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Abstract: This article is an announcement of a paper entitled “On deformation quantization of the twistor space and star exponentials” [16]. Here we study a deformation of the twistor space \( \mathbb{CP}^3 \). After stating noncommutative, associative product \( \# \) on a twistor space, we also compute star exponentials of quadratic polynomials on them.
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1 Introduction

It is well-known that (super) twistor spaces themselves are very interesting objects to study, and besides, they give excellent and practical view points to study the Yang-Mills theory, e.g. the ADHM-construction of instanton solutions, and the Atiyah-Ward correspondence, see [8, 32] in for details.

In this article, we are concerned with deformation quantization of a twistor space. Deformation quantization introduced in [1], is a fruitful approach to developing quantum theory in a purely algebraic framework, and was also a prototype for noncommutative calculus on noncommutative spaces (cf. [1, 2, 3, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 30, 34]).

We believe that these new features with techniques which are employed in the development of the argument of deformation quantization will provide a new approach to noncommutative nonformal calculus which also plays a pivotal role in geometric quantization (cf. [33]), strict deformation quantization, theory of operator algebra (cf. [17]) and (geometrically) asymptotic analysis (cf. [5]).

In this article, we are not concerned with the delicate issues associated with convergence of deformation quantization and nonformal calculus.
The first purpose of this article is to give an even-even (to an ordinary Poisson structure direction$^1$) deformation quantization of twistor space $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$, and showing the existence of globally well-defined noncommutative, associative product $\#$ on the twistor space.

The second purpose is to compute star exponentials with respect to star product $\#$ of quadratic polynomials with respect to homogeneous coordinate of twistor space $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$ of double fibrations (cf. Fig.1 below) which appears in describing the twistor space, and then, to show that the star exponentials give transcendental elements on the twistor space.

**Main Theorem (cf. [16])** *Consider the following diagram Fig.1:*

\[
((x^{\alpha,\dot{\alpha}}, \pi_1: \pi_2)) \in M := \mathbb{C}^4 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1
\]

\[
\Pi_1 \quad \Pi_2
\]

\[(z_1: \ldots : z_4) \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3 \quad \quad (x^{\alpha,\dot{\alpha}}) \in \mathbb{C}^4
\]

where $x^{\alpha,\dot{\alpha}}$ are even variables, we set

\[
(x^{\alpha,\dot{\alpha}}) := (x^{1,1}, x^{1,\dot{2}}, x^{2,\dot{1}}, x^{2,\dot{2}}),
\]

\[
([z_1: \ldots : z_4]) := ([x^{\alpha,1} \pi_\alpha : x^{\alpha,\dot{2}} \pi_\alpha : \pi_1 : \pi_2]).
\]

Here we use Einstein's convention (we will often omit $\sum$ unless there is a danger of confusion). We call $([z_1: \ldots : z_4])$ the homogeneous coordinate system of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$.

1. *The relations$^2$* $\dot{\alpha}, \dot{\beta} = \dot{1}, \dot{2}$

\[
[z^{\dot{\alpha}}, z^{\dot{\beta}}] = \hbar D^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} \pi_\alpha \pi_\beta,
\]

$^1$More precisely, deformation quantization to the direction of the holomorphic Poisson structure.

$^2$Here $[ , , ]$ denotes the commutator bracket.
where \( z^1 := z_1 \), \( z^2 := z_2 \), give a globally defined noncommutative associative product \( \# \) on \( \mathbb{CP}^3 \), where \( (D^{\alpha\dot{\alpha} \beta \beta}) \) is a skew symmetric matrix.

2. Let \( A[Z] \) be a homogeneous polynomial\(^3\) of \( z^1 = z_1 = x^{\alpha,1} \pi_{\alpha} \), \( z^2 = z_2 = x^{\alpha,2} \pi_{\alpha} \) with degree 2. Then a star exponential function \( e_{\#}^{\frac{1}{\hbar} A[Z]} \) gives a "function" on \( \mathbb{CP}^3 \).

