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#### Abstract

We study compact embeddings for weighted spaces of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin type where the weight belongs to some Muckenhoupt $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ class. For weights of purely polynomial growth, both near some singular point and at infinity, we obtain sharp asymptotic estimates for the entropy numbers and approximation numbers of this embedding. The main tool is a discretization in terms of wavelet bases.
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## Introduction

In recent years, some attention has been paid to compactness of embeddings of function spaces of Sobolev type as well as to analytic and geometric quantities describing this compactness, in particular, corresponding approximation and entropy numbers. As an application D. E. Edmunds and H. Triebel [12] proposed a program to investigate the spectral properties of certain pseudo-differential operators based on the

[^0]asymptotic behavior of entropy and approximation numbers, together with Carl's inequality and the Birman-Schwinger principle. Similar questions in the context of weighted function spaces of this type were studied by the first named author and H. Triebel, see [19], and were continued and extended by Th. Kühn, H.-G. Leopold, W. Sickel and the second author in the series of papers [23-25]. In all the above papers the authors considered the class of so-called "admissible" weights. These are smooth weights with no singular points. One can take $w(x)=\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, as a prominent example.

In this paper we follow a different approach and consider weights from the Muckenhoupt class $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}$. In contrast to "admissible" weights the $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ weights may have local singularities, which can influence properties of the embeddings of function spaces. Now the weight $w(x)=|x|^{\alpha}, \alpha>-n$, may serve as a classical example. Weighted Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces with Muckenhoupt weights are well known concepts, see $[3-6,15,31,32]$ and, more recently, $[1,2,18]$. But (the compactness of) Sobolev embeddings of such spaces were not yet studied in detail. The present paper fills in this gap. First we give a necessary and sufficient condition on the parameters and weights of the Besov spaces which guarantees compactness of the corresponding embeddings. Then we determine the exact asymptotic behavior of entropy and approximation numbers of the embeddings of the spaces with weights that have purely polynomial growth, both near some singular point and at infinity. In both cases we use the technique of discretization, i.e., we reduce the problem to the corresponding problem for some suitable sequence spaces. This can be done in terms of wavelet bases. Then one obtains estimates of the following type: if the weight is of type

$$
w(x) \sim\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
|x|^{\alpha} & \text { if } & |x| \leq 1, \\
|x|^{\beta} & \text { if } & |x|>1,
\end{array} \quad \text { with } \quad \alpha>-n, \quad \beta>0\right.
$$

and $A_{p, q}^{s}$ stands for either Besov spaces $B_{p, q}^{s}$ or Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $F_{p, q}^{s}$ with $s_{2} \leq s_{1}, 0<p_{1}, p_{2}<\infty, 0<q_{1}, q_{2} \leq \infty$, then

$$
\text { id }: A_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \longleftrightarrow A_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

is compact if, and only if,

$$
\frac{\beta}{p_{1}}>\frac{n}{p^{*}} \quad \text { and } \quad \delta>\max \left(\frac{\alpha}{p_{1}}, \frac{n}{p^{*}}\right)
$$

where $\delta=s_{1}-s_{2}-\frac{n}{p_{1}}+\frac{n}{p_{2}}$ and $\frac{1}{p^{*}}=\max \left(\frac{1}{p_{2}}-\frac{1}{p_{1}}, 0\right)$, as usual. For the entropy numbers of this embedding we can prove that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
e_{k}\left(\mathrm{id}: A_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \longleftrightarrow A_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right) \sim k^{-\left(\frac{\beta}{n p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right)} \quad \text { if } \quad \frac{\beta}{p_{1}}<\delta
$$

and

$$
e_{k}\left(\mathrm{id}: A_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \longleftrightarrow A_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right) \sim k^{-\frac{s_{1}-s_{2}}{n}} \quad \text { if } \quad \frac{\beta}{p_{1}}>\delta
$$

There are parallel results for the limiting case $\frac{\beta}{p_{1}}=\delta$ and for approximation numbers. It is remarkable that - apart from the criterion for compactness of the embedding the parameter $\alpha$, connected with the "local" singularity has no further influence on the "degree" of compactness (measured in terms of entropy or approximation numbers, respectively).

The study of entropy and approximation numbers of embeddings in the context of more general weights is postponed; likewise applications are out of the scope of the present paper.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 1 we recall basic facts about Muckenhoupt weights and weighted spaces needed later on. We also prove the wavelet characterization of Besov spaces via compactly supported wavelets. Section 2 is devoted to the continuity and compactness of the embeddings. For weights of purely polynomial growth we find simpler conditions. In the last two sections we determine exact asymptotic behavior of the entropy and approximation numbers for purely polynomial weights.

## 1. Weighted function spaces

First of all we need to fix some notation. By $\mathbb{N}$ we denote the set of natural numbers, by $\mathbb{N}_{0}$ the set $\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, by $\mathbb{C}$ the complex plane, by $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ euclidean $n$-space, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and by $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ the set of all lattice points in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ having integer components.

The positive part of a real function $f$ is given by $f_{+}(x)=\max (f(x), 0)$. For two positive real sequences $\left\{a_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{b_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ we mean by $a_{k} \sim b_{k}$ that there exist constants $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ such that $c_{1} a_{k} \leq b_{k} \leq c_{2} a_{k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$; similarly for positive functions.

Given two (quasi-)Banach spaces $X$ and $Y$, we write $X \hookrightarrow Y$ if $X \subset Y$ and the natural embedding of $X$ in $Y$ is continuous.

All unimportant positive constants will be denoted by $c$, occasionally with subscripts. For convenience, let both $\mathrm{d} x$ and $|\cdot|$ stand for the ( $n$-dimensional) Lebesgue measure in the sequel. If not otherwise indicated, $\log$ is always taken with respect to base 2 .

### 1.1. Muckenhoupt weights

We briefly recall some fundamentals on Muckenhoupt classes $\mathcal{A}_{p}$.
Definition 1.1. Let $w$ be a positive, locally integrable function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $1<p<\infty$. Then $w$ belongs to the Muckenhoupt class $\mathcal{A}_{p}$, if there exists a constant $0<A<\infty$ such that for all balls $B$ the following inequality holds:

$$
\left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} w(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / p} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} w(x)^{-p^{\prime} / p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}} \leq A
$$

where $p^{\prime}$ is the dual exponent to $p$ given by $1 / p^{\prime}+1 / p=1$ and $|B|$ stands for the Lebesgue measure of the ball $B$.

The limiting cases $p=1$ and $p=\infty$ can be incorporated as follows. By a weight $w$ we shall always mean a locally integrable function $w \in L_{1}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, positive a.e. in the sequel. Let $M$ stand for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator given by

$$
M f(x)=\sup _{B(x, r) \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)}|f(y)| \mathrm{d} y, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

where $\mathcal{B}$ is the collection of all open balls

$$
B(x, r)=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|y-x|<r\right\}, \quad r>0 .
$$

Definition 1.2. A weight $w$ belongs to the Muckenhoupt class $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ if there exists a constant $0<A<\infty$ such that the inequality

$$
M w(x) \leq A w(x)
$$

holds for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. The Muckenhoupt class $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\infty}=\bigcup_{p>1} \mathcal{A}_{p}
$$

Since the pioneering work of Muckenhoupt [27-29], these classes of weight functions have been studied in great detail, we refer, in particular, to the monographs [16; 36 , chap. $5 ; 37 ; 38$, chap. 9], for a complete account on the theory of Muckenhoupt weights. We use the abbreviation

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(\Omega)=\int_{\Omega} w(x) \mathrm{d} x, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is some bounded, measurable set. For convenience, we recall a few basic properties only; in particular, the class $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ is stable with respect to translation, dilation and multiplication by a positive scalar. Moreover, it is known:

Lemma 1.3. Let $1<p<\infty$.
(i) If $w \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$, then we have $w^{-p^{\prime} / p} \in \mathcal{A}_{p^{\prime}}$, where $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$.
(ii) $w \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$ possesses the doubling property, i.e., there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
w\left(B_{2}\right) \leq c w\left(B_{1}\right)
$$

holds for arbitrary balls $B_{1}=B(x, r)$ and $B_{2}=B(x, 2 r)$ with $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, r>0$.
(iii) Let $1 \leq p_{1}<p_{2} \leq \infty$. Then we have $\mathcal{A}_{p_{1}} \subset \mathcal{A}_{p_{2}}$.
(iv) If $w \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$, then there exists some number $r<p$ such that $w \in \mathcal{A}_{r}$.

Note that the somehow surprising property (iv) is closely connected with the so-called "reverse Hölder inequality," a fundamental feature of $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ weights, see [36, chap. 5, Prop. 3, Cor.]. In our case this fact will re-emerge in the number

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{w}:=\inf \left\{r \geq 1: w \in \mathcal{A}_{r}\right\}, \quad w \in \mathcal{A}_{\infty} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

that plays an essential role later on. Obviously, $1 \leq r_{w}<\infty$, and $w \in \mathcal{A}_{r_{w}}$ if, and only if, $r_{w}=1$ in view of (iv).

In the sequel we shall use decompositions of $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ weights into $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ weights several times, for that reason we collect a few related facts, see [13, Lemma 2.3; 36, chap. 5, $\S \S 1.4,1.9,5.3,6.1]$, and also [38, chap. 9, Thm. 2.1, secs. 4, 5].

## Lemma 1.4.

(i) Let $1 \leq p_{1}, p_{2}<\infty, w_{1} \in \mathcal{A}_{p_{1}}, w_{2} \in \mathcal{A}_{p_{2}}$, and $\theta \in[0,1]$. Let

$$
w^{\frac{1}{p}}=w_{1}^{\frac{1-\theta}{p_{1}}} w_{2}^{\frac{\theta}{p_{2}}}, \quad \frac{1}{p}=\frac{1-\theta}{p_{1}}+\frac{\theta}{p_{2}} .
$$

Then $w \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$.
(ii) The minimum, maximum, and the sum of finitely many $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ weights yields again an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ weight.
(iii) Let $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ be $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ weights, and $1 \leq p<\infty$. Then $w=w_{1} w_{2}^{1-p} \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$. Conversely, suppose that $w \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$, then there exist $v_{1}, v_{2} \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$ such that $w=v_{1} v_{2}^{1-p}$.
(iv) A positive, locally integrable function $w$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}_{p}, 1 \leq p<\infty$, if, and only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} f(y) \mathrm{d} y \leq\left(\frac{c}{w(B)} \int_{B} f^{p}(x) w(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / p} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all nonnegative $f$ and all balls $B$.
Of course, Lemma 1.3 (i) can be understood as a special case of Lemma 1.4 (i). Moreover, let $E \subset B$ and $f=\chi_{E}$, then (3) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|E|}{|B|} \leq c^{\prime}\left(\frac{w(E)}{w(B)}\right)^{1 / p}, \quad E \subset B \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $w \in \mathcal{A}_{p}, 1 \leq p<\infty$.

## Examples 1.5.

(i) One of the most prominent examples of a Muckenhoupt weight $w \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$, $1 \leq p<\infty$, is given by $w(x)=|x|^{\varrho}$, with

$$
w(x)=|x|^{\varrho} \in \mathcal{A}_{p} \quad \text { if, and only if, } \quad \begin{cases}-n<\varrho<n(p-1), & \text { if } 1<p<\infty \\ -n<\varrho \leq 0, & \text { if } p=1\end{cases}
$$

Thus $r_{w}=1+\frac{\varrho_{+}}{n}$ and $w \in \mathcal{A}_{r_{w}}$ if $\varrho \leq 0$, whereas $w \notin \mathcal{A}_{r_{w}}$ for $\varrho>0$.
(ii) Let

$$
v(x)=|x|^{\alpha} \log ^{-\beta}(2+|x|) \quad \text { or } \quad v(x)=|x|^{\alpha} \log ^{\beta}\left(2+|x|^{-1}\right) .
$$

Then, also in view of Lemma 1.4 one verifies that

$$
v \in \mathcal{A}_{1} \quad \text { if } \quad \begin{cases}\beta \in \mathbb{R}, & \text { if }-n<\alpha<0 \\ \beta \geq 0, & \text { if } \alpha=0\end{cases}
$$

whereas the counterpart for $1<p<\infty$ reads as

$$
v \in \mathcal{A}_{p} \quad \text { if } \quad-n<\alpha<n(p-1), \quad \beta \in \mathbb{R}
$$

see also [13, Lemma 2.3]. Similarly as above, $r_{v}=1+\frac{\alpha_{+}}{n}$.
(iii) Finally,

$$
w(x)=\left|x_{n}\right|^{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}_{p} \quad \text { if, and only if, } \quad-1<\alpha<p-1
$$

where $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $1 \leq p<\infty$. This is a special case of [13, Lemma 2.3], see also [18, Prop. 2.8]. We return to these examples (and combinations of them) in the sequel.

### 1.2. Function spaces of type $B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$ and $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$ with $w \in \mathcal{A}_{\infty}$

Let $w \in \mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ be a Muckenhoupt weight, and $0<p<\infty$. Then the weighted Lebesgue space $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$ contains all measurable functions such that

$$
\left\|f \mid L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)\right\|=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x)|^{p} w(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / p}
$$

is finite. Note that for $p=\infty$ one obtains the classical (unweighted) Lebesgue space,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)=L_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad w \in \mathcal{A}_{\infty} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We thus restrict ourselves to $p<\infty$ in what follows.
The Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and its dual $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ of all complex-valued tempered distributions have their usual meaning here. Let $\varphi_{0}=\varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be such that

$$
\operatorname{supp} \varphi \subset\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|y|<2\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi(x)=1 \quad \text { if } \quad|x| \leq 1
$$

and for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\varphi_{j}(x)=\varphi\left(2^{-j} x\right)-\varphi\left(2^{-j+1} x\right)$. Then $\left\{\varphi_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ forms a smooth dyadic resolution of unity. Given any $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, we denote by $\mathcal{F} f$ or $\hat{f}$, and $\mathcal{F}^{-1} f$ or $f^{\vee}$, its Fourier transform and its inverse Fourier transform, respectively. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then the compact support of $\varphi_{j} \hat{f}$ implies by the Paley-Wiener-Schwartz theorem that $\left(\varphi_{j} \hat{f}\right)^{\vee}$ is an entire analytic function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Definition 1.6. Let $0<q \leq \infty, 0<p<\infty, s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\left\{\varphi_{j}\right\}_{j}$ a smooth dyadic resolution of unity. Assume $w \in \mathcal{A}_{\infty}$.
(i) The weighted Besov space $B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$ is the set of all distributions $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f \mid B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)\right\|=\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j s q}\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\varphi_{j} \mathcal{F} f\right) \mid L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)\right\|^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is finite. In the limiting case $q=\infty$ the usual modification is required.
(ii) The weighted Triebel-Lizorkin space $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$ is the set of all distributions $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|f\left|F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)\|=\|\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j s q}\left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\varphi_{j} \mathcal{F} f\right)(\cdot)\right|^{q}\right)^{1 / q}\right| L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)\right\|
$$

is finite. In the limiting case $q=\infty$ the usual modification is required.
Remark 1.7. The spaces $B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$ and $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$ are independent of the particular choice of the smooth dyadic resolution of unity $\left\{\varphi_{j}\right\}_{j}$ appearing in their definitions. They are quasi-Banach spaces (Banach spaces for $p, q \geq 1$ ), and $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow$ $B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, similarly for the $F$-case, where the first embedding is dense if $q<\infty$; see [3]. Moreover, for $w_{0} \equiv 1 \in \mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ we re-obtain the usual (unweighted) Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces; we refer, in particular, to the series of monographs by Triebel [39-42], for a comprehensive treatment of the unweighted spaces.

