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1 Theorems

We can find several proofs, for example, in [6-13], of the following classical theorem of Frobenius:

**Theorem 1.1 (Frobenius).** Let $n$ be an integer and $G$ a finite group. Then

$$|\{g \in G \mid g^n = 1\}| \equiv 0 \pmod{\gcd(n, |G|)},$$

where $|X|$ denotes the cardinality of a set $X$.

This theorem is equivalent to the fact that

$$|\text{Hom}(C, G)| \equiv 0 \pmod{\gcd(|C|, |G|)}$$

for any finite cyclic group $C$, where Hom denotes the set of group homomorphisms. Yoshida has generalized the theorem as follows:

**Theorem 1.2 (Yoshida [12]).** Let $A$ be a finite abelian group and $G$ a finite group. Then

$$|\text{Hom}(A, G)| \equiv 0 \pmod{\gcd(|A|, |G|)}.$$  

Another way of generalization is due to P. Hall:

**Theorem 1.3 (P. Hall [10]).** Let $G$ be a finite group and $\theta$ an automorphism of $G$. If the order of $\theta$ divides a positive integer $n$, then

$$|\{g \in G \mid g \cdot \theta(g) \cdot \theta^2(g) \cdots \theta^{n-1}(g) = 1\}| \equiv 0 \pmod{\gcd(n, |G|)}.$$  

The theorem of Frobenius corresponds to the case $\theta = 1$. We reform this Hall's generalization in terms of $\text{Z}^1(A, G)$ as well as Theorem 1.1 in terms of $\text{Hom}(A, G)$, as follows.

Let a group $A$ act on a group $G$ by a group homomorphism $\varphi: A \to \text{Aut}(G)$, where $\text{Aut}(G)$ is the automorphism group of $G$. For $a \in A$ and $g \in G$, we indicate $\varphi(a)(g)$ by $^a g$. A map $\lambda: A \to G$ is called a crossed homomorphism or a derivation (with respect to $\varphi$) provided

$$\lambda(ab) = \lambda(a) \cdot ^a \lambda(b) \quad \text{for all } a, b \in A.$$
We denote by $Z^1(A, G)$ the set of crossed homomorphisms from $A$ to $G$. For example, the zero map $0: A \to G$ sending all the elements of $A$ onto $1 \in G$ is a crossed homomorphism. If the action $\varphi$ is trivial, then $Z^1(A, G) = \text{Hom}(A, G)$. On the other hand, if $G$ is abelian, then $Z^1(A, G)$ coincides with the first cocycle group of the $\mathbb{Z}A$-module $G$ with respect to the standard resolution of $A$. However, unless $G$ is abelian, $Z^1(A, G)$ may be only a set; it may not have a group structure in general.

Now, Hall’s theorem is equivalent to the fact that

$$|Z^1(C, G)| \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{gcd}(|C|, |G|)}$$

for any finite cyclic group $C$ and for any action of $C$ on $G$. Yoshida and the first author of this report have conjectured the following:

**Conjecture 1.4 ([5]).** If a finite group $A$ acts on a finite group $G$, then

$$|Z^1(A, G)| \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{gcd}(|A/A'|, |G|)},$$

where $A'$ denotes the commutator subgroup of $A$.

This conjecture is a generalization of all the theorems above, and is still open. Recent progress for this conjecture is found in [1–4]. In particular, in order to prove the conjecture completely, it suffices to prove the conjecture in the case where $A$ is an abelian $p$-group and $G$ is a $p$-group for a prime $p$ ([1]). This reduction mainly owes to the functorial properties of $Z^1(A, G)$ on the variables $A$ and $G$, where the latter is first observed by Brauer [6] in a certain case (see §3.3 for generalization). In addition, Brauer has based his alternative proof of the theorem of Frobenius on the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.5 (Brauer [6]).** Let $G$ be a finite normal subgroup of a group $E$. Then, for any $g \in G$ and $x \in E$, $(gx)^{|G|}$ and $x^{|G|}$ is conjugate by an element of $G$.