We hope that the results above will shed a light on the study of deformation theory of the Atiyah-Ward correspondence and the Ward transform.
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2 Deformation quantization

2.1 Definition

In the 1970's, supported by the mathematical developments, Bayen, Flato, Fronsdal, Lichnerowicz and Sternheimer considered quantization as a deformation of the usual commutative product of classical observables into a noncommutative associative product which is parametrized by the Planck constant \( \hbar \) and satisfies the correspondence principle.

In the approach above, the precise definition of the space of quantum observables and star product \(^4\) is given in the following way(cf. [1]):

**Definition 2.1** A star product of Poisson manifold \((M, \pi)\) is a product \( \star \) on the space \( C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]] \) of formal power series of parameter \( \hbar \) with coefficients in \( C^\infty(M) \), defined by

\[
f \star g = fg + \hbar \pi_1(f, g) + \cdots + \hbar^n \pi_n(f, g) + \cdots, \quad \forall f, g \in C^\infty(M)
\]

\(^3\)In our situation, it should be regarded as an \( O_{\mathbb{CP}^3}(2) \)-sheaf cohomology class.

\(^4\)In the present paper, we use this notion in a quite different situation, i.e., in holomorphic categories.
satisfying

1. $*$ is associative,

2. $\pi_1(f, g) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}} \{f, g\},$

3. each $\pi_n$ ($n \geq 1$) is a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-bilinear and bidifferential operator, where

   $\{ , \}$ is the Poisson bracket defined by the Poisson structure $\pi$.

A deformed algebra (resp. a deformed algebra structure) is called a star algebra (resp. a star product).

2.2 Existence of formal deformation quantization I (Omori-Maeda-Yoshioka quantization)

As to a symplectic manifold $(M, \omega)$, DeWilde-Lecomte [2], Omori-Maeda-Yoshioka [26] found the method of construction for formal deformation quantization by patching work of the Weyl algebra bundle with suitable conditions.

2.3 Existence of formal deformation quantization II (Fedosov quantization)

Fedosov [3] found a geometric method of formal deformation quantization of a symplectic manifold via adjusting the canonical connection of the jet bundle so that it is compatible with fibre-wise Moyal-Weyl product on the symmetric tensor algebra of the cotangent bundle of $(M, \omega)$.

Let $(M, \omega)$ be a symplectic and $\nabla^{symp}$ a symplectic connection. Set

$$\delta^{-1}(\nu^m Z^\alpha dz^\beta) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} dz_i \iota_{Z_i} \nu^m Z^\alpha dz^\beta & (|\alpha| + |\beta| \neq 0), \\ 0 & (|\alpha| + |\beta| = 0), \end{cases}$$

where $\iota$ is a inner product.

We may write $\nabla^F|_{W_M} = \nabla^{symp} - \delta + r$, where $W_M$ is the Weyl algebra bundle on $M$, and $r$ is a 1-form with $\Gamma(W_M)$ coefficient. Then as in [3], $r$ satisfies the following equation

$$\delta r = R_\omega + \nabla^{symp} r + \frac{1}{2\nu} [r, r],$$

(3)
where $R_\omega$ is a curvature of symplectic connection. Or equivalently $r$ satisfies

$$r = \delta^{-1} \{ (\nabla^{\text{symp}} + \frac{1}{2\nu} [r, r]) + R_\omega \},$$

under the assumptions $\deg r \geq 2$, $\delta^{-1} r = 0$, $r_0 = 0$. Set $r_k$ is the degree $k$ term of $r$. Since it is easy to verify that this equation can be solved by recursively in the following way

$$r_3 = \delta^{-1} R_\omega,$$

$$r_{n+3} = \delta^{-1} (\nabla^{\text{symp}} r_{n+2} + \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} r_{n+2+l} \ast r_{n+2-l}).$$

The connection obtained as above is called the Fedosov connection.

**Theorem 2.2** Restriction of fiber-wise Moyal-Weyl product into the space of parallel sections with respect to the Fedosov connection gives a formal deformation quantization on a symplectic manifold.

### 2.4 Existence of formal deformation quantization III ($L_{\infty}$-algebras as an exhibition for Kontsevich’s formality theorem)

As to general Poisson manifolds, Kontsevich [7] established the formality theorem. Roughly speaking, he considered the Batalin-Vilkovisky-Maurer-Cartan equation in the category of $L_{\infty}$-algebras.