The above spaces with weights of type $w \in \mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ have been studied systematically by Bui et al. in [3-6]. It turned out that many of the results from the unweighted situation have weighted counterparts: e.g., we have $F_{p, 2}^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)=h_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$, $0<p<\infty$, where the latter are Hardy spaces, see [3, Thm. 1.4], and, in particular, $h_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)=L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)=F_{p, 2}^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right), 1<p<\infty, w \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$, see [37, chap. VI, Thm. 1]. Concerning (classical) Sobolev spaces $W_{p}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$ (built upon $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$ in the usual way) it holds

$$
W_{p}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)=F_{p, 2}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \quad 1<p<\infty, \quad w \in \mathcal{A}_{p}
$$

see [3, Thm. 2.8]. Further results, concerning, for instance, embeddings, (real) interpolation, extrapolation, lift operators, duality assertions can be found in $[3,4,16,32]$.

Rychkov extended in [33] the above class of weights in order to incorporate locally regular weights, creating in that way the class $\mathcal{A}_{p}^{\text {loc }}$. Recent works are due to Roudenko $[15,31,32]$ and Bownik [1,2]. We partly rely on our approach [18].

We collect some more or less immediate embedding results for weighted spaces of the above type that will be used later. For that purpose we adopt the nowadays usual custom to write $A_{p, q}^{s}$ instead of $B_{p, q}^{s}$ or $F_{p, q}^{s}$, respectively, when both scales of spaces are meant simultaneously in some context.

Proposition 1.8. Let $0<q \leq \infty, 0<p<\infty, s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $w \in \mathcal{A}_{\infty}$.
(i) Let $-\infty<s_{1} \leq s_{0}<\infty$ and $0<q_{0} \leq q_{1} \leq \infty$. Then

$$
A_{p, q}^{s_{0}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \longleftrightarrow A_{p, q}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \quad \text { and } \quad A_{p, q_{0}}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \longleftrightarrow A_{p, q_{1}}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)
$$

(ii) We have

$$
B_{p, \min (p, q)}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \longleftrightarrow F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \longleftrightarrow B_{p, \max (p, q)}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)
$$

(iii) Assume that there are numbers $c>0, d>0$, such that, for all balls,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(B(x, r)) \geq c r^{d}, \quad 0<r \leq 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $0<p_{0}<p_{1}<\infty,-\infty<s_{1}<s_{0}<\infty$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0}-\frac{d}{p_{0}}=s_{1}-\frac{d}{p_{1}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p_{0}, q}^{s_{0}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \longleftrightarrow B_{p_{1}, q}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{p_{0}, \infty}^{s_{0}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \longleftrightarrow F_{p_{1}, q}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) Let $w$ satisfy (7) and let $0<p_{0}<p<p_{1}<\infty,-\infty<s_{1}<s<s_{0}<\infty$ satisfy

$$
s_{0}-\frac{d}{p_{0}}=s-\frac{d}{p}=s_{1}-\frac{d}{p_{1}} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p_{0}, p}^{s_{0}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \longleftrightarrow F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \longleftrightarrow B_{p_{1}, p}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Parts (i)-(iii) coincide with [3, Thm. 2.6] where, in particular, assumption (7) is denoted by $w \in \mathcal{M}_{d}$. As for (iv) we use the partial result

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{p_{0}, \infty}^{s_{0}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \longleftrightarrow B_{p_{1}, p_{0}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

from $[3$, Thm. 2.6] together with (10) and interpolation results for weighted $F$-spaces in [3, Thm. 3.5]. Obviously, the right-hand side of (11) is a consequence of (12) together with (i) (for $A=F$ ). As for the left-hand side, choose, for given $s_{0}, p_{0}$, and $s$, suitable numbers $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$, and $0<\theta<1$ such that for appropriate $r_{1}, r_{2}$,

$$
\sigma_{1}>s_{0}>\sigma_{2}>s, \quad s_{0}=(1-\theta) \sigma_{1}+\theta \sigma_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma_{i}-\frac{d}{p_{0}}=s-\frac{d}{r_{i}}, \quad i=1,2 .
$$

According to (10),

$$
F_{p_{0}, \infty}^{\sigma_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \hookrightarrow F_{r_{i}, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right), \quad i=1,2
$$

On the other hand, the interpolation results [3, Thm. 3.5] read in our case as

$$
\left(F_{p_{0}, \infty}^{\sigma_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right), F_{p_{0}, \infty}^{\sigma_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)\right)_{\theta, p}=B_{p_{0}, p}^{s_{0}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)
$$

and

$$
\left(F_{r_{1}, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right), F_{r_{2}, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)\right)_{\theta, p}=F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)
$$

which concludes the proof of (11).
Remark 1.9. The above embeddings (i) and (ii) are natural extensions from the unweighted case $w \equiv 1$, see [39, Prop. 2.3.2/2], whereas (iii) and (iv) with $d=n$ have their unweighted counterparts in [35, Thm. 3.2.1; 39, Thm. 2.7.1].
Remark 1.10. We shall need an extension of the (unweighted) Besov spaces $B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to so-called weak- $B_{p, q}^{s}$ spaces. By this we mean the modification of (6) (with $w \equiv 1$ ) when $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is replaced by the Lorentz space $L_{p, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\| f \mid \text { weak- } B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \|=\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j s q}\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\varphi_{j} \mathcal{F} f\right) \mid L_{p, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\|^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\left\|f \mid L_{p, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\| \sim \sup _{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^{*}(t)
$$

where $f^{*}$ is the non-increasing rearrangement of $f$, as usual,

$$
f^{*}(t)=\inf \left\{s \geq 0:\left|\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|f(x)|>s\right\}\right| \leq t\right\}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

We recall the definition of atoms. Let for $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, Q_{\nu, m}$ denote the $n$-dimensional cube with sides parallel to the axes of coordinates, centered at $2^{-\nu} m$ and with side length $2^{-\nu}$. For $0<p<\infty, \nu \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, and $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ we denote by $\chi_{\nu, m}^{(p)}$ the $p$-normalized characteristic function of the cube $Q_{\nu, m}$,

$$
\chi_{\nu, m}^{(p)}(x)=2^{\frac{\nu n}{p}} \chi_{\nu, m}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
2^{\frac{\nu n}{p}} & \text { for } & x \in Q_{\nu, m}, \\
0 & \text { for } & x \notin Q_{\nu, m}
\end{array}\right.
$$

hence $\left\|\chi_{\nu, m}^{(p)} \mid L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\|=1$.

Definition 1.11. Let $K \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $b>1$.
(i) The complex-valued function $a \in C^{K}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is said to be an $1_{K^{-}}$atom if $\operatorname{supp} a \subset b Q_{0, m}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, and $\left|\mathrm{D}^{\alpha} a(x)\right| \leq 1$ for $|\alpha| \leq K, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
(ii) Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0<p \leq \infty$, and $L+1 \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. The complex-valued function $a \in C^{K}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is said to be an $(s, p)_{K, L^{-}}$atom if for some $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{supp} a & \subset b Q_{\nu, m} & & \text { for some } m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}, \\
\left|\mathrm{D}^{\alpha} a(x)\right| & \leq 2^{-\nu\left(s-\frac{n}{p}\right)+|\alpha| \nu} & & \text { for }|\alpha| \leq K, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} x^{\beta} a(x) \mathrm{d} x & =0 & & \text { for }|\beta| \leq L .
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall denote an atom $a(x)$ supported in some $Q_{\nu, m}$ by $a_{\nu, m}$ in the sequel. For $0<p<\infty, 0<q \leq \infty, w \in \mathcal{A}_{\infty}$, we introduce suitable sequence spaces $b_{p q}(w)$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{p, q}(w)=\left\{\lambda=\left\{\lambda_{\nu, m}\right\}_{\nu, m}: \quad\right. & \lambda_{\nu, m} \in \mathbb{C} \\
& \left.\left\|\lambda \mid b_{p, q}(w)\right\|=\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty}\left\|\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \lambda_{\nu, m} \chi_{\nu, m}^{(p)} \mid L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)\right\|^{q}\right)^{1 / q}<\infty\right\} . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the atomic decomposition result used below reads as follows.
Proposition 1.12. Let $0<p<\infty, 0<q \leq \infty, s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $w \in \mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ be a weight with $r_{w}$ given by (2). Let $K, L+1 \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ with

$$
K \geq(1+[s])_{+} \quad \text { and } \quad L \geq \max \left(-1,\left[n\left(\frac{r_{w}}{p}-1\right)_{+}-s\right]\right)
$$

Then $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ belongs to $B_{p q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$ if, and only if, it can be written as a series

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \lambda_{\nu m} a_{\nu, m}(x), \quad \text { converging in } \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{\nu, m}(x)$ are $1_{K}$-atoms $(\nu=0)$ or $(s, p)_{K, L \text {-atoms }}(\nu \in \mathbb{N})$ and $\lambda \in b_{p q}(w)$. Furthermore

$$
\inf \left\|\lambda \mid b_{p q}(w)\right\|
$$

is an equivalent quasi-norm in $B_{p q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$, where the infimum ranges over all admissible representations (15).

The above result coincides with [18, Thm. 3.10], see also [1, Theorem 5.10].

### 1.3. Wavelet characterizations of Besov spaces with $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ weights

Nowadays there is a variety of excellent (text)books on wavelet theory and hence we may assume that the reader is familiar with basic assertions. For general background material on wavelets we refer, in particular, to [10, 21, 26, 43].

Let $\widetilde{\phi}$ be an orthogonal scaling function on $\mathbb{R}$ with compact support and of sufficiently high regularity. Let $\widetilde{\psi}$ be an associated wavelet. Then the tensor-product approach yields a scaling function $\phi$ and associated wavelets $\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{2^{n}-1}$, all defined now on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We suppose

$$
\widetilde{\phi} \in C^{N_{1}}(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{supp} \tilde{\phi} \subset\left[-N_{2}, N_{2}\right]
$$

for certain natural numbers $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi, \psi_{i} \in C^{N_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{supp} \phi, \operatorname{supp} \psi_{i} \subset\left[-N_{3}, N_{3}\right]^{n}, \quad i=1, \ldots, 2^{n}-1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall use the standard abbreviations

$$
\phi_{\nu, m}(x)=2^{\nu n / 2} \phi\left(2^{\nu} x-m\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{i, \nu, m}(x)=2^{\nu n / 2} \psi_{i}\left(2^{\nu} x-m\right) .
$$

Apart from function spaces with weights we introduce sequence spaces with weights. Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$. We extend (14) by

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{p, q}^{\sigma}(w):=\left\{\lambda=\left\{\lambda_{\nu, m}\right\}_{\nu, m}: \quad \lambda_{\nu, m} \in \mathbb{C},\right. \\
\left.\left\|\lambda \mid b_{p, q}^{\sigma}(w)\right\|=\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} 2^{\nu \sigma q}\left\|\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \lambda_{\nu, m} \chi_{\nu, m}^{(p)} \mid L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)\right\|^{q}\right)^{1 / q}<\infty\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell_{p}(w):=\left\{\lambda=\left\{\lambda_{m}\right\}_{m}: \lambda_{m}\right. & \in \mathbb{C} \\
& , \\
& \left.\left\|\lambda\left|\ell_{p}(w)\|=\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \lambda_{m} \chi_{0, m}^{(p)}\right| L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)\right\|<\infty\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\sigma=0$ we write $b_{p, q}(w)$ instead of $b_{p, q}^{\sigma}(w)$; moreover, if $w \equiv 1$ we write $b_{p, q}^{\sigma}$ instead of $b_{p, q}^{\sigma}(w)$.

For smooth weights and compactly supported wavelets it makes sense to consider the Fourier-wavelet coefficients of tempered distributions $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with respect to such an orthonormal basis.

