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#### Abstract

We obtain the necessary and sufficient condition of weak star uniformly rotund point in Orlicz spaces.


In this paper, $X$ denotes a Banach space, $B(X), S(X)$ denote respectively the unit ball and the unit sphere of $X . x \in S(X)$ is said to be a UR (WUR, W*UR) point provided that $x_{n} \in B(X),\left\|x_{n}+x\right\| \rightarrow 2 \Rightarrow\left\|x_{n}-x\right\| \rightarrow 0\left(x_{n}-x \xrightarrow{\mathrm{w}} 0, x_{n}-x \xrightarrow{\mathrm{w}^{*}} 0\right)$. Obviously, $\mathrm{URP} \Rightarrow \mathrm{WURP} \Rightarrow \mathrm{W}^{*} \mathrm{URP}$. If all points of $S(X)$ are $\mathrm{W}^{*} \mathrm{UR}$ points, $X$ is locally weak star uniformly rotund.
$M(\cdot), N(\cdot)$ denote a pair of complemented $N$-functions (see [3]); "M $\in \Delta_{2} "$ ("M $M \nabla_{2}$ ") means that $M(\cdot)$ satisfies the $\nabla_{2^{2}}$-condition ( $N$ satisfies the $\nabla_{2^{-}}$ condition). ( $G, \Sigma, \mu)$ stands for a non-atomic finite measure space; $L_{M}(G, \Sigma, \mu)$ expresses the Orlicz space generated by $M(\cdot)$ :

$$
L_{M}(G, \Sigma, \mu)=\left\{x(t): \exists a>0, R_{M}\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)=\int_{G} M\left(\frac{x(t)}{a}\right) d \mu<\infty\right\}
$$

with the norm

$$
\|x\|=\inf \left\{a>0: R_{M}\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) \leq 1\right\}
$$

For an Orlicz function space $L_{M}$ and a sequence space $l_{M}$, a criterion of UR (WUR) point was obtained in recent years [1,2]. Here we give the criterion of W*UR point, but the statement and method is different to WURP.

## Theorem 1

Let $x \in S\left(L_{M}\right) . x$ is a $W^{*}$ URP if and only if
(1) $\exists \tau>0, R_{M}\left(\frac{x}{1-\tau}\right)<\infty$
(2) $\mu\left\{t \in G:|x(t)| \in \mathbb{R} \backslash S_{M}\right\}=0$
(3) $\mu\left\{t \in G:|x(t)| \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\{b_{i}\right\}\right\}=0$ or $\mu\left\{t \in G:|x(t)| \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right\}=0$ and $M \in \nabla_{2}$, where $S_{M}$ is the set of all strictly convex points of $M(\cdot),\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ are affine segments of $M(\cdot)$ for $i=1,2, \ldots$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $x(t) \geq 0$.
Necessity. Suppose that $R_{M}\left(\frac{x}{1-\varepsilon}\right)=\infty$ for all $\varepsilon>0$. Take $c$ large enough such that $\mu G_{c}=\mu\{t \in G: x(t) \leq c\}>0$. Define $x^{\prime}=-x \chi_{G_{c}}+x \chi_{G \backslash G_{c}}$; then $\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|=\|x\|=1$, but for all $\varepsilon>0$

$$
R_{M}\left(\frac{x+x^{\prime}}{2(1-\varepsilon)}\right)=\int_{G \backslash G_{c}} M\left(\frac{x(t)}{1-\varepsilon}\right) d \mu=\infty
$$

so $\left\|x+x^{\prime}\right\|=2$. From $x \neq x^{\prime}$, we get a contradiction with the fact that $x$ is a $\mathrm{W}^{*} \mathrm{UR}$ point, which shows that (1) is true.

Since a W*UR point is an extreme point, from [3], we get that (2) is true.
Suppose that there exist affine segments $[a, b],[c, d]$ of $M(\cdot)$ such that $A=$ $\{t: x(t)=a\}, B=\{t: x(t)=d\}$ are sets with positive measure. Take $E \subset A, F \subset B$ satisfying $(M(b)-M(a)) \mu E=(M(d)-M(c)) \mu F$. Define $x^{\prime}=x \chi_{G \backslash(E \cup F)}+b \chi_{E}+$ $c \chi_{F}$, then $x^{\prime} \neq x, R_{M}(x)=R_{M}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=1$, and $R_{M}\left(\frac{x+x^{\prime}}{2}\right)=\frac{R_{M}(x)+R_{M}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{2}=1$, which contradicts the fact that $x$ is a $\mathrm{W}^{*} \mathrm{UR}$ point.

