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Executive Summary

The JRC as Community Reference Laboratory for GM Food and Feed (CRL-GMFF), established by Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003, in collaboration with the European Network of GMO Laboratories (ENGL), has carried out a collaborative study to assess the performance of a quantitative event-specific method to detect and quantify the MON 15985 transformation event in cotton DNA (unique identifier MON-15985-7). The collaborative trial was conducted according to internationally accepted guidelines (1, 2).

In accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of 22 September 2003 on genetically modified food and feed and with Regulation (EC) No 641/2004 of 6 April 2004 on detailed rules for the implementation of Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003, Monsanto provided the detection method and the samples (cotton containing the transformation event MON 15985 and conventional cotton seeds). The JRC prepared the validation samples (calibration samples and blind samples at unknown GM percentage [DNA/DNA]). The collaborative trial involved twelve laboratories from nine European countries.

The results of the international collaborative trial met the ENGL performance requirements. The method is therefore considered applicable to the control samples provided, in accordance with the requirements of Annex I-2.C.2 to Commission Regulation (EC) No 641/2004.

The results of the collaborative study are made publicly available at http://gmo-crl.jrc.it/.
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Report on Steps 1-3 of the Validation Process

Monsanto submitted the detection method and control samples for cotton event MON 15985 (unique identifier MON-15985-7) under Article 8 and 20 of Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council “on genetically modified food and feed”.

The Community Reference Laboratory for GM Food and Feed (CRL-GMFF), following reception of the documentation and material, including control samples, (step 1 of the validation process) carried out the scientific assessment of documentation and data (step 2) in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) No 641/2004 “on detailed rules for the implementation of Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the application for the authorisation of new genetically modified food and feed, the notification of existing products and adventitious or technically unavoidable presence of genetically modified material which has benefited from a favourable risk evaluation” and according to its operational procedures (“Description of the CRL-GMFF Validation Process”, http://gmo-crl.jrc.it/guidancedocs.htm).

The scientific assessment focused on the method performance characteristics assessed against the method acceptance criteria set out by the European Network of GMO Laboratories and listed in the “Definition of Minimum Performance Requirements for Analytical Methods of GMO Testing” (http://gmo-crl.jrc.it/guidancedocs.htm) (see Annex 1 for a summary of method acceptance criteria and method performance requirements). During step 2, five scientific assessments were performed and requests of complementary information addressed to the applicant. Upon reception of complementary information, the scientific evaluation of the detection method for event MON 15985 was positively concluded in May 2006.

Between February and April 2006, the CRL-GMFF verified experimentally the method characteristics (step 3, experimental testing of samples and methods) by quantifying five blind GM-levels within the range 0.1%-6% on a copy number basis. The experiments were performed in repeatability conditions and demonstrated that the PCR efficiency, linearity, accuracy and precision of the quantifications were within the limits established by the ENGL.

A Technical Report summarising the results of tests carried out by the CRL-GMFF (step 3) is available on request.
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1. Introduction

Monsanto submitted the detection method and control samples for cotton event MON 15985 (unique identifier MON-15985-7) under Article 8 and 20 of Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council “on genetically modified food and feed”.

The Joint Research Centre (JRC, Biotechnology and GMOs Unit of the Institute for Health and Consumer Protection) as Community Reference Laboratory for GM Food and Feed (see Regulation EC No 1829/2003) organised the international collaborative study for the event-specific method for the detection and quantification of MON 15985 cotton. The study involved twelve laboratories, all members of the European Network of GMO Laboratories (ENGL).

Upon reception of method, samples and related data (step 1), the JRC carried out the assessment of the documentation (step 2) and the in-house evaluation of the method (step 3) according to the requirements of Regulation (EC) No 641/2004 and following its operational procedures.

The internal in-house experimental evaluation of the method was carried out between February and April 2006.

Following the evaluation of the data and the results of the in-house laboratory tests, the international collaborative study was organised (step 4) and took place in June-July 2006.

A method for DNA extraction from cotton seeds, submitted by the applicant, was evaluated by the CRL-GMFF; laboratory testing of the method was carried out in order to confirm its performance characteristics. The protocol for DNA extraction and a report on method testing is available at http://gmo-crl.jrc.it/.

The operational procedure of the collaborative study included the following module:

- Quantitative real-time PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction). The methodology is an event-specific real-time quantitative TaqMan® PCR procedure for the determination of the relative content of event MON 15985 DNA to total cotton DNA. The procedure is a simplex system, in which a cotton acp1 (Acyl carrier protein) endogenous assay (reference gene) and the target assay (MON 15985) are performed in separate wells.

The international collaborative study was carried out in accordance with the following internationally accepted guidelines:

- The IUPAC “Protocol for the design, conduct and interpretation of method-performance studies” (Horwitz, 1995).
2. **List of participating laboratories**

As part of the international collaborative study the method was tested in twelve ENGL laboratories to determine its performance. Clear guidance was given to the laboratories with regards to the standard operational procedures to follow for the execution of the protocol. The participating laboratories are listed in alphabetical order in Table 1.

Table 1. Laboratories participating in the validation of the detection method for cotton line MON 15985.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laboratory</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bavarian Health and Food Safety Authority</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crop Research Institute - Reference Laboratory for GMO Detection and DNA</td>
<td>Czech Rep.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institute of Chemical Technology Prague</td>
<td>Czech Rep.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGC Limited</td>
<td>UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Centre for Food, Spanish Food Safety Agency</td>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Institute of Engineer (INETI)</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIKILT Institute of Food Safety</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific Institute of Public Health (IPH)</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Commun des Laboratoires du MINEFI - Laboratoire de Strasbourg</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Food and Consumer Product Safety Authority</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterinary Public Health Institute for Lazio and Toscana Regions; National Reference Laboratory</td>
<td>Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walloon Agricultural Research Centre (CRA-W) - Department Quality of Agricultural Products</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. **Materials**

For the validation of the quantitative event-specific method, genomic DNA was extracted from samples consisting of:

1. seeds of cotton harbouring the MON 15985 event (Line Bollgard II, lot number GLP-0304-13873-S) and;
2. seeds of conventional cotton (Line DP5415, lot number GLP-0403-14754-S)

Samples were provided by the applicant in accordance to the provisions of Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003, Art 2.11 ["control sample defined as the GMO or its genetic material (positive sample) and the parental organism or its genetic material that has been used for the purpose of the genetic modification (negative sample)].

Samples containing mixtures of 100% MON 15985 cotton and non-GM cotton genomic DNA at different GMO concentrations were prepared by the CRL-GMFF, using the control samples provided, in a constant amount of total cotton DNA.

Participants received the following materials:

- Five calibration samples (160 µL of DNA solution each) labelled from S1 to S5.
- Twenty ‘unknown’ DNA samples (80 µL of DNA solution each), labelled from U1 to U20.
- Amplification reagent control was used on each PCR plate.
- Reaction reagents as follows:
  - Universal PCR Master Mix, three bottles: 5 mL each
  - Distilled sterile water, one tube: 12.2 mL
- Primers and probes (1 tube each) as follows:
  - **acp1 reference system**
    - acp1 primer forward (10 µM): 240 µL
    - acp1 primer reverse (10 µM): 240 µL
    - acp1 Taqman® probe (5 µM): 160 µL
  - **MON 15985 system**
    - MON 15985 primer forward (10 µM): 240 µL
    - MON 15985 primer reverse (10 µM): 240 µL
    - MON 15985 Taqman® probe (5 µM): 160 µL

4. **Experimental design**

Twenty unknown samples (labelled from U1 to U20), representing five GM levels, were used in the validation study (Table 2). On each PCR plate, the samples were analysed for the MON 15985 specific system and for the acp1 specific system. In total, two plates were run per participating laboratory and four replicates for each GM level were analysed. PCR analysis was performed in triplicate for all samples. Participating laboratories carried out the
determination of the GM% according to the instructions provided in the protocol and using the electronic tool provided (Excel spreadsheet).