In this report, we shall generalize this Brauer’s lemma as the formula

$$\text{res}_{A,A/|G|} (Z^1(A, G)) = B^1(A/|G|, G)$$

for abelian $A$ (Theorem 4.1), where $B^1$ denotes the set of coboundaries, which will be introduced in the next section. Throughout the report, our main tools are the functorial properties of $Z^1(A, G)$, and our principle is to compare $Z^1(A, G)$ with $B^1(A, G)$. As a corollary of our arguments together with the Feit-Thompson theorem, we shall also prove Theorem 4.2 which is equivalent to the second statement of the following classical theorem:

**Theorem 1.6 (Schur-Zassenhaus).** Let $G$ be a finite normal subgroup of a finite group $E$ such that $\gcd(|E : G|, |G|) = 1$. Then

1. There exists a subgroup $A$ of $E$ such that $E = G \rtimes A$.
2. If $E = G \rtimes A = G \rtimes B$, then $A$ and $B$ are conjugate by an element of $G$.

Note that if $G$ is abelian, then it is well known that the first statement of the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem is equivalent to $H^2(A, G) = 0$, and the second is so to $H^1(A, G) = 0$. In fact, we shall prove $Z^1(A, G) = B^1(A, G)$ for any finite group $A$ and $G$ whose orders are relatively prime.
Notation. For the remainder of the report, we fix the following notation: let $A$ and $G$ be groups, which need not be finite, and let $A$ act on $G$ by a group homomorphism $\varphi: A \to \text{Aut}(G)$. With respect to this action $\varphi$, we denote by $Z^1(A, G)$ the set of crossed homomorphisms from $A$ to $G$, and by $G \rtimes A$ the semidirect product of $G$ and $A$. For $x \in G \rtimes A$, we denote by $\text{Inn}(x)$ the inner automorphism associated with $x$, so that $\text{Inn}(x)(y) = x y x^{-1}$ for all $y \in G \times A$.

2 Coboundaries

For a given map $\lambda: A \to G$, consider the map $\bar{\lambda}: A \to G \rtimes A$ which is defined by

$$\bar{\lambda}(a) = \lambda(a)a \text{ for all } a \in A.$$ 

It is easy to show that $\lambda \in Z^1(A, G)$ if and only if $\bar{\lambda} \in \text{Hom}(A, G \rtimes A)$, and in this case, $\bar{\lambda}$ becomes a splitting monomorphism of the canonical epimorphism $\pi: G \rtimes A \to A$. On the other hand, any splitting monomorphism $\theta$ of $\pi$ defines a complement $\theta(A) \leq G \times A$ of $G$, and vice versa. From these observations, we obtain the following well-known result:

Theorem 2.1. There are two bijections

$$Z^1(A, G) \xrightarrow{\Phi} \{ \theta \in \text{Hom}(A, G \times A) \mid \pi \circ \theta = \text{id}_A \}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\Psi} \{ B \leq G \times A \mid GB = G \times A, \ G \cap B = 1 \},$$

where $\Phi(\lambda) = \bar{\lambda}$ and $\Psi(\theta) = \theta(A)$.

As in homological algebra, we introduce the concept of 'coboundary' as well as cocycle. For arbitrary $g \in G$ and $a \in A$, regarding them as elements in $G \rtimes A$, we consider their commutator $[g, a]$, where

$$[g, a] = gag^{-1}a^{-1} = g \cdot a(g^{-1}) \in G.$$ 

Then this induces a map $[g, -]: A \to G$ sending $a \in A$ to $[g, a] \in G$. We call this map $[g, -]$ a coboundary or an inner derivation induced from $g$ (with respect to $\varphi$), and set

$$B^1(A, G) = \{ [g, -] \mid g \in G \}.$$ 

Easy calculation shows that $B^1(A, G) \subseteq Z^1(A, G)$. In fact, if $G$ is abelian, then $B^1(A, G)$ coincides with the first coboundary group of the $\mathbb{Z}A$-module $G$ with respect to the standard resolution of $A$. However, in general cases, $B^1(A, G)$ may not have a group structure. Our principle of this report is to compare $B^1(A, G)$ with $Z^1(A, G)$. First we emphasize the following lemma on the relation between the coboundary $[g, -]$ and conjugation by $g$. Since $[g, a]a = ga$ in $G \times A$, we have

Lemma 2.2. Given $g \in G$, set $\gamma = [g, -]$. Then $\gamma(a) = ga$ for all $a \in A$.