Theorem 1.13. Let $0<p, q \leq \infty$ and let $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\phi$ be a scaling function and let $\psi_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 2^{n}-1$, be the corresponding wavelets satisfying (16). We assume
that $|s|<N_{1}$. Then a distribution $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ belongs to $B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$, if, and only if,

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|f\left|B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)\left\|^{*}=\right\|\left\{\left\langle f, \phi_{0, m}\right\rangle\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\right| \ell_{p}(w)\right\| \\
&+\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}-1}\left\|\left\{\left\langle f, \psi_{i, \nu, m}\right\rangle\right\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \mid b_{p, q}^{\sigma}(w)\right\|<\infty, \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sigma=s+\frac{n}{2}-\frac{n}{p}$. Furthermore, $\left\|f \mid B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)\right\|^{*}$ may be used as an equivalent (quasi-) norm in $B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$.
Proof. The idea of the proof is standard, see [31, Theorem 10.2].
First we assume that (17) holds. There exists a positive constant $c$ such that functions $a_{i, \nu, m}(x)=c^{-1} 2^{-\nu\left(s+\frac{n}{2}-\frac{n}{p}\right)} \psi_{i, \nu, m}$ are $(s, p)$-atoms and $a_{0, m}(x)=c^{-1} \phi_{0, m}$ are 1-atoms. The distribution $f$ can be represented in the following way:

$$
\begin{align*}
f & =\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left\langle f, \phi_{0, m}\right\rangle \phi_{0, m}+\sum_{i=0}^{2^{n}-1} \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left\langle f, \psi_{i, \nu, m}\right\rangle \psi_{i, \nu, m} \\
& =\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} c\left\langle f, \phi_{0, m}\right\rangle a_{0, m}+\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}-1} \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} c 2^{\nu\left(s+\frac{n}{2}-\frac{n}{p}\right)}\left\langle f, \psi_{i, \nu, m}\right\rangle a_{i, \nu, m}, \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

see also Remark 1.14 below. So Proposition 1.12 implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|f \mid B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)\right\| \\
& \leq C\left\|\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left\langle f, \phi_{0, m}\right\rangle \chi_{\nu, m}^{(p)} \mid L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)\right\| \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{2^{n}-1}\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty}\left\|\left.\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{\nu\left(s+\frac{n}{2}-\frac{n}{p}\right)}\left\langle f, \psi_{i, \nu, m}\right\rangle \chi_{\nu, m}^{(p)} \right\rvert\, L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)\right\|^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \leq C\left\|\left\{\left\langle f, \phi_{0, m}\right\rangle\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left|\ell_{p}(w)\left\|+\sum_{i=0}^{2^{n}-1}\right\|\left\{\left\langle f, \psi_{i, \nu, m}\right\rangle\right\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\right| b_{p, q}^{\sigma}(w)\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let $f \in B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$. We can define an equivalent (quasi-)norm in the Besov spaces with $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ weights using the inhomogeneous $\varphi$-transform, see $[1,14]$. Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\Phi_{0} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfy $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{\Phi} \subset[-\pi, \pi] \backslash\{0\}$, supp $\widehat{\Phi_{0}} \subset[-\pi, \pi]$, and $\sup _{j \in \mathbb{N}}\left\{\left|\widehat{\Phi}\left(2^{-j} \xi\right)\right|,\left|\widehat{\Phi_{0}}(\xi)\right|\right\}>0$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Given a pair $\Phi_{0}, \Phi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfying the above conditions one can find functions $\Psi_{0}, \Psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfying the same conditions and such that

$$
\overline{\widehat{\Phi_{0}}(\xi)} \widehat{\Psi_{0}}(\xi)+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \overline{\widehat{\Phi}\left(2^{-j} \xi\right)} \widehat{\Psi}\left(2^{-j} \xi\right)=1
$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, see [14]. Let $\Phi_{j, m}(x)=2^{n j / 2} \Phi\left(2^{j} x-m\right), j \in \mathbb{N}$, and $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Moreover, we put $\Phi_{0, m}(x)=\Phi_{0}(x-m), m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. In a similar way we define $\Psi_{j, m}$. The inhomogeneous $\varphi$-transform $S_{\Phi}$ is a map taking each $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ into the sequence $S_{\Phi}(f)=\left(S_{\Phi} f\right)_{j, m}$ defined by

$$
\left(S_{\Phi} f\right)_{j, m}=\left\langle f, \Phi_{j, m}\right\rangle, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \quad \text { and } \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}
$$

The inhomogeneous inverse $\varphi$-transform $T_{\Psi}$ is a map taking each sequence $\lambda=\left\{\lambda_{j, m}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}$ to

$$
T_{\Psi}(\lambda)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \lambda_{j, m} \Psi_{j, m}
$$

with convergence in $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. We have the following representation formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=T_{\Psi} \circ S_{\Phi}(f) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. The operator $S_{\Phi}$ is a bounded operator from $B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$ into $b_{p, q}^{\sigma}(w)$ and $T_{\Psi}$ is a bounded operator from $b_{p, q}^{\sigma}(w)$ onto $B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$. Moreover, $T_{\Psi} \circ S_{\Phi}$ is the identity operator on $B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left\{\left\langle f, \Phi_{j, m}\right\rangle\right\}_{j, m}\left|b_{p, q}^{\sigma}(w)\|\leq C\| f\right| B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)\right\| \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the formula (19) to the function $\psi_{i, \nu, m}$ we get

$$
\left\langle f, \psi_{i, \nu, m}\right\rangle=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left\langle f, \Psi_{j, k}\right\rangle \overline{\left\langle\psi_{i, \nu, m}, \Phi_{j, k}\right\rangle}
$$

A similar formula holds for $\left\langle f, \phi_{0, m}\right\rangle$. The numbers $\lambda_{(\nu, m),(j, k)}=\overline{\left\langle\psi_{i, \nu, m}, \Phi_{j, k}\right\rangle}$ form the almost diagonal matrix in the sense of Frazier and Jawerth, see [14, Lemmas 3.6, 3.8 and Remarks in $\S 12$ ]. Thus the almost diagonal operator related to the matrix is bounded in $b_{p, q}^{\sigma}(w)$, see $[1, \S 5.4]$. Since the coefficients $\left\langle f, \Psi_{j, k}\right\rangle$ satisfy also the inequality (20) we get

$$
\left\|f\left|B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)\left\|^{*} \leq C\right\|\left\{\left\langle f, \Psi_{j, m}\right\rangle\right\}_{j, m}\right| b_{p, q}^{\sigma}(w)\right\| \leq C\left\|f \mid B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)\right\|
$$

This finishes the proof.
Remark 1.14. The representability (18) of $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ may not be clear immediately. However, using Hölder's inequality and the already mentioned "reverse Hölder inequality" of $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ weights ([36, chap. 5, Prop. 3, Cor.]) one can reduce the argument to the corresponding one for admissible weights, say, of type $\langle x\rangle^{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, since $B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$ can be squeezed in between spaces of type $B_{p_{i}, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n},\langle x\rangle^{\alpha_{i}}\right), i=1,2$ (for suitably chosen $\alpha_{i}, p_{i}, i=1,2$ ). But then [20] implies the representability (18) (at the expense of some higher smoothness and cancellation needed for the atomic decomposition argument according to Proposition 1.12), see also [42].

## 2. Continuity and compactness of embeddings

We start with a general result on weighted embeddings and discuss its consequences in different settings afterwards.

Proposition 2.1. Let $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ be two $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ weights and let $-\infty<s_{2} \leq s_{1}<\infty$, $0<p_{1}, p_{2} \leq \infty, 0<q_{1}, q_{2} \leq \infty$. We put

$$
\frac{1}{p^{*}}:=\left(\frac{1}{p_{2}}-\frac{1}{p_{1}}\right)_{+} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{1}{q^{*}}:=\left(\frac{1}{q_{2}}-\frac{1}{q_{1}}\right)_{+} .
$$

(i) There is a continuous embedding $B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{1}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{2}\right)$ if, and only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{2^{-\nu\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)}\left\|\left\{\left(w_{2}\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right)\right)^{1 / p_{2}}\left(w_{1}\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right)\right)^{-1 / p_{1}}\right\}_{m} \mid \ell_{p *}\right\|\right\}_{\nu} \in \ell_{q^{*}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) The embedding $B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{1}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{2}\right)$ is compact if, and only if, (21) holds and, in addition,

$$
\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-\nu\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)}\left\|\left\{\left(w_{2}\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right)\right)^{1 / p_{2}}\left(w_{1}\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right)\right)^{-1 / p_{1}}\right\}_{m} \mid \ell_{p *}\right\|=0 \quad \text { if } q^{*}=\infty
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|m| \rightarrow \infty}\left(w_{2}\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right)\right)^{-1 / p_{2}}\left(w_{1}\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right)\right)^{1 / p_{1}}=\infty \quad \text { for all } \nu \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \quad \text { if } p^{*}=\infty \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It follows from the last theorem that the mapping

$$
T: f \longmapsto\left(\left\{\left\langle f, \phi_{0, m}\right\rangle\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}, \quad\left\{\left\langle f, \psi_{i, \nu, m}\right\rangle\right\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}, i=1, \ldots, 2^{n}-1}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism of $B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$ onto $\ell_{p}(w) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{2^{n}-1} b_{p, q}^{\sigma}(w)\right), \sigma=s+\frac{n}{2}-\frac{n}{p}$. It can be easily seen that the last sequence space is isomorphic to $b_{p, q}^{\sigma}(w)$. Consequently we have the following commutative diagrams,

where $T$ and $S$ are the corresponding isomorphisms and $\sigma_{i}=s_{i}+\frac{n}{2}-\frac{n}{p_{i}}, i=1,2$. On the other hand, one can easily verify that the expression

$$
\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} 2^{\nu \sigma q}\left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left|\lambda_{\nu, m}\right|^{p} 2^{\nu n} w\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right)\right)^{q / p}\right)^{1 / q}
$$

is an equivalent norm in $b_{p, q}^{\sigma}(w)$. But $w\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right)>0$ for any $\nu$ and $m$. So we can reduce the investigation of the embeddings of two weighted sequence spaces to the study of embeddings of a weighted space into an unweighted one, using the following commutative diagrams,

and

where $b_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{\sigma_{2}}$ denotes an unweighted space, i.e., with weight $w \equiv 1$. But the necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness and compactness of the embeddings $b_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{\sigma_{1}}\left(w_{1} / w_{2}\right) \rightarrow b_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{\sigma_{2}}$ are known, see [24, Theorem 1]. Taking $w_{\nu, m}=$ $\left(2^{\nu n} w\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right)\right)^{1 / p}$ in the last mentioned theorem we get the result.

Remark 2.2. In view of (5) it is clear that we obtain unweighted Besov spaces if $p_{1}=p_{2}=\infty$. Then by (1), $w_{1}\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right)=w_{2}\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right)=2^{-\nu n}$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, such that (21) leads to $p^{*}=\infty$, i.e., $p_{1} \leq p_{2}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta:=s_{1}-\frac{n}{p_{1}}-s_{2}+\frac{n}{p_{2}}>0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the extension to $\delta=0$ if $q_{1} \leq q_{2}$, i.e., $q^{*}=\infty$. Moreover, by (22), the embedding is never compact (as is well-known in this case).

Furthermore, (i) generalizes Proposition 1.8 (iii), in particular (9), since taking $w_{1}=w_{2}=w$ satisfying (7), we obtain that $w\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right) \geq c 2^{-\nu d}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ which immediately leads to $p^{*}=\infty$ in (21), i.e., $p_{1} \leq p_{2}$. Moreover, (8) then yields that $2^{-\nu\left(s_{1}-s_{2}+d\left(\frac{1}{p_{2}}-\frac{1}{p_{1}}\right)\right)}=1$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Hence $q^{*}=\infty$, that is, $q_{1} \leq q_{2}$. Thus Proposition 2.1 (i) implies (9).
Examples 2.3. We collect some elementary examples and explicate the proposition in their context.
(i) Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\alpha}(x)=|x|^{\alpha}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad-n<\alpha<\infty . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that $w_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$ if $\alpha \leq 0$ and $w_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}_{r}$ provided that $\alpha<n(r-1)$. If $\alpha>0$, then the embedding

$$
B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

is continuous if, and only if, $\frac{\alpha}{p_{1}}>\frac{n}{p^{*}}$ and $\delta \geq \frac{\alpha}{p_{1}}$ if $q^{*}=\infty$ or $\delta>\frac{\alpha}{p_{1}}$ if $q^{*}<\infty$. The embedding is compact if, and only if, $\frac{\alpha}{p_{1}}>\frac{n}{p^{*}}$ and $\delta>\frac{\alpha}{p_{1}}$. If ${ }^{p_{1}}-n<\alpha<0$, then the embedding is not continuous.
(ii) We consider (24) with $-n<\alpha<0$; here one can deal with embeddings

$$
B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \longleftrightarrow B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right) .
$$

The embedding is continuous if, and only if, $\frac{\alpha}{p_{2}}<-\frac{n}{p^{*}}$ and $\delta \geq-\frac{\alpha}{p_{2}}$ if $q^{*}=\infty$ or $\delta>-\frac{\alpha}{p_{2}}$ if $q^{*}<\infty$. The embedding is compact if, and only if, $\frac{\alpha_{2}}{p_{2}}<-\frac{n}{p^{*}}$ and $\delta>-\frac{\alpha}{p_{2}}$.
(iii) If

$$
w_{\alpha,(n)}(x)=\left|x_{n}\right|^{\alpha}, \quad x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad \alpha>0
$$

then the embedding

$$
B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha,(n)}\right) \longleftrightarrow B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

is continuous if, and only if, $p^{*}=\infty$ and $\delta \geq \frac{\alpha}{p_{1}}$ if $q^{*}=\infty$ or $\delta>\frac{\alpha}{p_{1}}$ if $q^{*}<\infty$. The embedding is never compact.

Further examples are studied in detail in the next sections.
As already mentioned, we may restrict ourselves to the situation when only the source space is weighted, and the target space unweighted. However, for comparison with the unweighted case we shall study two types of embeddings; firstly and essentially we concentrate on

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \longleftrightarrow B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w \in \mathcal{A}_{\infty}$. We shall assume in the sequel that $p_{1}<\infty$ for convenience, since otherwise we have $B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)=B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, recall (5), and we arrive at the unweighted situation in (25) which is well-known already. Therefore we stick here to the general assumptions

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\infty<s_{2} \leq s_{1}<\infty, \quad 0<p_{1}<\infty, \quad 0<p_{2} \leq \infty, \quad 0<q_{1}, q_{2} \leq \infty \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Secondly, we shall occasionally formulate some results in the "double-weighted" situation, in particular, corresponding to the setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \longleftrightarrow B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\infty<s_{2} \leq s_{1}<\infty, \quad 0<p_{1}, p_{2}<\infty, \quad 0<q_{1}, q_{2} \leq \infty \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 2.4. Obviously all Examples 2.3 have their immediate counterparts for embeddings of type (27), e.g.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right) \longleftrightarrow B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right), \quad-n<\alpha<\infty, \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

is continuous if, and only if, $p^{*}=\infty$ (that is, $p_{1} \leq p_{2}$ ) and $\delta \geq \alpha\left(\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right) \geq 0$ if $q^{*}=\infty$, or $\delta>\alpha\left(\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right) \geq 0$ if $q^{*}<\infty$. The embedding (29) is compact if, and only if, $\delta>\alpha\left(\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right)>0$. If $-n<\alpha<0$, then the embedding is never compact.

Remark 2.5. The continuity assertion of the above example is well-known in the unweighted case $\alpha=0$. However, there is no compactness in unweighted situations possible, unlike in (29).

