

Portal de Revistas Científicas Complutenses

Volumen 11, número 1: 1998

http://dx.doi.org/10.5209/rev REMA.1998.v11.n1.17282

## A-realcompact spaces.

Jorge BUSTAMANTE, José R. ARRAZOLA and Raúl ESCOBEDO

#### Abstract

Relations between homomorphisms on a real function algebra and different properties (such as being inverse-closed and closed under bounded inversion) are studied.

### 1 Introduction and notation

By a function algebra A on X we mean a family of real-valued functions on X such that: 1) A is a linear algebra with unit under operations defined pointwise, 2) A separates points on X and 3) A is closed under bounded inversion, that is, if  $f \in A$  and  $f \ge 1$ , then  $\frac{1}{f} \in A$ . We denote by Hom(A) the family of all A-homomorphisms, that is, non null multiplicative real linear functionals on A, endowed with the Gelfand topology.

Hom(A) has been intensively studied when X is a completely regular Hausdorff space and A is C(X) (see [12]). In recent years different papers have been devoted to study homomorphisms on some subalgebras of C(X), for example algebras of differentiable functions have been considered in [1]-[5], [14] and [15]. As can be seen in the quoted papers, in studying function algebras frequently one needs results asserting that a homomorphism is the evaluation at some point of the supporting space. This paper is devoted to elaborate a general theory related with this subject.

AMS Subject Classification: 46E25, 54C40.

Servicio Publicaciones Univ. Complutense. Madrid, 1998.

# 2 Single-set evaluating algebras and A-realcompactness

- 2.1.- Let X be a completely regular Hausdorff space,  $Y \subset X$  and  $f: Y \to \mathbb{R}$  a continuous map. If f has a continuous extension to  $p \in X \setminus Y$ , this extension will be denoted by  $\hat{f}(p)$ . For  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $Z(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}$ . A set  $S \subset Y$  is a zero set if there exists  $g \in C(Y)$  such that S = Z(g) and  $\overline{S}^X$  is the closure of S in X. As usual  $\beta X$  denotes the Stone-Ĉech compactification of X.
- 2.2.- The elements of any function algebra can be considered as uniformly continuous functions on X in the following sense. Denote by  $A_b$  the subalgebra of all bounded functions in A. Let  $U_A$  be the uniformity generated on X by  $A_b$ , that is  $U_A$  is defined by the pseudometrics

$$d_f(x,y) = |f(x) - f(y)|; f \in A_b, x, y \in X.$$

Let  $\tau_A$  denote the topology induced by  $U_A$  on X. Since A separates points in X,  $(X, \tau_A)$  is a completely regular Hausdorff space. All topological notions on X are assumed in the  $\tau_A$  topology.

Denote by  $X_A$  the completion of the uniform space  $(X, U_A)$ , then  $X_A$  is a compact Hausdorff space and X can be considered as a dense subspace of  $X_A$ . It is known that each  $f \in A_b$  has a unique continuous extension  $\hat{f}$  to  $X_A$ . Set  $\hat{A} = \{\hat{f} : f \in A_b\}$ .  $\hat{A}$  separates points in  $X_A$  ([7]) then, by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem,  $\hat{A}$  is a dense subspace of  $C(X_A)$  in the uniform norm.

2.3.- The following result from [7] will be used in the sequel:

Theorem. Let A be a function algebra on X, then

- (a)  $\varphi \in Hom(A_b)$  if and only if there exists a (unique)  $p \in X_A$  such that  $\varphi(f) = \hat{f}(p)$  for every  $f \in A$ . Moreover  $X_A$  is (homeomorphic to) the maximal ideal space of  $A_b$ ;
- (b) φ ∈ Hom(A) if and only if there exists a (unique) point p ∈ X<sub>A</sub> such that, every f ∈ A has a finite continuous extension f̂(p) to p and φ(f) = f̂(p). The set I(A) of all such p, with the topology induced by X<sub>A</sub>, is (homeomorphic to) the maximal ideal space of A.

2.4.- In what follows we associate to a given function algebra A the spaces  $X_A$  and I(A) defined above. Moreover, we identify Hom(A) with I(A) and X with a (dense) subset of  $X_A$ . Thus we have the inclusions,

$$X \subset I(A) \subset X_A$$
.