Table 2. MON 15985 GM contents

| MON 15985 GM% (GM copy number/cotton genome copy number x 100) |
|------------------|-------------------|
| 0.1              |                   |
| 0.4              |                   |
| 0.9              |                   |
| 2.5              |                   |
| 6.0              |                   |

5. Method

Description of operational steps followed

For the specific detection of event MON 15985 DNA, an 82-bp fragment of the integration region of the construct inserted into the plant genome (3’ insert-to-plant junction) is amplified using two specific primers. PCR products are measured at each cycle (real-time) by means of a target-specific oligonucleotide probe labelled with FAM dye and TAMRA as quencher dye.

For the relative quantification of event MON 15985 DNA, a cotton-specific reference system amplifies a 76-bp fragment of the cotton endogenous gene acp1 (acyl carrier protein), using two acp1 gene-specific primers and an acp1 gene-specific probe labelled with FAM and TAMRA.

Standard curves are generated for both the MON 15985 and the acp1 specific systems by plotting the Ct values measured for the calibration points against the logarithm of the DNA copy numbers and by fitting a regression line into these data. Thereafter, the standard curves are used to estimate the copy numbers in the ‘unknown’ sample DNA by interpolation from the standard curves.

For relative quantification of event MON 15985 DNA in a test sample, the MON 15985 copy number is divided by the copy number of the cotton reference gene (acp1) and multiplied by 100 to obtain the percentage value (GM% = MON15985 / acp1 x 100).

Calibration sample S1 was prepared by mixing the appropriate amount of MON 15985 DNA in control non-GM cotton DNA to obtain a 10% GM MON 15985 in a total of 200 ng cotton DNA. Samples S2 was prepared by two-fold dilution from the S1 sample; sample S3 was prepared by five-fold dilution from S2 sample; sample S4 was prepared by three-fold dilution of sample S3 and sample S5 was prepared by four-fold dilution from the S4 sample.

The absolute copy numbers in the calibration curve samples are determined by dividing the sample DNA weight (nanograms) by the published average 1C value for cotton genome (2.33 pg) (3). The copy number values used in the quantification, the GM contents of the calibration
samples and total DNA quantity used in PCR are provided in Table 3 (% GM calculated considering the 1C value for cotton genome as 2.33 pg) (3).

Table 3. % GM values of the standard curve samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample code</th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>S2</th>
<th>S3</th>
<th>S4</th>
<th>S5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total amount of DNA in reaction</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotton genome copies</td>
<td>85830</td>
<td>42910</td>
<td>8580</td>
<td>2860</td>
<td>710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MON 15985 cotton copies</td>
<td>8583</td>
<td>4291</td>
<td>858</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. **Deviations reported**

Six laboratories reported no deviations from the protocol.

One laboratory received thawed samples and reagents due to improper storage and late delivery of the samples by the courier. This occurrence was considered as a major violation of the validation protocol and as such the data from this laboratory were not included in the study.

One laboratory swapped the U10 and C0 samples in one plate with no consequences since sample label was correctly attributed in subsequent analysis.

One laboratory performed two sets of runs (total of four runs instead of two requested) with two different operators. One set of runs was randomly selected for data analysis.

Four laboratories considered the S5 calibration sample as outlier.

Overall, in the vast majority of the data reported by laboratories, the S5 calibration sample did display an unexpected behaviour (higher than expected Ct figures) with clear deviation from the linearity represented by the regression line fitting the calibration points from S1 to S4. As a consequence, the standard curves including the S5 calibration samples resulted in extremely low reaction efficiencies with severely biased estimation of GM-content in the ‘unknown’ samples. In particular, the outcome of the ring-trial indicated that an apparent marked decrease of total DNA content occurred in the S5 sample. Presence of DNase activity cannot be excluded as a carry-over from the DNA purification procedures [that might affect to a greater extent samples at low concentration], despite careful execution of the submitted DNA extraction protocol. Tests previously performed during the step 3 of the CRL-GMFF validation process (experimental testing of samples and method) had shown a good performance of the method with standard curve parameters meeting the ENGL requirements and no aberrant behaviour on the same calibration sample S5. Therefore, the CRL-GMFF took the decision to eliminate the S5 calibration sample from the standard curves of both the GM and the cotton-specific reference systems from the data of all participating laboratories and to perform
accordingly the data analysis whose outcome is described in the present validation report. Therefore, the estimation of accuracy (trueness and precision) of the sample at lowest GM concentration (0.1%) has been performed by extrapolation rather than interpolation due to Ct values falling outside those of the accepted standard curves (S1-S4).

7. Summary of results

**PCR efficiency and linearity**

The values of the slopes [from which the PCR efficiency is calculated using the formula \((10^{(-\frac{1}{slope})}-1) \times 100\)] of the reference curve and of the \(R^2\) (expressing the linearity of the regression) reported by participating laboratories for the MON 15985 system and the acp1 reference system are summarised in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Plate</th>
<th>MON 15985 Slope</th>
<th>PCR Efficiency (%)</th>
<th>(R^2)</th>
<th>acp1 Slope</th>
<th>PCR Efficiency (%)</th>
<th>(R^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>-3.02</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-3.20</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-3.02</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-3.21</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>-3.00</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.21</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-3.03</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.24</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>-3.28</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-3.48</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-3.03</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.22</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>-4.09</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>-4.19</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-3.22</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>-3.31</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>-3.53</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-3.40</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-4.05</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.32</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>-3.17</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-3.22</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-3.15</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.21</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>-2.31</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>-2.77</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-2.43</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>-2.61</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>-3.17</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.37</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-3.14</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.41</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>-3.31</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-3.29</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-3.20</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-3.23</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>-3.48</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-3.45</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-3.27</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-3.43</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>-3.01</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.36</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-3.08</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.29</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: **-3.20** 110 0.99 **-3.30** 103 0.98
The mean PCR efficiency was 110% for the MON 15985 and 103% for the acp1 system, with both values within the ENGL acceptance criteria. The linearity of the method was 0.99 for the MON 15985 system and 0.98 for the cotton-specific reference system, therefore within the acceptance limits. Data reported confirm the appropriate performance characteristics of the method tested in terms of efficiency and linearity.

**GMO quantification**

Table 5 shows the mean values of the four replicates for each GM level as provided by all laboratories. Each mean value is the average of three PCR repetitions.

Table 5. GM% mean values determined by laboratories for unknown samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAB</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.4</th>
<th>0.9</th>
<th>2.5</th>
<th>6.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Figure 1 the relative deviation from the true value for each GM level tested is shown for each laboratory. The coloured bars represent the relative GM quantification obtained by the participating laboratories as well as the mean value (represented by the green bar).

As observed in Figure 1, relative deviations from the true values are mainly negative for GM levels of 0.1% and 0.4%, meaning that the GM content tends to be underestimated at these GM levels. One laboratory showed very large relative deviations from the true values at 0.1% and 0.4% GM levels.

The bias generated by all laboratories at the 0.9% and higher GM levels is randomly distributed and of values below 20%.