### 2.1. Weights of purely polynomial growth

We consider weights of polynomial growth both near zero and infinity of the form

$$
w_{\alpha, \beta}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
|x|^{\alpha} & \text { if } & |x| \leq 1,  \tag{30}\\
|x|^{\beta} & \text { if } & |x|>1,
\end{array} \quad \text { with } \quad \alpha>-n, \quad \beta>-n\right.
$$

Obviously this refines the approach (24), i.e., for $\alpha=\beta>-n$ we arrive at Example 2.3 (i), $w_{\alpha, \alpha}=w_{\alpha}$. Note that $r_{w_{\alpha, \beta}}=1+\frac{\max (\alpha, \beta, 0)}{n}$ in this case.
Proposition 2.6. Let $w_{\alpha}, w_{\beta}$ be given by (24), respectively, and $w_{\alpha, \beta}$ by (30).
(i) Let $w_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}_{r}$ and $w_{\beta} \in \mathcal{A}_{r}, 1 \leq r<\infty$. Then $w_{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathcal{A}_{r}$.
(ii) Let the parameters be given by (26). The embedding $B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha, \beta}\right) \hookrightarrow$ $B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is continuous if, and only if,

$$
\begin{cases}\text { either } & \beta \geq 0  \tag{31}\\ \text { or } & \frac{\beta}{p_{1}}>\frac{n}{p^{*}} \quad \text { if } \quad p^{*}=\infty\end{cases}
$$

and one of the following conditions is satisfied:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta \geq \max \left(\frac{\alpha}{p_{1}}, 0\right) & \text { if } \quad q^{*}=\infty, \quad p^{*}=\infty, \\
\delta \geq \max \left(\frac{\alpha}{p_{1}}, \frac{n}{p^{*}}\right) & \text { if } q^{*}=\infty, \quad p^{*}<\infty, \quad \frac{n}{p^{*}} \neq \frac{\alpha}{p_{1}}, \\
\delta>\max \left(\frac{\alpha}{p_{1}}, \frac{n}{p^{*}}\right) & \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}
$$

(iii) The embedding $A_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha, \beta}\right) \hookrightarrow A_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is compact if, and only if,

$$
\frac{\beta}{p_{1}}>\frac{n}{p^{*}} \quad \text { and } \quad \delta>\max \left(\frac{n}{p^{*}}, \frac{\alpha}{p_{1}}\right) .
$$

Proof. According to Lemma 1.4, the minimum and maximum of two $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ weights is also an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ weight. Moreover, $w^{1-r} \in \mathcal{A}_{r}$ if $w \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$. Using the above facts one can prove part (i).

Parts (ii) and (iii) for Besov spaces follow easily from Proposition 2.1 since for any $\alpha>-n$ we have

$$
w_{\alpha}\left(Q_{\nu, 0}\right) \sim 2^{-\nu(n+\alpha)} \quad \text { and } \quad w_{\alpha}\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right) \sim 2^{-\nu(n+\alpha)}|m|^{\alpha} \quad \text { if } \quad m \neq 0
$$

Part (iii) for Triebel-Lizorkin spaces follows from the Besov case in combination with Proposition 1.8 (iii).

Remark 2.7. When $p^{*}<\infty$, the restriction $\frac{\beta}{p_{1}}>\frac{n}{p^{*}}$ in (31) cannot be weakened; however, the limiting case $\frac{\beta}{p_{1}}=\frac{n}{p^{*}}$ can be included in the framework of weak-Besov spaces, recall their definition in (13). This will be contained as a special case in Proposition 2.10 below. Obviously, Proposition 1.8 and part (ii) of Proposition 2.6 imply continuity assertion in the $F$-case, too, where some care is needed whenever the $q$-parameters are involved. However, we are mainly interested in compact embeddings in the sequel and omit further discussion.

We turn to the double-weighted situation now.
Proposition 2.8. Let $w_{\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}}$ and $w_{\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}}$ be given by (30).
(i) Let the parameters be given by (28). The embedding $B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}}\right) \hookrightarrow$ $B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}}\right)$ is continuous if, and only if,

$$
\begin{cases}\text { either } & \frac{\beta_{1}}{p_{1}}-\frac{\beta_{2}}{p_{2}} \geq 0 \quad \text { if } \quad p^{*}=\infty \\ \text { or } & \frac{\beta_{1}}{p_{1}}-\frac{\beta_{2}}{p_{2}}>\frac{n}{p^{*}} \quad \text { if } \quad p^{*}<\infty\end{cases}
$$

and one of the following conditions is satisfied:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \geq \max \left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{p_{1}}-\frac{\alpha_{2}}{p_{2}}, 0\right) \quad \text { if } \quad q^{*}=\infty, \quad p^{*}=\infty \\
& \delta \geq \max \left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{p_{1}}-\frac{\alpha_{2}}{p_{2}}, \frac{n}{p^{*}}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad q^{*}=\infty, \quad p^{*}<\infty, \quad \frac{n}{p^{*}} \neq \frac{\alpha_{1}}{p_{1}}-\frac{\alpha_{2}}{p_{2}},  \tag{32}\\
& \delta>\max \left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{p_{1}}-\frac{\alpha_{2}}{p_{2}}, \frac{n}{p^{*}}\right) \quad \text { otherwise. }
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) The embedding $A_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}}\right) \hookrightarrow A_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}}\right)$ is compact if, and only if,

$$
\frac{\beta_{1}}{p_{1}}-\frac{\beta_{2}}{p_{2}}>\frac{n}{p^{*}} \quad \text { and } \quad \delta>\max \left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{p_{1}}-\frac{\alpha_{2}}{p_{2}}, \frac{n}{p^{*}}\right) .
$$

Proof. The argument is parallel to that one given for Proposition 2.6 above.

Remark 2.9. If we take $\alpha_{2}=\beta_{2}=0$ we get Proposition 2.6. In case of $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\alpha$ and $\beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=\beta$ we obtain an embedding of type (27), in that way extending Example 2.4 (where we considered the special case $\alpha=\beta$ ). Then the embed$\operatorname{ding} B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha, \beta}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ is continuous if, and only if, $p_{1} \leq p_{2}$, $\beta\left(\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right) \geq 0$, and one of the following conditions is satisfied:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta \geq \max (\alpha, 0)\left(\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad q^{*}=\infty, \\
\delta>\max (\alpha, 0)\left(\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad q^{*}<\infty .
\end{array}
$$

The embedding $B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha, \beta}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ is compact if, and only if,

$$
\beta>0, \quad p_{1}<p_{2}, \quad \text { and } \quad \delta>\max (\alpha, 0)\left(\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right)
$$

As already mentioned, we deal with the limiting case $\frac{\beta}{p_{1}}=\frac{n}{p^{*}}$ separately; in view of (31) it is excluded in the context of target spaces $B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ (apart from $p^{*}=\infty$ ). But in the context of weak-Besov spaces we obtain the following extension.

Proposition 2.10. Let $-\infty<s_{2} \leq s_{1}<\infty, 0<p_{1}<\infty, 0<q_{1}, q_{2} \leq \infty, \alpha>-n$, $\beta>0$, and assume

$$
\frac{(\alpha-\beta)_{+}}{p_{1}} \leq s_{1}-s_{2}
$$

Let $p_{2}$ be given by $\frac{1}{p_{2}}=\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{\beta}{n p_{1}}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha, \beta}\right) \longleftrightarrow \text { weak- } B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\text { either } & s_{2}<s_{1}-\frac{(\alpha-\beta)_{+}}{p_{1}}, \\
\text { or } & s_{2}=s_{1}-\frac{(\alpha-\beta)_{+}}{p_{1}},
\end{array} \quad q_{1} \leq q_{2} \leq \infty\right.
$$

Proof. Step 1. By elementary embeddings (monotonicity of the spaces) it is sufficient to prove (33) for the case $s_{2}=s_{1}-\frac{(\alpha-\beta)_{+}}{p_{1}}$, and $q_{1}=q_{2}=q$ only, that is, using notation (30), we have to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p_{1}, q}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha, \beta}\right) \longleftrightarrow \text { weak- } B_{p_{2}, q}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad \frac{1}{p_{2}}=\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{\beta}{n p_{1}}, \quad s_{2}=s_{1}-\frac{(\alpha-\beta)_{+}}{p_{1}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $s_{1} \in \mathbb{R}, 0<p_{1}<\infty, 0<q \leq \infty, \alpha>-n, \beta>0$.

Step 2. We first assume $\alpha \leq \beta$ such that $s_{2}=s_{1}=s$ and (34) reads as

$$
B_{p_{1}, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha, \beta}\right) \longleftrightarrow \text { weak- } B_{p_{2}, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad \frac{1}{p_{2}}=\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{\beta}{n p_{1}}, \quad \alpha \leq \beta
$$

The argument is based on the observation that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \longleftrightarrow L_{p_{2}, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $w^{-1 / p_{1}} \in L_{r, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \frac{1}{r}=\frac{1}{p_{2}}-\frac{1}{p_{1}}$. Recall that real interpolation together with Hölder's inequality gives

$$
L_{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cdot L_{r, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \longleftrightarrow L_{p_{2}, p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

in the sense that for all $h \in L_{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), g \in L_{r, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then $h g \in L_{p_{2}, p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|h g\left|L_{p_{2}, p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\|\leq c\| h\right| L_{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\|\left\|g \mid L_{r, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\| \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $f \in L_{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$. Then $h=f w^{1 / p_{1}} \in L_{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Assume for the moment that $g=w^{-1 / p_{1}} \in L_{r, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $r=\frac{n p_{1}}{\beta}$. Then (36) implies (35).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f \mid L_{p_{2}, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\| & \leq c\left\|f \mid L_{p_{2}, p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq c^{\prime}\left\|f w^{1 / p_{1}}\left|L_{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\| \| w^{-1 / p_{1}}\right| L_{r, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq c^{\prime \prime}\left\|f \mid L_{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to show that $w_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1 / p_{1}} \in L_{r, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for $-n<\alpha \leq \beta, \beta>0$. Let

$$
g(x)=w_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1 / p_{1}}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
|x|^{-\frac{\alpha}{p_{1}}} & \text { if } & |x| \leq 1 \\
|x|^{-\frac{\beta}{p_{1}}} & \text { if } & |x|>1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, for $\alpha \geq 0$,

$$
g^{*}(t) \sim\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
t^{-\frac{\alpha}{n p_{1}}} & \text { if } & t \leq 1 \\
t^{-\frac{\beta}{n p_{1}}} & \text { if } & t>1
\end{array}\right.
$$

and so

$$
\left\|g \mid L_{r, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\| \sim \sup _{0<t<1} t^{\frac{1}{r}} g^{*}(t)+\sup _{t \geq 1} t^{\frac{1}{r}} g^{*}(t) \sim \sup _{0<t<1} t^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{n p_{1}}}+\sup _{t \geq 1} t^{\frac{\beta-\beta}{n p_{1}}} \sim 1
$$

for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$. In case of $-n<\alpha<0$ we get at least $g^{*}(t) \leq c t^{-\frac{1}{r}}, t>0$, leading to $g=w_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1 / p_{1}} \in L_{r, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ again.

Step 3. Assume $\alpha>\beta>0$ such that we have $s_{2}=s_{1}-\frac{\alpha-\beta}{p_{1}}<s_{1}$ in (34). In view of Proposition 2.8 (i) we have

$$
B_{p_{1}, q}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha, \beta}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p_{1}, q}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\beta, \beta}\right), \quad \delta=s_{1}-s_{2}=\frac{\alpha-\beta}{p_{1}}>0
$$

see (32). Moreover, Step 2 yields

$$
B_{p_{1}, q}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\beta, \beta}\right) \longleftrightarrow \text { weak- } B_{p_{2}, q}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad \frac{1}{p_{2}}=\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{\beta}{n p_{1}},
$$

and this concludes the proof.

### 2.2. General weights

Now we deal with general weights $w \in \mathcal{A}_{r}, 1 \leq r<\infty$, and will check what Proposition 2.1 means in this context. Essentially, we concentrate on two types of embeddings: either the target space is unweighted,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{id}_{w}: B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

with (26), or both source space and target space share the same weight,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{id}_{w w}: B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

with (28), recall also Proposition 1.8.
We begin with a short preparation in order to apply Proposition 2.1 for such situations. Hence we have to consider expressions of type $w_{2}\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right)^{1 / p_{2}} w_{1}\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right)^{-1 / p_{1}}$ only, that is, $2^{-\nu n / p_{2}} w\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right)^{-1 / p_{1}}$ in case of (37), and $w\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{2}}-\frac{1}{p_{1}}}$ in case of (38). Let $w \in \mathcal{A}_{r}, 1 \leq r<\infty$. Then, by (4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
w\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right) \geq c 2^{-\nu n r} w\left(Q_{0, l}\right) \quad \text { for all } \quad Q_{\nu, m} \subset Q_{0, l}, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \quad m, l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, for any $\varkappa<0$,

$$
w\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right)^{\varkappa} \leq c^{\prime} 2^{-\nu n r \varkappa} w\left(Q_{0, l}\right)^{\varkappa}, \quad Q_{\nu, m} \subset Q_{0, l},
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left\{w\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right)^{\varkappa}\right\}_{m} \mid \ell_{\infty}\right\| \leq c 2^{-\nu r n \varkappa}\left(\inf _{l} w\left(Q_{0, l}\right)\right)^{\varkappa}, \quad \varkappa<0, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $w \in \mathcal{A}_{r}, 1 \leq r<\infty$. Moreover, for arbitrary $\gamma>0$, (39) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|m| \rightarrow \infty} w\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right)^{\gamma}=\infty \quad \text { for all } \nu \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \quad \text { if, and only if, } \quad \lim _{|l| \rightarrow \infty} w\left(Q_{0, l}\right)=\infty \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summarizing the above considerations, we find that for embeddings of type (37) or (38) the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{l} w\left(Q_{0, l}\right) \geq c>0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|l| \rightarrow \infty} w\left(Q_{0, l}\right)=\infty, \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

are essential when $p_{1} \leq p_{2}$, i.e., $p^{*}=\infty$. If $p^{*}<\infty$, then careful calculation leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left\{w\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right)^{\varkappa}\right\}_{m} \mid \ell_{p^{*}}\right\| & \leq c 2^{-\nu r n \varkappa+\nu \frac{n}{p^{*}}}\left(\sum_{l} w\left(Q_{0, l}\right)^{\varkappa p^{*}}\right)^{1 / p^{*}} \\
& =c 2^{-\nu r n \varkappa+\nu \frac{n}{p^{*}}}\left\|\left\{w\left(Q_{0, l}\right)^{\varkappa}\right\}_{l} \mid \ell_{p^{*}}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\varkappa<0, \nu \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, as the counterpart of (40). This corresponds to (37) with $\varkappa=-1 / p_{1}<0$. Therefore the adequate replacement of (42) for $p^{*}<\infty$ reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left\{w\left(Q_{0, l}\right)^{-1 / p_{1}}\right\}_{l} \mid \ell_{p^{*}}\right\|<\infty \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that there cannot be a continuous embedding of type (38) if $p_{1}>p_{2}, w \in \mathcal{A}_{\infty}$, and (at least) (42) is assumed to hold, since (39) implies then for some $r, 1 \leq r<\infty$, that

$$
w\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right) \geq c^{\prime} 2^{-\nu n r} \quad \text { for all } \quad \nu \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}
$$

such that

$$
\left\|\left.\left\{w\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{2}}-\frac{1}{p_{1}}}\right\}_{m} \right\rvert\, \ell_{p^{*}}\right\|=\left(\sum_{m} w\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right)\right)^{1 / p^{*}}
$$

diverges for any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. So we are left to consider the case $p_{1} \leq p_{2}$ as far as (38) is concerned. We begin with the case (37).

