

Title	2,4-Dioxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylic acid monohydrate		
Author(s)	Law, GL; Szeto, L; Wong, WT		
Citation	Acta Crystallographica Section E: Structure Reports Online, 2004, v. 60 n. 6, p. o1072-o1074		
Issued Date	2004		
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10722/69656		
Rights	Creative Commons: Attribution 3.0 Hong Kong License		

organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Ga-Lai Law, Lap Szeto and Wing-Tak Wong*

Department of Chemistry, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Pokfulam, Hong Kong SAR, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: wtwong@hkucc.hku.hk

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 298 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å R factor = 0.045 wR factor = 0.047 Data-to-parameter ratio = 7.7

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

2,4-Dioxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylic acid monohydrate

The title compound, $C_5H_4N_2O_4$ ·H₂O, a uracil derivative, contains several important functional groups, which give rise to its use in biomedical applications. The crystal structure consists of molecules held together by extensive intermolecular hydrogen bonding between neighbouring pyrimidine rings and between the acid groups and water molecules, resulting in a layered sheet structure. The asymmetric unit contains two molecules of the carboxylic acid and two water molecules.

Comment

Pyrimidines, especially those incorporating the uracil functional group, are known for their applications in biomedical studies (Iltzsch & Tankersley, 1994; Javaid *et al.*, 1999), for example the use of uracil derivatives as antithyroid drugs as well as enzyme inhibitors. The study of the title compound, (I), may offer useful leads in relation to previously studied uracil compounds, helping to improve and further our understanding of drug design.



It is known that the 5–6 double bond of uracil and uracil derivatives such as thiouracils is particularly reactive, especially towards nucleophiles; the range of reagents used for selective reduction of the carbonyl functional group at position 5 of the aromatic ring without reducing the double bond is relatively small. It is also possible to reduce the carbonyl ester at position 5 of the ring without affecting the aromaticity (5–6 double bond) of the ring. The choice of reaction medium has been found to be of importance (Gosh *et al.*, 1984; Pal, 1978), as it has been established that the reactivity of a carboxylic acid is greater than that of an olefinic bond towards certain reagents in tetrahydrofuran (THF). Hence, as well as the

 \odot 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

Received 7 May 2004 Accepted 20 May 2004 Online 29 May 2004



Figure 1

An ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 2001) diagram of (I), showing 50% probability ellipsoids and the atom-labeling scheme. Hydrogen-bonding interactions are shown as dashed lines.

solubility factor influencing the choice of the reaction medium, THF was the reagent of choice for the conversion of the ester functional group to the corresponding acid and alcohol.

The asymmetric unit of the title compound contains two molecules of the carboxylic acid and two water molecules. Bond lengths and angles are typically in accord with values for known uracil compounds. The C–O bond lengths are in the range 1.216 (3)–1.307 (3) Å, while the aromatic C–C and C–N distances are in the range 1.345 (3)–1.452 (3) Å.

Inspection of the structure shows hydrogen-bonding interactions with the surrounding water molecules. These interactions cause stacking of the molecules, giving a layered sheet structure (Figs. 2 and 3). Examination of the structure (Fig. 1) shows that there are intermolecular hydrogen-bond interactions between the acid groups and the water molecules [O2- $H1\cdots O9 = 2.537$ (3) Å and $O6-H7\cdots O10 = 2.502$ (4) Å]. The exceptionally long O2-H1 and O6-H7 distances [1.15 (3) Å] indicate that the acid protons are probably delocalized between O2 and O9, and between O6 and O10. There are also intermolecular $N-H\cdots O$ interactions between neighbouring pyrimidine moieties.

It is interesting to note that the hydrogen at the end of the acid group does not form intramolecular hydrogen bond with the oxygen on the pyrimidine ring. Instead it forms intermolecular bonds with the water molecules that are present.

Study of the two-dimensional cross-section in Fig. 3 shows the layering and the sheet-like formation. The shortest out-ofplane distance of the centroid of one pyrimidine ring to the mean plane of the next pyrimidine ring sitting above or below it is 3.104 (5) Å. The undulation of the sheets is caused by the rather flexible and large carbonyl functional groups attached to the aromatic ring, which is otherwise approximately planar. The two acid molecules are found to be significantly different



Figure 2 Packing diagram of (I), viewed along the c axis.

in conformation. The dihedral angle between the planar pyrimidine ring and the protruding acid group is 12.24 (9)° for one (C2/C3/N1/C4/N2/C5 and C2/C1/O1/O2) and 1.24 (8)° for the other (C7/C8/N4/C9/N3/C10 and C7/C6/O5/O6). Neighbouring symmetry-independent pyrimidine rings are not exactly coplanar, the dihedral angle being 12.28 (7)°; these effects together produce the slight wave in the structure.