Suppose now that there is an affine segment $[a, b]$ with positive measure set $D=\{t: x(t)=b\}$ and $M \notin \nabla_{2}$, i.e. there are $u_{n} \nearrow \infty$ such that $u_{1}>b$ and $M\left(\frac{u_{n}}{2}\right)>\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{M\left(u_{n}\right)}{2}(n=1,2, \ldots)$. Take subsets $E_{n}, D \supset E_{1} \supset E_{2} \supset \ldots$ satisfying

$$
M\left(u_{n}-b\right) \mu E_{n}+M(a) \mu\left(D \backslash E_{n}\right)=M(b) \mu D
$$

then $\mu E_{n} \rightarrow 0$. Define $x_{n}=x \chi_{G \backslash D}+a \chi_{D \backslash E_{n}}+\left(u_{n}-b\right) \chi_{E_{n}}$ so $R_{M}\left(x_{n}\right)=R_{M}(x)=$ $1(n=1,2, \ldots)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{M}\left(\frac{x_{n}+x}{2}\right)= & R_{M}\left(x \chi_{G \backslash D}\right)+M\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \mu\left(D \backslash E_{n}\right)+M\left(\frac{u_{n}}{2}\right) \mu E_{n} \\
\geq & R_{M}\left(x \chi_{G \backslash D}\right)+\frac{M(a)+M(b)}{2} \mu\left(D \backslash E_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) M\left(u_{n}\right) \mu E_{n} \\
\geq & \frac{R_{M}\left(x \chi_{G \backslash D}\right)+M(b) \mu\left(D \backslash E_{n}\right)}{2} \\
& +\frac{R_{M}\left(x \chi_{G \backslash D}\right)+M(a) \mu\left(D \backslash E_{n}\right)+\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) M\left(u_{n}-b\right) \mu E_{n}}{2} \\
& R_{M}(x)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

From $\left\langle x-x_{n}, \chi_{D \backslash E_{1}}\right\rangle=(b-a) \mu\left(D \backslash E_{1}\right)>0$, we get a contradiction since $x$ is a $\mathrm{W}^{*}$ UR point.

Sufficiency. Suppose that $x_{n} \in B\left(L_{M}\right),\left\|x_{n}+x\right\| \rightarrow 2$. We will first show that $R_{M}\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 1$ and $R_{M}\left(\frac{x_{n}+x}{2}\right) \rightarrow 1$.

Suppose that $R_{M}\left(x_{n}\right) \leq 1-\delta$ for some $\delta>0(n=1,2, \ldots)$. Take $\varepsilon$ small enough such that $\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}<\frac{1}{1-\delta}$. For such $\varepsilon$, while $n$ is large enough we have $\left\|(1+\varepsilon) \frac{x_{n}+x}{2}\right\|>1$, and so $R_{M}\left((1+\varepsilon) \frac{x_{n}+x}{2}\right)>1$. Hence applying assumption (1) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
1< & R_{M}\left((1+\varepsilon) \frac{x_{n}+x}{2}\right)=R_{M}\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2} x_{n}+\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2} \frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} x\right) \\
\leq & \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2} R_{M}\left(x_{n}\right)+\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2} R_{M}\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} x\right) \leq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}(1-\delta) \\
& +\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}(1+o(\varepsilon)) R_{M}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0,1 \leq 1-\delta / 2$, so we get $R_{M}\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 1$.
Clearly $\left\|\frac{x_{n}+x}{2}+x\right\| \rightarrow 2$, so we get similarly $R_{M}\left(\frac{x_{n}+x}{2}\right) \rightarrow 1$.
In the following, we show $x_{n}-x \xrightarrow{\mathrm{w}^{*}} 0$, and so it is enough to show $x_{n}-x \xrightarrow{\mu} 0$. Denote $E=\left\{t \in G: x(t) \in S_{M} \backslash\left(\left\{a_{i}\right\} \cup\left\{b_{i}\right\}\right)\right\}$. We first show $x_{n}-x \xrightarrow{\mu} 0$ on $E$. Suppose for a contrary that there exist $\varepsilon, \sigma>0$ with $\mu\left\{t \in E:\left|x_{n}(t)-x(t)\right| \geq \varepsilon\right\} \geq \sigma$. Since

$$
1 \geq R_{M}\left(x_{n}\right) \geq \int_{\left\{t:\left|x_{n}(t)\right| \geq d\right\}} M\left(x_{n}(t)\right) d \mu \geq M(d) \mu\left\{t:\left|x_{n}(t)\right|>d\right\}
$$

we have that for $d$ large enough $\mu\left\{t:\left|x_{n}(t)\right|>d\right\}<\sigma / 3(n=1,2, \ldots)$ and $\mu\{t:|x(t)|>d\}<\sigma / 3$. Thus $\mu E_{n} \geq \sigma / 3$, where

$$
E_{n}=\left\{t \in E:\left|x_{n}(t)-x(t)\right| \geq \varepsilon, \quad\left|x_{n}(t)\right| \leq d, \quad|x(t)| \leq d\right\}
$$