Corollary 2.11. Let the parameters be given by (26) with $p_{1} \leq p_{2}$. Let $w \in \mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ with $r_{w}$ given by (2).
(i) Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta>\frac{n}{p_{1}}\left(r_{w}-1\right) . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\operatorname{id}_{w}$ in (37) is continuous if, and only if, (42) is satisfied.
The embedding $\mathrm{id}_{w}$ in (37) is compact if, and only if, (43) holds.
(ii) Let $\delta<0$, then $B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$ is not embedded in $B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
(iii) Let $\delta=0$. When $q^{*}<\infty$, then $B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$ is not embedded in $B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

When $q^{*}=\infty$ and $w \in \mathcal{A}_{r_{w}}$, that is, $r_{w}=1$, then $\operatorname{id}_{w}$ in (37) is continuous if, and only if, (42) is satisfied.
(iv) If (45) is not satisfied, then for every $r>r_{w}$ there exists an $\mathcal{A}_{r}$ weight $v$ satisfying (42) such that the space $B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, v\right)$ is not embedded in $B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Proof. Step 1. If (42) does not hold, then obviously there is no embedding (independent of $\delta$ ) in view of (21) and our above discussion. Similarly, if (43) does not hold, then the embedding cannot be compact in view of (22) and (41) with $\gamma=1 / p_{1}$.

Step 2. Let (45) be satisfied and $r>r_{w}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta>\frac{n}{p_{1}}(r-1)>\frac{n}{p_{1}}\left(r_{w}-1\right) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $w \in \mathcal{A}_{r}$. Thus (40) with $\varkappa=-1 / p_{1}<0$ leads for (21) (with $w_{1} \equiv w, w_{2} \equiv 1$ ) to the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2^{-\nu\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)}\left\|\left\{\left(w_{2}\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right)\right)^{1 / p_{2}}\left(w_{1}\left(Q_{\nu, m}\right)\right)^{-1 / p_{1}}\right\}_{m} \mid \ell_{\infty}\right\| \\
& \leq c 2^{-\nu\left(s_{1}-s_{2}+\frac{n}{p_{2}}-\frac{n r}{p_{1}}\right)}\left(\inf _{l} w\left(Q_{0, l}\right)\right)^{-1 / p_{1}} \\
&=c 2^{-\nu\left(\delta-\frac{n}{p_{1}}(r-1)\right)}\left(\inf _{l} w\left(Q_{0, l}\right)\right)^{-1 / p_{1}} . \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

So the continuity and compactness of the embedding follow from Proposition 2.1 in view of (42) and (46). Together with Step 1 this concludes the proof of (i). As far as (iii) with $q^{*}=\infty$ and $w \in \mathcal{A}_{r_{w}}=\mathcal{A}_{1}$ is concerned, we can adapt the above argument by taking $r=r_{w}=1$ such that (47) and (21) (with $q^{*}=\infty$ ) give the result.

Step 3. Concerning (ii) and (iii) it remains to show that for $\delta<0$ or $\delta=0$ and $q^{*}<\infty$ there is no embedding (37) (even) if (42) is satisfied. Since

$$
w\left(Q_{0, m}\right) \geq 2^{n \nu} \min _{l: Q_{\nu, l} \subset Q_{0, m}} w\left(Q_{\nu, l}\right)
$$

one obtains $\left\|\left\{w\left(Q_{0, m}\right)^{-1 / p_{1}}\right\}_{m}\left|\ell_{\infty}\left\|\leq 2^{-n \nu / p_{1}}\right\|\left\{w\left(Q_{\nu, l}\right)^{-1 / p_{1}}\right\}_{l}\right| \ell_{\infty}\right\|$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\left\{2^{-\nu\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)-\nu \frac{n}{p_{2}}} \|\left\{w\left(Q_{\nu, l}\right)^{-1 / p_{1}}\right.\right. & \}_{l} \mid \ell_{\infty} \|\right\}_{\nu} \mid \ell_{q^{*}} \| \\
& \geq\left\|\left\{2^{-\nu \delta}\left\|\left\{w\left(Q_{0, m}\right)^{-1 / p_{1}}\right\}_{m} \mid \ell_{\infty}\right\|\right\}_{\nu} \mid \ell_{q^{*}}\right\| \\
& =\left\|\left\{w\left(Q_{0, m}\right)^{-1 / p_{1}}\right\}_{m}\left|\ell_{\infty}\| \|\left\{2^{-\nu \delta}\right\}_{\nu}\right| \ell_{q^{*}}\right\|=\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\delta<0$ or $\delta \leq 0$ and $q^{*}<\infty$.
Step 4. If (45) is not satisfied, then for any $r>r_{w}$ there is some $0<\alpha$ such that

$$
\delta<\frac{\alpha}{p_{1}}<\frac{n}{p_{1}}(r-1) .
$$

Hence $v=w_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}_{r}$ and the embedding $B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ does not hold, see Example 2.3 (i).

Remark 2.12. It is obvious that we obtain by (i)-(iii) a complete characterization (with respect to $\delta$ ) in case of $w \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$ only, i.e., when $r_{w}=1$. Otherwise there remains the gap

$$
0<\delta \leq \frac{n}{p_{1}}\left(r_{w}-1\right)
$$

(apart from the complementing assertion (iv), of course). However, it is not surprising that general features of $w$ like $r_{w}$ and (42) are not appropriately adapted for the interplay with the parameters (26) as required in Proposition 2.1. Reviewing, for instance, Proposition 2.6 and its proof one realizes that more information of the weight is used than reflected by $r_{w}$ and (42) only. For example, Corollary 2.11 covers only the cases $\beta \geq 0$ and $\delta>\frac{\max (\alpha, \beta)}{p_{1}}$ in Proposition 2.6 (with $p_{1} \leq p_{2}$ ), thus neglecting the (admitted) situations when $\frac{\max (\alpha, 0)}{p_{1}} \leq \delta \leq \frac{\max (\alpha, \beta)}{p_{1}}$. But this requires further information on the weight, as already mentioned.

Corollary 2.13. Let the parameters be given by (26) with $p_{1}>p_{2}$. Let $w \in \mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ and $r_{w}$ be given by (2).
(i) Let

$$
\delta>\frac{n}{p^{*}}+\frac{n}{p_{1}}\left(r_{w}-1\right)
$$

The embedding $\mathrm{id}_{w}$ in (37) is compact if, and only if, (44) holds.
(ii) Let $\delta<\frac{n}{p^{*}}$, then $B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$ is not embedded in $B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
(iii) Let $\delta=\frac{n}{p^{*}}$. When $q^{*}<\infty$, then $B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$ is not embedded in $B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. When $q^{*}=\infty$ and $w \in \mathcal{A}_{r_{w}}$, that is, $r_{w}=1$, then $\operatorname{id}_{w}$ in (37) is continuous if, and only if, (44) is satisfied.
(iv) If $\frac{n}{p^{*}}<\delta<\frac{n}{p_{1}}\left(r_{w}-1\right)$, then for every $r>r_{w}$ there exists an $\mathcal{A}_{r}$ weight $v$ satisfying (44) such that the space $B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, v\right)$ is not embedded in $B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
Proof. This is a consequence of our above considerations and parallel arguments as presented for the case $p^{*}=\infty$. As for (iv), our assumption on $r$ implies that we can always find some number $\alpha$ with

$$
\frac{n}{p_{1}}(r-1)>\frac{\alpha}{p_{1}}>\delta>\frac{n}{p^{*}}
$$

such that $v=w_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}_{r}$ serves as an example in view of Example 2.3 (i).
We turn to the double-weighted situation now and restrict ourselves to the case $w_{1}=w_{2}$, i.e., when both spaces are weighted in the same way.

Corollary 2.14. Let the parameters be given by (28) with $p_{1}<p_{2}$. Let $w \in \mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ and $r_{w}$ be given by (2).
(i) Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta>\left(r_{w}-1\right)\left(\frac{n}{p_{1}}-\frac{n}{p_{2}}\right) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The embedding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{id}_{w w}: B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

is continuous if, and only if, (42) is satisfied.
The embedding (49) is compact if, and only if, (43) is satisfied.
(ii) Let $\delta<0$, then $B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$ is not embedded in $B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$.
(iii) Let $\delta=0$. When $q^{*}<\infty$, then $B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$ is not embedded in $B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)$. When $q^{*}=\infty$ and $w \in \mathcal{A}_{r_{w}}$, that is, $r_{w}=1$, then $\operatorname{id}_{w w}$ in (49) is continuous if, and only if, (42) is satisfied.
(iv) If the condition (48) does not hold, then for every $r>r_{w}$ there exists an $\mathcal{A}_{r}$ weight $v$ satisfying (42) such that the space $B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, v\right)$ is not embedded in $B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, v\right)$.
Proof. The proof of parts (i)-(iii) is completely parallel to the proof of Corollary 2.11, where we apply (40) for $\varkappa=\frac{1}{p_{2}}-\frac{1}{p_{1}}<0$, and (41) with $\gamma=\frac{1}{p_{2}}-\frac{1}{p_{1}}$. If (48) does not hold, then there is some $0<\alpha$ such that

$$
\delta<\alpha\left(\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right)<(r-1)\left(\frac{n}{p_{1}}-\frac{n}{p_{2}}\right) .
$$

Then $v=w_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}_{r}$ and the embedding $B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right)$ does not hold, see Example 2.4.

Remark 2.15. Note that the compactness in (i) is in some sense surprising as it is different from the unweighted situation $w \equiv 1$ (where one cannot have a compact embedding as is well-known). Of course, there is no contradiction as (43) is not satisfied in this case.

Moreover, Corollary 2.14 refines Proposition 1.8 (iii) in some sense: Assume that (42) is satisfied; then since for an arbitrary ball $B(x, \varrho)$ with radius $0<\varrho<1$ there is some $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ such that $B(x, \varrho) \subset Q_{0, m}$ (apart from a universal constant) and (4) implies for $w \in \mathcal{A}_{r}$ that

$$
w(B(x, \varrho)) \geq c \varrho^{n r} w\left(Q_{0, m}\right)
$$

we obtain (7) with $d=r n$. The limiting case $\delta=(r-1)\left(\frac{n}{p_{1}}-\frac{n}{p_{2}}\right)$ in (48) coincides with (8) for $d=n r$ such that (9) covers the continuity of the embedding (49).

We end this section with a somehow astonishing result dealing with the situation $p_{1}=p_{2}$. It turns out that there is no direct influence of the weights on the continuity or compactness of the embedding (49).
Corollary 2.16. Let the parameters be given by (28) with $p_{1}=p_{2}$. Let $w \in \mathcal{A}_{\infty}$. Then the embedding (49) is continuous if, and only if,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
s_{1}-s_{2}>0 \quad \text { if } \quad q^{*}<\infty \\
s_{1}-s_{2} \geq 0 \quad \text { if } \quad q^{*}=\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

The embedding (49) is never compact.
Proof. This is an immediate consequence of (21) and (22).

## 3. Entropy numbers of compact embeddings

Let $X, Y$ be two quasi-Banach spaces and let $T: X \rightarrow Y$ be a bounded linear operator. The $k$-th (dyadic) entropy number of $T, k \in \mathbb{N}$, is defined as

$$
e_{k}(T)=\inf \left\{\varepsilon>0: T\left(B_{X}\right) \text { can be covered by } 2^{k-1} \text { balls of radius } \varepsilon \text { in } Y\right\}
$$

where $B_{X}$ denotes the closed unit ball in $X$. Due to the well known fact that

$$
T: X \longrightarrow Y \quad \text { is compact } \quad \text { if, and only if, } \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} e_{k}(T: X \rightarrow Y)=0
$$

the entropy numbers can be viewed as a quantification of the notion of compactness. On the other hand, the $k$-th approximation number of $T$ is defined as

$$
a_{k}(T)=\inf \{\|T-L\|: \operatorname{rank} L<k\}
$$

If $a_{k}(T) \rightarrow 0$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$, then $T$ is compact. So the asymptotic behavior of approximation numbers also gives us the quantitative analysis of compactness of the operator. Further properties like multiplicativity and additivity, as well as applications of entropy and approximation numbers can be found in $[9,11,12,30]$.