We review the basics of formal deformation quantization for readers. See [3, 7] for details.

Let $V = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} V^k$ be a graded vector space, and $[1]$ a shift-functor, that is, $V[1]^k = V^{k+1}$. $V[1] = \bigoplus_k V[1]^k$ is called a shifted graded vector space of $V$. We set $C(V) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \text{Sym}^n(V)$ where

$$\text{Sym}^n(V) = T^n(V)/\{ \cdots \otimes (x_1 x_2 - (-1)^{k_1 k_2} x_2 x_1) \otimes \cdots ; x_i \in V^{k_i} \}.$$

This space has a coproduct $\Delta : C(V) \to C(V) \otimes C(V)$ defined in the following way:

$$\Delta(x_1 \cdots x_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sign}(\sigma; x_1 \cdots x_n) \times \cdots \otimes (x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(k)}) \otimes (x_{\sigma(k+1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}),$$

For example, it is well-known that the Maurer-Cartan equation appears in geometry of connection.
where \( \text{sign}(\sigma; x_1 \cdots x_n) \) is defined by

\[
x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)} = \text{sign}(\sigma; x_1 \cdots x_n)x_1 \cdots x_n.
\]

This coproduct is coassociative, i.e. \((1 \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta = (\Delta \otimes 1) \circ \Delta\). We denote \( k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_n \) by \( \deg(x_1 \cdots x_n) \), where \( x_i \in V^{k_i} \).

**Definition 2.3** A map \( f : C(V_1) \rightarrow C(V_2) \) is called a coalgebra homomorphism if (1) \( \Delta \circ f = (f \otimes f) \circ \Delta \), (2) \( f \) preserves the grading.

The coderivation is defined in the following way.

**Definition 2.4** A map \( \ell : C(V) \rightarrow C(V) \) is called a coderivation if the following properties are satisfied: (1) \( \ell \) is an odd vector field of degree +1, (2) \((\ell \otimes \mathrm{id} + \mathrm{id} \otimes \ell) \circ \Delta = \Delta \circ \ell\), where \((\mathrm{id} \otimes \ell)(x \otimes y) = (-1)^{\deg x}x \otimes \ell(y)\).

We also use the following notation: Set \( f^{(n)} = p \circ f|_{\text{Sym}^n(V_1)} : \text{Sym}^n(V_1) \rightarrow V_2 \), and \( \ell^{(n)} = p \circ \ell|_{\text{Sym}^n(V_1)} : \text{Sym}^n(V_1) \rightarrow V_2 \), where \( p \) = canonical projection : \( C(V_2) \rightarrow V_2 \).

Under the above notation, \( L_{\infty} \)-algebras and \( L_{\infty} \)-morphisms are defined in the following way:

**Definition 2.5** An \( L_{\infty} \)-algebra is a pair \( (V, \ell) \), where \( V \) is a graded vector space and \( \ell \) is a coderivation on the graded coalgebra \( C(V) \), such that \( \ell^2 = 0 \).

**Definition 2.6** An \( L_{\infty} \)-morphism \( F_* \) between two \( L_{\infty} \)-algebras \( (V_1, \ell_1) \) and \( (V_2, \ell_2) \) is a coalgebra homomorphism such that \( \ell_2 \circ F_* = F_* \circ \ell_1 \).

**Remark (example)** If \( \ell = \ell^{(1)} + \ell^{(2)} \), and \( d = \ell^{(1)} \), \( [x, y] = (-1)^{\deg x-1}\ell^{(2)}(x, y) \), then \( \ell^2 = 0 \) if and only if

\[
d^2 = 0, \\
d[x, y] = [dx, y] + (-1)^{\deg x-1}[x, dy], \\
[[x, y], z] + (-1)^{(x+y)(z+1)}[[z, x], y] + (-1)^{(y+z)(x+1)}[[y, z], x] = 0,
\]

that is, \((V, \ell)\) is a graded differential Lie algebra.