Overall, the average relative deviation is within the acceptance criterion at all GM levels tested, indicating a satisfactory trueness of the method.
Figure 1. Relative deviation (%) from the true value of MON 15985 for all laboratories

8. Method performance requirements

Among the performance criteria established by ENGL and adopted by the CRL-GMFF (http://gmo-crl.jrc.it/guidancedocs.htm, see also Annex 1), repeatability and reproducibility are assessed through an international collaborative trial, carried out with the support of ENGL laboratories (see Table 1).

Table 6 illustrates the estimation of repeatability and reproducibility at various GM levels, according to the range of GM percentages tested during the collaborative trial.

The relative reproducibility standard deviation (RSDᵢ), that describes the inter-laboratory variation, should be below 33% at the target concentration and over the majority of the dynamic range, while it should be below 50% at the lower end of the dynamic range.

As it can be observed in Table 6, the method satisfies this requirement at all GM levels tested. In fact, the highest values of RSDᵢ (%) are 42% at the 0.1% level and 33% at 0.4%, thus within the acceptance criterion.

Table 6 further documents the relative repeatability standard deviation (RSDᵣ), as estimated for each GM level. In order to accept methods for collaborative study evaluation, the CRL requires that RSD values be below 25%, as indicated by ENGL (Definition of Minimum Performance Requirements for Analytical Methods of GMO Testing” (http://gmo-crl.jrc.it/guidancedocs.htm).
Table 6. MON 15985: summary of validation results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘unknown’ sample GM%</th>
<th>Expected value (GMO %)</th>
<th>0.1*</th>
<th>0.4</th>
<th>0.9</th>
<th>2.5</th>
<th>6.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laboratories having returned valid results</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samples per laboratory</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of outliers</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for exclusion</td>
<td>2C</td>
<td>2C</td>
<td>1G</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean value</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative repeatability standard deviation, RSD. (%)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeatability standard deviation</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative reproducibility standard deviation, RSDR (%)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproducibility standard deviation</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bias (absolute value)</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bias (%)</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>-7.2</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C = Cochran’s test; G = Grubbs’ test; identification and removal of outliers through Cochran and Grubbs tests, according to ISO 5725-2.
Bias is estimated according to ISO 5725 data analysis protocol.
* Extrapolation from S1-S4 standard curves.

As it can be observed from the values reported in Table 6, the method satisfies this requirement, with the minor deviation of a relative repeatability standard deviation slightly of 26% at the 2.5% GM level.

The trueness of the method is estimated using the measures of the method bias for each GM level. According to ENGL method performance requirements, trueness should be ± 25% across the entire dynamic range. In this case the method fully satisfies this requirement across the entire dynamic range tested; in fact, the highest deviation from true value (bias %) is -21% at the 0.1% level, thus within the acceptance criterion.
9. Conclusions

The overall method performance has been evaluated with respect to the method acceptance criteria and method performance requirements recommended by the ENGL (as detailed under http://gmo-crl.jrc.it/guidancedocs.htm). The method acceptance criteria were reported by the applicant and used to evaluate the method prior to the international collaborative study (see Annex 1 for a summary of method acceptance criteria and method performance requirements).

The results obtained during the collaborative study, indicate that the analytical module of the method submitted by the applicant complies with ENGL performance criteria.

Therefore, the method is considered applicable to the control samples provided (see paragraph 3 “Materials”), in accordance with the requirements of Annex I-2.C.2 to Commission Regulation (EC) No 641/2004.

10. Quality assurance

The CRL-GMFF carries out all operations according to ISO 9001:2000 (certificate number: CH-32232) and ISO 17025:2005 (certificate number: DAC-PL-0459-06-00) [DNA extraction, qualitative and quantitative PCR in the area of Biology (DNA extraction and PCR method validation for the detection and identification of GMOs in food and feed materials)]

11. References

12. Annex 1: method acceptance criteria and method performance requirements as set by the European Network of GMO Laboratories (ENGL)

Method Acceptance Criteria should be fulfilled at the moment of submission of a method (Phase 1: acceptance for the collaborative study).

Method Performance Requirements should be fulfilled in a collaborative study in order to consider the method as fit for its purpose (Phase 2: evaluation of the collaborative study results).

Method Acceptance Criteria

Applicability

Definition: the description of analytes, matrices, and concentrations to which a method can be applied.

Acceptance Criterion: the applicability statement should provide information on the scope of the method and include data for the indices listed below for the product/s for which the application is submitted. The description should also include warnings to known interferences by other analytes, or inapplicability to certain matrices and situations.

Practicability

Definition: the ease of operations, the feasibility and efficiency of implementation, the associated unitary costs (e.g. Euro/sample) of the method.

Acceptance Criterion: the practicability statement should provide indication on the required equipment for the application of the method with regards to the analysis per se and the sample preparation. An indication of costs, timing, practical difficulties and any other factor that could be of importance for the operators should be indicated.

Specificity

Definition: property of a method to respond exclusively to the characteristic or analyte of interest.

Acceptance Criterion: the method should be event-specific and be functional only with the GMO or GM based product for which it was developed. This should be demonstrated by empirical results from testing the method with non-target transgenic events and non-transgenic material. This testing should include closely related events and cases where the limit of the detection is tested.

Dynamic Range

Definition: the range of concentrations over which the method performs in a linear manner with an acceptable level of accuracy and precision.

Acceptance Criterion: the dynamic range of the method should include the 1/10 and at least 5 times the target concentration. Target concentration is intended as the threshold relevant for legislative
requirements. The acceptable level of accuracy and precision are described below. The range of the standard curve(s) should allow testing of blind samples throughout the entire dynamic range, including the lower (10%) and upper (500%) end.

**Accuracy**

Definition: the closeness of agreement between a test result and the accepted reference value.

Acceptance Criterion: the accuracy should be within $\pm 25\%$ of the accepted reference value over the whole dynamic range.

**Amplification Efficiency**

Definition: the rate of amplification that leads to a theoretical slope of $-3.32$ with an efficiency of 100% in each cycle. The efficiency of the reaction can be calculated by the following equation: $\text{Efficiency} = \left[10^{\frac{1}{\text{slope}}}ight] - 1$

Acceptance Criterion: the average value of the slope of the standard curve should be in the range of $(-3.1 \geq \text{slope} \geq -3.6)$

**$R^2$ Coefficient**

Definition: the $R^2$ coefficient is the correlation coefficient of a standard curve obtained by linear regression analysis.

Acceptance Criterion: the average value of $R^2$ should be $\geq 0.98$.

**Repeatability Standard Deviation ($RSD_r$)**

Definition: the standard deviation of test results obtained under repeatability conditions. Repeatability conditions are conditions where test results are obtained with the same method, on identical test items, in the same laboratory, by the same operator, using the same equipment within short intervals of time.

Acceptance Criterion: the relative repeatability standard deviation should be below 25% over the whole dynamic range of the method.

*Note:* estimates of repeatability submitted by the applicant should be obtained on a sufficient number of test results, at least 15, as indicated in ISO 5725-3 (1994).

**Limit of Quantitation (LOQ)**

Definition: the limit of quantitation is the lowest amount or concentration of analyte in a sample that can be reliably quantified with an acceptable level of precision and accuracy.

Acceptance Criterion: LOQ should be less than $1/10^{th}$ of the value of the target concentration with an $RSD_r \leq 25\%$. Target concentration should be intended as the threshold relevant for legislative requirements. The acceptable level of accuracy and precision are described below.

