# Persistent homology analysis of brain artery trees Paul Bendich<sup>\*</sup>, J.S. Marron<sup>†</sup>, Ezra Miller<sup>\*</sup>, Alex Pieloch<sup>\*</sup>, and Sean Skwerer<sup>†</sup>

23 November 2014

#### Abstract

New representations of tree-structured data objects, using ideas from topological data analysis, enable improved statistical analyses of a population of brain artery trees. A number of representations of each data tree arise from persistence diagrams that quantify branching and looping of vessels at multiple scales. Novel approaches to the statistical analysis, through various summaries of the persistence diagrams, lead to heightened correlations with covariates such as age and sex, relative to earlier analyses of this data set. The correlation with age continues to be significant even after controlling for correlations from earlier significant summaries.

# 1 Introduction

In the modern era of large, complex data, statistical analysis is particularly challenging when the sample points are not vectors but rather objects with more intrinsic structure. In the present case, each data point is a tree, embedded in 3-dimensional space, with additional attributes such as thickness. Background and additional information concerning these data objects, which represent arteries in human brains (isolated via magnetic resonance imaging [3]), occupy Section 2. Earlier analyses of this data set have correlated certain features with age and produced hints of sex effects (Section 2.1).

Topological data analysis (TDA), implemented here using persistent homology, reveals anatomical insights unavailable from earlier approaches to this data set (Section 3). In particular, TDA shows age to be correlated with certain measures of how brain arteries bend through space (Sections 3.1 and 3.2). This contrasts with a previous study [9] that correlates age with total artery length, and furthermore the TDA correlations are independent of that earlier one (Section 3.3). TDA in our context also finds stronger sex effects than the only other study [31] to find any sex difference at all (Section 3.4).

To quantify the bending of arteries through space, we rely on persistent homology (Section 4), which we review from scratch. One of our methods records how the connectedness of the subset of the vessels beneath a given horizontal plane changes as the plane rises from below the brain to above it (Section 4.1). Another of our methods records the evolution of independent loops contained in the  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of the tree as  $\varepsilon$  increases from 0 to  $\infty$  (Section 4.2). In either method, for each tree the topological information is recorded in a persistence diagram, which is a finite set of points above the main diagonal in the positive quadrant of the Cartesian plane. These diagrams are turned into feature vectors in a variety of ways, resulting in several statistical analyses, detailed in Section 5.

This work fits into the framework of Object Oriented Data Analysis (OODA, [33], and also [24] for a current overview). In particular, the concept of *data object* here serves as a platform for interdisciplinary discussion of a type that lies at the core of complicated data analyses. The transition

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Department of Statistics and Operations Research, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC



Figure 1: Tree of arteries from the brain of one person, showing one data object. Thickest arteries appear near the bottom. Arteries bend, twist, and branch through three dimensions, which results in meaningful aspects of the data being captured by persistent homology representations.

from 3-dimensional tubular image to persistence diagram to feature vector, with only the latter being amenable to standard linear statistics, demonstrates the power of the notion of data object to incorporate data *representation*, in contrast to the concept of *experimental unit* in [23].

# 2 Brain artery trees

Each data point in this study is the tree of arteries in the brain of one person, collected by tube-tracking vessel segmentation from 3-dimensional Magnetic Resonance Angiography (MRA) images followed by a combination of automatic and manual assembly into trees. Aylward and Bullitt [3] and Aydin et al. [2] describe and discuss this process. A visual (2-dimensional) rendering of one such reconstructed tree is shown in Figure 1. The full data set consists of n = 98 such trees from people whose ages range from 18 to 72 years old. While the long-term goal of study is to develop methods for exploring stroke tendency, and perhaps to develop diagnostics for brain cancer based on vasculature, pathological cases were deliberately excluded from the Handle dataset, with the idea of first understanding variation in the population of non-pathological cases. The only remaining interesting covariates are age and sex; understanding correlations with these is the central goal of this study.

## 2.1 Earlier analyses

Among the earlier analyses of this and closely related data sets, the first was by Bullitt, et al. in [9], who studied simple summaries of each data tree, such as overall branch length and also average branch thickness, which were both seen to be significantly correlated with age. A clear avenue for refinement of that approach is to make better use of the large amount of additional information available in this rich data set, such as the tree topologies, and also the multiple individual branch locations, structures, widths, and so on. Early approaches to this, such as [33] or [2], chose to focus solely on the combinatorics of the branching structure, ignoring other aspects such as thickness or the geometry of the 3-dimensional embedding. The latter paper found statistically significant age effects. These age effects were studied more deeply using the notion of tree smoothing developed by Wang et al. in [34].

A much different approach to this data set was taken by Shen et al. in [31], based on representations of planar binary trees via Dyck paths, an early appearance of which came in probability theory of branching processes [18]. The bijection represents each planar binary tree as a function, allowing application of standard asymptotic methods when trees are viewed as random objects. Adaption to the brain artery tree dataset had the goal of making available the large array of methods available for Functional Data Analysis (FDA), where the data objects are curves such as graphs of univariate functions; see [30, 29]. Dyck path analysis of the brain tree data found more significant correlation with age as well as the first indication of a significant sex effect.

A drawback of the above approaches to tree data analysis is that they require 2-dimensional embedding of the given 3-dimensional tree structure, as noted in Section 2.1 of [2] and Section 2.1 of Shen et al. [31]. For each non-leaf node, a choice must be made as to which child node goes on the left and which goes on the right (if the tree is not binary, then an ordering of the node's children is required). While ad hoc methods were used to reasonable effect in those papers, it is natural to suspect that they result in loss of statistical efficiency. This issue can be seen as an instance of the *correspondence problem*: planar embedding necessarily violates any consistent, anatomically meaningful assignment of 3-dimensional features across objects in this dataset.