We consider the weights $w \in \mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ such that

$$
w(x) \sim w_{\alpha, \beta}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
|x|^{\alpha} & \text { if } & |x| \leq 1,  \tag{50}\\
|x|^{\beta} & \text { if } & |x|>1,
\end{array} \quad \text { with } \quad \alpha>-n, \beta>0 .\right.
$$

It follows from Theorem 1.13 that the investigation of the asymptotic behavior of entropy numbers of the embedding

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be reduced to the estimation of the asymptotic behavior of entropy numbers of embeddings of corresponding sequence spaces $b_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}(w) \hookrightarrow b_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}$, see the proof of Proposition 2.1. First we regard the part near zero. To make the notation more transparent we introduce the following spaces,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ell_{q}\left(2^{j \theta} \ell_{p}(w)\right)=\left\{\lambda=\left\{\lambda_{j, m}\right\}_{j, m}: \lambda_{j, m} \in \mathbb{C}, j, m \in \mathbb{N}_{0},\right. \\
&\left.\left\|\lambda \mid \ell_{q}\left(2^{j \theta} \ell_{p}(w)\right)\right\|=\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j \theta q}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{j, m}\right|^{p} w(j, m)\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}<\infty\right\},  \tag{52}\\
& \ell_{q}\left(2^{j \theta} \ell_{p}^{2^{n j}}(w)\right)=\left\{\left\{s_{j, l}\right\}_{j, l} \in \ell_{q}\left(2^{j \theta} \ell_{p}(w)\right): s_{j, l}=0 \text { if } l>\gamma 2^{n j}\right\}, \\
& \ell_{q}\left(2^{j \theta} \widetilde{\ell_{p}^{2^{j}}}(w)\right)=\left\{\left\{s_{j, l}\right\}_{j, l} \in \ell_{q}\left(2^{j \theta} \ell_{p}(w)\right): s_{j, l}=0 \text { if } l \leq \gamma 2^{n j}\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

(with the usual modification in (52) when $p=\infty$ and/or $q=\infty$ ), $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$. We put $w_{\xi}(j, l)=l^{\xi}$ if $l \neq 0$ and $w_{\xi}(j, 0)=1, j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $0<p_{1}<\infty, 0<p_{2} \leq \infty, 0<q_{1}, q_{2} \leq \infty, \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \theta>0$, and $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume $\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}>-\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{1}{p^{*}}$. Then there are positive constants $c$ and $C$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the estimates

$$
c k^{-\left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right)} \leq e_{k}\left(\text { id }: \ell_{q_{1}}\left(2^{j \theta} \ell_{p_{1}}^{2^{j n}}\left(w_{\xi}\right)\right) \rightarrow \ell_{q_{2}}\left(\ell_{p_{2}}^{2^{n j}}\right)\right) \leq C k^{-\left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right)}
$$

hold.
Proof. Step 1. Preparations. For $\xi=0$ (unweighted case) the result is known, see [41, Thm. 8.2]. So we assume that $\xi \neq 0$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda:=\left\{\lambda=\left\{\lambda_{j, l}\right\}_{j, l}: \lambda_{j, l} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \quad 0 \leq l \leq \gamma 2^{n j}\right\} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1}=\ell_{q_{1}}\left(2^{j \theta} \ell_{p_{1}}^{\gamma 2^{n j}}\left(w_{\xi}\right)\right), \quad B_{2}=\ell_{q_{2}}\left(\ell_{p_{2}}^{\gamma 2^{n j}}\right) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $P_{j}: \Lambda \mapsto \Lambda$ be the canonical projection onto $j$-level, i.e., for $\lambda=\left\{\lambda_{j, l}\right\}$ we put

$$
\left(P_{j} \lambda\right)_{l}:=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda_{k, l} & \text { if } k=j,  \tag{55}\\
0 & \text { otherwise, }
\end{array} \quad l \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right.
$$

To shorten the notation we put $1 / p=1 / p_{1}-1 / p_{2}$. Elementary properties of the entropy numbers yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{k}\left(P_{j}: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right) \leq 2^{-j \theta} e_{k}\left(\operatorname{Id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{2^{n j}}\left(w_{\xi}\right) \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{2^{n j}}\right) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{k}\left(\operatorname{Id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{\gamma 2^{n j}}\left(w_{\xi}\right) \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{\gamma 2^{n j}}\right)=e_{k}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{2^{n j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{\gamma 2^{n j}}\right) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{\sigma}$ is the diagonal operator defined by the sequence $\sigma_{l}=l^{-\xi / p_{1}}$ if $l>0$ and $\sigma_{0}=1$.

Step 2. The estimate from above. We use the notation of operator ideals, see $[9,30]$ for details. Here we recall only what we need for the proof. For a given bounded linear operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, where $X$ and $Y$ are Banach spaces, and a positive real number $r$ we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{r, \infty}^{(e)}(T):=\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}} k^{1 / r} e_{k}(T) \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last expression is an operator quasi-norm. Using (56) and (57) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{r, \infty}^{(e)}\left(P_{j}: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right) \leq c 2^{-j \theta} L_{r, \infty}^{(e)}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{2^{n j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{2^{n j}}\right) \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substep 2.1. We fix $j$ in this substep and put $N_{j}=\gamma 2^{n j}$. Let $\sigma_{l}^{*}$ denote the non-increasing rearrangement of $\sigma_{l}, l=0, \ldots, N_{j}$. Thus

$$
\sigma_{l}^{*}= \begin{cases}\sigma_{l} & \text { if } \quad \xi \geq 0 \\ \left(N_{j}-l\right)^{-\xi / p_{1}} & \text { if } \quad \xi<0\end{cases}
$$

It should be clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}\right) \leq \sigma_{k}^{*} \quad \text { if } \quad k \leq N_{j}+1 \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $a_{k}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}\right)=0$ if $k>N_{j}+1$.
For any $\beta>0$ there exists $C_{\beta}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{l \leq k} l^{\beta} e_{l}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}\right) \leq C_{\beta} \sup _{l \leq k} l^{\beta} a_{l}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}\right) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

see $[8$, Thm. 1; 9, p. 96] and its extension to quasi-Banach spaces in [12, Thm. 1.3.3]. Let $\xi>0$. Taking $\beta=\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}$ we get from (60) and (61) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}} e_{k}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}\right) \leq c \sup _{l \leq k} l^{\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}} a_{l}\left(D_{\sigma}\right) \leq C_{\xi, p_{1}} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (62) and the multiplicativity of entropy numbers imply

$$
k^{\frac{1}{r}} e_{2 k-1}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \leq C k^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}} e_{k}\left(\mathrm{id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right)
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{r, \infty}^{(e)}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \leq c L_{s, \infty}^{(e)}\left(\operatorname{id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\frac{1}{s}=\frac{1}{r}-\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}>0$.
If $0<p_{1}^{p_{1}} \leq p_{2} \leq \infty$, then Schütt's characterization of the asymptotic behavior of the entropy numbers $e_{k}\left(\mathrm{id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N}\right)$, see [34], implies

$$
L_{s, \infty}^{(e)}\left(\mathrm{id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N}\right) \leq c\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
N^{\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{p}} & \text { if } & \frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{p}>0  \tag{64}\\
(\log N)^{1 / s} & \text { if } & \frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{p} \leq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Under the assumption $\frac{1}{r}>\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p}$ we conclude from (63) and (64) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{r, \infty}^{(e)}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \leq C 2^{j n\left(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p}\right)}, \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $C$ depends on $\gamma$, but is independent of $j$.
If $0<p_{2}<p_{1}<\infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{s, \infty}^{(e)}\left(\mathrm{id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N}\right) \leq c N^{\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{p}}, \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (63) and (66) imply for $\frac{1}{r}>\max \left(\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p}, 0\right)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{r, \infty}^{(e)}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \leq C 2^{j n\left(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p}\right)} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\xi<0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{l \leq k} l^{\beta} a_{l}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}\right) & \leq \sup _{l \leq k} l^{\beta}\left(N_{j}-l\right)^{-\xi / p_{1}} \\
& \leq \min \left(k, c_{\beta, \xi, p_{1}} N_{j}\right)^{\beta}\left(N_{j}-\min \left(k, c_{\beta, \xi, p_{1}} N_{j}\right)\right)^{-\xi / p_{1}} \\
& \leq C_{\beta, \xi, p_{1}}\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
k^{\beta}\left(N_{j}-k\right)^{-\xi / p_{1}} & \text { if } \quad k<c_{\beta, \xi, p_{1}} N_{j} \\
N_{j}^{\beta-\xi / p_{1}} & \text { if } \quad k \geq c_{\beta, \xi, p_{1}} N_{j} .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{\beta} e_{k}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}\right) \leq C_{\beta, \xi, p_{1}} N_{j}^{\beta-\xi / p_{1}} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now using (68) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{\frac{1}{r}} e_{2 k-1}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \leq C N_{j}^{\beta-\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}} k^{\frac{1}{r}-\beta} e_{k}\left(\mathrm{id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us choose first $r$ such that $\frac{1}{r}>\max \left(0, \frac{1}{p}\right)$, and now $\beta>0$ such that $\frac{1}{s}=\frac{1}{r}-\beta>\max \left(0, \frac{1}{p}\right)$. Then (68) and (64) or (66), respectively, imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{r, \infty}^{(e)}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \leq C N_{j}^{\beta-\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}}\left(N_{j}+1\right)^{\frac{1}{r}-\beta-\frac{1}{p}} \leq C 2^{j n\left(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p}\right)} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $C$ depends on $\gamma$, but is independent of $j$.
Substep 2.2. Now, for given $M \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
P:=\sum_{j=0}^{M} P_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad Q:=\sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} P_{j} . \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression $L_{r, \infty}^{(e)}(T)$ is a quasi-norm therefore there exists a number $0<\varrho \leq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{r, \infty}^{(e)}\left(\sum_{j} T_{j}\right)^{\varrho} \leq \sum_{j} L_{r, \infty}^{(e)}\left(T_{j}\right)^{\varrho} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

see König [22, 1.c.5]. Hence, (59) and (65)-(72) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{r, \infty}^{(e)}\left(P: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right)^{\varrho} & \leq \sum_{j=0}^{M} L_{r, \infty}^{(e)}\left(P_{j}: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right)^{\varrho} \leq c_{1} \sum_{j=0}^{M} 2^{j n \varrho\left(\frac{1}{r}-\left(\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p}\right)-\frac{\theta}{n}\right)} \\
& \leq c_{2} 2^{n M \varrho\left(\frac{1}{r}-\left(\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p}\right)-\frac{\theta}{n}\right)} \tag{73}
\end{align*}
$$

with a constant $c_{2}$ independent of $M$, if $\frac{1}{r} \geq \frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\theta}{n}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{2^{n M}}\left(P: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right) \leq c_{3} 2^{-n M\left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p}\right)} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

We proceed similarly to (73) and obtain

$$
L_{r, \infty}^{(e)}\left(Q: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right)^{\varrho} \leq c_{1} \sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} 2^{j n \varrho\left(\frac{1}{r}-\left(\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p}\right)-\frac{\theta}{n}\right)} \leq c_{2} 2^{n M \varrho\left(\frac{1}{r}-\left(\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p}\right)-\frac{\theta}{n}\right)}
$$

if

$$
\max \left(0, \frac{1}{p}, \frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p}\right)<\frac{1}{r}<\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\theta}{n}
$$

This is always possible in view of our assumptions. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{2^{n M}}\left(Q: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right) \leq c_{3} 2^{-n M\left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p}\right)} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summarizing we get from (74) and (75) (replacing $n M$ by $M$ ),

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{2^{M+1}}\left(\mathrm{id}: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right) & \leq e_{2^{M}}\left(P: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right)+e_{2^{M}}\left(Q: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right) \\
& \leq c 2^{-M\left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now by monotonicity of the entropy numbers the estimate from above follows.

Step 3. To estimate the entropy numbers from below we regard the diagonal operator $D_{\sigma}$ between finite-dimensional sequence spaces $\ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}$ and $\ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}$. Let an operator $Q_{j}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}\left(w_{\xi}\right) \mapsto B_{1}$ be given by

$$
\left(Q_{j} \lambda\right)_{u, l}:=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda_{l} & \text { if } u=j, \\
0 & \text { otherwise, }
\end{array} \quad u \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right.
$$

It should be clear that $\left\|Q_{j}\right\| \leq 2^{j \theta}$. The identity operator Id : $\ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}\left(w_{\xi}\right) \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}$ can be factorized by

$$
\mathrm{Id}=P_{j} \circ \mathrm{id} \circ Q_{j}
$$

where the operator $P_{j}$ is regarded as an operator acting between $B_{2}$ and $\ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}$. Since $\left\|P_{j}\right\|=1$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{k}\left(\operatorname{Id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}\left(w_{\xi}\right) \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) & \leq\left\|Q_{j}\right\|\left\|P_{j}\right\| e_{k}\left(\operatorname{id}: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right) \\
& \leq 2^{j \theta} e_{k}\left(\mathrm{id}: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right) \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

First we consider the case $\xi>0$. By the result of Gordon, König, and Schütt, see [17] or [22, p. 131], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{l \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-k /(2 l)}\left(\sigma_{0} \cdot \sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{l-1}\right)^{1 / l} & \leq e_{k+1}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}\right) \\
& \leq 6 \sup _{l \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-k /(2 l)}\left(\sigma_{0} \sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{l-1}\right)^{1 / l}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
C_{\xi, p_{1}}=\sup _{\lambda>0} e^{\xi / p_{1}} \frac{\lambda^{\xi / p_{1}}}{2^{\lambda / 2}}
$$

Stirling's formula yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{e^{\frac{11 \xi}{12 p_{1}}}}{\sqrt{2}}(\sqrt{2 \pi} \sqrt[6]{3})^{-\xi / p_{1}} k^{-\xi / p_{1}} \leq e_{k+1}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{2^{n j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{1}}^{2^{n j}}\right) \leq 6 C_{\xi, p_{1}} k^{-\xi / p_{1}} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the constant $C_{\xi, p_{1}}$ is independent of $j$ and $\gamma=N_{j} 2^{-n j}$. Now using (57) and (77) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
c k^{-\xi / p_{1}} & \leq e_{k}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}\right) \\
& \leq e_{k}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \| \text { id }: \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \| \\
& \leq C 2^{j n / p} e_{k}\left(\mathrm{Id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}\left(w_{\xi}\right) \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) . \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus (76) and (78) imply for $k=2^{n j}$,

$$
c 2^{-j n\left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p}\right)} \leq e_{2^{n j}}\left(\mathrm{id}: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right)
$$

When $\xi<0$, note that for $\tilde{\sigma}_{l}=\sigma_{l}^{-1}$ we have $\operatorname{Id}=D_{\sigma} D_{\tilde{\sigma}}$. So by (57) and (77) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{2 k}\left(\operatorname{Id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) & \leq e_{k}\left(D_{\tilde{\sigma}}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}\right) e_{k}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \\
& \leq C k^{\xi / p_{1}} e_{k}\left(\operatorname{Id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}\left(w_{\xi}\right) \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We take $k=2^{n j}$. Schütt's lower estimates of $e_{k}\left(\operatorname{Id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right), 0<p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq \infty$, and (76) imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
c 2^{-n j \frac{1}{p}} & \leq e_{2^{n j+1}}\left(\operatorname{Id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \leq c_{1} 2^{n j \frac{\xi}{p_{1}}} e_{2^{n j}}\left(\operatorname{Id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}\left(w_{\xi}\right) \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \\
& \leq c_{2} 2^{n j\left(\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{\theta}{p_{1}}\right)} e_{2^{n j}}\left(\mathrm{id}: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $0<p_{2} \leq p_{1} \leq \infty$ one should use the estimate $e_{k}\left(\operatorname{Id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \sim 2^{-\frac{k}{2 N_{j}}} N_{j}^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ and (76). This finishes the proof.

