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1D Camera Geometry and Its Application to the Self-Calibration of Circular Motion Sequences

Kwan-Yee K. Wong, Member, IEEE, Guoqiang Zhang, Student Member, IEEE, Chen Liang, Student Member, IEEE, and Hui Zhang, Member, IEEE

Abstract—This paper proposes a novel method for robustly recovering the camera geometry of an uncalibrated image sequence taken under circular motion. Under circular motion, all the camera centers lie on a circle and the mapping from the plane containing this circle to the horizon line observed in the image can be modeled as a 1D projection. A 2 × 2 homography is introduced in this paper to relate the projections of the camera centers in two 1D views. It is shown that the two imaged circular points of the motion plane and the rotation angle between the two views can be derived directly from such a homography. This way of recovering the imaged circular points and rotation angles is intrinsically a multiple view approach as all the sequence geometry embedded in the epipoles is exploited in the estimation of the homography for each view pair. This results in a more robust method compared to those computing the rotation angles using adjacent views only. The proposed method has been applied to self-calibrate turntable sequences using either point features or silhouettes, and highly accurate results have been achieved.

Index Terms—1D projective camera, homography, self-calibration, circular motion.

1 INTRODUCTION

A turntable is commonly used for showcasing a 360-degree view of an object in museums, exhibitions, and retail stores. It also provides a convenient way for acquiring images around an object. In both computer vision and graphics, such a sequence is often exploited to reconstruct a 3D model of the object. The most critical step to a successful reconstruction is camera calibration, which estimates the relative pose and intrinsic parameters of the camera, as well as the rotation angles of the turntable. Note that turntable motion (a.k.a. circular motion and single axis motion) is a particular case of critical motions [1] which often cannot be solved by a more general algorithm. Nonetheless, by explicitly taking the special properties of the motion into account, it is possible to estimate the motion with relative ease.

Many studies have been conducted in the recovery of circular motion. Early works [2], [3] obtained the sequence geometry using special calibration patterns and/or mechanical measurements. In [4], Fitzgibbon et al. studied the projective geometry of turntable motion and developed a method for the case of an uncalibrated camera with unknown rotation angles. Their method depends on the fundamental matrix and trifocal tensor between adjacent views for recovering the image invariants and rotation angles, respectively. In [5], Jiang et al. showed that the image invariants can be recovered from the conic trajectories of the tracked points. Their method then applies Laguerre’s formula to recover the rotation angle from the tracked points in two views. In order to fit a conic to the tracked points, their method requires tracking at least two points in five images. In [6], Jiang et al. showed that the image invariants can be recovered from the homography relating two points tracked in four images. Although their new method reduces the number of images in which a point must be tracked from five to four, this requirement still cannot be easily satisfied, especially when the rotation angles are large (e.g., for a rotation step of 20-30°, it means that a point must stay visible after a rotation of 60-90°). In [7] and [8], the authors considered the problem of recovering circular motion from silhouettes by exploiting outer epipolar tangents. Their methods involve nonlinear optimizations to locate the epipolar tangents and require the prior information of the camera intrinsics to recover the rotation angles. In [9], Zhang et al. extended the work in [7] by performing self-calibration. Note that all the aforementioned methods estimate the image invariants using multiple views, but the recovery of the rotation angle depends only on the information provided by adjacent views. Hence, the solution is often not optimal. In [10], Hernández et al. proposed a silhouette coherence constraint which uses the joint effort of all the silhouettes to recover the camera motion as well as the focal length. In [11] and [12], the authors considered the problem of general motion recovery from silhouettes of a dynamic object. A RANSAC approach [11] was proposed for initializing the nonlinear optimization in locating the epipolar tangents. Nonetheless, such methods are not suitable for static objects.

