High Antioxidant Activity of Coffee Silverskin Extracts Obtained by the Treatment of Coffee Silverskin with Subcritical Water
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Coffee silverskin (CS) is a thin tegument of the outer layer of green coffee beans and a major by-product of the roasting process to produce roasted coffee beans. CS extracts obtained by the treatment of CS with subcritical water at 25–270 °C were investigated on their antioxidant activity using hydrophilic oxygen radical absorption capacity (H-ORAC) and DPPH radical scavenging capacity assays. The antioxidant activity increased with increasing the extraction temperature and the highest activity was observed with the extracts obtained at 270 °C. The H-ORAC and DPPH values of the extracts were 2629 ± 193 and 379 ± 36 μmol TE/g of CS extract, respectively. High correlation (R = 0.999) was observed between H-ORAC and DPPH values for the CS extracts. High correlation of the antioxidant activity was also observed with protein and phenolic contents in the extracts. The CS extracts could be useful as a good source of antioxidative materials.
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Coffee is one of the most consumed popular beverages throughout the world. Coffee silverskin (CS) is a thin tegument of the outer layer of green coffee beans and a major by-product of the roasting process to produce roasted coffee beans. Most CS is disposed of as industrial waste because the effective utilizing of CS has not yet been developed. Therefore CS can be regarded as biomass which is expected to be utilized. Many investigators have reported the physiological functions of green and roasted coffee beans, such as α-amylase inhibition (Narita & Inouye, 2009; Narita & Inouye, 2011), tyrosinase inhibition (Iwai, Kishimoto, Kakino, Mochida, & Fujita, 2004), and antioxidant activity (Richelle, Tavazzi, & Offord, 2001), etc. On the other hand, the physiological functions of CS reported until now are antioxidant activity (Borrelli, Esposito, Napolitano, Ritieni, & Fogliano, 2004), previotic property (Borrelli et al., 2004), and hyaluronidase inhibition (Furusawa, Narita, Iwai, Fukunaga, & Nakagiri, 2011), but the number of the reports is much less than that for coffee bean.

The water maintained in the liquid state by pressurizing in the temperature ranging between 100 and 374 °C is called subcritical water. The specific inductive capacity or dielectric constant of water remarkably lowered with increasing temperature (Miller & Hawthorne, 1998). The specific inductive capacity of subcritical water in the temperature range of between 200 °C and 300 °C is comparable as polar organic solvents such as methanol and acetone. Moreover, subcritical water has the characteristic which functions as acid or alkali catalyst, because the ionic product of the subcritical water is higher than water under normal temperature and pressure. From these features, research using subcritical water is advanced especially for treatment of food waste, such as grape seeds, okara (Wakita et al., 2004), wheat bran (Kataoka, Wiboonsirikul, Kimura, & Adachi, 2008), defatted rice bran (Wiboonsirikul, Kimura, Kadota, Morita, Tsuno, & Adachi, 2007a). It is expected to be environment-friendly to extract active ingredients, such as proteins and carbohydrates, from food waste using subcritical water without using organic solvents and
other catalysts. Furthermore, it is excellent in safety not to use the substances harmful to human at the extraction process.

It is well known that the oxidative stress must be a factor to cause various diseases, such as cancer (Lambert & Yang, 2003), cardiovascular disease (Diaz, Frei, Vita, & Keaney, 1997), type 2 diabetes (Takayanagi, Inoguchi, & Ohnaka, 2011), Alzheimer’s disease (Christen, 2000), and Parkinson’s disease (Lang & Lozano, 1998). Antioxidants exhibit important effects for human health by reducing oxidative stress, and also are used to prevent food from discoloring and changing flavor. Therefore, antioxidants have recently attracted attention against oxidative stress. Antioxidants are divided roughly into natural and synthesized products. Consumers generally prefer natural antioxidants to synthetic ones because of higher safety of the former than the latter.

It is well known that polyphenols such as chlorogenic acids richly contained in coffee (Iwai et al., 2004), and catechins contained in tea (Gardner, McPhail, & Duthie, 1998), and ascorbic acid (Gil, Tomas-Barberan, Hess-Pierce, & Kader, 2000) have strong antioxidant activity. Recently, it has been reported that antioxidants are contained in the by-product of some food such as defatted rice bran (Wiboonsirikul et al., 2007a) and black rice bran (Wiboonsirikul, Hata, Tsuno, Kimura, & Adachi, 2007b). The higher the radical scavenging activity of defatted rice bran extracts, the higher the temperature for extraction ranging from 50 to 250 °C (Wiboonsirikul et al., 2007a). CS extracts that is obtained by the treatments with methanol and water have antioxidant activity (Borrelli et al., 2004). However, the research on the effect of the extraction temperature on the antioxidant activity of CS extracts has not yet been reported. It is expected that the antioxidant activity of CS extracts would be improved by subcritical water treatments.