In studying properties of homomorphisms it is important to have conditions to recognize points in  $I(A) \setminus X$ . It is easy to verify that for a point  $p \in X_A \setminus X$  the following assertions are equivalents:

- (a)  $p \in I(A)$ ;
- (b) for every  $f \in A$ , there exists a net  $\{x_{\lambda}\}$  in X such that  $x_{\lambda} \to p$  and  $f(x_{\lambda})$  is bounded;
- (c) for every  $f \in A$ , there exists a neighbourhood V of p in  $X_A$  such that  $f(V \cap X)$  is bounded.
- 2.5.- We need some definitions: a function algebra A on X is called single-set evaluating if, for every  $\varphi \in A$  and each  $f \in A$ , there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\varphi(f) = f(x)$ . A is called inverse-closed if for every  $f \in A$  such that  $Z(f) = \emptyset$ ,  $\frac{1}{f} \in A$ . It is easy to prove that inverse-closed algebras are single-set evaluating. There exist single-set evaluating algebras which are not inverse-closed [6].
- 2.6.- Given a nonempty set X, (A, B) is called a *subordinated pair* [7] on X if: i) A and B are function algebras on X; ii)  $B \subset A$ ; iii) every homomorphism on B has an extension to a homomorphism on A.
- 2.7.- Theorem. For a function algebra A on X the following conditions are equivalent:
  - (a) A is single-set evaluating;
  - (b) For all  $p \in I(A) \setminus X$ , if  $f \in A$  and  $0 < f \le 1$ , then  $\hat{f}(p) \ne 0$ ;
  - (c) (RA,A) is a sudordinated pair, where RA the smallest inverseclosed algebra on X containing A.

#### Proof.

- i) Suppose that (a) holds but (b) does not. Fix  $p \in I(A) \setminus X$  and  $h \in A$  such that  $0 < h \le 1$  and  $\hat{h}(p) = 0$ . Since evaluation at p is a homomorphism on A, A is not single-set evaluating.
- ii) Suppose that (b) holds and A is not single-set evaluating. Take  $\varphi \in Hom(A)$ ,  $p \in I(A)$  and  $k \in A$  such that  $\varphi(g) = \hat{g}(p)$  for every  $g \in A$  and  $\varphi(k) \neq k(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Set  $h(x) = (k(x) \varphi(k))^2$  and  $f(x) = \frac{h(x)}{1+h(x)}$ . Then  $\hat{f}(p) = \varphi(f) = 0$  and  $0 < f(x) \le 1$ . This contradicts (b).
- iii) For (a) implies (c) see lemma 16 of [6].
- iv) Since RA is inverse-closed it is single-set evaluating. If (RA, A) is a subordinated pair, then A is single-set evaluating.

2.8.- Recall that a completely regular Hausdorff space Y is realcompact [12] if every C(Y)-homomorphism is the evaluation at some point p in Y. This concept can be generalized in the following way: if A is a function algebra on X, X is said to be A-realcompact if every A-homomorphism is the evaluation at some point p of X. A similar notion was used in [8], [16] and [17].

#### 2.9.- Remarks.

- 1) If  $A_b = A$ , then X is A-realcompact if and only if X is compact (in the  $\tau_A$  topology). When  $X_A \setminus X \neq \emptyset$  we can obtain A-realcompactness only when A contains an unbounded function. In particular if  $(X, \tau)$  is a pseudocompact noncompact, completely regular Hausdorff space and A = C(X), then X is not A-realcompact.
- Notice that if A and B are function algebras on X, B ⊂ A, with X A-realcompact, then X is B-realcompact if and only if (A, B) is a subordinated pair.
- 2.10.- Proposition. Let A and B be function algebras on X with B uniformly dense in A. Then (A, B) is a subordinated pair.