This paper proposes using a 1D geometry to solve the circular motion problem from either point correspondences or silhouettes. Under circular motion, all the camera centers lie on a circle, which is the trajectory of the rotating camera center. The projection of the plane containing the camera centers onto the image plane gives a line known as the horizon. It follows that the images of the camera centers (i.e., the epipoles) must all lie on this line. This results in a 1D projective geometry. A 2 × 2 homography is introduced to relate the projections of the camera centers in two 1D views. It will be shown that both the imaged circular points of the motion plane and the rotation angle between the two views can be obtained directly from this homography. Unlike previous methods [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], which only depend on adjacent views for recovering the rotation angle, the proposed method exploits the information provided by all views to obtain an optimal solution for the homography and, hence, the rotation angle. Like [4], the proposed method only requires point correspondences across three views, which is a more relaxed requirement than that in [5] and [6]. In [10], the authors considered the recovery of the focal length, which was estimated simultaneously with other motion parameters via an optimization in a high-dimensional space. In this work, we consider recovering three camera intrinsic parameters, namely, the focal length and the coordinates of the principal point. We also decouple the estimation of the camera intrinsic parameters from the extrinsic parameters and demonstrate that the intrinsic parameters can be recovered from the image invariants. This avoids potential problems of local minima often encountered in high-dimensional optimizations. Preliminary results of this work have been published in [13].

2 1D PROJECTIVE CAMERA

This section gives a brief review on the 1D camera model (see [14] for details). Similar to a 2D projective camera, which projects a point in \( P^3 \) to a point in \( P^2 \), a 1D camera maps a point in \( P^2 \) to a point in \( P^1 \). A 1D camera can be modeled by a 2 × 3 matrix [14] in the following form:
where $K = \begin{pmatrix} f & u_0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is the calibration matrix with focal length $f$ and principal point $u_0$, $t$ is the position vector of the camera center, and $R(\rho) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \rho & -\sin \rho \\ \sin \rho & \cos \rho \end{pmatrix}$ is a rotation matrix describing the orientation of the camera with respect to the world coordinate system. The scene space for a 1D camera is a projective plane and the two circular points $c$ and $J$ in the coordinate system. (b) Special case where $X'$ overlaps with the camera center.

Similarly, point $X'$ has coordinates $(\sin(\theta + \phi) \cos(\theta + \phi) \ 1)^T$ and its image $u'$ can be expressed as

$$u' \sim KR(\rho) \begin{pmatrix} \tan \frac{\theta + \phi}{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}^T$$

Substituting (3) into (4) gives

$$u' \sim KR(\rho)R(\theta/2)K^{-1}u \sim Hu,$$

where $H = KR(\theta/2)K^{-1}$. The special case where $X$ or $X'$ overlaps with the camera center can be proven in a similar manner.

Note that $H$ has only three degrees of freedom (DOF). Its eigenvalues are $\{e^{\pm \theta/2}\}$, which are functions of the rotation angle $\theta$, and its eigenvectors are the two imaged circular points $i$ and $j$.

Now, consider the projection of a camera center $X'$ onto two views $i$ and $j$, as shown in Fig. 2a. The rotation angle between the two views is $\theta_{ij}$. It is easy to see that the projection of $X'$ in view $i$ in Fig. 2a is equivalent to the projection of $X$ in view $j$ in Fig. 2b, where the rotation angle between $X$ and $X'$ is $\theta_{ij}$.

From Proposition 1, $u$ and $u'$ satisfies $u' \sim H_{ij}u$, where $H_{ij} = KR(\theta_{ij}/2)K^{-1}$. It indicates that one such pair of $u$ and $u'$ induced by a camera center $X'$ provides one constraint on the homography $H_{ij}$ relating the two views. Given $N$ images taken under circular motion, there will be $N$ constraints for estimating $H_{ij}$ and the rotation angle $\theta_{ij}$ and the imaged circular points $(i, j)$ can be obtained from the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $H_{ij}$, respectively. Note that $H_{ij}$ is different for a different view pair as it depends on the rotation angle $\theta_{ij}$.