The purpose of the present study is to evaluate the antioxidant activity of CS extracts obtained by the treatment with water at various temperatures and to investigate the correlation between the antioxidant capacities and the amount of antioxidant components such as protein and total phenolic compounds in the extracts.
2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials and reagents

Coffee silverskin (CS) produced by roasting coffee beans (*Coffeea arabica* cv. Brazil, *C. arabica* cv. Colombia, *C. canephora* var. *robusta* cv. Vietnam, and *C. canephora* var. *robusta* cv. Indonesia) was obtained from UCC Ueshima Coffee Co., Kobe, Japan. CS easily peels off roasted coffee beans in the roasting process of green coffee beans. The function to separate CS and roasted coffee beans is attached to most industrial coffee roasting machines. CS separated from roasted coffee beans in the iron pot of roasting machine is collected by aspiration of air to another container. Trolox (lot 648471) was purchased from Calbiochem (San Diego, CA, USA). Fluorescein sodium salt (lot 079K0141V) was from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). 2,2'-Azobis(2-amidinopropane) dihydrochloride (AAPH, lot STN0525) was from Wako Pure Chemical (Osaka, Japan). 1,1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH, lot M9R6579), gallic acid (lot M9R9781), phenol reagent solution (Folin-Ciocalteu’s reagent solution, lot L2286), chlorogenic acid hemihydrate (5-CQA, lot M8N8455), caffeine, anhydrous (lot M7T9075), 5-(hydroxymethyl)-2-furfural (5-HMF, lot M9M3597). Bovine serum albumin (BSA, lot M6P3104) and all other chemicals were of reagent grade and were from Nacalai Tesque (Kyoto, Japan).

2.2. Preparation of CS extracts by the treatments with water, 0.1 M HCl, and 0.1 M NaCl at 25 °C and 80 °C.

One gram of CS was added to 50 ml of distilled water, 0.1 M HCl or 0.1 M NaCl, and was constantly stirred with a magnetic stirrer at 25 °C or 80 °C for 1 h. The mixture was filtered firstly through Kiriyama No. 3 filter paper (Tokyo, Japan), and the filtrate was filtered secondly through
Kiriyama No. 5C filter paper. The filtrate was concentrated with a rotary evaporator. The concentrated sample was freeze-dried and stored at −20 °C.

2.3. Subcritical water treatment of CS.

One gram of CS and 50 ml of distilled water were put in a reaction vessel of SUS-316 stainless steel (Taiatsu Techno Co., Osaka, Japan). The vessel was set in a portable reactor model TPR-1 (TVS-N2 specification) (Taiatsu Techno Co.) and heated to a prescribed temperature (180, 210, 240, and 270 °C) and held for 10 min at each temperature. The inner pressures of the vessel at 180, 210, 240, and 270 °C were 1.0, 1.9, 3.2, and 5.3 MPa, respectively. It takes 17, 22, 31, and 42 min to reach the temperatures of 180, 210, 240, and 270 °C, respectively, from 25 °C. Then the mixture in the vessel was filtered firstly through Kiriyama No. 3 filter paper, and the filtrate was filtered secondly through Kiriyama No. 5C filter paper. The filtrate was concentrated with a rotary evaporator. The concentrated sample was freeze-dried and stored at −20 °C.

2.4. HPLC analysis of caffeine, 5-CQA, and 5-HMF.

HPLC analysis of caffeine, 5-CQA, and 5-HMF in CS extracts was performed according to the procedures previously reported (Narita & Inouye, 2011) with some modifications. The CS extract solution (10 mg/ml) was applied to reversed-phase column chromatography in a preparative HPLC 7400 system (GL Science, Tokyo, Japan) on an Inertsil ODS-3 [4.6 mm (inner diameter or ID) × 15.0 cm] column (GL Science) at the column temperature of 35 °C. The mobile phase was composed of solvents A (50 mM acetic acid in H$_2$O) and B (50 mM acetic acid in acetonitrile), and the gradient program was as follows: 0–30.0 min, 0–20% (v/v) of B; 30.0–45.0 min, 20–35% (v/v) of B; 45.0–50.0 min, 35–80% (v/v) of B; 50.0–50.1 min, 80–5% (v/v) of B; and 50.1–60 min, 0% (v/v) of B. The injection volume of the CS extract solution was 10 µl and a flow-rate
was 1.0 ml/min. Caffeine, 5-CQA, and 5-HMF were detected by respective absorption at 270, 325, and 284 nm with a photodiode array. Caffeine, 5-CQA, and 5-HMF in the CS extract were identified by comparing the retention times and the UV spectra of the standard materials. The detection limits of all quantitative analyses were 10 μg/ml.