**Proof.** Since  $B_b$  is uniformly dense in  $A_b$ , the spaces  $C(X_A)$  and  $C(X_B)$  are isomorphic, thus by the Banach-Stone theorem (see [12])  $X_A$  and  $X_B$  are homeomorphic. We may identify  $X_A$  and  $X_B$ . Fix a homomorphism  $\varphi$  on B and a point  $p \in X_A$  such that for every  $f \in B$ ,  $\varphi(f) = \hat{f}(p)$ . We will finish our proof by showing that every  $g \in A$  has a (unique) continuous finite extension to p. Fix  $g \in A$  and  $f \in B$  such that  $\sup_{x \in X} |f(x) - g(x)| \le 1$ . There exist a neighbourhood V of P in  $X_A$  and a positive constant M such that for every  $g \in V \cap X$ ,  $|f(g)| \le M$ . Then for every  $g \in V \cap X$ ,  $|g(g)| \le M + 1$ , now the assertion follows from 2.4.

In [10] (proposition 1.8) was proved the following fact: if X is a realcompact space and  $A \subset C(X)$  is a subalgebra with unit, closed under bounded inversion, uniformly dense in C(X), then Hom(A) = X. Our next result, as an application of proposition 2.10 (see remark 2.9.2), provides a natural extension.

2.11.- Corollary. Let A and B be function algebras on X,  $B \subset A$ . If B is uniformly dense in A and X is A-realcompact, then X is B-realcompact.

2.12.- Theorem. Let A be a single-set evaluating algebra on X. Then X is A-realcompact if and only X is RA-realcompact (see (c) in 2.7). Moreover if A is inverse-closed, then X is A-realcompact if and only if for every  $p \in X_A \setminus X$ , there exists

$$f \in A_b$$
,  $0 < f \le 1$ , such that  $\hat{f}(p) = 0$ . (1)

**Proof.** The first part follows from theorem 2.7, the remark 2) in 2.9 and the construction of RA.

For the second part suppose first that X is A-realcompact. Suppose that  $p \in X_A \setminus X$ . Taking into account that  $p \notin I(A) = X$ , there exists  $f \in A \setminus A_b$  such that for every net  $\{x_\lambda\}$  in X, with  $x_\lambda \to p$ ,  $f(x_\lambda)$  is unbounded (see the last assertion in 2.4). Then  $\hat{h}(p) = 0$  and  $0 < h(x) \le 1$  for  $x \in X$ , where  $h(x) = \frac{1+f^2(x)}{1+f^4(x)}$ .

Suppose now that for all  $p \in X_A \setminus X$  there exists  $f \in A$  such that  $0 < f \le 1$  and  $\hat{f}(p) = 0$ . By defining  $g(x) = \frac{1}{f(x)}$ , we have that  $g \in A$ 

and for every net  $\{x_{\lambda}\}$  in X,  $x_{\lambda} \to p$ ,  $\{g(x_{\lambda})\}$  is not bounded. This completes the proof.

- 2.13.- Remark. In general condition (1) does not imply A-real compactness. For example, let X be the real interval (0,1] and A the restriction of continuous functions in [0,1] to (0,1]. In this case the condition holds but X is not A-real compact (notice that  $X_A = [0,1]$ ).
- 2.14.- Theorem. Let A be a function algebra. Then  $X_A$  is the Stone-Ĉech compactification of X if and only if for any disjoint zero sets S and T in X, there exists  $f \in A$ , such that

$$0 \le f \le 1$$
,  $f(S) = \{0\}$  and  $f(T) = \{1\}$ . (2)

**Proof.** If A satisfies (2) by theorem 11 of [11],  $A_b$  is uniformly dense in the space  $C_b(X)$  of all real continuous bounded functions on X, then  $\beta X = X_A$ .

On the other hand if  $\beta X = X_A$ ,  $A_b$  is dense in  $C_b(X)$  and the result follows again from theorem 11 of [11].

From theorems 2.12 and 2.14 we obtain a proof of the following result due to S. Mrówka (proposition 3.11.10 in [9]).

2.15.- Corollary. Let X be a completely regular Hausdorff space. Then X is realcompact if and only if for every  $p \in \beta X \setminus X$ , there exists  $f \in C(X)$  such that  $0 < f(x) \le 1$ ,  $x \in X$ , and  $\hat{f}(p) = 0$ .

The next result extends Theorem 2 of [15]. Jaramillo presented in [15] different examples of functions algebras for which Theorem 2.16 may be applied.