Algorithm 1 summarizes the procedure for recovering camera intrinsics and the rotation angle between two views using the proposed 1D geometry.
Algorithm 1. Recovering camera intrinsics and the rotation angle between view i and view j

for each view k in the circular motion sequence do

    Compute the projections \( \{e_{ki}, e_{kj}\} \) of its camera center onto views i and j;

end for

Compute the homography \( H_{ij} \) relating views i and j using the constraints \( e_{ki} \sim H_{ij} e_{kj} \);

Compute the eigenvalues \( \{e^{\pm\phi_{ij}/2}\} \) and eigenvectors \( \{i, j\} \) of \( H_{ij} \);

Compute the camera intrinsics from \( \{i, j\} \);

Compute the rotation angle \( \theta_{ij} \) from \( \{e^{\pm\phi_{ij}/2}\} \);

Given two views, if the vanishing point \( v \) as illustrated in Proposition 1 is known, the epipoles \( \{e_{i}, e_{j}\} \) of the two views and \( v \) together can provide two independent constraints on \( H_{ij} \). Under this situation, one more constraint provided by another view is enough to determine \( H_{ij} \). The computation of \( H_{ij} \) therefore requires a minimum of three views, which is the same as in [15]. Practically, \( H_{ij} \) relating a view pair can be computed more accurately by incorporating constraints induced by as many views as possible.

4 CIRCULAR MOTION ESTIMATION

The previous section describes how the proposed 1D homography can be used to solve the circular motion problem. The key step is the computation of epipoles from all view pairs, which is equivalent to computing the associated fundamental matrices. In this section, we will summarize the methods for computing the fundamental matrices from either point correspondences or silhouettes.

Fig. 3 shows the image invariants under circular motion: \( l_i \) is the image of the rotation axis, \( l_0 \) is the vanishing line (horizon) for the plane \( \Pi_i \) containing the camera centers, \( c \) (given by the intersection of \( l_i \) and \( l_0 \)) is the image of the intersection point between the rotation axis and \( \Pi_i \), \( v_x \) is the vanishing point for the normal direction of the plane defined by the camera center and the rotation axis, and i and j are the two imaged circular points of \( \Pi_i \). The fundamental matrix \( F_{ij} \) associated with views i and j can be parameterized in terms of these image invariants as [4, 7]

\[
F_{ij} = [v_x]_x + \mu_{ij}(l_0^T + l_i^T),
\]

where \( \mu_{ij} = k\tan \frac{\theta_{ij}}{2} \), with \( \theta_{ij} \) being the rotation angle between the two views and \( k \) being an unknown fixed scalar accounting for the different scales used in the homogeneous representation of these features. Hence, for different view pairs, the fundamental matrices only differ by the scalar \( \mu_{ij} \), which depends on the rotation angle.

Given point correspondences in a view pair, a fundamental matrix \( F \) can be estimated robustly using a RANSAC method. The image invariants can be obtained from \( F \) as follows [4]: \( v_x \) can then be recovered from the skew-symmetric part of \( F \), \( l_0 \) can be obtained as a line passing through the two epipoles, and \( l_i \) can be extracted from the symmetric part of \( F \). Note that, due to noise and wrong correspondences, the image invariants computed from different view pairs will in general be different. To assess the quality of the image invariants recovered from a particular view pair, the fundamental matrices for all other view pairs are estimated by fixing these invariants and the mean symmetric transfer error [16] of the fundamental matrices is computed. For each view pair, a set of the image invariants is computed and the one that produces the smallest mean symmetric transfer error is then chosen.

Alternatively, given a set of silhouettes, \( v_x \) and \( l_0 \) can be obtained from the harmonic homography \( W \) associated with the envelope of the silhouettes [7]. This harmonic homography also allows the location of outer epipolar tangents to silhouettes in view pairs. \( l_i \) can then be obtained as a line robustly fitted to a set of epipoles obtained as the intersections of the epipolar tangents (see [7] for details).