An approach to overcoming this problem is based on the concept of phylogenetic tree from evolutionary biology; see [19] for a good introduction to this area, and [8] for an insightful mathematical treatment. A major challenge in applying this idea to a set of brain artery trees is that phylogenetic trees require a fixed underlying set of leaves, while brain artery trees have leaves that appear where the vessel thickness passes below the imaging resolution of MRA, locations of which vary across cases. One way to resolve this problem was implemented by Skwerer et al. [32], who used additional cortical surface information plus a correspondence technique to produce a common set of landmarks, which became the leaves. That paper went on to find statistically significant age and gender effects, some of which were stronger than those previously found.

For additional treatments of tree-structured data objects that did not analyze this data set, Feragen et al. [15] developed an approach that avoids both the planar embedding and fixed-leaf-set problems, and Nye [27] invented an analogue of principal component analysis for phylogenetic trees. Hotz et al. [20], followed by Barden et al. [4, 5] have investigated surprising non-standard central limit theory in phylogenetic tree spaces.

# **3** Persistent homology analysis of brain arteries

The present investigation takes a completely different approach, using topological data analysis (TDA); see [14] for a good introduction to that set of ideas. We postpone to Sections 4 and 5 a review of precise definitions of key terms from TDA and the detailed extraction of useful features for statistical analysis. In this section, we confine ourselves to a loose, intuitive description of these features, and we describe the striking age and sex effects found using this new feature set. We also demonstrate that these effects are independent of coarser geometric measures, such as total artery length or average branch thickness, used in the earliest analyses.

## 3.1 Intuition

The methodology developed here provides a direct and quantitative description, in the form of numerical features usable for statistical analysis, of the way arterial structure occupies space within the 3-dimensional geometry of the brain. Although a full description is deferred to the next section, we illustrate some of what this means here, with the aid of the tree in Figure 2.

First, looking near the bottom of Figure 2, notice a large S-shaped bend in the arterial structure. Bends such as these, and other much tighter bends, occur throughout the tree. The technique of zero-dimensional persistent homology locates these bends and measures their sizes. TDA summarizes



Figure 2: A MATLAB rendering of the brain artery tree of Patient 1. Highlighted in pink is one of the loops formed by thickening the artery tree within the brain. Also found are some of the loops and bends made by the artery tree within the 3-dimensional geometry of the brain.

these sizes as a sequence  $p_1 > p_2 > \cdots$  of non-negative numbers, where  $p_i$  is the size of the *i*-th largest bend in a particular brain.

For a different flavor of geometry, imagine gradually thickening each artery so that the tree begins to fill the 3-dimensional space containing it. Loops start to form (for example, the one outlined in pink in the figure) and then eventually fill in. The time between when a loop forms and when it fills in is called its persistence. The technique of one-dimensional persistent homology locates these loops and measures their persistences, resulting in another sequence  $q_1 > q_2 > \cdots$  of non-negative numbers.

Rigorous definitions of the above terms are given in the next two sections. For the rest of this section, we suppress such details and focus only on the analysis of features derived from persistence.

## 3.2 Age effects

Figure 3 depicts a first population level view of the sets of numbers  $p_1, \ldots, p_{100}$  across the entire data set of n = 98 brain trees. Two out of three panels in each row contain a set of n = 98 overlaid curves, each of which is a parallel coordinates plot (see [21] for an overview of this graphical device): the coordinates of each data vector are plotted as heights on the vertical axis as a function of the index, in this case  $i = 1, \ldots, 100$ . Color denotes age via a rainbow scheme starting with magenta for the youngest (19), ranging smoothly through blue, green, yellow to red for the oldest (79). The upper left panel shows the data curves, which already exhibit potential age structure in this sample, with the younger people tending to appear near the top, and the older people near the bottom.

As elegantly illustrated in [30, 29], Principal Component Analysis (PCA) can reveal deeper structure in a sample of curves; see [22] for an introduction to that method in general. PCA starts at the center of the data: the mean shown as a curve in the top center panel. Variation about the mean is studied through the mean residuals, which are the data curves minus the mean, shown in the top right panel. PCA next investigates *modes of variation* by finding orthogonal projections in the curve space that represent maximal amounts of variation. Projections corresponding to the first PC are shown in the left panel of the second row, which gives a more focused impression of younger people on the top, and older people near the bottom. In PCA terminology, this is called a *loadings plot*, because



Figure 3: PCA of vector representations. Raw data, mean and mean residuals are in the top row. Other rows show loadings and scores for the first 3 PCs, i.e. modes of variation. Rainbow colors indicate age. Correlation of PC1 and age is apparent, with warmer colors generally at the bottom and cooler colors generally at the top.

each curve is merely a multiple of the first eigenvector, whose entries are called loadings. The shape of the curve gives insight concerning this component (mode of variation). In this case the variation is all values moving in unison, being either large or small together. The center plot in the second row shows the remaining variation, after subtracting the first component from the centered residuals. Careful study of the vertical axes shows there is much less variation present. The second row right panel shows the PC1 scores as horizontal coordinates of points (with age ordering for the vertical coordinates) with corresponding rainbow colors, which are the coefficients of the projections shown in the left panel. These show a clear correlation between age and PC1.

The second PC explains as much variation in the data as possible among directions orthogonal to PC1. This shows the second major mode of variation in the data, which differs in how quickly the values taper off. Similarly for PC3 (orthogonal to both earlier directions) in the bottom row. Note that neither PC2 nor PC3 seems to have much visual connection with age, suggesting that most age effects have been captured by PC1.