We next recall examples which play important roles in Kontsevich's formality theorem.
Differential Graded Lie algebra of $T_{poly}$-fields
Let $M$ be a smooth manifold. Set $T_{poly}(M) = \oplus_{k \geq -1} \Gamma(M, \wedge^{k+1} TM)$, and let $[\cdot, \cdot]_S$ be the Schouten bracket:

$$[X_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_m, Y_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_n]_S = \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{i+j+m} [X_i, Y_j] \cdots \wedge \hat{X}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{Y}_j \wedge \cdots,$$

where $X_i, Y_i \in \Gamma(M, TM)$. Then, the triple

$$(T_{poly}(M)[[\hbar]], d := 0, [\cdot, \cdot] := [\cdot, \cdot]_S)$$

forms a differential graded Lie algebra. It is well-known that for any bivector $\pi \in \Gamma(M, \wedge^2 TM)$, $\pi$ is a Poisson structure if and only if

$$[[\pi, \pi]]_S = 0. \quad (6)$$

Differential Grade Lie algebra of $D_{poly}$-fields
Let $(A, \bullet)$ be an associative algebra and set $C(A) := \oplus_{k \geq -1} C^k$, $C^k = Hom(A \otimes^{k+1}; A)$. For $\varphi_i \in C^{k_i} (i = 1, 2)$, we set

$$\varphi_1 \circ \hat{\varphi}_2 (a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{k_1+k_2}) := \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{ik_2} \varphi_1 (a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i-1} \otimes \varphi_2 (a_i \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i+k_2}) \otimes a_{i+k_2+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{k_1+k_2}).$$

Then the Gerstenhaber bracket is defined in the following way:

$$[[\varphi_1, \varphi_2]]_G = \varphi_1 \circ \hat{\varphi}_2 - (-1)^{k_1k_2} \varphi_2 \circ \hat{\varphi}_1 \quad (7)$$

and Hochschild coboundary operator $\delta = \delta_\bullet$ with respect to $\bullet$ is defined by $\delta_\bullet(\varphi) = (-1)^k [\bullet, \varphi] \quad (\varphi \in C^k)$. Then it is known that the triple

$$(C(A), d := \delta_\bullet, [\cdot, \cdot] := [\cdot, \cdot]_G)$$

is a differential graded Lie algebra.

Let $M$ be a smooth manifold. Set $F := \infty(M)$, and $D_{poly}(M)^n(M)$ equals a space of all multidifferential operators from $F \otimes^{n+1}$ into $F$. Then

$$D_{poly}(M)[[\hbar]] := \oplus_{n \geq -1} D^n_{poly}(M)[[\hbar]]$$

is a subcomplex of $C(F[[\hbar]])$.

Furthermore, the triple $(D_{poly}(M)[[\hbar]], \hbar, [\cdot, \cdot]_G)$ is a differential graded Lie algebra.
Proposition 2.7 Let $B$ be a bilinear operator and $f \ast g = f \cdot g + B(f, g)$. Then the product $\ast$ is associative if and only if $B$ satisfies
\[
\delta B + \frac{1}{2}[B, B]_G = 0.
\] (8)

Next we recall the moduli space $\mathcal{MC}(C(V[1]))$. For $b \in V[1]$, set $e^b := 1 + b + \frac{b \otimes b}{2!} + \cdots \in C(V[1])$.

Definition 2.8 $\ell(e^b) = 0$ is called a Batalin-Vilkovisky-Maurer-Cartan equation, where $\ell$ is a $L_\infty$-structure.

Using this equation, we define the moduli space as follows:

Definition 2.9
\[
\tilde{\mathcal{MC}}(C(V[1])) := \{ b; \ell(e^b) = 0 \},
\]
\[
\mathcal{MC}(C(V[1])) := \tilde{\mathcal{MC}}(C(V[1])) / \sim,
\] (9) (10)

where $V$ stands for $T_{\text{poly}}(M)[[\hbar]]$ (i.e. T-poly vector fields), and $D_{\text{poly}}(M)[[\hbar]]$ (i.e. D-poly vector fields), and $\sim$ means the gauge equivalence $^6$.

With these preliminaries, we can state precise version of the formality theorem:

Theorem 2.10 There exists a map $\mathcal{U}$ such that
\[
\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{MC}(C(T_{\text{poly}}(M)[[\hbar]][1])) \cong \mathcal{MC}(C(D_{\text{poly}}(M)[[\hbar]][1])).
\]

As a biproduct, we have

Theorem 2.11 For any Poisson manifold $(M, \pi)$ there exists a formal deformation quantization (i.e. noncommutative associative product (say Kontsevich’s star product) on $C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$).

