymphoma cell lines	Decreased	-2.581	7
and Interaction		J			
Cancer	2.72E-04	Metastasis	Decreased	-2.066	65
Cellular Development	4.62E-03	maturation of cells	Decreased	-2.050	40

Significantly enriched biological functional annotation by IPA

^aThe p-value is determined by the likelihood of the number of focus genes associated with a particular biological process. p-value< 0.05 is considered to significant.

^b Functional annotation is assigned based on the literature deposited in IPA knowledge base.

^c A positive z-score suggests the potential activation and a negative z-score refers to the potential inhibition of the particular functional process.





В

Figure 1





В

Figure 2

Α



NES 1.599895 ; NOM p-val 0.008695652; FDR q-val 0.05055

DM	ISO	PIF	י1	
				ANGPT1
				SLC26A1
				ENPP5
				ABCA1
				PLXNC1
				LOXL3
				RAB37
				LYST
				AQP1
				MBNL3
				SLC22A3
				VPS13D
				POU2F2
				BAZ2B
				HERC1
				CHD6
				REV3L
1000			_	ZCCHC6
				FAM63B
				TNRC6B
				месом
				IGF1R
				AKAP9
1.000				GOLGB1
				CLK1
1				KCNA3
				WDFY3
				NKTR
				RICTOR
				ANKRD12
				AKAP13
				CEP152
				ATXN1
				BDP1
			-	РШР
	_		_	RBL2
				ASH1L
				BPTF
			_	MACF1
				NIPBL
				NMNAT2
	_			PAN3
				IVNS1AB
			_	ASPH
			_	HIVEP1
	_			JMJDIC
			_	MDM4
			_	PRPF39
_				ZBEDO
				MED12
_				SEDINCI
				DC2H2
The second second				TPP
				SIV4204
				CHD2
				FIF2AF2
				FAM1024
				SLMAP
				NUFIP2
				TMF1
				PTPN14
				PRRC2C

В









Figure 4







Figure 5