Figure 4 depicts an alternate PCA view of the data. This is a *scores scatterplot*: the scores are the coefficients of the projections. Here each symbol represents a person (same rainbow coding for age



Figure 4: Scatterplot of PC1 vs. PC2. Shows joint distribution of scores. Main lesson is PC1 appears strongly correlated with age, but not PC2 does not.

color scheme as in Figure 3), with the PC1 score plotted on the vertical axis, and the PC2 score on the horizontal. This scatterplot is the most variable two-dimensional projection of the data and thus is generally useful for understanding relationships between data objects. In the current case, again the rainbow color suggests an age gradient in the horizontal (PC1) direction. Figure 4 also allows study of sex using symbols, with females represented by circles and males by plus signs. No apparent visual gender differences can be seen here, but it is important to keep in mind this is only a two-dimensional view of a 100-dimensional data space. This issue is explored more deeply at the end of this section.

A more direct study of the correlation between PC1 and age appears in Figure 5, which plots the PC1 score as a function of age. The correlation is visually present, and the Pearson correlation of  $\rho = 0.53$  reflects it. A simple Gaussian-based hypothesis test against the null hypothesis of no correlation shows a strongly significant *p*-value  $< 10^{-7}$ .



Figure 5: PC1 vs. age for the zero-dimensional topological features verifies strong correlation of PC1 with age.

The same analysis can be repeated for the loop-persistence-based numbers  $q_1, \ldots q_{100}$ . Omitting the lengthy development above, the key result can be seen in Figure 6, namely that the correlation between PC1 and age is even stronger for this feature set:  $\rho = 0.61$  with a *p*-value  $< 10^{-10}$ .



Figure 6: PC1 vs. age for the one-dimensional topological features exhibits even stronger correlation.

## 3.3 Total artery length

Early exploration of these data by Bullitt et al. [9] demonstrated that younger patients tend to have longer total artery length L, a statement that might lead (as it led us) to justifiable skepticism about the novelty of our findings. More precisely, we quantify the sizes of artery bends (and loops) at different scales, and these sizes could plausibly be controlled by the total artery length of the tree: speaking loosely, an attempt to jam a longer tree into the same skull would naturally lead to more bending behavior.

To ensure we were not merely applying a complicated TDA machine to detect a simple geometric phenomenon, we performed a more sophisticated analysis. For each *i*, linear regression between the variables  $p_i$  and *L* yields a residual  $\hat{p}_i$ . Replacing  $p_i$  by  $\hat{p}_i$  in the analysis from Section 3.2 results in an equally strong Pearson correlation of  $\rho = 0.52$ , with a *p*-value on the order of  $10^{-8}$ .

Geometrically motivated methods to control for effects of total artery length yield similarly negligible increases or decreases in Pearson correlation and *p*-value. These methods simply divide the numbers  $p_i$  by (i) L or (ii)  $\sqrt{L}$  or (iii)  $\sqrt[3]{L}$  before running the analysis in Section 3.2. The exponents on L correspond to physical models where vessel length (i) scales according to total linear skull size, (ii) has constant flux (i.e. number of arteries passing) through each unit of cross-sectional area, or (iii) remains constant per unit volume.

The strength and significance of correlation after controlling for total length breaks new ground in the analysis of the brain artery data. In particular, the persistent homology analysis here is sensitive to genuine multi-scale geometrical structure in the arterial systems, and does not simply reflect coarse size aspects of the data.

Controlling for total length in the one-dimensional persistence analysis from Section 3.2 yields decidedly weaker (but still non-negligible) age correlation: replacing the  $q_i$  features with their residuals  $\hat{q}_i$ , after running a linear regression between each  $q_i$  and L, results in Pearson correlation  $\rho = 0.35$ .

## 3.4 Sex effects

Also of interest is an investigation of potential differences in brain artery structure between the sexes. Figure 4 provides a preliminary view: male cases are indicated with a plus sign, and females are shown as circles. As noted in Section 3.2, meaningful sex difference is not apparent in this plot, perhaps because PC1 seems to be driven more by the independent age difference. However, in highdimensional data analysis, simple visualization of the first few PC components is frequently revealed



Figure 7: Illustration of DiProPerm results on the zero-dimensional persistence features. The left panel shows the result of projecting the data onto the direction vector determined by the means, suggesting some difference. The results of the permutation test are shown on the right, with the proportion of simulated differences that are bigger than that observed in the original data giving an empirical *p*-value.

to be an inadequate method of understanding all important aspects of such data, because it is driven entirely by variation, which can be a different goal.

A way to focus on desired effects in higher dimension is to calculate the arithmetic mean of the vectors  $(p_1, \ldots, p_{100})$  corresponding to male subjects, to do the same for the female subjects, and then compute the Euclidean distance between these means in  $\mathbb{R}^{100}$ . The size of this mean difference alone does not tell much as a raw number, but a simple permutation test on the mean-difference statistic reveals more: randomly reassign the 98 vectors into two groups of equal size, compute the difference between the means of the two groups, and repeat this procedure 1000 times. This method has been called DiProPerm in [35], and is illustrated in Figure 7.

In our test, 119 of the reassignments led to a larger mean-difference than the original male–female split, giving an estimated *p*-value of 0.1, which is not impressive. However, we then repeated the entire procedure for the loop-vectors  $(q_1, \ldots, q_{100})$ , and found a more compelling *p*-value of 0.032.

In Section 5, we demonstrate that a more thorough analysis of feature selection results in even lower p-values for sex difference. These results are stronger than those in [31], which is the only other study to find a statistically significant sex difference in this data set.