In other words, $\Phi([g, -]) = \text{Inn}(g)$ on $A$. Note that $ga \neq A$ in general.

3 Parameters

Both $Z^1(A, G)$ and $B^1(A, G)$ have three parameters: groups $A$, $G$ and action $\varphi$. We shall consider functorial properties on these parameters.
3.1 Change of actions

We fix $\lambda \in Z^1(A, G)$. For given $a \in A$, the inner automorphism $\text{Inn}(\tilde{\lambda}(a))$ on $G \rtimes A$ leaves the normal subgroup $G$ invariant. This induces a new action $\text{Inn} \tilde{\lambda}: A \to \text{Aut}(G)$, namely,

$$\text{Inn} \tilde{\lambda}(a)(g) = \tilde{\lambda}(a)g = \lambda(a)(^ag) \quad \text{for} \ a \in A \text{ and } g \in G.$$  

We denote simply by $Z^1_\lambda(A, G)$ the set of crossed homomorphisms with respect to $\text{Inn} \tilde{\lambda}$.

Since $G \rtimes A = G \rtimes \tilde{\lambda}(A)$, Theorem 2.1 states that both $Z^1(A, G)$ and $Z^1_\lambda(A, G)$ correspond to the same set — the set of complements of $G$ in $G \rtimes A$. This is a group-theoretic meaning of the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1 (Change of actions).** Let $\lambda \in Z^1(A, G)$. Then right multiplication by $\lambda$ induces a bijection $\lambda_r: Z^1_\lambda(A, G) \to Z^1(A, G)$, which is defined by

$$\lambda_r(\eta)(a) = \eta(a)\lambda(a) \quad \text{for all } \eta \in Z^1_\lambda(A, G) \text{ and } a \in A.$$  

We often write $\lambda_r(\eta) = \eta \cdot \lambda$.

Let us determine the image of the coboundaries by this bijection $\lambda_r$. Set

$$B^1_\lambda(A, G) = \{[g, -]_\lambda \mid g \in G\},$$

where $[g, -]_\lambda: A \to G$ denotes the coboundary induced from $g$ with respect to the action $\text{Inn} \tilde{\lambda}$, i.e.,

$$[g, a]_\lambda = g \cdot \tilde{\lambda}(a)(g^{-1}) \in G \leq G \rtimes A \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$  

We indicate $\lambda_r([g, -]_\lambda) = [g, -]_\lambda \cdot \lambda \in Z^1(A, G)$ by $^g\lambda$, so that

$$(^g\lambda)(a) = [g, a]_\lambda \cdot \lambda(a) = ^g(\lambda(a)) \cdot a^{-1}.$$  

On the other hand, $G$ acts on $\text{Hom}(A, G \rtimes A)$ by

$${^g\theta} = \text{Inn}(g) \circ \theta \quad \text{for } g \in G \text{ and } \theta \in \text{Hom}(A, G \rtimes A).$$

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $\lambda \in Z^1(A, G)$. Then we have

(1) $\lambda_r(B^1_\lambda(A, G)) = \{^g\lambda \mid g \in G\}.$

(2) $^g\lambda = ^g\tilde{\lambda}$ for any $g \in G$. (In other words, $^g\lambda$ is the ‘$G$-part’ of $^g\tilde{\lambda}$.)

As the easiest case, we consider the zero map.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $0 \in Z^1(A, G)$ be the zero map. Then we have

(1) $0: A \to G \rtimes A$ is the inclusion map (the canonical monomorphism).