**Limit of Detection (LOD)**

Definition: the limit of detection is the lowest amount or concentration of analyte in a sample, which can be reliably detected, but not necessarily quantified, as demonstrated by single laboratory validation.
Acceptance Criterion: LOD should be less than $1/20^{th}$ of the target concentration. Experimentally, quantitative methods should detect the presence of the analyte at least 95% of the time at the LOD, ensuring $\leq 5\%$ false negative results. Target concentration should be intended as the threshold relevant for legislative requirements.

**Robustness**

Definition: the robustness of a method is a measure of its capacity to remain unaffected by small, but deliberate deviations from the experimental conditions described in the procedure.

Acceptance Criterion: the response of an assay with respect to these small variations should not deviate more than $\pm 30\%$. Examples of factors that a robustness test could address are: use of different instrument type, operator, brand of reagents, concentration of reagents, and temperature of reaction.

**Method Performance Requirements**

**Dynamic Range**

Definition: in the collaborative trial the dynamic range is the range of concentrations over which the reproducibility and the trueness of the method are evaluated with respect to the requirements specified below.

Acceptance Criterion: the dynamic range of the method should include the 1/10 and at least five times the target concentration. Target concentration should be intended as the threshold relevant for legislative requirements.

**Reproducibility Standard Deviation ($RSD_r$)**

Definition: the standard deviation of test results obtained under reproducibility conditions. Reproducibility conditions are conditions where test results are obtained with the same method, on identical test items, in different laboratories, with different operators, using different equipment. Reproducibility standard deviation describes the inter-laboratory variation.

Acceptance Criterion: the relative reproducibility standard deviation should be below 35% at the target concentration and over the entire dynamic range. An $RSD_r < 50\%$ is acceptable for concentrations below 0.2%.

**Trueness**

Definition: the closeness of agreement between the average value obtained from a large series of test results and an accepted reference value. The measure of trueness is usually expressed in terms of bias.

Acceptance Criterion: the trueness should be within $\pm 25\%$ of the accepted reference value over the whole dynamic range.
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1. General information and summary of the methodology

This protocol describes an event-specific real-time quantitative TaqMan® PCR procedure for the determination of the relative content of cotton event MON 15985 DNA to total cotton DNA in a sample.

The PCR assay was optimised for use in real-time PCR instruments for plastic reaction vessels.

Template DNA extracted by means of suitable methods should be tested for quality and quantity prior to use in PCR assay. Tests for the presence of PCR inhibitors (e.g. monitor run of diluted series, use of DNA spikes) are recommended.

For the specific detection of cotton event MON 15985 DNA, an 82-bp fragment of the integration region of the construct inserted into the plant genome (located at the 3’ plant DNA region) is amplified using two specific primers. PCR products are measured during each cycle (real-time) by means of a target-specific oligonucleotide probe labelled with the fluorescent dye FAM as a reporter at its 5’ end and with the non-fluorescent quencher TAMRA at its 3’ end.

For the relative quantification of cotton event MON 15985 DNA, a cotton-specific reference system amplifies a 76-bp fragment of the cotton endogenous acyl carrier protein gene (acp1), using two specific primers and an acp1 gene-specific probe labelled with FAM and TAMRA as described above.

The measured fluorescence signal passes a threshold value after a certain number of cycles. This threshold cycle is called the “Ct” value. For quantification of the amount of event MON 15985 DNA in a test sample, cotton MON 15985 and acp1 Ct values are determined for the sample. Standard curves are then used to estimate the relative amount of cotton event MON 15985 DNA to total cotton DNA.

2. Validation status and performance characteristics

2.1 General

The method was optimised for suitable DNA extracted from cotton seeds containing mixtures of genetically modified and conventional cotton.

The reproducibility and trueness of the method were tested through an international collaborative ring trial using DNA samples at different GMO contents.
2.2 Collaborative trial

The method was validated in a collaborative study by the Joint Research Centre (JRC) of the European Commission. The study was undertaken with twelve participating laboratories in June-July 2006.

Each participant received twenty blind samples containing cotton MON 15985 genomic DNA at five GM contents, ranging from 0.10% to 6.0%.

Each test sample was analysed by PCR in three repetitions. The study was designed as a blind quadruplicate collaborative trial; each laboratory received each level of GM MON 15985 in four unknown samples. Four replicates of each GM level were analysed on the same PCR plate.

A detailed validation report can be found at http://gmo-crl.jrc.it/statusofdoss.htm

2.3 Limit of detection (LOD)

According to the method developer, the relative LOD of the method is at least 0.05% in 200 ng of total cotton DNA. The relative LOD was not assessed in a collaborative study.

2.4 Limit of quantification (LOQ)

According to the method developer, the relative LOQ of the method is at least 0.085% in 200 ng of total cotton DNA. The lowest relative GM content of the target sequence included in collaborative trial was 0.10%.

2.5 Molecular specificity

According to the method developer, the method exploits a unique DNA sequence in the region of recombination between the insert and the plant genome; the sequence is specific to cotton event MON 15985 and thus imparts event-specificity to the method.

The specificity of event-specific assay was experimentally tested by the applicant in real-time PCR against DNA extracted from plant materials containing the specific targets of Bollgard® II cotton (MON 15985), Bollgard® cotton (MON 531), Roundup Ready® cotton (MON 1445), Roundup Ready® canola (RT73), Roundup Ready® maize GA21, Roundup Ready® maize NK603, YieldGard® Corn Borer maize (MON 810), YieldGard® Rootworm maize (MON 863), Roundup Ready® soybean (40-3-2), Roundup Ready® canola (RT200), Roundup Ready® wheat (71800), conventional cotton, conventional maize, conventional soybean, conventional wheat, Assoria rice, barley, Basmati rice, lentil, millet, oat, peanut, pinenuts, rye berries, sunflower, Teosinte, hard wheat, buckwheat and quinoa.

According to the applicant, none of the plant materials tested, except the positive control cotton line MON 15985 and Assoria rice, gave detectable amplifications.
Assoria rice reacted unexpectedly with the event-specific detection assay of MON 15985 but positive result is considered by the applicant to be an artefact. Bioinformatics analyses conducted by the CRL-GMFF confirmed the absence of relevant matches between the primers for MON 15985 and the rice genome; this was also supported by additional tests conducted by the CRL-GMFF.

The specificity of the cotton reference assay *acp1* was experimentally tested by the applicant against DNA extracted from plant materials containing Bollgard® II cotton (MON 15985), Bollgard® cotton (MON 531), Roundup Ready® cotton (MON 1445), Roundup Ready® canola (RT73), Roundup Ready® maize GA21, Roundup Ready® maize NK603, YieldGard® corn borer maize (MON810), YieldGard® rootworm maize (MON 863), Roundup Ready® soybean (40-3-2), Roundup Ready® canola (RT200), Roundup Ready® wheat (71800), conventional cotton, conventional maize, conventional soybean, conventional wheat, Assoria rice, barley, basmati rice, lentil, millet, oat, peanut, pinenuts, rye berries, sunflower, Teosinte, hard wheat, buckwheat and quinoa.

According to the applicant, none of the plant materials tested, except the positive control cotton line MON 15985, cotton MON 531, cotton MON 1445, conventional cotton and Assoria rice gave detectable amplifications. Assoria rice reacted unexpectedly with the *acp1* assay, but this positive result is considered by the applicant to be an artefact. Bioinformatics analyses conducted by the CRL-GMFF confirmed the absence of relevant matches between the primers for *acp1* and the rice genome; this was also supported by additional tests conducted by the CRL-GMFF.