2.5. Determination of total sugar contents.

Total sugar contents of CS extracts were determined by the phenol-sulfuric method (Dubois, Gilles, Hamilton, Rebers, & Smith, 1956). The CS extract solution was prepared to the concentration of 1.0 mg/ml using distilled water. Zero-point-five ml of 5% (w/w) phenol was added to 0.5 ml of the CS extract solution of 1.0 mg/ml, followed by adding quickly 2.0 ml of H₂SO₄, and the mixture was mixed well. The mixture was left for 40 min at 25 °C in a water bath. The absorbance at 490 nm was measured using a spectrophotometer. The total sugar contents of the CS extracts were determined using standard curves obtained with D-glucose.

2.6. Determination of reducing sugar contents.

Reducing sugar contents of CS extracts were determined by the 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) method (Borel, Hostettler, & Deuel, 1952). The DNS reagent solution consisting of 10 g DNS, 0.5 g sodium sulfate, 2 g phenol, and 10 g sodium hydroxide in 1,000 ml distilled water was prepared. The CS extract solutions of 1.0 mg/ml were prepared using distilled water. One ml of the extract solution and 3 ml of the DNS reagent solution were mixed and heated in boiling water for 5 min. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, followed by the addition of 21 ml of distilled water. The absorbance at 550 nm was measured with a spectrophotometer. The reducing sugar contents of the CS extracts were calculated using standard curves obtained with D-glucose.
2.7. Determination of protein.

Protein content of CS extracts was determined by the Lowry-Folin method (Lowly, Rosebrough, Farr, & Randall, 1951). The Lowry’s solution was prepared by mixing 50 ml of 5% (w/v) Na$_2$CO$_3$ aqueous solution, 0.5 ml of 2% (w/v) sodium potassium tartrate aqueous solution, and 0.5 ml of 1% (w/v) CuSO$_4$ aqueous solution. Zero-point-two ml of the CS extract solution and 0.2 ml of 2 M NaOH were mixed and stood for 20 min at 25 °C. Then the mixture was added to 2.0 ml of the Lowry’s solution and stood for 20 min at 25 °C. Next, the Folin-reagent was added to the mixture and left for 20 min at 25 °C. The absorbance at 750 nm was measured using a spectrophotometer. The protein contents of the CS extracts were determined using standard curves obtained with BSA.

2.8. Determination of total phenolic contents.

Total phenolic contents of CS extracts were determined using a previously reported procedure with the Folin-Ciocalteu’s reagent (Singleton & Rossi, 1965). Zero-point-two ml of 1.0 mg/ml CS extract was added to 1.8 ml of distilled water followed by 1.0 ml of the Folin-Ciocalteu’s reagent. The mixture was left for 3 min at 25 °C. Then 5.0 ml of 0.4 M sodium carbonate was added to the mixture. The mixture was incubated at 25 °C for 1 h. The absorbance at 765 nm ($A_{765}$) was measured using a spectrophotometer and the phenolic contents in CS extracts were evaluated by a standard curve obtained with gallic acid.

2.9. Measurement of DPPH radical scavenging activity

The DPPH radical scavenging activity of CS extracts was assayed by the previously reported method (Aoshima & Ayabe, 2007) with some modifications. Zero-point-two ml of various
concentrations of CS extract solution prepared using 50% (v/v) ethanol aqueous solution were mixed with 0.3 ml of 0.2 mM DPPH in ethanol and 0.5 ml of 0.5 M acetic acid buffer at pH 5.5. The mixture was shaken vigorously and placed in the dark for 30 min at 25 °C. The decrease in the absorbance at 517 nm \((A_{517})\) of DPPH was measured using a spectrophotometer. The DPPH radical scavenging activity was calculated by equation 1.

\[
\text{DPPH radical scavenging activity (\%)} = \left[ 1 - \frac{(A_s - A_b)}{(A_0 - A_b)} \right] \times 100
\]

where \(A_s\) is \(A_{517}\) of the mixture in the presence of the sample and DPPH, \(A_b\) is \(A_{517}\) of the mixture in the absence of the sample and DPPH (blank), and \(A_0\) is \(A_{517}\) of the mixture in the absence of the sample and in the presence of DPPH. The DPPH values of the each sample are expressed as the amount (\(\mu\text{mol}\)) of trolox equivalents (TE) per gram of the CS extract.

