

1                   **BABY ON BOARD: OLFACTORY CUES INDICATE PREGNANCY**  
2                   **AND FOETAL SEX IN A NONHUMAN PRIMATE**

3  
4   **Jeremy Chase Crawford**<sup>1,2,3,4</sup> and **Christine M. Drea**<sup>4,5, \*</sup>

5   <sup>1</sup> *National Evolutionary Synthesis Center, Durham, NC USA*

6   <sup>2</sup> *Department of Integrative Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA USA*

7   <sup>3</sup> *Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, University of California, Berkeley, CA USA*

8   <sup>4</sup> *Department of Evolutionary Anthropology, Duke University, Durham, NC USA*

9   <sup>5</sup> *Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC USA*

10   \* *Author for correspondence (cdrea@duke.edu)*

11  
12   **Running headline: *Olfactory cues of pregnancy in lemurs***

13

14 **ABSTRACT**

15 Olfactory cues play an integral, albeit underappreciated, role in mediating vertebrate social and  
16 reproductive behaviour. These cues fluctuate with the signaler's hormonal condition, coincident  
17 with and informative about relevant aspects of its reproductive state, such as pubertal onset,  
18 change in season and, in females, timing of ovulation. Although pregnancy dramatically alters a  
19 female's endocrine profiles, which can be further influenced by foetal sex, the relationship  
20 between gestation and olfactory cues is poorly understood. We therefore examined the effects of  
21 pregnancy and foetal sex on volatile genital secretions in the ring-tailed lemur (*Lemur catta*), a  
22 strepsirrhine primate possessing complex olfactory mechanisms of reproductive signaling.  
23 Whilst pregnant, dams altered and dampened their expression of volatile chemicals, with  
24 compound richness being particularly reduced in dams bearing sons. These changes were  
25 comparable in magnitude to other, published chemical differences among lemurs that are salient  
26 to conspecifics. Such olfactory 'signatures' of pregnancy may help guide social interactions,  
27 potentially promoting mother-infant recognition, reducing intragroup conflict, or counteracting  
28 behavioural mechanisms of paternity confusion; cues that also advertise foetal sex may  
29 additionally facilitate differential sex allocation.

30

31 **Keywords:** olfactory communication, reproductive signal, gestation, sex allocation, hormone,  
32 chemosignal

## 33 1. INTRODUCTION

34 Female animals routinely broadcast information about their reproductive state. In general, the  
35 research emphasis in reproductive signaling has been on cues associated with fecundity,  
36 ovulation or mate quality; however, there are also potentially potent cues associated with  
37 gestation. The physiological changes underlying pregnancy are complex and, compared to  
38 ovulation, are more enduring. The corresponding changes to endocrine concentrations, that may  
39 guide reproductive cues of pregnancy, can be extreme. Notably, in comparison to maximum  
40 concentrations outside of pregnancy, concentrations of certain sex steroids during pregnancy can  
41 increase >1,600% [1] and vary by foetal sex (reviewed in [2]). Moreover, pregnancy cues may  
42 serve important functions. In primates, for instance, gestational cues may reduce intragroup  
43 conflict in species that compete intensely for mates [3] or may prepare cooperatively breeding  
44 males for the energetic and behavioural changes necessary for paternal care [4]. Historically, the  
45 conveyance of reproductive information, particularly in primates, has been evaluated primarily  
46 through visual and behavioural channels (e.g. [5,6]); nonetheless, there is growing recognition of  
47 reproductive signaling via olfactory channels [7]. As in several non-primate species [8–10],  
48 olfactory cues of pregnancy occur in humans, possibly facilitating mother-infant recognition  
49 [11]; otherwise, the potential for olfactory gestational cues in primates has been ignored. Here,  
50 we investigate pregnancy effects on olfactory cues in a promiscuous, nonhuman primate, the  
51 ring-tailed lemur (*Lemur catta*).