### 3. Procedure

#### 3.1 General instructions and precautions

- The procedures require experience of working under sterile conditions.
- Laboratory organisation, e.g. “forward flow direction” during PCR-setup, should follow international guidelines, e.g. ISO 24276:2006.
- PCR-reagents should be stored and handled in a separate room where no nucleic acids (with exception of PCR primers or probes) or DNA degrading or modifying enzymes have been handled previously. All handling of PCR reagents and controls requires dedicated equipment, especially pipettes.
- All the equipment used should be sterilised prior to use and any residue of DNA has to be removed. All material used (e.g. vials, containers, pipette tips, etc.) must be suitable for PCR and molecular biology applications. They must be DNase-free, DNA-free, sterile and unable to adsorb protein or DNA.
- Filter pipette tips protected against aerosol should be used.
• Powder-free gloves should be used and changed frequently.

• Laboratory benches and equipment should be cleaned periodically with 10% sodium hypochloride solution (bleach).

• Pipettes should be checked regularly for precision and calibrated, if necessary.

• All handling steps - unless specified otherwise - should be carried out at 0 – 4 °C.

• In order to avoid repeated freeze/thaw cycles aliquots should be prepared.

3.2 Real-time PCR for quantitative analysis of cotton event MON 15985

3.2.1 General

The PCR set-up for the taxon specific target sequence (acp1) and for the GMO (event MON 15985) target sequence should be carried out in separate vials. Multiplex PCR (using differential fluorescent labels for the probes) has not been tested or validated.

The use of maximum 200 ng of template DNA per reaction well is recommended.

The method is developed for a total volume of 50 µL per reaction mixture with the reagents as listed in Table 1 and Table 2.

3.2.2 Calibration

The calibration curves consist of five samples. The first point of the calibration curves is a 10% MON 15985 in non-GM cotton DNA for a total of 200 ng of DNA (corresponding to approximately 85,830 cotton genome copies with one genome assumed to correspond to 2.33 pg of haploid cotton genomic DNA) \(^{(1)}\).

A calibration curve is produced by plotting the Ct values against the logarithm of the target copy number for the calibration points. This can be done e.g. by means of spreadsheet software, e.g. Microsoft Excel, or directly by options available with the sequence detection system software. The copy number measured for the unknown sample DNA is obtained by interpolation from the standard curves.

The ratio of transgene copy number and reference gene copy number multiplied by 100 gives the % GM contents of the samples.
3.2.3 Real-time PCR set-up

1. Thaw, mix gently and centrifuge the required amount of components needed for the run. Keep thawed reagents at 1 - 4°C on ice.

2. In two reaction tubes (one for the MON 15895 system and one for the acp1 system) on ice, add the following components (Table 1 and 2) in the order mentioned below (except DNA) to prepare the master mixes.

Table 1. Amplification reaction mixture in the final volume/concentration per reaction well for the MON 15985 specific system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Final concentration</th>
<th>µL/reaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TaqMan® Universal PCR Master Mix (2x)</td>
<td>1x</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MON 15985 primer forward (10 µM)</td>
<td>150 nM</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MON 15985 primer reverse (10 µM)</td>
<td>150 nM</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MON 15985 probe (5 µM)</td>
<td>50 nM</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclease free water</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Template DNA (max 200 ng)</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total reaction volume:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Amplification reaction mixture in the final volume/concentration per reaction well for the cotton acp1 reference system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Final concentration</th>
<th>µL/reaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TaqMan® Universal PCR Master Mix (2x)</td>
<td>1x</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acp1 primer forward (10 µM)</td>
<td>150 nM</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acp1 primer reverse (10 µM)</td>
<td>150 nM</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acp1 probe (5 µM)</td>
<td>50 nM</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclease free water</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Template DNA (max 200 ng)</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total reaction volume:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Mix gently and centrifuge briefly.

4. Prepare two reaction tubes (one for the cotton MON 15985 and one for the acp1 reaction mixes) for each DNA sample to be tested (standard curve samples, unknown samples and control samples).

5. Add to each reaction tube the correct amount of reaction mix (e.g. 46 x 3 = 138 µL reaction mix for three PCR repetitions). Add to each tube the correct amount of DNA (e.g. 4 x 3 = 12 µL DNA for three PCR repetitions). Vortex each tube for approx. 10 sec. This step is mandatory to reduce the variability among the repetitions of each sample to a minimum.
6. Spin down the tubes in a micro-centrifuge. Aliquot 50 µL in each well. Seal the reaction plate with optical cover or optical caps. Centrifuge the plate at low speed (e.g. approximately 250 x g for 1 minute at 4 °C to room temperature) to spin down the reaction mixture.

7. Place the plate into the instrument.

8. Run the PCR with cycling conditions described in Table 3.

Table 3. Cycling program for MON 15985 specific system and for the cotton acp1 reference system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>T°C</th>
<th>Time (sec)</th>
<th>Acquisition</th>
<th>Cycles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>UNG</td>
<td>50°C</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Initial denaturation</td>
<td>95°C</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Amplification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Denaturation</td>
<td>95°C</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annealing &amp;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>60°C</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Data analysis

After the real-time PCR, analyse the run following the procedure below:

a) Set the threshold: display the amplification curves of one system (e.g. MON 15985) in logarithmic mode. Locate the threshold line in the area where the amplification profiles are parallel (exponential phase of PCR) and where there is no “fork effect” between repetitions of the same sample. Press the “update” button to ensure changes affect Ct values. Switch to the linear view mode by clicking on the Y axis of the amplification plot, and check that the threshold previously set falls within the geometric phase of the curves.

b) Set the baseline: determine the cycle number at which the threshold line crosses the first amplification curve and set the baseline three cycles before that value (e.g. earliest Ct = 25, set the baseline crossing at Ct = 25 − 3 = 22).

c) Save the settings.

d) Repeat the procedure described in a) and b) on the amplification plots of the other system (e.g. acp1 system).

e) Save the settings and export all the data to a text file for further calculations.
3.4 Calculation of results

After having defined a threshold value within the logarithmic phase of amplification as described above, the instrument’s software calculates the Ct-values for each reaction.

The standard curves are generated both for the *acpI* and the MON 15985 specific systems by plotting the Ct values measured for the calibration points against the logarithm of the DNA copy numbers and by fitting a linear regression line into these data.

Thereafter, the standard curves are used to estimate the copy numbers in the unknown sample DNA.

For the determination of the amount of event MON 15985 DNA in the unknown sample, the MON 15985 copy number is divided by the copy number of the cotton reference gene (*acpI*) and multiplied by 100 to obtain the percentage value (GM% = MON15985/*acpI* x 100).

4. Materials

4.1 Equipment

- Real-time PCR instrument for plastic reaction vessels (glass capillaries are not recommended for the described buffer composition)
- Plastic reaction vessels suitable for real-time PCR instrument (enabling undisturbed fluorescence detection)
- Software for run analysis (mostly integrated in the software of the real-time PCR instrument)
- Microcentrifuge
- Micropipettes
- Vortex
- Rack for reaction tubes
- 1.5/2.0 ml reaction tubes

4.2 Reagents

- TaqMan® Universal PCR Master Mix (2X). Applied Biosystems Part No 4304437
4.3 Primers and Probes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Oligonucleotide DNA Sequence (5’ to 3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MON 15985 target sequence</td>
<td>5’ – GTT ACT AGA TCG GGG ATA TCC – 3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MON 15985 forward primer</td>
<td>5’ – AAG GTT GCT AAA TGG ATG GGA – 3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MON 15985 reverse primer</td>
<td>6-FAM 5’ – CCG CTC TAG AAC TAG GTC ACT GAA – 3’ TAMRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference gene acp1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acp1 target sequence</td>
<td>5’ – ATT GTG ATG GGA CTT GAG GAA GA – 3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acp1 forward primer</td>
<td>5’ – CTT GAA CAG TTG TGA TGG ATT GTG – 3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acp1 reverse primer</td>
<td>6-FAM 5’ – ATT GTC CTC TTC CAC CGT GAT TCC GAA – 3’ TAMRA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1. Introduction

This report describes the validation of a DNA extraction protocol derived from the publicly available “CTAB” method \(^1\) and its applicability on the samples of food and feed provided by the applicant. This protocol can be used for the extraction of DNA from cotton seeds and grains.