# 4 Topological data analysis methods

We now give a more thorough discussion of topological data analysis, in particular the zero- and one-dimensional persistence diagrams, from which the features  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  in Section 3 are extracted. Put briefly, a persistence diagram provides a compact two-dimensional record of the geometric and topological changes that occur as an object in space is built in stages.

A rigorous description of persistence diagrams in their most general context requires background from algebraic topology. Fortunately, the applications in this paper involve the simplest type of persistence diagram, which tracks the appearance and disappearance of connected components in a filtered graph, as well as a slightly more complicated diagram, which tracks the formation and destruction of loops in a thickening object. This section contains a fully rigorous description of the first type of diagram, a broadly intuitive description of the second, and the details of how our initial artery tree data objects produce both types. Interpretation of these diagrams across a population requires the statistical analysis outlined in Section 5, but the current section demonstrates, via a few examples, the major features they are meant to isolate.

For more information on persistence diagrams in general, see [14] or [10]. For a fully detailed, rigorous exposition of what we outline here, see [11].



Figure 8: On the left, a graph G. The function h measures height in the vertical direction, and the persistence diagram  $\text{Dgm}_0(h)$  is shown on the right. The coordinates of the dots are, reading from right to left,  $(h(A), \infty), (h(B), h(H)), (h(C), h(F)), \text{ and } (h(D), h(E)).$ 

## 4.1 Height functions and connected components

#### 4.1.1 Graphs and critical values

Let G be a graph [36], which loosely speaking is a set V of vertices with specified pairs from V joined by edges. Fix a real-valued function  $h: V \to \mathbb{R}$ . For simplicity of exposition, assume h(v) = h(w)only if v = w. As a working example, let G be the graph embedded in the plane as shown on the left side of Figure 8, and let h(v) be the height of vertex v as measured in the vertical direction. Extend h to a function on the edge set by setting  $h((v, w)) = \max(h(v), h(w))$  for each edge (v, w) of G.

The persistence diagram  $\text{Dgm}_0(h)$  takes G and h as input and returns as output a multi-scale summary of the component evolution of the threshold sets of h. This output is robust with respect to small perturbations of h [12, Section 3]. We now explain in more detail what this means.

For each real number r, define G(r) to be the full subgraph on the vertices with h-value at most r. For example, in Figure 8, G(r) is empty if r < h(A) and G(r) = G whenever  $r \ge h(H)$ . The graph G itself consists of only one connected component, but we are far more interested in what happens for values of r between h(A) and h(H).

To be precise, label the vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_N$  by ascending order of *h*-value, choose real numbers  $r_i$ such that  $h(v_i) < r_i < h(v_{i+1})$ , and set  $G(i) = G(r_i)$ . Define the *lower link* L(i) of the vertex  $v_i$  to be the set of vertices adjacent to  $v_i$  that have lower *h*-value than  $v_i$  does. Persistent homology records how the number  $\beta_0(i)$  of connected components of G(i) changes as *i* increases.

Observe that G has a nested sequence of subgraphs, starting with the empty subgraph,

$$\emptyset = G(0) \subset G(1) \subset G(2) \subset \dots \subset G(N) = G.$$
(1)

New components appear and then join with older components as the threshold parameter increases. For the graph in Figure 8, four snapshots in this evolution appear in Figure 9.

If  $\beta_0(i) = \beta_0(i-1)$ , then  $h(v_i)$  is a regular value; this happens precisely when L(i) is a single vertex. Otherwise,  $h(v_i)$  is a critical value. In Figure 8, the critical values are the *h*-values of the letter-labeled vertices.

When  $h(v_i)$  is a critical value, precisely one of the following two things happens when passing from G(i-1) to G(i).



Figure 9: Four threshold sets for the function shown in Figure 8, with increasing threshold value from left to right. The component born at the far left only dies as it enters the far right, while the much shorter-lived component born left of center dies entering the very next step.

- $\beta_0(i) = \beta_0(i-1) + 1$ : this happens when L(i) is empty. In this case, a new component  $C_i$  is born at  $h(v_i)$ , and we associate  $C_i$  with  $v_i$  for the rest of its existence. The first birth in our example happens at h(A), where the threshold graph changes from the empty set to a single vertex. Subsequent component births can be seen in the far left and center left of Figure 9.
- $\beta_0(i) = \beta_0(i-1) k$  for some integer  $k \ge 1$ : this happens when L(i) consists of k+1 vertices. In this case, k components die at  $h(v_i)$ ; the only one that remains alive is the one associated to the vertex in L(i) with lowest h-value. For example, referring again to Figure 9, the components born at the far left and center left die when entering the far right and center right, respectively.

#### 4.1.2 Persistence diagrams

The evolution of connected components is compactly summarized in the persistence diagram  $\text{Dgm}_0(h)$ . This is a multiset of dots in the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , meaning that each dot occurs with positive integer (or infinite) multiplicity. A dot of multiplicity k at the planar point (a, b) indicates that k components are born at h-value a and die at h-value b. A component that is born at a but never dies corresponds to a dot  $(a, \infty)$  in the diagram, so  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is extended to allow such points. All of the dots in  $\text{Dgm}_0$  lie above the major diagonal y = x, since birth must always precede death. For technical reasons that will become clear below, we also add a dot of infinite multiplicity at each point (x, x) on the major diagonal itself. The diagram for our example is on the right side of Figure 8.

The *persistence* of a dot u = (a, b) is defined to be pers(u) = b - a, the vertical distance to the major diagonal. Each such dot corresponds to a component C that

- is not present in any of the graphs before threshold value a,
- exists as its own independent component for every threshold value between a and b, and
- joins with another component, born at or before a, exactly at the threshold value b.