(2) $^g0 = [g, -]$ and $^g0 = \text{Inn}(g)$ on $A$ for any $g \in G$.

This implies the following at once:

**Corollary 3.4.** All the complements of $G$ in $G \rtimes A$ are conjugate if and only if $B^1(A, G) = Z^1(A, G)$.

Note that any two conjugate complements of $G$ in $G \rtimes A$ are conjugate by an element of $G$.

We can also show the following by easy calculation:

**Lemma 3.5.** For any $g, h \in G$, we have

$${^g[h, -]} = [g, -]h\cdot[h, -] = [gh, -].$$
3.2 Contravariant parameter \( A \)

Suppose that there is a short exact sequence of groups \( 1 \to B \to A \to \tilde{A} \to 1 \). We consider a problem whether there exists an exact sequence such as

\[
1 \to Z^1(\tilde{A}, G_{?}) \xrightarrow{\text{incl}} Z^1(A, G) \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{A,B}} Z^1(B, G),
\]

where \( G_{?} \) is some subgroup of \( G \) on which \( B \) acts trivially, incl is the inclusion map, and \( \text{res}_{A,B} \) is the restriction map (although exactness of a sequence is not defined in the category of sets). Whereas we cannot find such a common subgroup \( G_{?} \), we can locally do as follows:

**Theorem 3.6.** Suppose that \( \mu \in Z^1(B, G) \) lies in \( \text{res}_{A,B}(Z^1(A, G)) \), namely, \( \mu = \text{res}_{A,B}(\lambda) \) for some \( \lambda \in Z^1(A, G) \). Then \( \lambda_r : Z^1(\tilde{A}, G) \to Z^1(A, G) \) induces a bijection

\[
\lambda_r : Z^1(\tilde{A}, C_G(\tilde{\mu}(B))) \to Z^1(A, G; B, \mu),
\]

where we regard \( Z^1(\tilde{A}, C_G(\tilde{\mu}(B))) \subseteq Z^1(A, G) \) in a natural way, and where we set

\[
Z^1(A, G; B, \mu) = \text{res}^{-1}_{A,B}(\mu) = \{ \tau \in Z^1(A, G) \mid \text{res}_{A,B}(\tau) = \mu \}.
\]

By Lemma 3.2, we have

**Corollary 3.7.** Under the notation in Theorem 3.6, we have

\[
\lambda_r(\tilde{B}^1(\tilde{A}, C_G(\tilde{\mu}(B)))) = \{ k \lambda \mid k \in C_G(\tilde{\mu}(B)) \}.
\]

3.3 Covariant parameter \( G \) — Brauer’s argument

Suppose that there is a short exact sequence of groups \( 1 \to K \to G \to K \backslash G \to 1 \). We consider a similar problem whether there exists an exact sequence such as

\[
1 \to Z^1(A, K_{?}) \xrightarrow{\text{incl}} Z^1(A, G) \xrightarrow{\text{mod}_K} \text{Map}(A, K \backslash G),
\]

where \( K_{?} \) is some subgroup of \( G \), and \( \text{Map} \) denotes the set of maps, which may be replaced by \( Z^1 \) if \( K \) is \( A \)-invariant. For this problem, Brauer [6] gave an answer in the case where \( A \) is cyclic with trivial action on \( G \), i.e., \( Z^1(A, G) = \text{Hom}(A, G) \). Moreover, it is remarkable that he assumed \( K \) is neither normal nor \( A \)-invariant. We can generalize his answer as follows.