The purpose of the DNA extraction method described is to provide DNA with purity and quantity suitable for real-time PCR based detection methods.

This protocol is recommended to be executed only by skilled laboratory personnel as the procedures comprise the use of hazardous chemicals and materials. It is strongly advised to take particular notice of products safety recommendations and guidelines.

2. Materials (Equipment/Chemicals/Plasticware)

2.1. Equipment

The following equipment is used in the DNA extraction procedure described (equivalents may be used):

1. Centrifuge (Beckman Coulter Avanti J-251)
2. Shaker (LabLine Enviro 3527)
3. Thermometer (VWR Cat. No. 61222-504)
4. Vacufuge (Eppendorf 5301 22 82 010-9)
5. Water bath (Precision Cat. No. 51220046)
6. Microcentrifuge (any appropriate model)

2.2. Chemicals

The following chemicals are used in the DNA extraction procedure described (equivalents may be used):

1. 24:1 chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (Sigma Cat. No. C-0549)
2. 25:24:1 phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (Sigma Cat. No. P-3803)
3. Ammonium acetate 7.5 M (Sigma Cat. No. A-2706)
4. CTAB (Sigma Cat. No. H-6269)
5. 0.5 M EDTA, pH 8.0 (GibcoBRL Cat. no. 15575-038)
6. 100% ethanol (AAPER)
7. NaCl (Sigma Cat. No. S-5150)
8. 2-mercaptoethanol (Bio-Rad Cat. no. 161-0710)
9. RNase A (Roche Cat. No. 10 109 196 001)
10. Isopropanol (EM Science Cat. No. PX1835-9)
11. 1M Tris HCl pH 8.0 (Sigma Cat. No. T-3038)
12. Proteinase K (Roche Cat. No. 03 115 836 001)
13. Polyethylene Glycol (MW 8000) (Sigma Cat. No. P2139)

2.3. Solutions

The following buffers and solutions are used in the DNA extraction procedure described:

1. **CTAB Extraction Buffer (2%)** (store at room temperature)
   - 2% w/v CTAB
   - 100 mM Tris HCl pH 8.0
   - 20 mM EDTA pH 8.0
   - 1.4 M NaCl

2. **Tris-EDTA buffer (TE 1X)** (store at room temperature)
   - 10 mM Tris HCl pH 8.0
   - 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0

3. **Proteinase K (10 mg/ml)** (store at -20 °C)

4. **RNase A (10 mg/ml)** (store at -20 °C)

5. **PEG Precipitation Buffer (20% w/v)** (store at room temperature)

6. **Ethanol (70% v/v)** (store at room temperature)

7. **Ethanol (80% v/v)** (store at room temperature)

2.4. Plasticware

1. 50 ml conical tubes (Corning Cat. No. 430290)
2. 13 ml Sarstedt tubes (Sarstedt Cat. No. 60.540)
3. 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes
4. filter tips

Note: All plasticware should be sterile and free of DNases, RNases and nucleic acids.

2.5. Precautions

- Phenol, chloroform, isoamyl alcohol, and isopropanol are hazardous chemicals; therefore, all manipulations have to be performed according to safety guidelines, under
fume hood.

- It is recommended to use clean containers for Waring blenders for grinding the seed bulk samples.
- All tubes and pipette tips have to be discarded as biological hazardous material.

2.6 Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>polymerase chain reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase A</td>
<td>ribonuclease A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td>Tris EDTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris</td>
<td>Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Description of the methods

3.1 Sampling

For sampling methods, it is referred to the technical guidance documents and protocols described in Commission Recommendation 2004/787/EC on technical guidance for sampling and detection of genetically modified organisms and material produced from genetically modified organisms as or in products in the context of Regulation (EC) N. 1830/2003.

3.2 Scope and applicability

The method for DNA extraction described below is suitable for the isolation of genomic DNA from a wide variety of cotton tissues and derived matrices. However, validation data presented here are restricted to ground cotton seeds and grains. Application of the method to other matrices may require adaptation and possible further specific validation.

3.3 Principle

The basic principle of the DNA extraction consists of first releasing the DNA present in the matrix into aqueous solution and further purifying the DNA from PCR inhibitors. The present method starts with a lysis step (thermal lysis in the presence of Tris HCl, EDTA, CTAB and β-mercaptoethanol) followed by removal of contaminants such as lipophilic molecules and proteins by extraction with phenol and chloroform. A DNA precipitate is then generated by using isopropanol. The pellet is dissolved in TE buffer. Remaining inhibitors are removed by PEG precipitation and re-suspension in TE buffer.
3.4 Tissues grinding procedure

Tissues should be processed prior to extraction procedure. Possible methods of processing include a mortar and pestle with liquid nitrogen (leaf) or commercial blender (grain or seed).

3.5 Extraction of genomic DNA from cotton seeds/ grains

1. Weight out 6 g of processed tissue into a 50 mL conical tube appropriate for centrifugation. Note: For unprocessed tissue, weighing may occur prior to processing as long as entire processed sample is transferred to the conical tube.
2. For each 6 g sample add 25 mL of a solution consisting of 24.25 mL, pre-warmed CTAB extraction buffer, 0.5 mL 2-mercaptoethanol (2-ME), and 0.25 mL of 10 mg/mL proteinase K for a final concentration of 2% (2-ME) and 100 µg/mL (proteinase K).
3. Mix the tube vigorously by inversion for 45-60 seconds.
4. Incubate for 60 minutes at 55 ºC and mix the tube vigorously for 40-60 seconds every 20 minutes. Cool the tube on bench for 10 minutes.
5. Add 20 mL of phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (PCI 25:24:1, pH 6.7). Cap the tube and mix vigorously by inversion at least for 1 minute.
6. Centrifuge for 10 minutes at 13000 x g at room temperature to separate the aqueous and organic phases. Transfer upper aqueous phase to a clean 50 mL conical tube.
7. Repeat extraction twice for a total of three extractions (step 5-6).
8. Transfer upper aqueous phase to a new tube, add 2/3 volume of -20 ºC isopropanol and gently mix the tube by inversion.
9. To precipitate the DNA place the tube at -20 ºC for 30 minutes. (DNA may be stored as isopropanol precipitate at -20 ºC for up to 1 year).
10. To pellet the DNA centrifuge the tubes at approximately 13000 x g for 20 minutes at 4 ºC. Carefully pour off isopropanol or remove by pipetting, and then perform a quick spin in the centrifuge to bring down the isopropanol from the side of the tube. Remove remaining isopropanol by pipette and ensure all residual isopropanol is removed before proceeding to the next step without overdrying the pellet.
11. Re-dissolve the pellet in 4 mL of TE pH 8.0. Note: it may be necessary to incubate the tube at 60ºC to resuspend the pellet.
12. Transfer the resuspended pellet to a 13 mL tube, add 40 µL of 10 mg/ml RNase and then incubate at 37 ºC for 30 minutes.
13. To extract the DNA add 4 mL of chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (CIA 24:1), mix vigorously by inversion for 40-60 seconds and centrifuge for 10 minutes at 13000 x g at room temperature. Transfer the upper aqueous phase to a clean tube.
14. Repeat step 13 once, then add half volume of 7.5 M ammonium acetate, gently mix by inversion and add 2 volumes of 100% ethanol. Mix by inversion and place at -20 ºC for 30 minutes. DNA may be stored as ethanol precipitate at -20 ºC for up to 1 year.
15. Centrifuge at 13000 x g for 20 minutes at 4 ºC to pellet the DNA.
16. Rinse the DNA pellet twice with 10 mL of 70 % ethanol loosening the pellet from the side of the tube and remove residual ethanol by vacuum.
17. Re-suspend DNA in 1 mL TE, pH 8.0 and incubate at 65 °C for at least 1 hour with periodic gentle mixing.
18. Centrifuge the DNA solution at 16000 x g for 10 minutes at 4 °C. Transfer the aqueous portion to a clean tube without disturbing the pellet and store at 4 °C.
19. Add equal volume of 20% PEG precipitation buffer (~1 mL) to the extracted DNA solution. Mix well by inversion.
20. Incubate the PEG/DNA mixture for 15 minutes at 37 °C.
21. Centrifuge the PEG/DNA mixture for 15 minutes at 15000 x g at room temperature.
22. Pour off supernatant. Wash the walls of the tube and DNA pellet with 1 mL of 80% ethanol loosening the pellet from the tube. Carefully pour off ethanol, centrifuge briefly and remove by pipetting any residual ethanol.
23. Repeat wash (step 22) for a total of two washes.
24. Completely dry any residual ethanol by vacufuge at low heat.
25. Re-suspend the pellet in 1 mL TE or H₂O. If necessary, incubate the sample at 60°C to dissolve the pellet.
26. Centrifuge the re-suspended DNA solution at 15000 x g for 15 minutes.
27. Transfer DNA solution to a clean tube without disturbing the pellet and store DNA at 4°C.