2.10. Measurement of hydrophilic oxygen radical absorbance capacity (H-ORAC)

The H-ORAC assay was carried out according to the previously reported method (Prior et al., 2003) with some modifications. Fluorescein and AAPH solutions were prepared to the concentrations of 94.4 nM and 31.7 mM, respectively, using 75 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4, buffer A). Twenty \(\mu\text{l}\) of various concentrations of the CS extract solutions and 200 \(\mu\text{l}\) of 94.4 nM fluorescein solution were placed in a well of a 96-well microplate and mixed well. The initial fluorescence \((f_{0\text{ min}})\) of each well was measured at the excitation and emission wavelengths of 492 and 530 nm, respectively. An MTP–800Lab micro-titer-plate reader (Corona Electric Co., Ibaraki, Japan) with 492 excitation and 530 emission cut-off filters was used for fluorescence measuring. The mixture was pre-incubated at 37 °C for 10 min, and the reaction was initiated by adding 75 \(\mu\text{l}\) of 31.7 mM AAPH solution. The fluorescence depletion was monitored every 2 min for 90 min (from \(f_{2\text{ min}}\) to \(f_{90\text{ min}}\)) at the excitation and emission wavelengths of 492 and 530 nm,
respectively. The area under the fluorescence decay curve (AUC) was calculated according to the following equation 2.

\[
AUC = \frac{0.5 \times f_{8 \text{ min}} + f_{10 \text{ min}} + f_{14 \text{ min}} + \cdots + f_{88 \text{ min}} + 0.5 \times f_{90 \text{ min}}}{f_{0 \text{ min}}} \times 2
\]  \hspace{2cm} (2)

The net AUC was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{net AUC} = \text{AUC} - \text{AUC}_{\text{blank}}
\] \hspace{2cm} (3)

where \( \text{AUC}_{\text{blank}} \) is the AUC value obtained with buffer A instead of the CS extract solution. The secondary regression equation between the concentration of trolox standard solutions and the AUC was calculated. The H-ORAC values of the CS extract solutions were calculated according to equation 4, and were expressed as \( \mu \text{mol of TE per gram of the CS extract} \).

\[
\text{H-ORAC (\mu mol TE/g of CS extract) = a \times (net \ AUC)^2 + b \times net \ AUC + c}
\] \hspace{2cm} (4)

where a, b, and c were constants of secondary regression of equation 4.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Yields of CS extracts obtained by the treatments of CS with water, 0.1 M HCl, and 0.1 M NaOH at various temperatures

CS extracts were obtained by the treatments with water, 0.1 M HCl, and 0.1 M NaOH at various temperatures, and the yields of the CS extracts from 1 g CS were shown Table 1. The yield of the CS extract obtained by the treatment with water increased with increasing the
extraction temperatures from 25 to 210 °C, although it decreased with increasing the temperature exceeding 210 °C. The highest yield of the CS extracts by the treatment with water was given at the extraction temperature of 210 °C, and the yield was 29% (w/w), being one point eight times as high as that (16%, w/w) obtained at 25 °C (Table 1). It was reported previously that the yields of the CS extracts by the treatment with water at 25 °C and 121 °C were 14% and 20%, respectively (Furusawa et al., 2011). The yield at 121 °C was estimated to be 21% by extrapolating the data in Table 1, and this value is in reasonable agreement with that of Furusawa et al. The yield was considerably different by changing the extracting solvent from water to 0.1 M HCl and 0.1 M NaOH, although the extraction temperatures with those solvents were allowed only at 25 °C and 80 °C (Table 1). The apparent highest yield (44%) was given by the treatment with 0.1 M NaOH at 80 °C among the conditions examined. This apparent highest yield shows the dry weight of CS extracts obtained by freeze-drying filtration of mixture incubated 1g CS and 50 ml of 0.1 M NaOH at 80 °C for 1 h. Therefore, it is thought that about 20% of the apparent yield was recrystallized NaOH or sodium salt given by the treatment of 1g CS with 50 ml of 0.1 M NaOH at 80 °C for 1 h.

(Table 1)

3.2. HPLC of caffeine, 5-CQA, and 5-HMF of CS extracts.

It is reported that hexose and pentose are decomposed to mainly 5-HMF and furfural in the process by subcritical water treatment (Khajavi, Kimura, Oomori, Matsuno, & Adachi, 2005; Usuki, Kimura, & Adachi, 2008). We analyzed caffeine and 5-CQA which are the main polyphenols in coffee beans and 5-HMF in the CS extracts by reversed-phase HPLC (Fig. 1). The peak of caffeine was observed at the elution time of 13.8 min. The amounts of caffeine extracted from 1 g CS by the treatment with water were in the range of 4.1–4.4 mg, being
substantially the same without depending on the extraction temperature from 180 to 270 °C (Table 2). It was reported that the percentage yield of caffeine extracted by subcritical water extraction from tea waste increased depending on the increase in the extraction temperature from 100 °C to 175 °C, and the highest value obtained at 175 °C was 0.77% (w/w) namely 7.7 mg/g (Shalmashi, Abedi, Golmohammad, & Eikani, 2010). This difference might be due to that most of all caffeine is contained in CS could be extracted even at 25 °C, although caffeine in tea waste is located in the state so as to be extracted by the degradation of cell walls and other cell components (Table 2). Another point is the extraction time with subcritical water. In the present study, we applied 10 min for extraction to CS, whereas 120 min was applied to tea waste. At least, it was suggested that caffeine in CS extracts is not decomposed by subcritical water at 180–270 °C from this experimental result. The amounts (4.1–4.2 mg) of caffeine extracted from 1 g CS by the treatment with 0.1 M HCl at 25 and 80 °C were the same. The amounts (1.7–1.8 mg) of caffeine extracted from 1 g CS by the treatment with 0.1 M NaOH at 25 and 80 °C were almost the same, and were lower than those of the CS extracts obtained by treatment with water and 0.1 M HCl. This cause seems that the solubility of caffeine is lowered in 0.1 M NaOH at high pH (pH is around 13) because it is a basic material (Table 2).