Clearly there is $\delta>0$ such that for $t \in E_{n}$,

$$
M\left(\frac{x_{n}(t)+x(t)}{2}\right) \leq(1-\delta) \frac{M\left(x_{n}(t)\right)+M(x(t))}{2}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leftarrow \frac{R_{M}\left(x_{n}\right)+R_{M}(x)}{2}-R_{M}\left(\frac{x_{n}+x}{2}\right) \\
& =\int_{G}\left(\frac{M\left(x_{n}(t)\right)+M(x(t))}{2}-M\left(\frac{x_{n}(t)+x(t)}{2}\right)\right) d \mu \\
& \geq \int_{E_{n}}\left(\frac{M\left(x_{n}(t)\right)+M(x(t))}{2}-M\left(\frac{x_{n}(t)+x(t)}{2}\right)\right) d \mu \\
& \geq \frac{\delta}{2} \int_{E_{n}}\left(M\left(x_{n}(t)\right)+M(x(t)) d \mu \geq \frac{\delta}{2} M\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \frac{\sigma}{3}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradiction shows that $x_{n}-x \xrightarrow{\mu} 0$ on $E$. Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{a}^{+}(n)=\left\{t \in G: x(t) \in\left\{a_{i}\right\}, x_{n}(t) \geq x(t)\right\} \\
& F_{a}^{-}(n)=\left\{t \in G: x(t) \in\left\{a_{i}\right\}, x_{n}(t)<x(t)\right\} \\
& F_{b}^{+}(n)=\left\{t \in G: x(t) \in\left\{b_{i}\right\}, x_{n}(t) \geq x(t) \quad \text { or } \quad x_{n}(t)<0\right\} \\
& F_{b}^{-}(n)=\left\{t \in G: x(t) \in\left\{b_{i}\right\}, x_{n}(t)<x(t) \text { and } \quad x_{n}(t) \geq 0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Analogously as above we have that for any $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mu\left\{t \in F_{a}^{-}(n): x_{n}(t) \leq x(t)-\varepsilon\right\} \rightarrow 0 & (n \rightarrow \infty) \\
\mu\left\{t \in F_{b}^{+}(n): x_{n}(t) \geq x(t)+\varepsilon\right\} \rightarrow 0 & (n \rightarrow \infty)
\end{array}
$$

whence

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E \cup F_{a}^{-}(n) \cup F_{b}^{+}(n)} M\left(x_{n}(t)\right) d \mu \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E \cup F_{a}^{-}(n) \cup F_{b}^{+}(n)} M(x(t)) d \mu .
$$

Consider the case $\mu\left(F_{b}^{+}(n) \cup F_{b}^{-}(n)\right)=0 \quad(n=1,2, \ldots)$. From

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E \cup F_{a}^{-}(n)} M\left(x_{n}(t)\right) d \mu \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E \cup F_{a}^{-}(n)} M(x(t)) d \mu
$$

and $R_{M}\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 1=R_{M}(x)$, it follows that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{F_{a}^{+}(n)} M\left(x_{n}(t)\right) d \mu \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{F_{a}^{+}(n)} M(x(t)) d \mu
$$

thus

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{F_{a}^{+}(n)}\left(M\left(x_{n}(t)\right)-M(x(t))\right) d \mu=0
$$

so for any $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\mu\left\{t \in F_{a}^{+}(n): x_{n}(t) \geq x(t)+\varepsilon\right\} \rightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
$$

Combining the above, we have $x_{n}-x \xrightarrow{\mu} 0$ on $E \cup F_{a}^{-}(n) \cup F_{a}^{+}(n)=G$.
Consider the case of $\mu\left(F_{a}^{+}(n) \cup F_{a}^{-}(n)\right)=0 \quad(n=1,2, \ldots)$ and $M \in \nabla_{2}$. We first prove that $\lim _{\mu e \rightarrow 0} \sup _{n} \int_{e} M\left(x_{n}(t)\right) d \mu=0$. Suppose that there exist $\varepsilon>0$ and $e_{n} \subset G$ with $\mu e_{n} \searrow 0$ such that $\int M\left(x_{n}(t)\right) d \mu \geq \varepsilon$.