In the proof of the formality theorem, Kontsevich constructed the map denoted by $\mathcal{U}$ which seems to be deeply depending on the combinatorial methods based on the Feynman diagram which was, may be, inspired by the pioneer works by Dirac.

$^6$Strictly speaking, as for formal Poisson bivectors, $\pi_1(h) \sim \pi_2(h)$ if there exists a formal vector field $D \in \mathfrak{X}(M)[[\hbar]]$ such that $\exp \hbar D \circ \pi_1(h) = \pi_2(h) \circ (\exp \hbar D \otimes \exp \hbar D)$.
2.5 Sketch of the proof of the first assertion 1 in main result

We can consider formal deformation quantization with respect to an even-even part direction (an ordinary Poisson structure direction\(^7\)) of it.

**Theorem 2.12 ([16])** For the double fibration Fig.1, the relations \((\dot{\alpha}, \dot{\beta} = \dot{1}, \dot{2})\) below

\[
[z^{\dot{\alpha}}, z^{\dot{\beta}}] = \hbar D^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}, \beta\dot{\beta}} \pi_{\alpha} \pi_{\beta},
\]

where \(z^1 := z_1, \ z^2 := z_2\), give a globally defined noncommutative associative product \(^8\) \# on \(\mathbb{C}P^3\), where \((D^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}, \beta\dot{\beta}})\) is a skew symmetric matrix.

**Remark.** Normalizing the above relations, our product is closely related to the algebra obtained in [6]. For other approaches to the problem of deformation quantization of complex projective spaces, see also [1, 6, 7, 18, 19, 31].

**Proof** We give two proofs of this statement.

(I) In our situation, \(D^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}, \beta\dot{\beta}} \pi_{\alpha} \pi_{\beta} \partial_{\dot{\alpha}} \wedge \partial_{\dot{\beta}}\) gives a holomorphic Poisson structure on the projective space \(^9\). Since for any Poisson manifold has a formal deformation on it, as seen in the previous subsection 2.4, we have the assertion.

(II) Second proof is more direct and referent formula enables us to compute star exponentials explicitly. First we remark that Weyl type star product means the following product:

\[
f(Z) \ast g(Z) = f(Z) \exp \left[ \frac{\mu}{2} \partial_{Z_{\alpha}} \Lambda^{\dot{\alpha}, \dot{\beta}} \partial_{Z_{\beta}} \right] g(Z),
\]

that is, the Moyal type product formula, where \(Z = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_{2n})\) and \(\mu = -\sqrt{-1} \hbar\). Then we have the following.

\(^7\)More precisely, deformation quantization to the direction of the holomorphic Poisson structure.

\(^8\)More precisely, it gives a globally defined noncommutative associative product on the structure sheaf \(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}P^3}[[\mu, \mu]], \ \mu = -\sqrt{-1} \hbar\).

\(^9\)Note that this Poisson structure is not the Fubini-Study fundamental form.
**Proposition 2.13** Suppose the assumption below:

\[
\begin{align*}
&\partial_{Z_{\alpha_1}} \Lambda^{\dot{\alpha}_1, \beta_1} \partial_{Z_{\beta_1}} \cdots \partial_{Z_{\alpha_k}} \Lambda^{\dot{\alpha}_k, \beta_k} \partial_{Z_{\beta_k}} \\
= \partial_{Z_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, \alpha_k} \Lambda^{\dot{\alpha}_1, \beta_1} \cdots \Lambda^{\dot{\alpha}_k, \beta_k} \partial_{Z_{\beta_1}, \ldots, \beta_k}.
\end{align*}
\tag{13}
\]

Then, the Weyl type star product gives a noncommutative, associative product. Hence, it gives a star product.

In order to realize the noncommutative, associative product, we use the Weyl type star product (i.e. Weyl ordering)\(^{10}\): For abbreviation, we set a matrix

\[
\hat{\Lambda} := \left[ \frac{2}{\sqrt{-1}} D^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}, \beta\beta} \pi_{\alpha} \pi_{\beta} \right]_{\dot{\alpha}, \beta},
\tag{14}
\]

and then \(\hat{\Lambda}\) is a skew symmetric matrix.