5-CQA was detected in the CS extracts obtained by the treatments with water at 25, 80, and 180 °C (Table 2), although it was not detected in the CS extracts obtained by the treatments with water at 210, 240, and 270 °C (Table 2). These results suggest that 5-CQA in CS treated by subcritical water above 210 °C was decomposed. It is known that a progressive destruction and transformation of chlorogenic acid with 8–10% being lost for every 1% loss of dry matter during roasting of coffee beans (Clifford, 1999).

No difference was observed in the amounts (1.1 mg) of 5-CQA extracted from 1 g CS with 0.1 M HCl at 25 °C and 80 °C were the same. However, 5-CQA was not detected in the CS extracts obtained with 0.1 M NaOH at 25 °C and 80 °C. It was reported that caffeic acid and chlorogenic acid are stable in phosphate or acetate buffer in acid pH (3–6) (Friedman & Jurgens, 2000).
However, they are unstable in borate buffer (pH 7-11) with an increase in pH, and their structural changes are time-dependent and nonreversible (Friedman & Jurgens, 2000). The cause that 5-CQA was not detected in CS extracts obtained with 0.1 M NaOH (pH is around 13) at 25 °C and 80 °C might be based on the stability of 5-CQA at alkaline pH.

The amount of 5-HMF extracted from 1 g CS increased with increasing the extraction temperature from 25 to 210 °C and reached the maximum (2.0 mg), while steeply decreased at the temperature over 210 °C (Table 2). 5-HMF is considered to be a main degradation product formed by dehydration of hexoses through hydrothermolysis (Khajavi et al., 2005; Usuki et al., 2008) and its content in general is almost none. In the present study, CS produced as a by-product of the roasting process of coffee beans over 200 °C. 5-HMF detected in the CS extract obtained by the treatment of CS with water at 25 °C could be derived from roasting of coffee beans. The amounts of 5-HMF extracted from 1 g CS obtained with 0.1 M HCl at 25 and 80 °C were almost the same (0.4–0.5 mg), but 5-HMF was not detected in the extract obtained by the treatment with 0.1 M NaOH at 25 and 80 °C (Table 2).

(Fig. 1)

(Table 2)

3.3. Total sugar and reducing sugar contents of CS extracts.

Table 3 shows the total sugar and reducing sugar contents in 1 g CS extracts obtained by the treatments under various conditions. The values of the total sugar and reducing sugar contents (mg/g CS extract) were converted to their amounts (mg/g CS) contained in 1 g CS (Table 3). When 1 g CS is treated by water at 25–270 °C, the amounts of total sugar and reducing sugar in 1 g CS increased with increasing the extraction temperature from 25 °C to reach the maximum for both at 180 °C to the amounts of 121 ± 9 mg and 52 ± 2 mg, respectively (Table 3). However, the
amounts for both decreased at the temperature over 180 °C and turned to around 15 mg at 270 °C. The total sugar contents of the CS extracts obtained by the treatment with water increased with increasing temperature up to 180 °C and drastically decreased at the extraction temperature over 180 °C. It was reported that the carbohydrate contents from the defatted rice bran extract obtained by the treatment with water increased with increasing the extraction temperature up to 200 °C, and decreased at the temperature over 200 °C (Wiboonsirikul et al., 2007a). This profile of the dependence of the carbohydrate contents on the extraction temperature is similar to that shown in Table 3. The decrease in the carbohydrate contents at the temperatures over 200 °C was considered due to the hydrolysis of poly- or oligosaccharides and the degradation of monosaccharides generated by the high ionic product of water at high temperature under subcritical conditions (Wiboonsirikul et al., 2007a). The decrease in the total sugar contents of the CS extracts at the temperature over 180 °C would also be ascribed to the same cause. The total sugar and reducing sugar contents of the CS extracts obtained at 240 °C and 270 °C were almost the same, suggesting that most of the saccharides produced from the CS extracts obtained at 240 °C and 270 °C could be the mixture of monosaccharides. At the extraction temperature of 25 °C and 80 °C, the efficiency of the extraction solvent for the amounts of the total sugar extracted was in the order of 0.1 M HCl > 0.1 M NaOH > water, while that of the reducing sugar was in the order of 0.1 M HCl > water > 0.1 M NaOH.