Hence the persistence b - a indicates the lifetime of this feature as an independent component. The actual geometric meaning of this lifetime can vary. For example, in Figures 8 and 9, the small-persistence dot u = (h(D), h(E)) points to the existence of a small "wobble" in the graph, as seen by the height function h. On the other hand, the large-persistence dot v = (h(C), h(F)) reflects an arm of the tree that is very long, again as measured in the vertical direction.

A general heuristic for interpreting these diagrams is to say that the smaller the persistence of a dot is, the more likely its corresponding feature is to be caused by measurement error or other noise. A small change in the values of h, for example, could remove the small wobble that u indicates. This



Figure 10: On the left, functions f and g, shown in black and red, respectively. On the right, their persistence diagrams, with the same color scheme. The optimal bijection between  $Dgm_0(g)$  and  $Dgm_0(f)$  matches the three high-persistence dots together, and matches the extra red low-persistence dots to the black diagonal.

interpretation can be given more rigor by the Stability Theorem described below. That said, there is no guarantee of persistence being correlated with importance, just with reliability. Indeed, one of the findings in Section 5 is that dots of not-particularly-high persistence have the most distinguishing power in our specific application.

#### 4.1.3 Stability

We have outlined a tool that takes as input a real-valued function h on a graph and returns a diagram  $Dgm_0(h)$  as output. This tool would be useless if it were not robust to small changes in the input function. For example, consider the left side of Figure 10, which shows two functions f (black) and g (red) defined on the same interval; in this case, imagine that G is a simple path and the curves drawn indicate function values as height. Since g is just a noisy version of f, data analysis would fail to be robust if  $Dgm_0(g)$  differed too greatly from  $Dgm_0(f)$ . Examining the right side of the same figure, the major features of the two diagrams are very close, the only difference being three extra red dots that lie very close to the diagonal, corresponding to the low-persistence wobbles in the red function.

There are several metrics on the set of all persistence diagrams, but all of them see these diagrams as being close to each other. For example, let D and D' be two diagrams and choose a number  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . For each bijection  $\phi : D \to D'$ , define its cost to be

$$C_p(\phi) = \left(\sum_{u \in D} ||u - \phi(u)||_p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Such bijections always exist, due to the infinite multiplicity of every diagonal dot in each diagram. The *p*-th Wasserstein distance  $W_p(D, D')$  between the two diagrams is the infimum cost  $C_p(\phi)$  as  $\phi$  ranges over all possible bijections. Many technical results (for example, [12, 13]) all basically say that, under mild conditions,  $W_p(\text{Dgm}_0(f), \text{Dgm}_0(g)) \leq K||f-g||_{\infty}$ .

## 4.2 Thickening and loops

Having focused the previous discussion on connected components, we now turn to the other type of persistence diagram used in our analysis. Let  $\mathbb{Y}$  be a compact subset of some Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^D$ . For each non-negative  $\alpha$ , define  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha}$  to be the set of points in  $\mathbb{R}^D$  whose distance from  $\mathbb{Y}$  is at most  $\alpha$ . As  $\alpha$  increases, loops appear and then subsequently fill in. Just as above, plot the birth and death times of each loop as dots in the plane, and call the multiset of all such dots the *one-dimensional persistence diagram*  $\mathrm{Dgm}_1(\mathbb{Y})$ .



Figure 11: Point cloud to persistence diagram

#### 4.2.1 Example

Suppose that  $\mathbb{Y}$  is the point cloud shown on the left of Figure 11. Each  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha}$  is the union of closed balls of radius  $\alpha$  centered at the points of  $\mathbb{Y}$ ; one such thickening is shown in the middle of the same figure. The persistence diagram  $\mathrm{Dgm}_1(\mathbb{Y})$  is on the right.

To explain the diagram, pretend that  $\mathbb{Y}$  has been sampled from an underlying space suggested by the point cloud. The two dots of highest persistence correspond to the two larger loops; the one with later birth time corresponds to the leftmost loop, which reflects the sparser density of sampling there. The smaller loops are indicated by the two dots of next highest persistence. Finally, the group of dots that sit almost on the diagonal are caused by little loops that quickly come and go as the points thicken, as the result of holes between small, increasingly overlapping convex sets; dots like these would appear no matter what shape underlies the point cloud.

## 4.2.2 Stability

As with connected components, these loop diagrams are stable with respect to perturbations of the input, in the following sense. The Hausdorff distance  $d_H(\mathbb{Y}, \mathbb{Y}')$  between two compact sets is the smallest  $\epsilon$  such that  $\mathbb{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{Y}'_{\epsilon}$  and  $\mathbb{Y}' \subseteq \mathbb{Y}_{\epsilon}$ . For example, the set  $\mathbb{Y}'$  (in red) on the left of Figure 12 is close to our original set  $\mathbb{Y}$  (in blue) in this metric. The work of Cohen-Steiner et al. ([12], [13]) implies that  $W_p(\mathrm{Dgm}_1(\mathbb{Y}), \mathrm{Dgm}_1(\mathbb{Y}')) \leq K \cdot d_H(\mathbb{Y}, \mathbb{Y}')$ , as illustrated on the right of this figure. A powerful consequence of this result arises when  $\mathbb{Y}'$  is a small but dense sub-sampling of  $\mathbb{Y}$ : stability ensures that the persistence diagram  $\mathrm{Dgm}_1(\mathbb{Y})$  can be well approximated by the diagram derived from the sub-sample, a fact we apply in our analysis of brain artery trees.