52         Recently, the ring-tailed lemur has emerged as a useful model for examining the form  
53 and function of olfactory signals relevant to reproduction. Among females, that use scent to  
54 demarcate birthing sites [12] and for competitive overmarking [7], variations in odorant profiles  
55 (whether in compound type, number, or relative concentration, see e.g. diversity indexes in [13])  
56 correspond to natural [14,15] and experimentally induced [16] variations in reproductive

57 hormones. Through behavioural bioassays, genetic profiling and chemical analyses, lemur  
58 chemosignals have been shown to convey information about sex, breeding condition and  
59 individual identity, as well as genetic quality and relatedness (reviewed in [7,16]). By contrast,  
60 dominance status within the sexes, which in lemurs can be nonlinear, non-transitive, and highly  
61 variable [17], is recognizable via scent in known individuals only [18], but is not chemically  
62 encoded [18,19]. Using a within-subjects sampling design, we extend these prior studies by  
63 characterizing chemical changes in lemur genital secretions between preconception and  
64 pregnancy, whilst also considering the potential effects of other concurrent physiological or  
65 environmental changes, such as age, season, litter size, and foetal sex.

66

## 67 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

68 The subjects were 12 captive, sexually mature (1.5-21 years), female ring-tailed lemurs, housed  
69 in semi-free-ranging, mixed-sex groups at the Duke Lemur Center in Durham, NC, USA. We  
70 monitored reproduction over a six-year period (2004-2010), including during 14 pregnancies  
71 ([2]; see Electronic Supplementary Material, ESM). To illustrate any potential olfactory-  
72 endocrine relationship, we present accompanying sex steroid concentrations in female subjects,  
73 recalculated from [2]. Our animal procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care  
74 and Use Committee of Duke University (see ESM).

75 We collected samples of labial secretions near conception (mean  $\pm$  SEM: 17.3  $\pm$  3.7 days  
76 preconception) and mid-pregnancy (mean  $\pm$  SEM: 88.8  $\pm$  4.6 days of a 135-day gestation period)  
77 for analysis by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (see [20]). We used richness as a proxy  
78 of chemical complexity [13], retaining only compounds that eluted at 8-43 minutes and  
79 comprised  $\geq$  0.01% of the chromatogram [20]. We assigned 'foetal sex' following [2], grouping

80 dams carrying singleton or twin females as ‘females bearing daughters’ (FBD; n=8) and dams  
81 carrying at least one male (singleton male, twin males, or mixed-sex twins) as ‘females bearing  
82 sons’ (FBS; n=6; ESM).

83 We first addressed and dismissed any potential effects on a female’s chemical richness of  
84 her age, the seasonal timing of her pregnancy, and her litter size (see ESM). We then tested for  
85 effects of reproductive condition (preconceptive versus pregnant) and foetal sex (FBD versus  
86 FBS) on her chemical richness and endocrine concentrations, using two-factor ANOVAs  
87 (univariate repeated-measures model, JMP PRO 11.0, SAS; ESM). To contextualise the main  
88 effect of reproductive condition on chemical complexity, we also calculated the average  
89 differences in chemical richness between several previously studied groups from this population  
90 ([13,14,16,19]; see ESM). Having decided *a priori* to compare FBD and FBS, we resolved the  
91 significant interaction using F-tests for simple effects. These data can be accessed at Dryad [21].

92

### 93 **3. RESULTS**

94 The odorant profiles of female ring-tailed lemurs changed with pregnancy, relative to  
95 preconception, as revealed by differences in the gas chromatograms of their genital secretions  
96 (Figures 1*a,b*): pregnancy was associated with changes in the relative proportions of the volatile  
97 compounds expressed and with a significant decrease in the total number of compounds ( $F_{1,10} =$   
98 14.41,  $P < 0.01$ ; Figure 1*c*). The change in chemical richness (mean  $\pm$  SEM:  $9.67 \pm 6.62$   
99 compounds) was comparable in magnitude to the behaviourally salient, chemical differences  
100 observed between other groups of individuals, including those found between intact and  
101 hormonally contracepted females (Table 1).

