### **SUPPORTING ONLINE MATERIAL**

# ASSESSING TEMPORARY CARBON SEQUESTRATION AND STORAGE PROJECTS THROUGH LAND-USE, LAND-USE CHANGE AND FORESTRY: COMPARISON OF DYNAMIC LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT WITH TON-YEAR APPROACHES

Annie Levasseur\*<sup>1</sup>, Pascal Lesage<sup>1</sup>, Manuele Margni<sup>1</sup>, Miguel Brandão<sup>2</sup>, Réjean Samson<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> CIRAIG, Department of Chemical Engineering, École Polytechnique de Montréal, P.O. Box 6079, succ. Centre-ville, Montréal, Québec, H3C 3A7, Canada

Phone: 1-514-340-4711 #4794

Fax: 1-514-340-5913

<sup>2</sup> Sustainability Assessment Unit, Institute for Environment and Sustainability, Joint Research Centre, European Commission, Ispra (VA), Italy

Phone: +39 0332 785 969 Fax: +39 0332 786 645

E-mail: annie.levasseur@polymtl.ca

# Details of the "fire multi gas scenario"

For the "fire multi gas scenario", the assumptions of the "fire scenario" were adopted, i.e. 74% of the sequestered carbon is released the year following the end of the project. However, the carbon emissions are now assumed to be CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>. Amiro et al. (2009) have studied the GHG emissions coming from Canadian boreal forest fires. They used emission factors from the database developed by Andreae and Merlet (2001) that express the mass of different gases emitted per unit mass of dry fuel.

For this study, the amount of carbon released by the fire is divided between CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions proportionally to their respective emission factor (see Equations 1 and 2).

$$\frac{E_{CO_2}}{E_{CH_4}} = \frac{\varepsilon_{CO_2}}{\varepsilon_{CH_4}} = \frac{1,569 \text{ g/kg fuel}}{4.7 \text{ g/kg fuel}}$$
(1)

$$E_{CO_2} \times 0.2727 \frac{kg C}{kg CO_2} + E_{CH_4} \times 0.75 \frac{kg C}{kg CH_4} = E_c$$
 (2)

where E is the total weight emission (kg) and  $\varepsilon$  is the emission factor (g/kg<sub>fuel</sub>). A dynamic LCI is computed for CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> and the time-dependent potential impact on global warming  $GWI_{inst}(t)$  is determined for each gas (see Equation 3) and added together to give the total impact.

$$GWI_{inst}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{t} g(i) \times DCF(t-i)$$
 (3)

### Details of the "landfill scenario"

The "landfill scenario" is the same than the "exploitation scenario", except that the carbon released by the burning of the wooden residues at t=70 years is divided between CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>, as shown in the previous section, and the building materials are landfilled at t=145 years. Wood in landfills is poorly degraded because of the presence of lignin, and the maximum weight proportion of carbon in wood converted respectively into CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> is 1.3 % and 1.9% (Micales and Skog 1997). Sich and Barlaz (2000) propose an equation from a study by SWANA (see Equation 4) to determine the time-dependent emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> coming from landfills for different types of waste. This equation is used to get a dynamic inventory of the end-of-life of the building materials, which is added to "landfill scenario".

$$G_{time} = \left[ \left( -\left(k+s\right)e^{-k\left(time-lag\right)} + k\left(e^{-(k+s)\left(time-lag\right)}\right) + s\right) \times \frac{L_o}{s} \right]$$
(4)

 $G_{time}$  [g/g] is the total landfill gas (CO<sub>2</sub> or CH<sub>4</sub>) per mass of wood generated over a given time horizon *time*, k [years<sup>-1</sup>] is the first order decay rate constant, s [years<sup>-1</sup>] is the first order rise phase constant, lag [years] is the time between placement and start of gas generation and  $L_o$  [g/g] is the maximum landfill gas yield per unit mass of waste. Table 1 presents the values used for the different parameters in Equation 4.

Table 1 Values of the parameters used in Equation 4

Parameter	Value	Reference
k	0.03 years <sup>-1</sup>	
S	1 years <sup>-1</sup>	Sich and Barlaz 2000
lag	1 year	
$L_{o}\left( \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$	0.0243 g/g	Micales and Skog 1997
L <sub>o</sub> (CH <sub>4</sub> )	0.0129 g/g	

## References

Amiro BD, Cantin A, Flannigan MD, de Groot WJ (2009) Future emissions from Canadian boreal forest fires. Can J Forest Res 39:383-395.

Andreae MO, Merlet P (2001) Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning. Global Biogeochem Cycles 15:955-966.

Micales JA, Skog KE (1997) The decomposition of forest products in landfills. Int Biodeter Biodegr 39:145-158.

Sich B, Barlaz M (2000) Process model documentation: calculation of the cost and life-cycle inventory for waste disposal in traditional, bioreactor, and ash landfills. North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina, USA.