Before we present our result concerning the asymptotic behavior of entropy numbers for the compact embedding (51) with weights of type (50), we recall the following result of $[23$, Thm. $1 ; 24$, Cors. $4.15,4.16]$. Let the weight $w^{\beta}(x), \beta>0$, be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\beta}(x)=\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\beta / 2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} . \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.2. Let the parameters satisfy (26) with $\delta>0$, recall (23). Assume $\beta>0$. Then the embedding

$$
\ell_{q_{1}}\left(2^{j \delta} \ell_{p_{1}}\left(w^{\beta}\right)\right) \longleftrightarrow \ell_{q_{2}}\left(\ell_{p_{2}}\right)
$$

is compact if, and only if,

$$
\min \left(\delta, \frac{\beta}{p_{1}}\right)>\frac{n}{p^{*}}
$$

In that case one has for $\delta \neq \frac{\beta}{p_{1}}$,

$$
e_{k}\left(\ell_{q_{1}}\left(2^{j \delta} \ell_{p_{1}}\left(w^{\beta}\right)\right) \hookrightarrow \ell_{q_{2}}\left(\ell_{p_{2}}\right)\right) \sim k^{-\frac{\min \left(\delta, \beta / p_{1}\right)}{n}-\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}
$$

In case of $\delta=\frac{\beta}{p_{1}}$, one has for $\tau=\frac{s_{1}-s_{2}}{n}+\frac{1}{q_{2}}-\frac{1}{q_{1}}>0$ that

$$
e_{k}\left(\ell_{q_{1}}\left(2^{j \delta} \ell_{p_{1}}\left(w^{\beta}\right)\right) \hookrightarrow \ell_{q_{2}}\left(\ell_{p_{2}}\right)\right) \sim k^{-\frac{s_{1}-s_{2}}{n}}(1+\log k)^{\tau}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}
$$

whereas $\tau<0$ leads to

$$
e_{k}\left(\ell_{q_{1}}\left(2^{j \delta} \ell_{p_{1}}\left(w^{\beta}\right)\right) \hookrightarrow \ell_{q_{2}}\left(\ell_{p_{2}}\right)\right) \sim k^{-\frac{s_{1}-s_{2}}{n}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Remark 3.3. Note that the compactness assertion coincides with Proposition 2.6 (iii) with $\alpha=0$. Embeddings of functions spaces with weights of type (79) have been studied by many authors for several years, in particular the limiting case $\delta=\frac{\beta}{p_{1}}$ attracted a lot of attention; we do not want to report on this history here. There are two-sided estimates for the case $\delta=\beta / p_{1}, \tau=0$, in [23].

Recall that $A_{p, q}^{s}$ stands for either $B_{p, q}^{s}$ or $F_{p, q}^{s}$ if no distinction is needed.
Theorem 3.4. Let the parameters satisfy (26) and let the weight $w \in \mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ be of type (50) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\beta}{p_{1}}>\frac{n}{p *}, \quad \alpha>-n, \quad \text { and } \quad \delta>\max \left(\frac{n}{p *}, \frac{\alpha}{p_{1}}\right) \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) If $\frac{\beta}{p_{1}}<\delta$, then

$$
e_{k}\left(A_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \hookrightarrow A_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right) \sim k^{-\left(\frac{\beta}{n p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right)}
$$

(ii) If $\frac{\beta}{p_{1}}>\delta$, then

$$
e_{k}\left(A_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \hookrightarrow A_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right) \sim k^{-\frac{s_{1}-s_{2}}{n}}
$$

(iii) If $\frac{\beta}{p_{1}}=\delta$ and $\tau=\frac{s_{1}-s_{2}}{n}+\frac{1}{q_{2}}-\frac{1}{q_{1}}>0$, then

$$
e_{k}\left(B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right) \sim k^{-\frac{s_{1}-s_{2}}{n}}(1+\log k)^{\tau}
$$

(iv) If $\frac{\beta}{p_{1}}=\delta$ and $\tau<0$, then

$$
e_{k}\left(B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right) \sim k^{-\frac{s_{1}-s_{2}}{n}}
$$

Remark 3.5. It is surprising that $\alpha$, independently of its value (within the given bounds), does not influence the asymptotic behavior of entropy numbers.

Proof. First we consider the Besov spaces. It follows from Theorem 1.13 that

$$
e_{k}\left(B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right) \sim e_{k}\left(b_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{\sigma_{1}}(w) \hookrightarrow b_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{\sigma_{2}}\right)
$$

where $\sigma_{i}=s_{i}+\frac{n}{2}-\frac{n}{p_{i}}$, see the proof of Proposition 2.1. So we can deal with sequence spaces. It should be clear that it is sufficient to regard the weights $w=w_{\alpha, \beta}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{k}\left(b_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{\sigma_{1}}\left(w_{\alpha, \beta}\right) \hookrightarrow b_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{\sigma_{2}}\right) & \sim e_{k}\left(b_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{\delta}\left(w_{\alpha, \beta}\right) \hookrightarrow b_{p_{2}, q_{2}}\right) \\
& \sim e_{k}\left(\ell_{q_{1}}\left(2^{j \delta} \ell_{p_{1}}\left(\widetilde{w}_{\alpha, \beta}\right)\right) \hookrightarrow \ell_{q_{2}}\left(\ell_{p_{2}}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta=\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}=s_{1}-\frac{n}{p_{1}}-s_{2}+\frac{n}{p_{2}}$, see (23), and

$$
\widetilde{w}_{\alpha, \beta}(j, l)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } l=0 \\ \left(2^{-j} l\right)^{\alpha / n} & \text { if } 2^{-j} l<1 \\ \left(2^{-j} l\right)^{\beta / n} & \text { if } 2^{-j} l \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

We divide the identity operator

$$
\operatorname{Id}: \ell_{q_{1}}\left(2^{j \delta} \ell_{p_{1}}\left(\widetilde{w}_{\alpha, \beta}\right)\right) \longleftrightarrow \ell_{q_{2}}\left(\ell_{p_{2}}\right)
$$

into two parts

$$
\mathrm{Id}=\mathrm{Id}_{1}+\mathrm{Id}_{2},
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{Id}_{1}: \ell_{q_{1}}\left(2^{j \delta} \ell_{p_{1}}^{2^{j n}}\left(\widetilde{w}_{\alpha, \beta}\right)\right) \longleftrightarrow \ell_{q_{2}}\left(\ell_{p_{2}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Id}_{2}: \ell_{q_{1}}\left(2^{j \delta}{\left.\widetilde{\ell_{p_{1}}^{2 j n}}\left(\widetilde{w}_{\alpha, \beta}\right)\right) \longleftrightarrow \ell_{q_{2}}\left(\ell_{p_{2}}\right) . . . . . . . .}\right.
$$

Now it follows from Lemma 3.1 with $\theta=\delta-\frac{\alpha}{p_{1}}$ and $\xi=\frac{\alpha}{n}$ that

$$
e_{k}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{1}\right) \sim k^{-\left(\frac{\delta}{n}+\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right)}=k^{-\frac{s_{1}-s_{2}}{n}} .
$$

The estimate of $e_{k}\left(\mathrm{Id}_{2}\right)$ follows from the estimates for the weights $w^{\beta}(x)=$ $\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\beta / 2}$, see Proposition 3.2. The corresponding estimates for Triebel-Lizorkin spaces follow by Proposition 1.8 and the properties of entropy numbers.

We turn to the related double-weighted situation. Using the same method as above we get for entropy numbers:
Theorem 3.6. Let the parameters satisfy (28) and let the weights $w_{\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}}, w_{\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}} \in \mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ be of type (50) with

$$
\frac{\beta_{1}}{p_{1}}-\frac{\beta_{2}}{p_{2}}>\frac{n}{p^{*}} \quad \text { and } \quad \delta>\max \left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{p_{1}}-\frac{\alpha_{2}}{p_{2}}, \frac{n}{p^{*}}\right) .
$$

(i) If $\frac{\beta_{1}}{p_{1}}-\frac{\beta_{2}}{p_{2}}<\delta$, then

$$
e_{k}\left(A_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}}\right) \hookrightarrow A_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}}\right)\right) \sim k^{-\frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{n+\beta_{1}}{p_{1}}-\frac{n+\beta_{2}}{p_{2}}\right)}
$$

(ii) If $\frac{\beta_{1}}{p_{1}}-\frac{\beta_{2}}{p_{2}}>\delta$, then

$$
e_{k}\left(A_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}}\right) \hookrightarrow A_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}}\right)\right) \sim k^{-\frac{s_{1}-s_{2}}{n}}
$$

(iii) If $\frac{\beta_{1}}{p_{1}}-\frac{\beta_{2}}{p_{2}}=\delta$ and $\tau=\frac{s_{1}-s_{2}}{n}+\frac{1}{q_{2}}-\frac{1}{q_{1}}>0$, then

$$
e_{k}\left(B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}}\right)\right) \sim k^{-\frac{s_{1}-s_{2}}{n}}(1+\log k)^{\tau}
$$

(iv) If $\frac{\beta_{1}}{p_{1}}-\frac{\beta_{2}}{p_{2}}=\delta$ and $\tau<0$, then

$$
e_{k}\left(B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}}\right)\right) \sim k^{-\frac{s_{1}-s_{2}}{n}}
$$

Remark 3.7. The proof of the above theorem is the same as the proof of Theorem 3.4. In particular we use Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.2. There is nothing substantially new in this approach, but it has some interesting consequences concerning embeddings of type (49). In particular, if we take $\alpha_{2}=\beta_{2}=0$, then Theorem 3.6 coincides with Theorem 3.4. If $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\alpha$ and $\beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=\beta$ and

$$
\beta>0, \quad p_{1}<p_{2}, \quad \delta>\max (\alpha, 0)\left(\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right)
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{k}\left(B_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha, \beta}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha, \beta}\right)\right) \\
& \sim \begin{cases}k^{-\frac{n+\beta}{n}\left(\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right)}, & \beta\left(\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right)<\delta, \\
k^{-\frac{s_{1}-s_{2}}{n}}, & \beta\left(\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right)>\delta, \\
k^{-\frac{s_{1}-s_{2}}{n}}(1+\log k)^{\tau}, & \beta\left(\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right)=\delta, \quad \tau>0 \\
k^{-\frac{s_{1}-s_{2}}{n}}, & \beta\left(\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right)=\delta, \quad \tau<0,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau=\frac{s_{1}-s_{2}}{n}+\frac{1}{q_{2}}-\frac{1}{q_{1}}$ as above.

## 4. Approximation numbers of compact embeddings

Finally, we study corresponding approximation numbers. For convenience we recall the well known estimates of approximation numbers of embeddings of finitedimensional spaces, see $[7,12,30]$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $N, k \in \mathbb{N}$.
(i) If $0<p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq 2$ or $2 \leq p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq \infty$, then there is a positive constant $C$ independent of $N$ and $k$ such that

$$
a_{k}\left(\text { id }: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N}\right) \leq C \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } k \leq N \\ 0 & \text { if } k>N\end{cases}
$$

(ii) If $0<p_{1}<2<p_{2} \leq \infty,\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \neq(1, \infty)$, then there is a positive constant $C$ independent of $N$ and $k$ such that

$$
a_{k}\left(\mathrm{id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N}\right) \leq C \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } k \leq N^{2 / t} \\ N^{1 / t} k^{-1 / 2} & \text { if } N^{2 / t}<k \leq N \\ 0 & \text { if } k>N\end{cases}
$$

where $\frac{1}{t}=\max \left(\frac{1}{p_{2}}, \frac{1}{p_{1}^{\prime}}\right)$.
(iii) Let $0<p_{2}<p_{1} \leq \infty$. Then

$$
a_{k}\left(\operatorname{id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N}\right)=(N-k+1)^{1 / p_{2}-1 / p_{1}}, \quad k=1, \ldots, N
$$

Moreover, if $k \leq \frac{N}{4}$, then we have an equivalence in (i) and (ii).

