Sexual Difference in Color Sense in a Lycaenid Butterfly, *Narathura japonica*
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The spectral sensitivity of a lycaenid butterfly, *Narathura japonica*, was investigated by electroretinography using an integrating sphere that could illuminate the compound eye from almost all directions. Samples were collected from three locations. Butterflies from different locations showed a similar pattern; the first, second, and third peaks (or a shoulder) were located at about 380, 460, and 560 nm, respectively. Males clearly showed the highest sensitivity at the first peak point. In contrast, females showed a higher relative sensitivity than males at the second and third peak points in all samples, and showed broad spectral sensitivity. This male-specific UV-sensitivity is discussed in terms of ecological factors.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Behavioral studies have demonstrated that butterflies, with the most conspicuous and colorful wings of all insects, perceive color (Kühn and Ilse, 1925; Ilse, 1928). Electroretinography (ERG) (Struwe, 1972a; Eguchi et al., 1982) and the analysis of single receptor cells (Struwe, 1972b; Arikawa et al., 1987) have also pointed to the existence of color vision in butterflies. Recently, measurement of single receptor cells (Arikawa et al., 2005) and tapetal reflection (Bernard and Remington, 1991) revealed a sexual difference in color sense.

In some species of butterflies, wing color is associated with color sense. Swihart (1967) measured the response from the medulla interna and obtained a higher response in long wavelengths for *Heliconius erato*, with a large red band on the forewing, and in short wavelengths for *Morpho peleides*, with iridescent blue in the central areas of wings. When the response was analyzed at the level of sensory cells based on ERG, there was no such association, but a tendency to show a similar response pattern within a family group was noticed; e.g., lycaenid bluish *Pseudozizeeria maha* and reddish *Lycaena phlaea* both showed a purple-sensitive pattern (Eguchi et al., 1982). Bernard and Remington (1991), however, found a correlation between wing color and visual pigments for two lycaenid species, *Lycaena heteronea* with dorsally bluish wings has tetrachromatic sensory receptors including blue receptors, whereas *L. rubidus* with red-orange plus ultraviolet wings has a trichromatic system lacking blue receptors.

Most of the previous investigations were performed on a limited area of the compound eye illuminated with a beam or parallel light (Swihart, 1967; Eguchi et al., 1982), or examined over 10 to 20 ommatidia (Bernard and Remington, 1991). Different types of receptor cells responsible for color sense are unevenly distributed over the compound eye (Bernard and Remington, 1991), and thus spectral sensitivity curves derived from different areas of a compound eye are not the same (Arikawa et al., 1987). To investigate the response from all the color sense receptors on a compound eye, we employed an integrating sphere that could illuminate the compound eye from almost all directions. The lycaenid butterfly *Narathura japonica* was investigated, because the reflectance of the wing surface of this species was previously determined (Imafuku et al., 2002). We also paid attention to the sexual difference that we found in our first sample, and extended our analysis to other samples collected from different locations for further reconfirmation.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Butterflies**

The butterfly *Narathura japonica*, belonging to Theclinae, Lycaenidae, with a large purple to deep-blue patch on the upper side of the wing, was examined. The underside of the wing was grayish dark brown. Specimens were collected from three locations: Kōchi and Ino Cities (13 females and 6 males, within a 12 km range,
Kōchi samples) in March 2005; Nakahechi Town, Tanabe City in Wakayama Pref. (11 females and 12 males, 5 km, Tanabe samples) in April 2005; and Ōtsu and Kyoto Cities (10 females and 9 males, 15 km, Ōtsu samples) from October to November 2005. These locations were 140–270 km apart.

Methods

A specimen whose wings and appendages were removed was fixed on a stage with a compound eye, usually of the right side, facing the top in a Faraday cage. The eye was pierced at the center with a fine needle to make a hole through which an active tungsten electrode (M.T. Giken) was inserted to a depth of ca. 300 μm (the thickness of the retina at the center was 330–390 μm, determined by sectioning). The indifferent tungsten electrode was inserted in the head at a site other than the compound eyes. The eye to be tested was centered in the opening (1 cm in diameter) of the integrating sphere (5 cm in diameter, lined with a sheet of magnesium sulfate powder, Sanso), so as to illuminate the entire eye surface. Stimulation light was introduced into the integrating sphere through a UV-penetrating liquid fiber. The other end of the fiber was illuminated with a parallel light from a 500-W xenon lamp, after penetrating an interference filter, a neutral density filter, and a wedge filter (Sanso), the last filter adjusting the light intensity of different wavelengths to a fixed level of photons, \(1.4 \times 10^{12} \text{photons/cm}^2/\text{s}\). After at least 10 min of dark adaptation (Eguchi et al., 1982), the compound eye was stimulated with a series of monochromatic light flashes (60 ms) of 300–700 nm wavelength at intervals of 20 nm in turn, with an interstimulus interval of 3.5 s, first from short to long wavelengths and then in the reverse order, and responses from both processes were averaged. The response to white light was taken at several light intensities from \(8.2 \times 10^{-3}\) to \(2.6 \times 10^{3} \mu\text{W}\) at intervals of 0.5 log unit to obtain a V-logI curve with which a spectral response curve was converted to a spectral sensitivity curve (Eguchi, 2004). The converted sensitivity curve was normalized to the maximum sensitivity as one and expressed as relative sensitivity at each spectral point.