## 4.3 From trees to diagrams

For persistence via connected components, our function h on each tree T is height: the value h(v) at each vertex v = (x, y, z) is its third coordinate z, and on each edge (u, v) the value is  $h(u, v) = \max\{h(u), h(v)\}$ . We computed  $\text{Dgm}_0(h)$  as in Section 4.1, with a simple (and fast) union-find algorithm, running in  $O(N \log N)$ , where N is the number of vertices of T.

The running time for one-dimensional persistence is much slower, so we did not compute the fullresolution persistence diagrams  $\text{Dgm}_1(T)$  associated to the thickening of each tree T within the brain. Instead, we sub-sampled each tree branch to produce a set of 3000 total vertices per tree. In contrast, each tree in the original dataset has on the order of  $10^5$  vertices, spread among roughly 200–300 tree



Figure 12: Illustration of stability of persistence diagrams. Two point clouds (blue and red) that are close in Hausdorff distance are on the left, and their corresponding persistence diagrams are on the right.

branches. The stability theorem for persistent homology provides theoretical guarantees that our sub-sampling procedure does not cause great change to the resulting persistence diagrams.

Figure 13 shows the results of this analysis on the brain tree of a 24-year old subject: from left to right are the brain tree, the 0-dimensional diagram, and the 1-dimensional diagram. Compare this to Figure 14, which shows a 68-year old subject. Some qualitative differences might be noticed from these two diagrams, but to give them any quantitative backing requires actual statistical analysis of the diagram population, which we describe in the next section.



Figure 13: Persistent homology data objects from a 24-year old. Left: brain tree. Middle: zero-dimensional diagram. Right: one-dimensional diagram.



Figure 14: Persistent homology data objects from a 68-year old. Left: brain tree. Middle: zero-dimensional diagram. Right: one-dimensional diagram.

#### Detailed analysis of brain artery data $\mathbf{5}$

The methods in prior sections generate persistence diagrams to summarize brain artery trees. From there, statistical analysis can proceed either with further summarization or without. As the analysis in Section 3 shows, vector-based summaries can capture substantial structure while maintaining the possibility to apply the full range of standard statistical analyses. This section describes our approach in more detail and then examines the effect of changes in feature selection.

Our admittedly ad hoc method to turn diagrams into feature vectors is justified somewhat by the nature of the geometry it is intended to capture, but also by the excellent age and sex effects it reveals. Other approaches to the same problem include binning the plane and then turning each diagram into an integral vector consisting of bin counts, as in [6], or basing features on more sophisticated algebraic geometry, as advocated in [1].

We settled on vector-based analyses as a middle ground. In general, simple numerical summaries, such as total persistence or total number of dots, miss too much useful information to be potent. At the opposite extreme, it is possible to work directly populations of persistence diagrams, basing the analysis on metrics such as the Wasserstein metric  $W_p$  in Section 4.1.3. For example, Gamble and Heo [17] found interesting structure using multidimensional scaling with a  $W_p$ -dissimilarity matrix computed from a set of persistence diagrams, each one associated with a set of landmarks on a single tooth. One could go further, using methods such as the Fréchet mean approach of Mileyko et al. [25] to find the center of the data followed by multidimensional scaling to analyze variation about the mean. We opted not to go that route because computation of the  $W_n$ -metric is generally very expensive. Another possibility, which we have not yet investigated, would be to use Bubenik's theory of persistence landscapes [7] to translate the problem into one of functional data analysis.

**Initial approach.** For each of the n = 98 zero-dimensional persistence diagrams, we computed the persistence of each dot; recall a dot has coordinates (b, d), where b is birth and d is death, and that its persistence is d-b. We then sorted these persistences in descending order, and picked the first 100 to produce a vector  $(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{100})$  for each brain. In other words, the *i*-th coordinate of this vector represents the size of the *i*-th largest "bend" in the brain, as measured in the vertical direction. The same procedure on the one-dimensional diagrams led to the vectors  $(q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_{100})$ , so that the number  $q_i$  represents the size of the *j*-th most persistent loop in the brain. Both sets of vectors were used in the age and sex analyses in Section 3.

**Feature scale.** Are the observed age correlations being driven more by the high-persistence features or by the lower-scale ones? In addition, does restricting to the 100 most persistent dots miss useful information? To pursue these questions, we created the following sets of vectors, for each pair of positive integers  $n < N \leq 200$ :

- $\mathbf{p}_{n,N} = (p_n, \dots, p_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-n+1},$   $\mathbf{q}_{n,N} = (q_n, \dots, q_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-n+1}.$

Using this notation, the original vectors used in our analysis were  $\mathbf{p}_{1,100}$  and  $\mathbf{q}_{1,100}$ .

Extensive analysis of this feature set led to the heat map shown in Figure 15. The horizontal and vertical axes indicate n and N, respectively, while the color at coordinates (n, N) shows the age correlation value  $\rho(n, N)$  obtained by running our analysis on the vectors  $\mathbf{p}_{n,N}$ . Color in the lower diagonal part of the plot codes correlation, ranging from very dark red (lowest) through hotter colors to white (highest correlation). The bottom of the color range is 0.29 and the top is 0.56, chosen to maximize use of the color scale.



Figure 15: Age correlation heat map for features extracted from zero-dimensional persistent homology analysis. Color indicates the value of the function  $\rho(n, N)$ , which is the age correlation derived from the vectors  $\mathbf{p}_{n,N}$ , with n on the horizontal axis and N on the vertical. The upper-right black triangle is meaningless, as n > N does not lead to a vector in our scheme.