The invariants \( v_x,l_i,\) and \( l_0 \) thus obtained are used to initialize a nonlinear optimization, which minimizes the symmetric transfer errors of the fundamental matrices for all view pairs. The parameters of the optimization are \( v_x \) (two DOF), \( l_0 \) (two DOF), \( l_i \) (one DOF as \( v_x^T l_i = 0 \)), and the scalars \( \mu_{ij} \). For point correspondences, the transfer error is given by the distance...
between a point and the epipolar line defined by its correspondent point. For silhouettes, the transfer error is given by the distance between an epipolar tangent point and the epipolar line defined by its correspondent epipolar tangent point. After the optimization converges, the fundamental matrices for all view pairs can be obtained and the 1D homographies relating adjacent views can be computed.

5 Metric Reconstruction

The imaged circular points \(i\) and \(j\) obtained from the eigenvectors of the 1D homography are 1D points lying on the horizon \(l_i\). Their 2D image coordinates can be recovered from their 1D coordinates using the parameterization of \(l_i\). They completely encode the metric information of the horizontal plane on which they lie and provide two independent constraints on the imaged absolute conic \(\omega\) in the form of [16]

\[
i^T \omega i = j^T \omega j = 0.
\]

The vanishing point \(v_x\) and the imaged axis \(l_i\) have a pole-polar relationship with respect to \(\omega\) and they provide another two constraints on \(\omega\) in the form of

\[
l_i = \omega v_x.
\]

Note, however, that these two constraints are not completely independent of those induced by the imaged circular points. This is because \(v_x\) and \(l_i\) also encode metric information on the horizontal plane in the form of

\[
c^T \omega v_x = 0,
\]

where \(c\) is the intersection of \(l_i\) and \(l_j\). Hence, \(v_x\), \(l_i\), \(i\), and \(j\) altogether provide only three independent constraints on \(\omega\). Nonetheless, by assuming that the camera has unit aspect ratio and zero skew, \(\omega\) will only have three DOF and can thus be estimated. The \(3 \times 3\) camera calibration matrix \(K\) can then be recovered from \(\omega\) using Cholesky decomposition.

With the estimated \(K\), \(v_x\), \(l_i\), \(l_j\), and the set of rotation angles \(\{\theta_{ij}\}\) between adjacent views, the set of projection matrices compatible with the image sequence can be written as

\[
P_i = K \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & r_2 & r_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_i & 0 & \sin \theta_i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\sin \theta_i & 0 & \cos \theta_i & t \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10}
\]

where \(\theta_0 = 0\) and \(\theta_i = \sum_{n=1}^{i-1} \theta_{n,n+1}\) for \(i = 2, 3, \ldots, N\). The scalar \(t\) only affects the scale of the reconstruction and can therefore be chosen arbitrarily. The rotation matrix \(\begin{pmatrix} r_1 & r_2 & r_3 \end{pmatrix}\) describes the orientation of the camera and its columns can be recovered as

\[
r_1 = \frac{K^{-1} v_x}{|K^{-1} v_x|}, \quad r_3 = \frac{K^{-1} c}{|K^{-1} c|}, \quad r_2 = r_3 \times r_1, \tag{11}
\]

where \(c = l_i \times l_j\).

6 Experimental Results

The first experimental sequence (see Fig. 4a) is the popular dinosaur sequence from the University of Hannover (obtained from the University of Oxford along with a file of point correspondences). It contains 36 images taken under circular motion with a constant rotation angle of 10 degrees. The angular accuracy is about 0.05 degree [2]. Using the method described in Section 4, the image invariants obtained from the fundamental matrix relating views 22 and 23 were then estimated using Algorithm 1. For each homography, the imaged circular points \(\{i,j\}\) were obtained from its eigenvectors. Histograms for the real and imaginary components of the imaged circular points were constructed from the set of \(\{i,j\}\) obtained from all the homographies (see Fig. 5). The mean values of those in the bins with the highest frequency were then used to form the best estimate for \(\{i,j\}\). Note that the distributions of the two histograms are close to a Gaussian distribution and one observes that the best solutions (denoted by the dashed lines) are very distinct. Fig. 6a shows the recovered rotation angles, and the RMS error is just 0.073 degree.