Take $c>0$ small enough, $M(c) \mu G<\varepsilon / 2$, denote $e_{n}^{\prime}=\left\{t \in e_{n}:\left|x_{n}(t)\right| \geq c\right\}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{e_{n}^{\prime}} M\left(x_{n}(t)\right) d \mu & =\int_{e_{n}} M\left(x_{n}(t)\right) d \mu-\int_{e_{n} \backslash e_{n}^{\prime}} M\left(x_{n}(t)\right) d \mu \\
& \geq \varepsilon-M(c) \mu G \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining $M \in \nabla_{2}$, for $\tau, \delta>0$, while $u \geq c, M\left(\frac{u}{1+\tau}\right) \leq(1-\delta) \frac{M(u)}{1+\tau}$ whence

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & \leftarrow \\
\leq & R_{M}\left(\frac{x_{n}+x}{2}\right)=R_{M}\left(\frac{x_{n}+x}{2} \chi_{G \backslash e_{n}^{\prime}}\right)+R_{M}\left(\frac{x_{n}+x}{2} \chi_{e_{n}^{\prime}}\right) \\
\leq & \frac{R_{M}\left(x_{n} \chi_{G \backslash e_{n}^{\prime}}\right)+R_{M}\left(x \chi_{G \backslash e_{n}^{\prime}}\right)}{2}+\int_{e_{n}^{\prime}} M\left(\frac{1+\tau}{2} \frac{x_{n}(t)}{1+\tau}+\frac{1-\tau}{2} \frac{x(t)}{1-\tau}\right) d \mu \\
& +\frac{1-\tau}{2} \int_{e_{n}^{\prime}} M\left(\frac{x(t)}{1-\tau}\right) d \mu \\
\leq & \frac{R_{M}\left(x_{n} \chi_{G \backslash e_{n}^{\prime}}\right)+R_{M}\left(x \chi_{G \backslash e_{n}^{\prime}}\right)+R_{M}\left(x \chi_{G \backslash e_{n}^{\prime}}\right)}{2}+\frac{1+\tau}{2} \int_{e_{n}^{\prime}} M\left(\frac{x_{n}(t)}{1+\tau}\right) d \mu \\
& +\frac{1-\tau}{2} \frac{1-\delta}{1+\tau} \int_{e_{n}^{\prime}} M\left(x_{n}(t)\right) d \mu \\
\leq & \frac{R_{M}\left(x_{n} \chi_{\left.G \backslash e_{n}^{\prime}\right)+R_{M}}^{1-\tau} \chi_{e_{n}^{\prime}}\right)}{2}\left(x \chi_{\left.G \backslash e_{n}^{\prime}\right)}^{2}+\frac{1-\tau}{2} o\left(\mu e_{n}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{R_{M}\left(x_{n} \chi_{e_{n}^{\prime}}\right)}{2}-\frac{\delta \varepsilon}{4}\right. \\
\leq & \frac{R_{M}\left(x_{n}\right)+R_{M}(x)}{2}-\frac{\delta \varepsilon}{4}+o\left(\mu e^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $n \rightarrow \infty$, it gives a contradiction: $1 \leq 1-\delta \varepsilon / 4$. So we get

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E \cup F_{b}^{+}(n)} M\left(x_{n}(t)\right) d \mu=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E \cup F_{b}^{+}(n)} M(x(t)) d \mu
$$

hence

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{F_{b}^{-}(n)} M\left(x_{n}(t)\right) d \mu=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{F_{b}^{-}(n)} M(x(t)) d \mu
$$

From $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{F_{b}^{-}(n)}\left(M(x(t))-M\left(x_{n}(t)\right)\right) d \mu=0$, it follows that $x_{n}-x \xrightarrow{\mu} 0$ on $F_{b}^{-}(n)$, and consequently $x_{n}-x \xrightarrow{\mu} 0$ on $G$.

By an analogous argumentation, we get the same result for Orlicz sequence spaces.

## Theorem 2

Let $x \in S\left(l_{M}\right)$. Then $x$ is a $W^{*} U R P$ point if and only if
(1) there is $\tau>0, R\left(\frac{x}{1-\tau}\right)<\infty$
(2) if there is " $i$ ", $|x(i)| \in(a, b]$, then $M \in \nabla_{2}$ and there is no " $j$ ", $j \neq i$ with $|x(j)| \in[c, d)$, where $[a, b]$ and $[c, d]$ are affine segments of $M(\cdot)$.
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