**Proposition 2.14** The coefficients of \(\hat{\Lambda}\) depend on the variables on the base manifold in our case. However, \(\hat{\Lambda}\) satisfies the above assumption (13).

Combining these Propositions 2.13 and 2.14 completes the proof of Theorem 2.12, thus the first assertion 1 of main theorem. \(\square\)

## 3 Sketch of the proof of the second assertion 2 in main result

In this section, we would like to compute star exponentials for quadratic polynomials with the form \(f(Z) = g(t)e^{\frac{1}{\mu}Q[Z](t)}\) under a quite general setting more than settings of [9, 10, 18, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25].

We begin this section with remarking that we can demonstrate our computation of star product under a slightly general setting with the assumption above as seen in the previous subsection: Let \(Z = (Z^1, \ldots, Z^{2n})\), \(A[Z] := {}^tZAZ\), where \(A \in \text{Sym}(2n, \mathbb{R})\), i.e. \(A\) is a \(2n \times 2n\)-real symmetric matrix. In order to compute the star exponential function \(e^{\mu A[Z]}\) with

\(^{10}\)It is well-known that under the suitable conditions, Kontsevich’s star product reduces the Moyal type product.
respect to the Moyal type product formula, we treat the following evolution equation:

\[ \partial_t F = \frac{1}{\mu} A[Z] \ast F, \quad (15) \]

with an initial condition

\[ F_0 = e^{\frac{1}{\mu} B[Z]}, \quad (16) \]

where \( B \in \text{Sym}(2n, \mathbb{R}), \mu = -\sqrt{-1}\hbar. \)

As seen above, our setting\(^{11}\) is rather different from the situations considered in the article [10] by Maillard, in [9, 18, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25] by OMMY, and in the book [18] entitled *Physics in Mathematics*, Univ. Tokyo Press by Omori (see also [28]\(^{12}\)). However, to compute star exponentials, we can use similar methods employed in the articles and book above, as will be seen below:

Under the assumption \( F(t) = g \cdot e^{\frac{1}{\mu} Q[Z]} \) \((g = g(t), \ Q = Q(t))\), we would like to find a solution of the equations (15) and (16).

Direct computations give

\[
\text{L.H.S. of (15) } = g'e^{\frac{1}{\mu} Q[Z]} + g \frac{1}{\mu} Q'[Z] e^{\frac{1}{\mu} Q[Z]},
\]

\[
\text{R.H.S. of (15) } = \frac{1}{\mu} A[Z] \ast F
\]

\[
\overset{(12)}{=} \frac{1}{\mu} A[Z] \cdot F + \frac{i\hbar}{2} \Lambda^{i_1 j_1} \partial_{i_1} \frac{1}{\mu} A[Z] \cdot \partial_{j_1} F
\]

\[
- \frac{\hbar^2}{2 \cdot 4} \Lambda^{i_1 i_2} \Lambda^{i_2 j_2} \partial_{i_1} \partial_{i_2} \frac{1}{\mu} A[Z] \partial_{j_1} \partial_{j_2} F
\]

\[ (17) \]

where \( A = (A_{ij}), \Lambda = (\Lambda^{ij}) \) and \( Q = (Q_{ij}) \). Comparing the coefficient of \( \mu^{-1} \) gives

\[ Q'[Z] = A[Z] - 2^t A\Lambda Q[Z] - Q \Lambda A \Lambda Q[Z]. \quad (18) \]

Applying \( \Lambda \) by left and setting \( q := \Lambda Q \) and \( a := \Lambda A \), we easily obtain

\[
\Lambda Q' = \Lambda A + \Lambda Q \Lambda A - \Lambda A \Lambda Q - \Lambda Q \Lambda A \Lambda Q
\]

\(^{11}\)i.e. deformation quantization of the structure sheaf \( \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3} \) to the direction of holomorphic Poisson structure.