- 2.16.- Theorem. Let us suppose that a function algebra A on X satisfies the following conditions:
  - (a) for every  $f, g \in A$  and  $\rho, \epsilon > 0$ , if the sets

$$P_{\epsilon}(f) = \{x : | f(x) | \leq \epsilon \} \text{ and } Q_{\rho}(g) = \{x : | g(x) | \geq \rho \}$$

are not empty and disjoint, there exists  $h \in A$  ,  $0 \le h \le 1$ , such that

$$h(P_{\epsilon}(f)) = \{0\} \text{ and } h(Q_{\rho}(g)) = \{1\};$$

- (b) given an open (in the  $\tau_A$  topology) cover  $\{H_n\}$  of X, such that  $\overline{H_n} \subset H_{n+1}$ , and  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ , if there exists a sequence  $f_n$  in A such that  $f_n \mid_{H_n} = f \mid_{H_n}$ , then  $f \in A$ ;
- (c) for every  $p \in X_A \setminus X$  there exists  $g \in C(X_A)$  which satisfies (1). Then X is A-realcompact.

**Proof.** Let  $\varphi$  be a homomorphism on A. There exists  $p \in X_A$  such that  $\varphi(f) = \hat{f}(p)$  for every  $f \in A$ . We will show that  $p \in X$ .

Suppose that  $p \in X_A \setminus X$ , take  $g \in C(X_A)$  such that  $0 < g \le 1$  and  $\hat{g}(p) = 0$ . Set

$$E_n = \{x \in X_A : g(x) > \frac{1}{2^n}\}, \ n = 1, 2, ...$$

We may suppose that each  $E_n$  is not empty. Since  $\hat{A}$  is dense in  $C(X_A)$ , there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $A_b$  such that

$$||\hat{f}_n - g||_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{2^{n+3}} \text{ and } ||\hat{f}_n - \hat{f}_{n+1}||_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{2^{n+3}},$$

where  $|| \cdot ||_{\infty}$  denotes the sup norm in  $C(X_A)$ . Set

$$F_n = \{x \in X_A : | \hat{f}_n(x) | \geq \frac{1}{2^n} \}.$$

It is easy to prove that for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $E_{n-1} \subset F_n \subset E_{n+1}$ .

Now we have that  $(X \cap \bigcup_{n \in I\!\!N} E_n) = \bigcap X \bigcup_{n \in I\!\!N} F_n$ , thus  $\{F_{2n} \cap X\}$  is an increasing open cover of X. For each  $n \geq 2$  take  $g_n \in A$ ,  $0 \leq g_n \leq 1$ , such that

$$g_n(F_{2n+2}^c \cap X) = \{1\}$$
 and  $g_n(\overline{F_{2n}} \cap X) = \{0\}.$ 

Notice that  $\hat{g}_n(p) = 1$ , thus  $\varphi(\hat{g}_n) = 1$ . The function  $f(x) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_n(x)$ ,  $x \in X$  is well defined. Set  $k_n(x) = \sum_{j=2}^{n} g_j(x)$ . Since  $k_n \in A$ ,  $f \in A$ .

It is easy to see that for every  $x \in X$  and each n,  $k_n(x) \leq f(x)$ , then  $\varphi(f) \geq \varphi(k_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi(g_j) = n$  (see 1.4 of [13]), this says that  $\varphi(f) = \infty$ , a contradiction.

2.17.- Theorem 2.3 gives a representation of the real maximal ideal of A but, as the following result will prove, we can not expect to obtain a one to one relation between z-ultrafilters and maximal ideals. The notion on z-filter is used as in [12]. An ideal in A is a proper ideal. For an ideal I,  $Z(I) = \{Z(f) : f \in I\}$ . If J is a z-filter  $J_A^{-1} = \{f \in A : Z(f) \in J\}$ .

2.18.- Theorem. Let A be a function algebra which satisfies (2). The following assertion are equivalent:

(a) for each maximal ideal I in A, there exists  $p \in \beta X$  such that

$$I = \{ f \in A : p \in \overline{Z(f)}^{\beta X} \}.$$

(b) for each maximal ideal I in A, there exists a maximal ideal J in C(X) such that  $I \subset J$ ;

(c) for each maximal ideal I in A, Z(I) is a z-ultrafilter;

(d) A is inverse-closed.