For \( K \subseteq G \) and \( \lambda \in Z^1(A, G) \), let \( K_{\lambda} \) be the maximal \( \tilde{\lambda}(A) \)-invariant subgroup of \( K \), namely,

\[
K_{\lambda} = \bigcap_{a \in A} \tilde{\lambda}(a) K.
\]

**Theorem 3.8.** Let \( K \) be a subgroup of \( G \), and \( \lambda \in Z^1(A, G) \). Then \( \lambda_r : Z^1(\tilde{A}, G) \to Z^1(A, G) \) induces a bijection

\[
\lambda_r : Z^1(\tilde{A}, K_{\lambda}) \to \{ \eta \in Z^1(A, G) \mid K\eta(a) = K\lambda(a) \text{ for all } a \in A \}.
\]

By Lemma 3.2, we have

**Corollary 3.9.** Under the notation in Theorem 3.8, we have

\[
\lambda_r(B^1(\tilde{A}, K_{\lambda})) = \{ k \lambda \mid k \in K_{\lambda} \}.
\]
4 Applications

For given $B \leq A$ and $g \in G$, we indicate the coboundary $[g, -] : B \to G$ by $[g, -]_B$ to avoid ambiguities, so that $\text{res}_{A,B}([g, -]_A) = [g, -]_B$. Note that it always holds that


(*)

If $n$ is an integer and $A$ is abelian, then $A^n = \{a^n \mid a \in A\}$ is a subgroup of $A$. The following is a generalization of Brauer's lemma (Lemma 1.5).

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $A$ be a finitely generated abelian group and let $G$ be a finite group. Then


**Proof.** We use induction on the rank of $A$.

(1) Suppose that $A$ is cyclic. We reduce this case to Hall's theorem (Theorem 1.3) as follows. Taking an epimorphism $F \simeq \mathbb{Z} \to A$, we have a commutative diagram

$$Z^1(A,G) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} Z^1(A[G],G)$$

$$\inf \downarrow \quad \inf \downarrow$$

$$Z^1(F,G) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} Z^1(F[G],G).$$

This allows us to assume that $A = F$. Since $F \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\vert F : F[G] \vert = \vert G \vert = \vert Z^1(F,G) \vert$. On the other hand, we have $B^1(F[G],G) = \{[g, -]_{F[G]} \mid g \in [G/C_G(F[G])]\}$, where $[G/H]$ denotes a set of representatives for left cosets in $G$ modulo a subgroup $H$. Thus, by definition,

$$\text{res}^{-1}_{F,F[G]}(B^1(F[G],G)) = \bigplus_{g \in [G/C_G(F[G])]} Z^1(F,G;F[G],[g, -]_{F[G]}).$$

However, Theorem 3.6 and usual argument for conjugation yield that

$$Z^1(F,G;F[G],[g, -]_{F[G]}) \simeq Z^1_{[g,-]}(F/F[G],C_G(F[G])) \simeq Z^1(F/F[G],C_G(F[G])).$$

Therefore Hall's theorem implies that

$$\left| \text{res}^{-1}_{F,F[G]}(B^1(F[G],G)) \right| = \left| G : C_G(F[G]) \right| \cdot \left| Z^1(F/F[G],C_G(F[G])) \right| \equiv 0 \pmod {\vert G \vert},$$

which forces $\left| \text{res}^{-1}_{F,F[G]}(B^1(F[G],G)) \right| = \vert G \vert = \vert Z^1(F,G) \vert$, as desired.

(2) Suppose that $A = B \times C$ for nontrivial subgroups $B$ and $C$, and $\lambda \in Z^1(A,G)$. By the equation $(\ast)$ and the inductive assumption, we have


$$\subseteq \text{res}_{A,B[G]}(Z^1(A,G)) \subseteq \text{res}_{B,B[G]}(Z^1(B,G)) = B^1(B[G],G),$$

(\ast\ast)

so that $\text{res}_{A,B[G]}(Z^1(A,G)) = B^1(B[G],G)$. Hence $\lambda \in Z^1(A,G;B[G],[h, -]_{B[G]})$ for some $h \in G$. However, we have also $[h, -]_A \in Z^1(A,G;B[G],[h, -]_{B[G]})$. Theorem 3.6 yields that

$$[h, -]_r : Z^1_{[h,-]}(A/B[G],C_G(h(B[G]))) \to Z^1(A,G;B[G],[h, -]_{B[G]}).$$
is bijective. Thus $\lambda = \eta \cdot [h, -]_A$ for some $\eta \in Z^1_{[h, -]}(A/B|G|, C_G(h(B|G|)))$. Again applying induction to $C^G \leq A/B|G| \simeq (B(B|G|) \times C$ as in (**), we have

$$\text{res}_{A/B|G|C|G|} (Z^1_{[h, -]}(A/B|G|, C_G(h(B|G|))) = B^1_{[h, -]}(C|G|, C_G(h(B|G|))).$$