\(^{12}\)Quillen's method is very useful to compute superconnection character forms and supertrace of Dirac-Laplacian heat kernels (cf. [4, 13])
\[ (1 + \Lambda Q) \Lambda A (1 - \Lambda Q) = (1 + q) a (1 - q). \] (19)

As to the coefficient of $\mu^0$, we have

\[ g' = \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{i_1 j_1} \Lambda_{i_2 j_2} A_{i_1 i_2} g_{j_1 j_2} = -\frac{1}{2} tr(aq) \cdot g. \] (20)

Thus

**Theorem 3.1** The equation (15) is rewritten by

\[ \partial_t q = (1 + q) a (1 - q), \] (21)

\[ \partial_t g = -\frac{1}{2} tr(aq) \cdot g. \] (22)

In order to solve the equations (21) and (22), we now recall the “Cayley transform.”

**Proposition 3.2** Set $C(X) := \frac{1 - X}{1 + X}$ if $\det(1 + X) \neq 0$. Then

1. $X \in sp_{\Lambda}(n, \mathbb{R}) \iff \Lambda X \in Sym(2n, \mathbb{R})$, and then $C(X) \in Sp_{\Lambda}(n, \mathbb{R})$, where

\[ Sp_{\Lambda}(n, \mathbb{R}) := \{ g \in M(2n, \mathbb{R})|^{t}g \Lambda g = \Lambda \}, \]

\[ sp_{\Lambda}(n, \mathbb{R}) := Lie(Sp_{\Lambda}(n, \mathbb{R})). \]

2. $C^{-1}(g) = \frac{1 - g}{1 + g}$, (the “inverse Cayley transform”).

3. $e^{2\sqrt{-1}a} = c(-\sqrt{-1} \tan(a))$.

4. $\log a = 2\sqrt{-1} \arctan(\sqrt{-1} C^{-1}(g))$.

5. $\partial_t q = (1 + q) a (1 - q) \iff \partial_t C(q) = -2aC(q)$.

**Proof** Direct computations show these assertions. $\square$
Solving the above equation 5 in Proposition 3.2, we have
\[ C(q) = e^{-2at}C(b), \]
where \( b = \Lambda B \) and then
\[ q = C^{-1}(e^{-2at} \cdot C(b)) = C^{-1}(C(-\sqrt{-1} \tan(\sqrt{-1}at) \cdot C(b)). \]
Hence, according to the inverse Cayley transform, we can get \( Q \) in the following way.

**Proposition 3.3**
\[ Q = -\Lambda \cdot C^{-1}(C(-\sqrt{-1} \tan(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda At)) \cdot C(\Lambda B)). \tag{23} \]

Next we compute the amplitude coefficient part \( g \). Solving
\[ g' = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(aq) \cdot g \tag{24} \]
gives

**Proposition 3.4**
\[ g = \det^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{e^{at} (1 + b) + e^{-at} (1 - b)}{2} \right). \tag{25} \]

Setting \( t = 1, a = \Lambda A \) and \( b = 0 \), we get

**Theorem 3.5**
\[ e_{\mu}^{\frac{1}{2}} A[Z] = \det^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{e^{\Lambda A} + e^{-\Lambda A}}{2} \right) \cdot e_{\mu}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Lambda^{-1} \tan(\sqrt{-1} \Lambda A))[Z]. \tag{26} \]

Combining Theorems 2.12, 3.5 with sheaf cohomology of projective space, we have the following (cf. [16]).

**Theorem 3.6** Assume that \( \Lambda := \hat{\Lambda} \) and \( A[Z] \) a homogeneous polynomial of \( z^1 = x^\alpha_{1} \pi_{\alpha}, \ z^2 = x^\alpha_{2} \pi_{\alpha} \) with degree 2. Then a star exponential function \( e_{\#}^{\frac{1}{2}} A[Z] \) gives a cohomology class of \( \mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^3 \) with coefficients in a suitable sheaf.

This completes the proof of main theorem. \( \square \)
4 Concluding remarks

In this article, we are mainly concerned with only typical twistor space. However, we believe that these arguments can be extended to a certain class of Lie tensor contact manifolds in the sense of [29]. We also remark that we can deform the super twistor spaces to odd-odd direction and then obtain non-anti-commutative products (cf. [16] and [31]).
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