## Lemma 4.2.

(i) Let $0<p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq \infty,\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \neq(1, \infty), 0<q_{1}, q_{2} \leq \infty, \theta>0$, and $\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}>-\frac{\theta}{n}$. Then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the following estimate

$$
a_{k}\left(\operatorname{id}: \ell_{q_{1}}\left(2^{j \theta} \ell_{p_{1}}^{2^{n j}}\left(w_{\xi}\right)\right) \rightarrow \ell_{q_{2}}\left(\ell_{p_{2}}^{\gamma 2^{n j}}\right)\right) \sim k^{-\varkappa}
$$

holds, where

$$
\varkappa=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}} & \text { for } \quad 0<p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq 2 \quad \text { or } \quad 2 \leq p_{1}<p_{2} \leq \infty \\
\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{t} & \text { for } & 0<p_{1}<2<p_{2} \leq \infty
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}>\frac{1}{t}, ~ 子 \frac{\xi}{n} \frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right) \frac{t}{2} \quad \text { for } \quad 0<p_{1}<2<p_{2} \leq \infty \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}<\frac{1}{t}, ~ \$
$$

and $t=\min \left(p_{2}, p_{1}^{\prime}\right)$.
(ii) Let $0<p_{2}<p_{1} \leq \infty, 0<q_{1}, q_{2} \leq \infty, \theta>0$. We assume that $\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}>-\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{1}{p^{*}}$. Then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the following estimate

$$
a_{k}\left(\mathrm{id}: \ell_{q_{1}}\left(2^{j \theta} \ell_{p_{1}}^{2^{n j}}\left(w_{\xi}\right)\right) \rightarrow \ell_{q_{2}}\left(\ell_{p_{2}}^{2^{n j}}\right)\right) \sim k^{-\left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right)}
$$

holds.
Proof. Step 1. Preparations. We put $N_{j}=\gamma 2^{n j}, 1 / p=1 / p_{1}-1 / p_{2}$, and use the notations (53), (54), and (55). Monotonicity arguments and elementary properties of the approximation numbers yield parallel to (56) and (57),

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}\left(P_{j}: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right) \leq 2^{-j \theta} a_{k}\left(\operatorname{Id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}\left(w_{\xi}\right) \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}\left(\operatorname{Id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}\left(w_{\xi}\right) \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right)=a_{k}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right), \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{\sigma}$ is again the diagonal operator defined by the sequence $\sigma_{l}=(1+l)^{-\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}}$. Using (81) and (82) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(P_{j}: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right) \leq c 2^{-j \theta} L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right), \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}(T)$ is the obvious counterpart of (58) for approximation numbers.
Step 2. We consider the estimate from above.
Substep 2.1. First we estimate $L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right)$. We prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \leq C 2^{j n\left(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)} \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $0<p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq 2$ or $2 \leq p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq \infty$. On the other hand, for $0<p_{1}<2<p_{2} \leq \infty$ and $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \neq(1, \infty)$ we show that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \leq C 2^{j n\left(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{t}-\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)} & \text { if } \frac{1}{r}>\max \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{t}\right), \\
L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \leq C 2^{j n\left(\frac{2}{r t}-\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)} & \text { if } \max \left(0, \frac{t \xi}{2 p_{1}}\right)<\frac{1}{r}<\frac{1}{2} . \tag{86}
\end{array}
$$

The last inequality holds if $\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}<\frac{1}{t}$.
Let us choose $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{n(K-1)} \leq N_{j}<2^{n K}$ and let $\Pi_{i}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}$ be a projection defined in the following way

$$
\left(\Pi_{i} \lambda\right)_{l}:= \begin{cases}\lambda_{l} & \text { if } 2^{n(i-1)} \leq l<\min \left(2^{n i}, N_{j}\right) \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for $\lambda=\left\{\lambda_{l}\right\}$, and $i=1,2, \ldots, K$. Then

$$
D_{\sigma}=\sum_{i=1}^{K} D_{\sigma} \circ \Pi_{i} .
$$

Multiplicativity of approximation numbers yields

$$
a_{k}\left(D_{\sigma} \circ \Pi_{i}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \leq C 2^{-n(i-1) \frac{\xi}{p_{1}}} a_{k}\left(\mathrm{id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{\left.2^{n(i-1}\right)} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{\left.2^{n(i-1}\right)}\right), \quad i \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Let $r$ be some real positive number. Now part (i) of Lemma 4.1 implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(D_{\sigma} \circ \Pi_{i}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \leq C 2^{n(i-1)\left(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $0<p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq 2$ or $2 \leq p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq \infty$. In a similar way part (ii) of Lemma 4.1 implies

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(D_{\sigma} \circ \Pi_{i}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \leq C 2^{n(i-1)\left(\frac{1}{t}+\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)} & \text { if } \frac{1}{2}<\frac{1}{r}, \\
L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(D_{\sigma} \circ \Pi_{i}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \leq C 2^{n(i-1)\left(\frac{2}{r t}-\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)} & \text { if } 0<\frac{1}{r}<\frac{1}{2}, \tag{89}
\end{array}
$$

for $0<p_{1}<2<p_{2} \leq \infty$.
If $0<p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq 2$ or $2 \leq p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq \infty$ we choose $\frac{1}{r}>\max \left(\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}, 0\right)$. Then the (adapted) argument of (72) and (87) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right)^{\varrho} & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{K} L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(D_{\sigma} \circ \Pi_{i}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right)^{\varrho} \\
& \leq c_{1} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} 2^{-\varrho n i\left(\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{r}\right)} \\
& \leq c_{2} 2^{\varrho n K\left(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $\varrho, 0<\varrho \leq 1$. This implies (84) in view of our choice of $K$.
Now let $0<p_{1}<2<p_{2} \leq \infty$. We choose $r$ such that $\frac{1}{r}>\frac{1}{2}$ and that $\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{2}+$ $\frac{1}{t}-\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}>0$. The formula (88) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right)^{\varrho} & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{K} L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(D_{\sigma} \circ \Pi_{i}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right)^{\varrho} \\
& \leq c_{1} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} 2^{-\varrho n i\left(\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{t}-\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \\
& \leq c_{2} 2^{\varrho n K\left(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{t}-\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The constant $c_{2}$ is independent of $K$. This implies (85). Similarly, if $\max \left(0, \frac{t \xi}{2 p_{1}}\right)<$ $\frac{1}{r}<\frac{1}{2}$, then (89) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right)^{\varrho} & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{K} L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(D_{\sigma} \circ \Pi_{i}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right)^{\varrho} \\
& \leq c_{1} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} 2^{-\varrho n i\left(\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}-\frac{2}{r t}\right)} \\
& \leq c_{2} 2^{\varrho n K\left(\frac{2}{r t}-\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the constant $c_{2}$ is independent of $K$. This implies (86).
Substep 2.2. Now let $0<p_{2}<p_{1} \leq \infty$. We prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \leq C 2^{j n\left(\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)} \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can deal with that case similar to Substep 2.1 using now part (iii) of Lemma 4.1. We obtain

$$
L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(D_{\sigma} \circ \Pi_{i}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \leq C 2^{n(i-1)\left(\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)}
$$

if $0<r<\infty$. This leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(D_{\sigma}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right)^{\varrho} & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{K} L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(D_{\sigma} \circ \Pi_{i}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right)^{\varrho} \\
& \leq c_{1} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} 2^{-\varrho n i\left(\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r}\right)} \\
& \leq c_{2} 2^{\varrho n K\left(\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\frac{1}{r}>\max \left(0, \frac{\xi}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p}\right)$. This implies (90).
Substep 2.3. First we regard the case $0<p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq 2$ or $2 \leq p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq \infty$. For given $M \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, let $P$ and $Q$ be given by (71). Then (83), (84), and (71) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(P: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right)^{\varrho} & \leq \sum_{j=0}^{M} L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(P_{j}: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right)^{\varrho} \leq c_{1} \sum_{j=0}^{M} 2^{-j n \varrho\left(\frac{\theta}{n}-\frac{1}{r}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)} \\
& \leq c_{2} 2^{-M n \varrho\left(\frac{\theta}{n}-\frac{1}{r}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)} \tag{91}
\end{align*}
$$

with a constant $c_{2}$ independent of $M$, if $\frac{1}{r}>\max \left(0, \frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{\theta}{n}\right)$. Hence for every $\theta>0$ and any $\xi$ we have

$$
a_{2^{n M}}\left(P: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right) \leq c_{3} 2^{-n M\left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)}
$$

Since $\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}>-\frac{\theta}{n}$ we can find a number $r>0$ such that $\max \left(0, \frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)<\frac{1}{r}<\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}$. In a similar way to (91) we obtain

$$
L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(Q: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right)^{\varrho} \leq c_{1} \sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} 2^{-j n \varrho\left(\frac{\theta}{n}-\frac{1}{r}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)} \leq c_{2} 2^{-M n \varrho\left(\frac{\theta}{n}-\frac{1}{r}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)}
$$

Consequently,

$$
a_{2^{n M}}\left(Q: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right) \leq c_{3} 2^{-n M\left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)}
$$

This implies the estimate from above for $0<p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq 2$ or $2 \leq p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq \infty$.
Substep 2.4. Let $0<p_{1}<2<p_{2} \leq \infty,\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \neq(1, \infty)$. Using (85) instead of (84) we get

$$
L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(P: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right)^{\varrho} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{M} L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(P_{j}: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right)^{\varrho} \leq c_{3} 2^{-M n \varrho\left(\frac{\theta}{n}-\frac{1}{r}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{t}\right)},
$$

if $\frac{1}{r}>\max \left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{t}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2^{n M}}\left(P: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right) \leq c_{3} 2^{-n M\left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{t}\right)} \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<p_{1}<2<p_{2} \leq \infty,\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \neq(1, \infty)$. In a parallel way we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(Q: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right)^{\varrho} \leq c_{4} 2^{-n M \varrho\left(\frac{\theta}{n}-\frac{1}{r}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{t}\right)} \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\max \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{t}\right)<\frac{1}{r}<\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{t}$. Now, (92) and (93) imply the estimates from above for $\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}>\frac{1}{t}$. On the other hand,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(Q: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right)^{\varrho} \leq c_{4} 2^{-n M \varrho\left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}-\frac{2}{t r}\right)} \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\max \left(0, \frac{t \xi}{2 p_{1}}\right)<\frac{1}{r}<\min \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{t}{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)\right)$ by (86).
For $\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}>-\frac{\theta}{n}$ satisfying $\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}<\frac{1}{t}$ we take $k=\left[2^{n M \frac{2}{t}}\right]$. Then $\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}<\frac{1}{t}$, and (94) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}\left(Q: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right) \leq c k^{-\frac{1}{r}} k^{-\frac{t}{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}-\frac{2}{t r}\right)} \leq c k^{-\frac{t}{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)} . \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

By standard arguments the above estimates hold for any positive integer $k$. Moreover, (92) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}\left(P: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right) \leq C k^{-\left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{t}\right)} \leq C k^{-\frac{t}{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)} \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}<\frac{1}{t}$. Now (95) and (96) give us the estimates from above in the remaining case.

Substep 2.5. Let $0<p_{2}<p_{1} \leq \infty$. Using (83) and (90) we get

$$
L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(P: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right)^{\varrho} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{M} L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}\left(P_{j}: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right)^{\varrho} \leq c_{3} 2^{-M n \varrho\left(\frac{\theta}{n}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)}
$$

if $\frac{1}{r}>\max \left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p}, 0\right)$, and consequently

$$
a_{2^{n M}}\left(P: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right) \leq c_{3} 2^{-n M\left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p}\right)} .
$$

In a similar way one obtains

$$
L_{r, \infty}^{(a)}(Q)^{\varrho} \leq c_{4} 2^{-n M \varrho\left(\frac{\theta}{n}-\frac{1}{r}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p}\right)} \quad \text { if } \quad \max \left(0, \frac{\xi}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p}\right)<\frac{1}{r}<\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p} .
$$

Consequently

$$
a_{k}\left(Q: B_{1} \mapsto B_{2}\right) \leq c k^{-\left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p}\right)}
$$

This finishes the proof of the estimates from above.
Step 3. We estimate the approximation numbers from below. We can regard the following commutative diagram

where the operator $R: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{q_{1}}\left(2^{j \theta} \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}\left(w_{\xi}\right)\right)$ is given by

$$
(R \lambda)_{u, i}:=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda_{i} & \text { if } u=j, \\
0 & \text { otherwise, }
\end{array} \quad \text { with } \quad u \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N_{j}\right.
$$

and $P$ is a projection. Since $\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}>-\frac{\theta}{n}$, we have $\|R\| \leq c 2^{j n\left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)}$. Moreover, it should be clear that $\|P\|=1$. So, by Lemma 4.1:
(i) If $0<p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq 2$ or $2 \leq p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq \infty$, then taking $k=\gamma 2^{n j-2}$ we get

$$
1 \leq C a_{k}\left(\operatorname{Id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \leq C 2^{n j\left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)} a_{k}\left(\operatorname{id}: \ell_{q_{1}}\left(2^{j \theta} \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}\left(w_{\xi}\right)\right) \mapsto \ell_{q_{2}}\left(\ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right)\right) .
$$

(ii) If $0<p_{1}<2<p_{2} \leq \infty$ and $\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}>\frac{1}{t}$, then taking once more $k=\gamma 2^{n j-2}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{-j\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{t}\right)} & \leq C a_{k}\left(\operatorname{Id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \\
& \leq C 2^{j n\left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)} a_{k}\left(\operatorname{id}: \ell_{q_{1}}\left(2^{j \theta} \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}\left(w_{\xi}\right)\right) \mapsto \ell_{q_{2}}\left(\ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) If $0<p_{1}<2<p_{2} \leq \infty$ and $\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}<\frac{1}{t}$, then we take $k=\left[2^{n j \frac{2}{t}}\right]$. So,

$$
1 \leq C a_{k}\left(\operatorname{Id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \leq C 2^{j n\left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)} a_{k}\left(\operatorname{id}: \ell_{q_{1}}\left(2^{j \theta} \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}\left(w_{\xi}\right)\right) \mapsto \ell_{q_{2}}\left(\ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right)\right) .
$$

(iv) If $0<p_{2}<p_{1} \leq \infty$, then we take $k=\frac{N_{j}}{2}$ and get

$$
N_{j}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C a_{k}\left(\operatorname{Id}: \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}} \mapsto \ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right) \leq C 2^{j n\left(\frac{\theta}{n}+\frac{\xi}{p_{1}}\right)} a_{k}\left(\mathrm{id}: \ell_{q_{1}}\left(2^{j \theta} \ell_{p_{1}}^{N_{j}}\left(w_{\xi}\right)\right) \mapsto \ell_{q_{2}}\left(\ell_{p_{2}}^{N_{j}}\right)\right)
$$

This finishes the proof.
Theorem 4.3. Let the parameters satisfy (26) and let the weight $w \in \mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ be of type (50) with (80). We assume that $\frac{\beta}{p_{1}} \neq \delta$. Then

$$
a_{k}\left(A_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha, \beta}\right) \hookrightarrow A_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right) \sim k^{-\varkappa}
$$

where
(i) $\varkappa=\frac{\min \left(\delta, \beta / p_{1}\right)}{n}$ if $0<p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq 2$ or $2 \leq p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq \infty$,
(ii) $\varkappa=\frac{\min \left(\delta, \beta / p_{1}\right)}{n}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}-\frac{1}{p_{1}}$ if $p_{1}\left(\frac{\beta}{n}+1\right)^{-1}<p_{2}<p_{1}<\infty$,
(iii) $\varkappa=\frac{\min \left(\delta, \beta / p_{1}\right)}{n}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\min \left(p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}\right)}$ if $0<p_{1}<2<p_{2} \leq \infty,\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \neq(1, \infty)$ and $\min \left(\frac{\beta}{p_{1}}, \delta\right)>\frac{n}{\min \left(p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}\right)}$,
(iv) $\varkappa=\frac{\min \left(\delta, \beta / p_{1}\right)}{n} \cdot \frac{\min \left(p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}\right)}{2}$ if $0<p_{1}<2<p_{2} \leq \infty,\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \neq(1, \infty)$ and $\min \left(\frac{\beta}{p_{1}}, \delta\right)<\frac{n}{\min \left(p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}\right)}$.
Remark 4.4. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.4. The approximation numbers in double weighted situation can be treated in the same way as in Theorem 3.6 related to entropy numbers.
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