Light intensity was measured with a photo sensor (S1226-5PQ, Hamamatsu Photonics) for relative values of different wavelengths, and a radiometer (USR-40V, Ushio) for absolute values.

Statistics

For comparison of spectral sensitivity between sexes, we applied the Mann-Whitney U test, using StatView version 5.0 software (SAS Institute).

RESULTS

Butterflies from the three locations showed a similar relative sensitivity pattern, with the first peak at about 380 nm, the second peak at about 460 nm, and the third peak or a shoulder at around 560 nm (Fig. 1).

Judging from the sensitivity values, males clearly showed the highest sensitivity to UV light at the first peak point in all samples (Table 1). As indicated by the \(p\) value in Fig. 1, females tended to show higher relative sensitivity than males at the second and the third peaks. In some female populations, the sensitivity at the second point was higher than that at the first point, which was not seen in the male populations. These results clearly demonstrate a difference in spectral sensitivity pattern between males and females of a lycaenid butterfly, *Narathura japonica*.
Table 1. Comparison of sensitivity between the sexes. Means ± SEs (photons/cm²/s) and p values are given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Köchi</td>
<td>380nm</td>
<td>96±5</td>
<td>121±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>460nm</td>
<td>83±5</td>
<td>83±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>560nm</td>
<td>30±1</td>
<td>23±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanabe</td>
<td>380nm</td>
<td>84±5</td>
<td>173±23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>460nm</td>
<td>88±9</td>
<td>104±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>560nm</td>
<td>30±2</td>
<td>24±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ōtsu</td>
<td>380nm</td>
<td>115±11</td>
<td>165±21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>460nm</td>
<td>126±11</td>
<td>128±14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>560nm</td>
<td>29±4</td>
<td>23±3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

In *Narathura japonica*, peaks (or a shoulder) of spectral sensitivity were located at about 380, 460, and 560 nm wavelengths. These points approximately correspond to known visual pigments for lycaenid (P360, P500, and P568; Barnard and Lemington, 1991) and papilionid (360 [PxUV], 460 [PxS], and 575 [PxL3]; Arikawa et al., 2005) butterflies.

*Narathura japonica* showed a sexual difference; males had a significantly higher sensitivity in the UV light region, as shown in Table 1. A sexual difference is also known in other species. In the dorsal eye region of *Lycaena heteroea* and *L. rubidus*, females showed a trichromatic pattern involving yellow-sensitive pigments (P568), but males showed a dichromatic pattern without them (Barnard and Lemington, 1991). In *Pieris rapae*, blue photoreceptors of only males were furnished with a filter pigment that caused a slight peak shift to the longer wavelength side (Arikawa et al., 2005). In *N. japonicus*, the sexual difference seems to be caused by a difference in the number of receptor cells involved, because the peak heights were different at the same peak position; however, a cellular-level analysis is needed before a conclusion can be drawn.

The first peak position of spectral sensitivity function in *N. japonica* roughly matches that of the spectral reflectance from the dorsal surface of the wings of this species; the reflectance peak was at 370 nm for males and at 400 nm for females (Imafuku et al., 2002). Thus, males seem to be more sensitive to conspecific wing color, a result well corresponding to that of a behavioral experiment made by Silberglied (1984) in which nymphalid (*Anartia amathea*) males chose orange-colored females and avoided artificially blackened ones, in contrast to females, who indiscriminately copulated with blackened males as well as red-colored males. A higher spectral sensitivity to conspecific wing color by males seems logical, because the aim of adult males is to acquire conspecific females, whereas that of females is not always to copulate with males but to lay eggs on an appropriate bud of the larval food plant. However, it is premature to draw such a conclusion based on ecological factors, because many lycaenid species are known to show higher sensitivity to UV or blue light (Eguchi et al., 1982), just as seen in our species. Further investigation is needed, extending to more species with different wing colors.
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