**Proof.** Since A satisfies (2), for every zero set P in X there exists  $f \in A$  such that Z(f) = P.

The assertions (a) implies (b) and (b) implies (a) follow directly from the Gelfand-Kolmogorov theorem ([12], 7.3).

(b) implies (c) Fix maximal ideals I and J in A and C(X) respectively, with  $I \subset J$ .  $Z_A^{-1}(Z(J))$  is an ideal in A. Therefore,  $I = Z_A^{-1}(Z(J))$ . Since Z(I) = Z(J), Z(I) is a z-ultrafilter.

(c) implies (b) Fix a maximal ideal I in A, since Z(I) is a z-ultrafilter  $J = \{f \in C(X) : Z(f) \in Z(I)\}$  is a maximal ideal in C(X) containing I.

(c) implies (d) Take  $f \in A$  such that  $Z(f) = \emptyset$  and set  $I = \{gf : g \in A\}$ . Since  $f \in I$ , I can not be an ideal, therefore I = A.

(d) implies (c) Fix an ideal I in A. Since A is inverse closed  $\emptyset \notin Z(I)$ . On the other hand, if  $f, g \in I$  and  $h \in A$ ,  $Z(f^2 + g^2) = Z(f) \cap Z(g)$  and  $Z(f) \subset Z(fg) = Z(g)$ .

## 3 The sequentially evaluating property

- 3.1.- A function algebra A on X is called sequentially evaluating if, for every  $\varphi \in Hom(A)$  and each sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in A, there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\varphi(f_n) = f_n(x)$ , for n = 1, 2, ... This property has been intensively studied in [2]. As far as we know the use of this property goes back to S. Mazur (see the note to statement A of [8]). If a function algebra A on X has the sequentially evaluating property, then every homomorphism on A is sequentially continuous on  $A_p$ , where  $A_p$  is the algebra A endowed with the pointwise convergence topology. This fact was noticed for some particular algebras in [2] and [6].
- 3.2.- Denote by  $[A \cup C(X_A)]$  the closed under bounded inversion algebra on X generated by A and  $C(X_A)$ . By setting

$$A_1 := \{ \sum_{k=1}^n f_k g_k : f_k \in A, g_k \in C(X_A), n \in \mathbb{N} \},$$

we have that  $[A \cup C(X_A)] = \{h_1/h_2 : h_1, h_2 \in A_1, h_2 \ge 1\}.$ 

- 3.3.- Theorem. Let A be a single-set evaluating algebra on X. The following conditions are equivalent:
  - (a) A has the sequentially evaluating property.
  - (b) Each zero set in  $X_A \setminus X$  does not meet I(A).
  - (c)  $[A \cup C(X_A)]$  is single-set evaluating.

Proof. Suppose that (a) holds and (b) fails, then there exists a zero set  $P \subset X_A \setminus X$  such that  $P \cap I(A) \neq \emptyset$ . Fix  $q \in P \cap I(A)$  and let  $\varphi$  be the evaluation at q. Since P is a zero set, there exists  $f \in C(X_A)$  such that P = Z(f). Since  $\hat{A}$  is dense in  $C(X_A)$  for the uniform norm, there exists  $\{f_n\}$  in  $A_b$ , with  $\hat{f}_n \to f$  uniformly on  $X_A$ . We have that  $\varphi(f_n) = \hat{f}_n(q) \to f(q) = 0$ . Set  $g_n = f_n - \varphi(f_n) \in A_b$ . According to the above arguments  $\hat{g}_n \to f$  uniformly on  $X_A$  and  $\varphi(g_n) = 0$ . By the sequentially evaluating property there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\varphi(g_n) = g_n(x_0) = 0$ . This says that  $\lim_n g_n(x_0) = f(x_0) = 0$  and we have a contradiction.

(b) implies (c) Suppose that (b) holds and let  $\varphi$  be a homomorphism on  $[A \bigcup C(X_A)]$ . We will prove that for each  $h \in [A \bigcup C(X_A)]$ ,

 $Z(h-\varphi(h)) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism on A  $(C(X_A))$ , there exists  $p \in I(A)$   $(q \in C(X_A))$  such that, for each  $f \in A$   $(g \in C(X_A))$   $\varphi(f) = \hat{f}(p)$   $(\varphi(g) = \hat{g}(q))$ . Since  $A_b \subset A \cap C(X_A)$ , for each  $f \in A_b$ ,  $\hat{f}(p) = \hat{f}(q)$ . Taking into account that  $\hat{A}$  separates points in  $X_A$ , we have that p = q. Now if  $f \in (A \cup C(X_A))$ , set  $g_f = f - \varphi(f)$ . If  $Z(g) \cap X = \emptyset$ , then  $Z(g) \cap I(A) = \emptyset$  and this is not possible  $(p \in Z(g) \cap I(A))$ .