(Table 3)

3.4. Protein and total phenolic contents of CS extracts.

Table 3 shows the protein and total phenolic contents of 1 g CS extracts obtained by the treatments under various conditions. The values of the protein and total phenolic contents were converted to their amounts (mg/g CS) contained in 1 g CS (Table 3). The protein content in the
CS extract obtained by the treatment with water increased with increasing the extraction temperature from 25 °C to 240 °C and the maximum values were observed at 240 °C being 582 ± 10 mg per g of CS extract (Table 3) and their contents extracted from 1 g CS increased with increasing the temperature, although the maximum was observed at 210 °C being 157 ± 4 mg per g CS (Table 3). The largest amount of protein was extracted from 1 g CS at 210 °C was about five times as high as that extracted with water at 25 °C (33 ± 2 mg). This high extraction degree obtained under the subcritical water condition might due to the enhanced hydrolysis of proteins, solubilization of insoluble proteins, and degradation of cell walls. It is reported that the solubility of the rice bran protein increased by the hydrolysis of proteins and cell wall by subcritical water treatment (Wiboonsirikul et al., 2007a). At the extraction temperature of 25 °C and 80 °C, the efficacy of the solvent for the protein extraction from 1 g CS was in the order of 0.1 M NaOH > 0.1 M HCl > water (Table 3). The largest amount of protein was extracted from 1 g CS in these conditions was 97 ± 8 mg, and was obtained by extraction with 0.1 M NaOH at 80 °C. However, the amount of protein was extracted from 1 g CS with subcritical water at 210 °C was about one point five times as high as that extracted with NaOH at 80 °C (Table 3).

The total phenolic content in the CS extract obtained by the treatment with water increased with increasing the extraction temperature from 25 °C to 240 °C and the maximum values were observed at 240 °C being 130 ± 6 mg per g of the CS extract (Table 3). The largest amount of total phenolic components (36 ± 3 mg) extracted from 1 g CS was also observed with water at 210 °C (Table 3). It was six times as high as it extracted from 1 g CS with water at 25 °C (6 ± 0 mg). This might also due to the hydrolysis or degradation of polyphenolic compounds such as lignin and lignan into smaller and soluble compounds. At the extraction temperature of 25 °C and 80 °C, the efficacy of the solvent in the extraction of total phenolic compounds was not much different in these treatments (Table 3). The amount of total phenolic components was 5–8 mg/ per g of CS (Table 3). The amount of total phenolic components was extracted from 1 g CS with subcritical
water at 210 °C was about five times as high as that extracted with these solvents at 25 and 80 °C (Table 3).

3.5. DPPH radical scavenging activity and H-ORAC of CS extracts.

Figure 2A and Table 4 show the results of DPPH radical scavenging activity assay on the CS extracts obtained by the treatments with water, 0.1 M HCl, and 0.1 M NaOH at various temperatures. The values of the CS extracts obtained with water increased remarkably in a sigmoid fashion with increasing the extraction temperature from 25 to 270 °C (Fig. 2A). The maximum value was given with the CS extract obtained by the treatment with water at 270 °C was 379 ± 36 μmol TE per g of CS extract (Table 4). The DPPH values of the extracts obtained with water, 0.1 M HCl or 0.1 M NaOH at 25 and 80 °C were substantially the same, and were range of 61–75 μmol TE per g of CS extract (Table 4). The DPPH values of CS extraction by treatment with subcritical water at 270 °C were about five times as high as the values of the extraction by treatment with water, 0.1 M HCl or 0.1 M NaOH at 25 and 80 °C (Table 4).