Figure 15 contains a lot of useful information. First, the small red area in the upper left indicates that the highest-persistence features alone had far less distinguishing power with respect to age; indeed, the two highest persistences  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  lead only to an age correlation of  $\rho = 0.26$ . On the other hand, the rest of the lower triangle shows a fairly uniform, and high, age correlation, leading to the surprising conclusion that one need only include some of the more medium-scale persistence features to obtain good age effects. In fact, the length of the 28-th longest bar alone is a numerical feature that yields near-optimal correlation. The same analysis performed on the one-dimensional features produced a very similar pattern, not shown here.

The medium scale at which age correlation is optimized suggests a reason why, in the initial stages of our connected component analysis (Section 4.1), we found negligible differences in the strength of correlation or significance upon filtering in various directions other than upward. Probably it is due to the stochastic nature of blood vessel formation in the brain at the relevant scale: while large features common to all human brains might have natural ventral-dorsal orientation—such might be the case for major arteries that branch from the circle of Willis and arch up to the top of the brain and back down—the medium-sized features driving the observed correlations are apparently random enough to be devoid of natural orientation, statistically speaking.

Recall from Section 3 that a permutation test on the vectors  $\mathbf{q}_{1,100}$  found a significant (p = 0.032)separation between male and female subjects. One can also calculate the sex-difference significance p(n, N) obtained by running an identical analysis on the vectors  $\mathbf{q}_{n,N}$ . The resulting pattern turns out to be similar to the findings for age correlation, but even more stark. Analyses that use only the most persistent loops do not give clear sex separation; for example, p(1, 2) is only 0.21. On the other hand, every single value of p(n, N) with N > 30 lands below the significance level of 0.05, with the minimum value being p(189, 192) = 0.013. The heat map in Figure 16 displays all of these values at once (darker is lower, and hence more significant), and the near-uniformity of the sex-difference significance is evident.



Figure 16: Sex difference significance heat map for features extracted from one-dimensional persistent homology analysis. Color indicates the value of the function p(n, N), which is the significance, derived via permuation test, of the difference between the male and the female vectors  $\mathbf{q}_{n,N}$ , with n on the horizontal axis and N on the vertical. The upper-right black triangle is meaningless, as n > N does not lead to a vector in our scheme. To provide good contrast between the values, a color scheme running from 0.1 (white) to 0 (black) was chosen. A few values are actually above 0.1 and are simply shown as white in this scheme.

# 6 Discussion

This paper takes analysis of the brain artery tree data in the entirely new direction of persistent homology. This topological analysis approach to data object representation gives stronger results than those from alternative representations used in earlier studies. This is the first study to find significant results even after controlling for total artery length.

The lessons here are intended to suggest the power of these tools, rather than to be anatomically conclusive, so multiple comparison issues have not been carefully accounted for. This serves to make the main ideas more accessible than they would be with a careful family-wise error rate, or false discovery rate analysis. Interesting future work is to apply these powerful new methods to other data sets of tree-structured (or otherwise 1-dimensional) objects. An important example of this is the airway data set of Feragan et al. [16].

Finally, we note that the original data objects under consideration in this paper were not the actual sets of arteries in 98 human brains; rather, they were the outputs of 98 runs of the tube-tracking algorithm from [2]. Like all algorithms that process raw data, that algorithm introduces artifacts, leading to the worry that analysis of its output data objects may be picking up more on error than on signal. In our case, this worry applies to the zero-dimensional analysis, which looks at component evolution in a given tree. In contrast, the loop analysis thickens a point sample from each tree into three-dimensional space, so the stability theorem for persistent homology ensures that replacing the given tree with a slightly modified version—even one whose connectivity properties differ from the output of the tube-tracking algorithm—does not cause great changes in the persistence diagram. An interesting new paper [26] uses persistent homology methods to aid in artifact-reduction in the actual "upstream" production of the artery trees. It would be valuable to run our analytical methods on these new data objects to see if significant changes result.

# Acknowledgments

Support was provided by NSF grant DMS-1001437 for Miller and by the Research Training Grant nsf-dms 1045133 for Pieloch. Ideas for thi paper arose from discussions at the Mathematical Biosciences Institute (MBI, DMS-0931642) and the Statistical and Applied Mathematical Sciences Institute (SAMSI, DMS-1127914). Pieloch thanks the Information Initiative at Duke (iiD) for hosting him during Summer 2014. The magnetic resonance brain images from healthy volunteers used in this paper were collected and made available by the CASILab at The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and were distributed by the MIDAS Data Server at Kitware, Inc.

# References

- A. Adcock, E. Carlsson, and G. Carlsson. The ring of algebraic functions on persistence bar codes. 2013. http://arXiv:math.RA/1304.0530
- [2] B. Aydın, G. Pataki, H. Wang, E. Bullitt, and J. S. Marron. A principal component analysis for trees. *The Annals of Applied Statistics*, 3(4):1597–1615, 2009.
- [3] Stephen R Aylward and Elizabeth Bullitt. Initialization, noise, singularities, and scale in height ridge traversal for tubular object centerline extraction. *Medical Imaging, IEEE Transactions on*, 21(2):61–75, 2002.
- [4] Dennis Barden, Huiling Le, and Megan Owen. Central limit theorems for Fréchet means in the space of phylogenetic trees. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 18(25):1–25, 2013.
- [5] Dennis Barden, Huiling Le, and Megan Owen. Limiting behaviour of Fréchet means in the space of phylogenetic trees. Preprint, 2014. arXiv:math.PR/1409.7602v1
- [6] Paul Bendich, Sang Chin, Jesse Clarke, John deSena, John Harer, Elizabeth Munch, Andrew Newman, David Porter, David Rouse, Nate Strawn, and Adam Watkins. Topological and statistical behavior classifiers for tracking applications. 2014. http://arXiv:cs.SY/1406.0214
- [7] Peter Bubenik. Statistical topological data analysis using persistence landscapes. Journal of Machine Learning Research, in press. http://arXiv:math.AT/1207.6437
- [8] L. J. Billera, S. P. Holmes, and K. Vogtmann. Geometry of the space of phylogenetic trees. Advances in Applied Mathematics, 27(4):733–767, 2001.
- [9] Elizabeth Bullitt, Keith E Muller, Inkyung Jung, Weili Lin, and Stephen Aylward. Analyzing attributes of vessel populations. *Medical image analysis*, 9(1):39–49, 2005.
- [10] Gunnar Carlsson. Topology and data. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 46(2):255– 308, January 2009.
- [11] Frédéric Chazal, David Cohen-Steiner, Marc Glisse, Leonidas J. Guibas, and Steve Y. Oudot. Proximity of persistence modules and their diagrams. In *Proceedings of the 25th annual symposium on Computational geometry*, SCG '09, pages 237–246, New York, NY, USA, 2009. ACM.
- [12] David Cohen-Steiner, Herbert Edelsbrunner, and John Harer. Stability of persistence diagrams. Discrete Comput. Geom., 37(1):103–120, January 2007.