Since for every  $f \in A$ ,  $\frac{(f-\varphi(f))^2}{1+(f-\varphi(f))^2}$  has a continuous extension to  $X_A$ , we have that for any  $h \in A_1$  (see 3.2),  $Z(h-\varphi(h)) \neq \emptyset$ . In fact, if  $f_1, ..., f_n \in A$  and  $g_1, ..., g_n \in C(X_A)$ ,

$$\frac{\emptyset \neq Z(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(f_k - \varphi(f_k))^2}{1 + (f_k - \varphi(f_k))^2} + (g_k - \varphi(g_k))^2)}{CZ(\sum_{k=1}^{n} (f_k - \varphi(f_k))g_k + \varphi(f_k)(g_k - \varphi(g_k)))}$$

$$= Z(\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_k g_k - \varphi(\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_k g_k))$$

Now if  $h_1, h_2 \in A_1$  with  $h_2 \ge 1$ , then

$$Z(\frac{h_1}{h_2} - \varphi(\frac{h_1}{h_2})) = Z(\varphi(h_2)h_1 - \varphi(h_1)h_2)$$
$$= Z(\varphi(h_2)h_1 - \varphi(h_1)h_2 - \varphi(\varphi(h_2)h_1 - \varphi(h_1)h_2)) \neq \emptyset.$$

(c) implies (a) Suppose that  $[A \cup C(X_A)]$  is single-set evaluating. Fix  $\psi \in Hom(A)$ . There exists  $p \in I(A)$  such that, for each  $f \in A$ ,  $\psi(f) = \hat{f}(p)$ . Let us prove that  $\psi$  may be extended to a homomorphism  $\varphi$  on  $[A \cup C(X_A)]$ . It is sufficient to prove that every function  $h \in [A \cup C(X_A)]$  has a (unique) continuous extension to p.

Suppose first that  $h = \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_k g_k$ , with  $f_k \in A$  and  $g_k \in C(X_A)$ , for k = 1, 2, ..., n. Set  $\hat{h}(p) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \hat{f}_k(p) \hat{g}_k(p)$ . We have that, for any net  $\{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  in X, such that  $x_\lambda \to p$  in  $X_A$ ,

$$\lim_{\lambda} h(x_{\lambda}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lim_{\lambda} f_{k}(x_{\lambda}) \lim_{\lambda} g_{k}(x_{\lambda}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \hat{f}_{k}(p) \hat{g}_{k}(p) = \hat{h}(p).$$

Finally, if  $h = \frac{h_1}{h_2} \in [A \cup C(X_A)]$ , with  $h_1, h_2 \in A_1$  (  $h_2 \ge 1$ ), set  $\hat{h}(p) = \frac{\hat{h_1}(p)}{\hat{h_2}(p)}$ . Then, by defining  $\varphi(h) = \hat{h}(p)$  for  $h \in [A \cup C(X_A)]$ , we have that  $\varphi \in Hom([A \cup C(X_A)])$  and  $\varphi(f) = \psi(f)$  for  $f \in A$ .

Now, fix a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in A. Set  $g_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{(f_n(x) - \varphi(f_n))^2}{1 + (f_n(x) - \varphi(f_n))^2}$  and  $g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n$ . We have that  $\hat{g} \in C(X_A)$ . Let us prove that  $\varphi(g) = 0$ . In fact, notice that the sequence  $\{\sum_{k=1}^n g_k\}$  converges uniformly to g and  $\sum_{k=1}^n g_k \leq g$ . Then, given  $\epsilon > 0$  and n such that  $||\sum_{k=1}^n g_k - g||_{\infty} < \epsilon$ , it follows that

$$0 = \varphi(\sum_{k=1}^n g_k) \le \varphi(g) = \varphi(g - \sum_{k=1}^n g_k) \le \epsilon \varphi(1) = \epsilon.$$

Taking into account that  $[A \bigcup C(X_A)]$  is single-set evaluating, there exist  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $0 = \varphi(g) = g(x_0)$ . Therefore  $\varphi(f_n) = f_n(x_0)$  for each n.