4. Testing of the DNA extraction method by the Community Reference Laboratory for GM Food and Feed

The aim of the experimental testing was to verify that the DNA extraction method provides DNA of suitable quantity and quality for the intended purpose. The DNA extraction method should allow preparation of the analyte in quality and quantity appropriate for the analytical method used to quantify the event-specific analyte versus the reference analyte.

The CRL-GMFF tested the method proposed by the applicant on samples of food and feed consisting of ground cotton seeds provided by the applicant.

To assess the suitability of the DNA extraction method for real-time PCR analysis, the extracted DNA was tested using a qualitative PCR run on the real-time PCR equipment.

4.1 Preparation of samples

About 200 g of cotton seed material were ground using a GRINDOMIX GM 200 (Retsch GmbH) mixer.

4.2 DNA extraction

DNA was extracted following method described above (see paragraph 3. “Description of the methods”); the DNA extraction was carried out on 6 test portions (replicates) and repeated over three days, giving a total of 18 DNA extractions.
4.3 DNA concentration, yield and repeatability

Concentration of the DNA extracted was determined by fluorescence detection using the PicoGreen dsDNA Quantitation Kit (Molecular Probes). Each DNA extract was measured twice, and the two values were averaged. DNA concentration was determined on the basis of a five point standard curve ranging from 1 to 500 ng/µL using a Biorad VersaFluor fluorometer.

The DNA concentration for all samples is reported in the Table 1 below.

Table 1. DNA concentration (ng/µL) of eighteen samples extracted in three days: yellow boxes for samples extracted on day 1, green boxes for samples extracted on day 2 and blue boxes for samples extracted on day 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Concentration (ng/µL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>346.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>359.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>297.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>265.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>479.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>326.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>346.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>322.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>308.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>465.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>302.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>329.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>568.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>427.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>501.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>442.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>383.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>395.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

☑ DNA concentration (ng/µL)

Overall average 381.6 ng/µL
Standard deviation of all samples 82.7 ng/µL
Coefficient of variation 21.7%

☑ Yield (total volume of DNA solution: 18000 µl)

Overall average 381.6 µg
Standard deviation 82.7 µg
Coefficient of variation 21.7 %
4.4 Fragmentation state of DNA

The size of the extracted DNA was evaluated by agarose gel electrophoresis; 8 µL of the DNA solution were analysed on a 1.0% agarose gel (Figure 1).

The eighteen genomic DNA samples extracted as described above appeared as distinct fluorescent banding patterns migrating through the gel corresponding to high molecular weight DNA. None of the DNA samples showed indication of significant degradation (‘smearing’).

Figure 1. Agarose gel electrophoresis of eighteen genomic DNA samples extracted from cotton seeds. Lanes 2-7: samples extracted on day 1; lanes 8-13 samples extracted on day 2; lanes 14-19 samples extracted on day 3; lanes 1 and 20: Lambda DNA/EcoRI+HindIII molecular weight marker (M).

4.5 Purity / Absence of PCR inhibitors

In order to assess the purity and to confirm the absence of PCR inhibitors, the extracted DNA solutions were adjusted to a concentration of 50 ng/µL (hereafter referred as “undiluted” samples).

Subsequently fourfold serial dilutions of each extract were prepared with 0.2x TE buffer (1:4, 1:16, 1:64, 1:256) and analysed using a real-time PCR system detecting the target sequence of the endogenous gene acyl carrier protein 1 (acp 1). The Ct values obtained for “undiluted” and diluted DNA samples are reported in the Table 2.
Table 2. Ct values of undiluted and fourfold serially diluted DNA extracts after amplification of cotton gene acp 1. Yellow boxes for samples extracted on day 1, green boxes for samples extracted on day 2 and blue boxes for samples extracted on day 3.

| DNA extract | Undiluted (40 ng/µL) | Diluted | | |
|-------------|----------------------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|             |                      | 1:4     | 1:16| 1:64| 1:256|
| 1           | 22.60                | 24.85   | 26.21| 28.26| 30.23|
| 2           | 22.57                | 24.52   | 25.91| 28.03| 30.37|
| 3           | 22.73                | 25.48   | 26.13| 28.27| 30.21|
| 4           | 22.85                | 25.59   | 26.38| 28.20| 30.37|
| 5           | 22.69                | 24.48   | 26.15| 28.23| 30.43|
| 6           | 22.72                | 25.30   | 26.35| 28.41| 30.40|
| 1           | 22.44                | 24.37   | 25.98| 28.14| 30.42|
| 2           | 22.28                | 24.55   | 25.85| 28.19| 30.30|
| 3           | 22.60                | 24.68   | 25.97| 28.07| 30.25|
| 4           | 22.63                | 24.60   | 26.38| 28.40| 30.40|
| 5           | 22.48                | 25.39   | 26.49| 28.44| 30.62|
| 6           | 22.77                | 24.55   | 26.21| 28.18| 30.27|

Table 3 below reports the comparison of extrapolated Ct values versus measured Ct values for all samples and the values of linearity ($R^2$) and slope of all measurements.

To measure inhibition, the Ct values of the four diluted samples were plotted against the logarithm of the dilution and the Ct value for the “undiluted” sample (50 ng/µL) was extrapolated from the equation calculated by linear regression.