Experimental

In an attempt to produce a lanthanide complex of ethyl 2,4-dioxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate, (II), the title compound was produced. Compound (II) (0.50 g, 2.71 mmol) was dissolved in THF, terbium nitrate hexahydrate (0.62 g, 1.35 mmol) was added and the resulting solution stirred for 24 h at ambient temperature. The title compound was obtained by slow evaporation of the reaction mixture. Analysis found: C 38.43, H 2.58, N 17.91%; calc for C₅H₄N₂O₄: C 38.46, H 2.56, N 17.95%.

Crystal data

 $C_5H_4N_2O_4 \cdot H_2O$ $M_r = 174.11$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ a = 7.876 (2) Å b = 20.352 (4) Å c = 8.664 (2) Å $\beta = 101.87 \ (1)^{\circ}$ $V = 1359.1 (5) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 8

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: none 16 902 measured reflections 3154 independent reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F R = 0.045wR = 0.047S = 1.093154 reflections 265 parameters

 $D_x = 1.702 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Cell parameters from 16 902 reflections $\theta = 1.0-27.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.16~\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 (1) KBlock, pale yellow $0.16 \times 0.16 \times 0.08 \ \mathrm{mm}$

2050 reflections with $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$
$R_{\rm int} = 0.032$
$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$
$h = -10 \rightarrow 10$
$k = -26 \rightarrow 23$
$l = -11 \rightarrow 10$

All H-atom parameters refined
$w = 1/[0.0002F_o^2 + \sigma(F_o^2) + 0.015]$
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.29 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.24 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1 Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
O2-H1···O9	1.15 (3)	1.39 (3)	2.537 (3)	175 (3)
$N2-H3\cdots O5^{i}$	0.87 (4)	1.91 (4)	2.775 (3)	174 (3)
$N1 - H4 \cdots O7$	0.88 (2)	1.98 (2)	2.852 (3)	170 (2)
O9−H5···O4 ⁱⁱ	0.90 (5)	1.94 (4)	2.826 (3)	171 (4)
O9−H6···O6 ⁱⁱ	0.95 (4)	2.16 (4)	2.932 (3)	137 (3)
O9−H6···O7 ⁱⁱ	0.95 (4)	2.00 (4)	2.795 (3)	140 (3)
O6−H7···O10	1.15 (3)	1.36 (3)	2.502 (4)	170 (3)
N3−H9···O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.83 (2)	1.96 (2)	2.768 (3)	168 (3)
$N4-H10\cdots O3$	0.87 (4)	2.03 (4)	2.886 (3)	169 (3)
$O10-H11\cdots O2^{iv}$	0.92 (4)	2.26 (4)	2.947 (3)	131 (3)
O10−H11···O3 ^{iv}	0.92 (4)	1.97 (4)	2.776 (3)	145 (4)
$O10-H12\cdots O8^{iv}$	0.95 (4)	1.85 (4)	2.795 (3)	174 (4)
a	1 1			1.2 (1.)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (ii) 1 + x, y, 1 + z; (iii) $1 - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} - z$; (iv) x - 1, y, z - 1.

All H atoms were located in difference Fourier syntheses and were refined isotropically.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: SAINT and CrystalStructure (Rigaku/ MSC, 2003); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: CRYSTALS (Watkin et al., 1996); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 2001); software used to prepare material for publication: CrystalStructure.

References

- Bruker (1998). SMART. Version 5.059. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA
- Bruker (1999). SAINT. Version 6.01. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2001). ORTEP-3 for Windows. Version 1.075. University of Glasgow, Scotland.
- Gosh, C., Schmidt, D. G. & Pal, B. C. (1984). J. Org. Chem. 49, 5256-5257.
- Iltzsch, M. H. & Tankersley, K. O. (1994). Biochem. Pharmacol. 48, 781-791. Javaid, Z. Z., el Kouni, M. H. & Iltzsch, M. H. (1999). Biochem. Pharmacol. 58, 1457-1465.
- Pal, B. C. (1978). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 100, 5170-5174.
- Rigaku/MSC (2003). CrystalStructure. Version 3.5.1. Rigaku/MSC, 9009 New Trails Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Watkin, D. J., Prout, C. K. Carruthers, J. R. & Betteridge, P. W. (1996). CRYSTALS.Issue 10. Chemical Crystallography Lab., Oxford, England.

Law, Szeto and Wong • C₅H₄N₂O₄·H₂O