3.4.- Remark. If A is an inverse-closed algebra on X closed under the uniform convergence, then  $[A \cup C(X_A)] = A$ , and A has the sequential evaluating property. This assertion can be obtained from the result of S. Mazur quoted in [8] and gives a proof of following fact: X need not be A-realcompact when A is a sequentially evaluating algebra on X. For certain class of algebras the sequentially evaluating property implies A-realcompactness (for example if X is a Lindelöf space in the  $\tau_A$  topology), this just was the main reason for studying this property in [2].

The last proposition in this section can be proved as theorem 2.16.

3.5.- Proposition. If a function algebra A satisfies conditions (a) and (b) in theorem 2.16 then A has the sequentially evaluating property.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the referee for several suggestions which have been incorporated into the final version.

## References

- J. Arias de Reyna, A real valued homomorphism on algebras of differentiable functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 104 (1988) 1054-1058.
- [2] P. Biström, S. Bjon and M. Lindström, Function algebras on which homomorphisms are point evaluation on sequences, *Manuscripta Math.*, 73 (1991) 179-185.
- [3] P. Biström, S. Bjon and M. Lindström, Remarks on homomorphisms on certain subalgebras of C(X), Math. Japonica, 37 (1992) 105-109.
- [4] P. Biström, S. Bjon and M. Lindström, Homomorphisms on some functions algebras. *Monasth. Math.* 111 (1991) 93-97.
- [5] P. Biström and M. Lindström, Homomorphisms on  $C^{\infty}(E)$  and  $C^{\infty}$ -bounding sets, *Monatsh. Math.*, 115 (1993) 257-266.
- [6] P. Biström, J. Jaramillo and M. Lindström, Algebras of real analytic functions; Homomorphisms and bounding sets, *Studia Math.* 115 (1995) 23-37.
- [7] J. Bustamante G and R. Escobedo C, Maximal ideal space of algebras of functions, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) 63 (1997), 78-90.
- [8] Kim-Peu Chew and M. Mrowka, Structure of continuous functions XI, Bull. de l'Acad. Polo. des Sci., Serie de sci. math. astr. et phy., Vol. XIX. No. 1 (1971) 1023-1026.
- [9] R. Engelking, General Topology, Monograf. Math. Warsaw, 1977.
- [10] M.I. Garrido, J. Gomez Gil and J.A. Jaramillo, Homomorphisms on functions algebras, Can. J. Math., 46 (1994) 734-745 (see also Extracta Math., 7 (1992) 46-52).
- [11] M. I. Garrido and F. Montalvo, On some generalization of the Kakutani-Stone and Stone-Weierstrass theorems, Acta Math. Hung., 62, 3-4 (1993) 199-208.

- [12] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, Rings of Continuous Functions, Van Nostrand, New Jersey, 1960.
- [13] J. R. Isbell, Algebras of uniformly continuous functions, Annals of Math., Vol. 68, No. 1 (1958) 96-125.
- [14] J. A. Jaramillo and J. G. Llavona, On the spectrum of  $C_b^1(E)$ , Math. Ann., 287 (1990) 531-538.
- [15] J. A. Jaramillo Multiplicative functionals on algebras of differentiable functions, *Archiv Math.*, Vol. 58 (1992) 384-387.
- [16] A. Kriegl, P. Michor and W. Schachermayer, Characters on algebras of smooths functions, Ann. Global Anal. Geom., Vol. 7, No. 2 (1989) 85-92.
- [17] A. Kriegl and P. W. Michor, More smoothly real compact spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 117, No. 2 (1993) 467-471.

Jorge Bustamante G. Raúl Escobedo C.

José R. Arrazola R.

Fac. Físico-Matemática

Universidad Autónoma de Puebla,

Puebla Mexico.

e-mail: Jbusta@fcfm.buap.mx

Recibido: 14 de Octubre de 1996 Revisado: 10 de Abril de 1997