Note that, in [4], Fitzgibbon et al. achieved an RMS error of 0.040 degree in the rotation angles for the same sequence. Their method first recovered the image invariants and rotation angles from the fundamental matrices and trifocal tensors of adjacent views, respectively, and used these values to initialize a bundle adjustment that minimized the reprojection errors. The RMS error achieved here is of the same order as in [4], which approaches the
mechanical accuracy of the turntable. This demonstrates that, by exploiting multiple view information in every step of the estimation as in the proposed method, a highly accurate solution can be obtained without carrying out any bundle adjustment. A visual hull model was constructed from the silhouettes using octree carving (see [8] for details) and a triangulated mesh was extracted from the octree using the standard marching cubes algorithm. Fig. 7 shows three views of the reconstructed model.

The second experimental sequence (see Fig. 4b) consists of 36 images of a head model rotating on an electronic turntable with a constant rotation angle of 10 degrees. The angular accuracy is about 0.1°. The silhouettes of the head model were extracted using a Cubic B-spline snake [17]. The fundamental matrices of all view pairs were estimated from the silhouettes using the method described in Section 4. The remaining steps of the estimation procedure were identical to those in the first experiment. Fig. 6b shows the recovered rotation angles, and the RMS error is 0.087°, which approaches the mechanical accuracy of the turntable. Note that, in [9], Zhang et al. achieved a much larger RMS error of 0.233° in the rotation angles for the same sequence. This is expected as they only considered adjacent views for the recovery of rotation angle. In order to improve the solution, Zhang et al. resorted to carrying out a bundle-adjustment-like approach to optimize all the image invariants and rotation angles simultaneously by minimizing the transfer errors of the epipolar tangents, and achieved a slightly improved RMS error of 0.171°. This again demonstrates that the proposed multiple-view approach can produce a highly accurate solution using silhouettes. Table 1 shows the camera intrinsic parameters estimated from the head sequence and the ground-truth values obtained by the classical camera calibration technique [16] using an L-shape calibration pattern. It can be seen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( f )</th>
<th>( u_0 )</th>
<th>( v_0 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ground-truth</td>
<td>2091.53</td>
<td>368.35</td>
<td>279.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated value</td>
<td>2078.89</td>
<td>343.56</td>
<td>376.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage error</td>
<td>0.60%</td>
<td>1.19%</td>
<td>4.65%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
that the focal length $f$ and the $u_0$ coordinate of the principal point were both precisely estimated, while $v_0$ was not. This is due to the high uncertainty in the estimated coordinates of $v_0$, which was far away from the image center for a camera looking at a direction close to the rotation axis. Note that, under the assumption of a natural camera, any error in the location of $v_0$ in a direction parallel to $l$, will result in the same error in the location of the principal point. Fig. 8 shows different views of the reconstructed model.

7 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have investigated the circular motion estimation problem from a new viewpoint. By considering the horizon line, which is the projection of the plane containing the camera centers, a 2D projective camera can be simplified to a 1D projective camera. We have shown that there exists a 1D homography relating the images of any two 1D projective cameras in the sequence. The eigenvectors of such a homography are shown to be the imaged circular points and the eigenvalues are functions of the rotation angle between the two cameras.

We have demonstrated that this 1D geometry can be nicely applied to circular motion estimation. To compute the rotation angle between two particular views in the sequence, the images of all other camera centers (i.e., the epipoles) are computed first. They are exploited to compute a homography relating the two views robustly. The eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the homography then give the imaged circular points and the rotation angle, respectively. Experiments using both point correspondences and silhouettes, respectively, demonstrate that the recovered rotation angles achieve a very high precision. This is because the algorithm efficiently and effectively exploits the underlying multiple view information. The high quality of the reconstructed models demonstrates the practicality of the proposed algorithm.
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