The H-ORAC values of the CS extracts obtained by the treatments by with water, 0.1 M HCl, and 0.1 M NaOH at the various temperatures were also examined (Fig. 2A & Table 4). The values of the CS extracts obtained with water increased with increasing the temperature also in a sigmoid fashion with increasing the extraction temperature from 25 °C to 270 °C (Fig. 2A). The maximum value was given at 270 °C was 2629 ± 193 μmol TE per g of CS extract (Table 4). The values of the extracts obtained with water, 0.1 M HCl, and 0.1 M NaOH at 25 °C and 80 °C were almost the same, and were range of 273–384 μmol TE per g of CS extract (Table 4). The H-ORAC values of CS extraction by treatment with subcritical water at 270 °C were about seven times as high as the values of the extraction by treatment with water, 0.1 M HCl or 0.1 M NaOH at 25 and 80 °C (Table 4).
It is reported that the CS extracts obtained by the treatments with distilled water and methanol have antioxidant activities by two methods, N,N-dimethyl-p-phenylenediamine (DMPD) for the water extracts and 2,2’-azinobis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid (ABTS) radical scavenging activity for the methanol extracts (Borrelli et al., 2004). It has not been studied whether the antioxidant activity of the CS extracted with water changes depending on the extraction temperature (Borrelli et al., 2004). There are many methods reported for measuring antioxidative activity. These methods are mainly classified into two types. One is the assay based on hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) which includes the H-ORAC assay and the other is that based on electron transfer (ET) which includes DPPH, ABTS, superoxide dismutase, and ferric reducing antioxidant potential assays (Dudonne, Vitrac, Coutiere, Woillez, & Merillon, 2009). In the present study, we evaluated the antioxidant activities of CS extracts using DPPH assay and H-ORAC assay. The H-ORAC and DPPH values of CS extracts obtained by the treatment with water at 25–270 °C, and both values increased remarkably with increasing the extraction temperatures (Fig. 2A & Table 4) and the highest values (2629 ± 193 and 379 ± 36 μmol TE/g of CS extract) of H-ORAC and DPPH are observed at 270 °C, respectively (Fig. 2A). There is very good correlation between the DPPH and H-ORAC values (R = 0.999) (Fig. 2B). The good correlation of the antioxidant activities measured by H-ORAC and DPPH methods was reported also with sorghums (Awika, Rooney, Wu, Prior, & Cisneros-Zevallos, 2003). Generally, phenolic compounds contribute highly to the antioxidant activity of food, actually the total phenolic contents of CS extracts have high correlation with their H-ORAC and DPPH values with the correlation coefficients (R) of 0.987 and 0.982, respectively (Fig. 3). It is worthy to note that there is also high correlation between protein contents and their H-ORAC and DPPH values, with the R values of 0.994 and 0.990, respectively (Fig. 3). Peptides produced by the decomposition of soybean protein and wheat gluten were reported to exhibit strong DPPH radical scavenging activity and antioxidant activity against linoleic acid oxidation in emulsion systems (Park, Morimae, Matsumura, Nakamura, & Sato, 2008). Peptides produced by hydrolyzing the protein in
CS by subcritical water treatment are considered to show such a high antioxidant capacity. The CS extracts obtained by the treatment with water at 210–270 °C did not contain 5-CQA, which is thought to be the main antioxidant component of coffee beans, although the extracts showed high antioxidant activity (Table 2 & Fig. 2A). This antioxidant activity must due to proteins and peptides. It is necessary to clarify the component in the CS extract contributed to the antioxidant capacity by further study in the next step. This study shows that CS contains fairly strong antioxidant activity and proposes that the subcritical water treatment is the effective method for extraction of antioxidant components from CS.

4. Conclusions

The antioxidant activity of CS extracts obtained by the treatment of CS with water and subcritical water increased with increasing the temperature also in a sigmoid fashion with increasing the extraction temperature from 25 °C to 270 °C. The maximum H-ORAC and DPPH values of the extracts were given at 270 °C were 2629 ± 193 and 379 ± 36 μmol TE per g of CS extract, respectively. On the other hand, the antioxidant activity evaluated by H-ORAC and DPPH radical scavenging activity of CS extracts obtained by the treatment with water, 0.1 M HCl, and 0.1M NaOH at 25 °C and 80 °C was almost the same. The antioxidant activity of CS extracts obtained by the treatment of CS with subcritical water was stronger than that extracted by treatment with water, 0.1 M HCl, and 0.1M NaOH at 25 °C and 80 °C.

Phenolic contents of CS extracts obtained by the treatment with water and subcritical water at 25–270 °C have high correlation with their H-ORAC and DPPH values with the correlation coefficients (R) of 0.987 and 0.982, respectively. It is worthy to note that there is also high correlation between protein contents and their H-ORAC and DPPH values, with the R values of
0.994 and 0.990, respectively. Peptides produced by hydrolyzing the protein in CS by subcritical water treatment are considered to show such a high antioxidant capacity.

The CS extracts could be useful as a good source of antioxidative materials. Furthermore, the treatment using subcritical water was more efficient for production of the antioxidative materials from CS. Besides, it was shown that the subcritical water treatment is effective on extraction of total sugar, reducing sugar, protein, phenolic components, and 5-HMF from CS by adjusting treatment temperature.
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Figure Captions

Fig. 1. HPLC chromatograms of the CS extracts obtained by the treatments of CS with water, 0.1 M HCl, and 0.1 M NaOH at 25 °C and 210 °C. The extraction solvents/temperatures: 0.1 M HCl/25 °C (a), 0.1 M NaOH/25 °C (b), water/25 °C (c), and water/210 °C (d). The wavelength for detection: 270 nm. Peaks (retention times): 1, 5-HMF (5.4 min); 2, caffeine (13.8 min); and 3, 5-CQA (16.5 min).