- [13] David Cohen-Steiner, Herbert Edelsbrunner, John Harer, and Yuriy Mileyko. Lipschitz functions have l<sub>p</sub>-stable persistence. Found. Comput. Math., 10(2):127–139, February 2010.
- [14] Herbert Edelsbrunner and John Harer. Computational Topology: An Introduction. American Mathematical Society, 2010.
- [15] A. Feragen, F. Lauze, P. Lo, M. de Bruijne, and M. Nielsen. Geometries on spaces of treelike shapes. *Computer Vision–ACCV 2010*, pages 160–173, 2011.
- [16] Aasa Feragen, Pechin Lo, Marleen de Bruijne, Mads Nielsen, and François Lauze. Toward a theory of statistical tree-shape analysis. *Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, *IEEE Transactions* on, 35(8):2008–2021, 2013.
- [17] Jennifer Gamble and Giseon Heo. Exploring uses of persistent homology for statistical analysis of landmark-based shape data. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 101(9):2184–2199, 2010.
- [18] Theodore Edward Harris. First passage and recurrence distributions. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 73:471–486, 1952.
- [19] S. P. Holmes. Phylogenies: an overview. IMA Volumes in mathematics and its applications, 112:81–118, 1999.
- [20] T. Hotz, S. Huckemann, H. Le, J. S. Marron, J. C. Mattingly, E. Miller, J. Nolen, M. Owen, V. Patrangenaru, and S. Skwerer. Sticky central limit theorems on open books. 2012. http://arXiv: math.PR/1202.4267
- [21] Alfred Inselberg. Multidimensional detective. In Information Visualization, 1997. Proceedings., IEEE Symposium on, pages 100–107. IEEE, 1997.
- [22] I. Jolliffe. *Principal component analysis*. Wiley Online Library, 2005.
- [23] Xiaosun Lu, JS Marron, and Perry Haaland. Object oriented data analysis of cell images. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 109(506):548–559, 2014.
- [24] J. S. Marron and Andrés M Alonso. Overview of object oriented data analysis. Biometrical Journal, 2014.
- [25] Yuriy Mileyko, Sayan Mukherjee, and John Harer. Probability measures on the space of persistence diagrams. *Inverse Problems*, 27(12):124007, 2011.
- [26] Helena Molina-Abril and Alejandro F. Frangi. Topo-geometric filtration scheme for geometric active contours and level sets: Application to cerebrovascular segmentation. In Polina Golland, Nobuhiko Hata, Christian Barillot, Joachim Hornegger, and Robert Howe, editors, Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention ? MICCAI 2014, volume 8673 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 755–762. Springer International Publishing, 2014.
- [27] T. M. W. Nye. Principal components analysis in the space of phylogenetic trees. The Annals of Statistics, 39(5):2716–2739, 2011.
- [28] G. Petri, P. Expert, F. Turkheimer, R. Carhart-Harris, D. Nutt, P. J. Hellyer, F. Vaccarino. Homological scaffolds of brain functional networks. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*, 11(101), 2014. http://rsif.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/11/101/20140873
- [29] James O Ramsay. Functional data analysis. Wiley Online Library, 2006.

- [30] James O Ramsay and Bernard W Silverman. Applied functional data analysis: methods and case studies, volume 77. Springer New York:, 2002.
- [31] Dan Shen, Haipeng Shen, Shankar Bhamidi, Yolanda Muñoz Maldonado, Yongdai Kim, and JS Marron. Functional data analysis of tree data objects. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, (just-accepted), 2013.
- [32] Sean Skwerer, Elizabeth Bullitt, Stephan Huckemann, Ezra Miller, Ipek Oguz, Megan Owen, Vic Patrangenaru, Scott Provan, and JS Marron. Tree-oriented analysis of brain artery structure. *Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision*, pages 1–18, 2013.
- [33] Haonan Wang and JS Marron. Object oriented data analysis: Sets of trees. The Annals of Statistics, 35(5):1849–1873, 2007.
- [34] Yuan Wang, JS Marron, Burcu Aydin, Alim Ladha, Elizabeth Bullitt, and Haonan Wang. A nonparametric regression model with tree-structured response. *Journal of the American Statistical* Association, 107(500):1272–1285, 2012.
- [35] Susan Wei, Chihoon Lee, Lindsay Wichers, Gen Li, and JS Marron. Direction-projectionpermutation for high dimensional hypothesis tests. 2013. http://arXiv:stat.ME/1304.0796
- [36] Douglas B. West. Introduction to graph theory. Prentice Hall, Inc., 1996.