Subsequently the extrapolated Ct for the “undiluted” sample was compared with the measured Ct. The evaluation is carried out considering that PCR inhibitors are present if the measured Ct value for the “undiluted” sample is suppressed by > 0.5 cycles from the calculated Ct value. In addition, the slope of the curve should be between -3.6 and -3.1.
### Table 3. Comparison of extrapolated Ct values versus measured Ct values (amplification of cotton gene *acp 1*)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DNA extraction</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Slope*</th>
<th>Ct extrapolated</th>
<th>mean Ct measured</th>
<th>∆Ct**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.02</td>
<td>22.84</td>
<td>22.60</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>-3.26</td>
<td>22.29</td>
<td>22.57</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>-2.71</td>
<td>23.44</td>
<td>22.73</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>-2.68</td>
<td>23.60</td>
<td>22.85</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.31</td>
<td>22.33</td>
<td>22.69</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>-2.88</td>
<td>23.27</td>
<td>22.72</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.37</td>
<td>22.15</td>
<td>22.44</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.25</td>
<td>22.33</td>
<td>22.28</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>-3.22</td>
<td>22.34</td>
<td>22.60</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.22</td>
<td>22.59</td>
<td>22.63</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>-2.93</td>
<td>23.32</td>
<td>22.48</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.17</td>
<td>22.52</td>
<td>22.77</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.19</td>
<td>22.77</td>
<td>22.85</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.10</td>
<td>22.78</td>
<td>22.80</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.32</td>
<td>22.40</td>
<td>22.82</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.22</td>
<td>22.52</td>
<td>22.73</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>-2.86</td>
<td>23.36</td>
<td>22.87</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>-2.93</td>
<td>23.14</td>
<td>22.66</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: In yellow boxes samples from 1 to 6 extracted on day 1; in green boxes samples from 1-6 extracted on day 2; in blue boxes samples from 1-6 extracted on day 3.

*The expected slope for a PCR with 100% efficiency is -3.32

**delta Ct = abs (Ct extrapolated - Ct measured)

All ∆Ct values of extrapolated versus measured Ct are < 0.5, with four exceptions: the sample number 3, 4 and 6 extracted on day 1, with a value of 0.71, 0.74 and 0.55 respectively and sample number 5 extracted on day 2 with a value of 0.84.

R² of linear regression is > 0.98 for all DNA samples except samples 3 and 4 and six extracted on day 1 (0.96, 0.96 and 0.97), sample 5 extracted on day 2 (0.978) and samples 5 and 6 extracted on day 3 (0.97 and 0.97). The slope of the curve are between -3.1 and -3.6, with exception of samples 1, 3, 4 and 6 extracted on day 1, sample 5 extracted on day 2, samples 5 and 6 extracted on day 3.

### 4.5.1 Additional PEG precipitation

All samples that failed to pass the test to assess absence of PCR inhibitors were processed with a second PEG precipitation, following steps from 19 to 27 of the extraction procedure (see paragraph 3. “Description of the methods”).

CRL-GMFF: cotton seeds DNA extraction
In order to assess the purity and to confirm the absence of PCR inhibitors, the extracted DNA solutions were treated as described previously, solutions were adjusted to a concentration of 50 ng/µL and fourfold serial dilutions of each extract were prepared with 0.2x TE buffer (1:4, 1:16, 1:64, 1:256) and analysed using a real-time PCR system detecting the target sequence of the endogenous gene *acyl carrier protein 1* (*acp 1*). The Ct values obtained for “undiluted” and diluted DNA samples are reported in the Table 4.

Table 4. Ct values of undiluted and fourfold serially diluted DNA extracts after amplification of cotton gene *acp 1*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DNA extract</th>
<th>Undiluted (40 ng/µL)</th>
<th>Diluted</th>
<th>1:4</th>
<th>1:16</th>
<th>1:64</th>
<th>1:256</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>22.48</td>
<td>24.68</td>
<td>26.54</td>
<td>28.84</td>
<td>30.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>22.61</td>
<td>24.58</td>
<td>26.65</td>
<td>28.65</td>
<td>31.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>22.63</td>
<td>24.56</td>
<td>26.69</td>
<td>28.62</td>
<td>31.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>22.67</td>
<td>24.54</td>
<td>26.59</td>
<td>28.75</td>
<td>30.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>22.56</td>
<td>24.63</td>
<td>26.67</td>
<td>28.69</td>
<td>31.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>22.58</td>
<td>24.53</td>
<td>26.59</td>
<td>28.63</td>
<td>30.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>22.55</td>
<td>24.66</td>
<td>26.35</td>
<td>28.49</td>
<td>30.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To measure inhibition, the Ct values of the four diluted samples were plotted against the logarithm of the dilution and the Ct value for the “undiluted” sample (50 ng/µL) was extrapolated from the equation calculated by linear regression.

Subsequently the extrapolated Ct for the “undiluted” sample was compared with the measured Ct. The evaluation is carried out considering that PCR inhibitors are present if the measured Ct value for the “undiluted” sample is suppressed by > 0.5 cycles from the calculated Ct value. In addition, the slope of the curve should be between -3.6 and -3.1.

Table 5. Comparison of extrapolated Ct values versus measured Ct values (amplification of cotton gene *acp 1*).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DNA extraction</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Slope*</th>
<th>Ct extrapolated</th>
<th>mean Ct measured</th>
<th>ΔCt**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.51</td>
<td>22.48</td>
<td>22.48</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.54</td>
<td>22.39</td>
<td>22.61</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.57</td>
<td>22.33</td>
<td>22.63</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.55</td>
<td>22.36</td>
<td>22.67</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.55</td>
<td>22.42</td>
<td>22.56</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.50</td>
<td>22.39</td>
<td>22.58</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.49</td>
<td>22.36</td>
<td>22.55</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
After the second PEG precipitation all $\Delta$Ct values of extrapolated versus measured Ct are < 0.5; $R^2$ of linear regression is > 0.99 for all DNA samples; the slopes of the curves are between -3.1 and -3.6.

5. Conclusion

The data reported confirm that the extraction method, applied to cotton seeds provided by the applicant, produces DNA of suitable quantity and quality for subsequent PCR based detection applications.

In some cases a second PEG precipitation step may be needed to improve the quality of the DNA extracted.

The method is consequently applicable to samples of Cotton seeds provided as samples of food and feed in accordance with the requirements of Annex I-2.C.2 to Commission Regulation (EC) No 641/2004.

6. Quality assurance

The CRL-GMFF carries out all operations according to ISO 9001:2000 (certificate number: CH-32232) and ISO 17025:2005 (certificate number: DAC-PL-0459-06-00) [DNA extraction, qualitative and quantitative PCR in the area of Biology (DNA extraction and PCR method validation for the detection and identification of GMOs in food and feed materials)]
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Abstract
The JRC as Community Reference Laboratory for GM Food and Feed (CRL-GMFF), established by Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003, in collaboration with the European Network of GMO Laboratories (ENGL), has carried out a collaborative study to assess the performance of a quantitative event-specific method to detect and quantify the MON 15985 transformation event in cotton DNA (unique identifier MON-15985-7). The collaborative trial was conducted according to internationally accepted guidelines.

In accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of 22 September 2003 on genetically modified food and feed and with Regulation (EC) No 641/2004 of 6 April 2004 on detailed rules for the implementation of Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003, Monsanto provided the detection method and the samples (cotton containing the transformation event MON 15985 and conventional cotton seeds). The JRC prepared the validation samples (calibration samples and blind samples at unknown GM percentage [DNA/DNA]). The collaborative trial involved twelve laboratories from nine European countries.

The results of the international collaborative trial met the ENGL performance requirements. The method is therefore considered applicable to the control samples provided, in accordance with the requirements of Annex I-2.C.2 to Commission Regulation (EC) No 641/2004.
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