Hence there exists $g \in C_G(h(B|G|))$ such that $\text{res}_{A/B|G|C|G|}(\eta) = [g, -][h, -]$, the commutator of $g$ with respect to the action $\text{Inn}[h, -]^{-1}$. This means that

$$\lambda(bc) = \eta(c) \cdot [h, bc] = [g, c][h, -] \cdot [h, bc] = [g, bc][h, -] \cdot [h, bc] \quad \text{for all } b \in B|G|, \ c \in C|G|.$$ 

Consequently, $\text{res}_{A/A'|G|}(\lambda) = [g, -][h, -] = [gh, -]$ on $A|^G|$ by Lemma 3.5, as desired. 

As observed in Corollary 3.4, the second statement of the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem (Theorem 1.6) is equivalent to the following theorem, which can be reduced to the case where either $A$ or $G$ is abelian by the Feit-Thompson theorem and by our arguments.

**Theorem 4.2.** If $A$ and $G$ are finite groups with $\gcd(|A|, |G|) = 1$, then $Z^1(A, G) = B^1(A, G)$.

**Proof.** We use induction on $|A|$ and $|G|$. By the Feit-Thompson theorem, we may assume that either $A' \leq A$ or $G' \leq G$.

(1) Suppose that $A' \leq A$, and consider the short exact sequence $1 \to A' \to A \to A/A' \to 1$. By induction, we have $Z^1(A', G) = B^1(A', G)$, so that

$$Z^1(A, G) = \bigcup_{h \in G/C_G(A')} Z^1(A, G; A', [h, -]_{A'}).$$

By applying Theorem 3.6 to $[h, -]_A \in Z^1(A, G; A', [h, -]_{A'})$,

$$[h, -] : Z^1_{[h, -]}(A/A', C_G(h(A'))) \to Z^1(A, G; A', [h, -]_{A'})$$

is bijective. However, $A/A'$ is abelian and $(A/A')|H| = A/A'$ for all $H \leq G$ by hypothesis. Hence Theorem 4.1 implies that

$$Z^1_{[h, -]}(A/A', C_G(h(A'))) = B^1_{[h, -]}(A/A', C_G(h(A'))) .$$

Consequently, it follows from Lemma 3.5 that every element of $Z^1(A, G)$ is of the form $[g, -][h, -]$ for some $g, h \in G$.

(2) Suppose that $G' \leq G$, and consider the short exact sequence $1 \to G' \to G \to G/G' \to 1$. We have a natural map $Z^1(A, G) \to Z^1(A, G/G')$. However, $G/G'$ is an $A$-module of order relatively prime to $|A|$. Hence it is well known in cohomology theory that $Z^1(A, G/G') = B^1(A, G/G')$. Therefore, for each $\lambda \in Z^1(A, G)$, there exists some $h \in G$ such that $G'\lambda(a) = G'[h, a]$ for all $a \in A$. By Theorem 3.8,

$$[h, -] : Z^1_{[h, -]}(A, G') \to \{ \eta \in Z^1(A, G) \mid G'\eta(a) = G'[h, a] \text{ for all } a \in A \}$$

is a bijection. However, $Z^1_{[h, -]}(A, G') = B^1_{[h, -]}(A, G')$ by induction. Consequently, it follows from Lemma 3.5 that $\lambda = [g, -][h, -] = [gh, -]$ for some $g \in G'$.

As stated in the proof, this theorem is a generalization of a well known theorem in cohomology theory for $A$-modules $G$. Although we have used the Feit-Thompson theorem, the arguments of (1) and (2) in the proof are very parallel.
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