Fig. 2. H-ORAC and DPPH values of the CS extracts. Panel A: H-ORAC (open symbols) and DPPH (solid symbols) values of the CS extracts obtained by the treatments of CS with water (circles), 0.1 M HCl (triangles), and 0.1 M NaOH (squares) at various temperatures. Each point represents the mean and standard deviation of triplicate experiments. Panel B: Relationship between the H-ORAC and DPPH values of the CS extracts obtained by the treatments of CS with water in the temperature range of 25–270 °C.

Fig. 3. Relationship between the H-ORAC or DPPH values and protein or total phenolic contents of the CS extracts. The symbols, open circles, solid circles, open triangles, and solid triangles represent the H-ORAC values against total phenolic contents, DPPH values against total phenolic contents, H-ORAC values against total protein contents, and DPPH values against protein contents of the CS extracts, respectively.
Table 1. Yield of the CS extracts$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>solvent</th>
<th>temperature ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>Yield (%, w/w)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>19 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>25 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>29 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>27 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>23 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 M HCl</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 M HCl</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>28 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 M NaOH</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>37 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 M NaOH</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>44 ± 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Each value is a mean of triplicate analysis ± standard deviation.
Table 2. Caffeine, 5-CQA, and 5-HMF contents of 1 g CS or CS extracts\textsuperscript{a}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extraction solvent</th>
<th>temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Caffeine (mg/g of CS extract)</th>
<th>5-CQA (mg/g of CS extract)</th>
<th>5-HMF (mg/g of CS extract)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>23.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>9.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>16.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>14.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>N. D. \textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>N. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>15.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>N. D.</td>
<td>N. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>17.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>N. D.</td>
<td>N. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 M HCl</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>19.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 M HCl</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>15.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 M NaOH</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>N. D.</td>
<td>N. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 M NaOH</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>N. D.</td>
<td>N. D.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Each value is a mean of triplicate analysis ± standard deviation.

\textsuperscript{b} Not detected.
Table 3. Total sugar, reducing sugar, protein and total phenolic contents of 1 g CS or CS extracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extraction</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Total sugar (mg/g of CS extract)</th>
<th>Reducing sugar (mg/g of CS extract)</th>
<th>Protein (mg/g of CS extract)</th>
<th>Total phenolic (mg/g of CS extract)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>366 ± 21</td>
<td>136 ± 4</td>
<td>212 ± 18</td>
<td>36 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>405 ± 30</td>
<td>155 ± 9</td>
<td>256 ± 12</td>
<td>35 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>477 ± 29</td>
<td>206 ± 6</td>
<td>378 ± 20</td>
<td>45 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>228 ± 5</td>
<td>137 ± 3</td>
<td>535 ± 14</td>
<td>157 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>86 ± 1</td>
<td>82 ± 10</td>
<td>582 ± 10</td>
<td>155 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>71 ± 6</td>
<td>70 ± 15</td>
<td>544 ± 11</td>
<td>125 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 M HCl</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>304 ± 13</td>
<td>122 ± 1</td>
<td>189 ± 7</td>
<td>23 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 M HCl</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>345 ± 10</td>
<td>115 ± 3</td>
<td>183 ± 13</td>
<td>24 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 M NaOH</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>168 ± 14</td>
<td>35 ± 18</td>
<td>205 ± 15</td>
<td>15 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 M NaOH</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>185 ± 28</td>
<td>49 ± 12</td>
<td>221 ± 17</td>
<td>19 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Each value is a mean of triplicate analysis ± standard deviation.
Table 4. DPPH radical scavenging activity and H-ORAC of CS extracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extraction solvent</th>
<th>temperature (°C)</th>
<th>H-ORAC (μmol TE/g of CS extract)</th>
<th>DPPH (μmol TE/g of CS extract)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>354 ± 44</td>
<td>74 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>384 ± 58</td>
<td>75 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1223 ± 65</td>
<td>184 ± 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>2321 ± 169</td>
<td>323 ± 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>2611 ± 150</td>
<td>371 ± 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>2629 ± 193</td>
<td>379 ± 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 M HCl</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>289 ± 34</td>
<td>67 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 M HCl</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>284 ± 37</td>
<td>71 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 M NaOH</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>275 ± 22</td>
<td>61 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 M NaOH</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>273 ± 20</td>
<td>63 ± 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Each value is a mean of triplicate analysis ± standard deviation.*
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