102 Remarkably, a dam's volatile chemical profile during pregnancy also varied with the sex  
103 of her foetus. A significant interaction between reproductive condition and foetal sex ( $F_{1, 10} =$   
104  $5.21, P < 0.05$ ) owed primarily to a greater loss of chemical richness during pregnancy in FBS  
105 than in FBD ( $F_{1, 12.34} = 5.51, P < 0.05$ ; Figure 2a). Because there was no effect of a female's  
106 eventual foetal sex on the chemical richness of her preconceptive odorants ( $F_{1, 12.34} = 0.74, P =$   
107  $0.40, n.s.$ ), the differences by foetal sex during pregnancy could not be explained by the scent  
108 signatures (see [19]) of individual females. Instead, these findings may relate to underlying  
109 differences, by foetal sex, in the concentrations of maternal sex steroids, which increase during  
110 pregnancy (Figure 2b; Table 2).

111

#### 112 **4. DISCUSSION**

113 We show that odorant expression in lemurs is altered during pregnancy and is further  
114 differentiated in accordance with the sex of the dam's developing offspring. The chemical effects  
115 induced by pregnancy were comparable in magnitude to other differences in scent that, as  
116 revealed by behavioural bioassays, are salient to ring-tailed lemurs [16,18,22]. The degree to  
117 which compounds are lost during pregnancy and influenced by foetal sex appears to be inversely  
118 related to the female's changing sex steroid concentrations. Given the established link between  
119 sex steroids and olfactory profiles [7], these findings suggest possible endocrine involvement (or  
120 competing energy allocation) in the production of olfactory gestational cues.

121 Gestational cues could serve various functions across primate species, from promoting  
122 social cohesion [3] to engendering parental investment [4] or kin recognition [11]. To the extent  
123 that olfactory cues of pregnancy occur in other primates, our findings could be relevant to these  
124 existing hypotheses, as well as to theories on the functionality of multiple mating. Notably, a

125 female's efforts to confuse males about their potential paternity ('paternity confusion') is  
126 commonly invoked to explain a female primate's engagement in multiple mating during  
127 pregnancy, particularly at times when there are no overt behavioural cues of pregnancy or when  
128 visual cues of pregnancy are not yet apparent [23,24] – in other words, when males presumably  
129 lack knowledge about female reproductive state. Yet, we have no information about potential  
130 scent cues of pregnancy in these species, whose males are nevertheless known to engage in  
131 olfactory investigation of vaginal secretions prior to mating [7,25,26]. We must therefore  
132 consider the possibility that olfactory cues could provide males with a means of detecting a  
133 proceptive dam's reproductive state. Discerning males could possibly thwart the female's  
134 purported tactics, either by not mating with her or by mating with her without becoming  
135 confused about paternity. If so, one might only expect multiple mating to be an effective means  
136 of paternity confusion in species in which either the female does not produce olfactory  
137 pregnancy cues or the male is unable to detect them.

138         To the extent that olfactory advertisement of foetal sex might also occur more broadly  
139 than in *Lemur*, our findings might help elucidate a potential mechanism of differential sex  
140 allocation. Under certain conditions, animals increase fitness by preferentially investing in  
141 offspring of a particular sex [27]. Although theories addressing sex allocation typically implicate  
142 mechanisms operating at conception [28] or post-parturition [29], mechanisms operating during  
143 gestation would allow animals to respond to important social or environmental changes whilst  
144 the ongoing costs of investment are still considerable. An expectant dam could potentially use  
145 her own olfactory cues as a self-referent to inform her investment decisions; alternately,  
146 conspecifics could use these cues as predictors of imminent sex ratios to guide their own sex-  
147 allocation strategies. Although opportunities to study a putative gestational mechanism of sex

148 allocation will likely remain limited in this endangered, long-lived species, such limitations do  
149 not preclude investigations of potential sex-specific gestational cues or gestational sex allocation  
150 in more tractable study systems that share key life history characteristics (e.g., [30]).

151 The observation that pregnancy alters scent profiles in lemurs (this study) and in humans  
152 [11] suggests that olfactory cues of pregnancy may be widespread or highly conserved among  
153 primates. Such findings highlight the importance of considering multiple sensory modalities  
154 when examining reproductive signals, even in taxa historically thought to rely relatively little on  
155 olfaction [7,31,32].

156

157 **Figure 1.** Volatile chemicals varied among the genital secretions of preconceptive and pregnant  
158 ring-tailed lemurs: gas chromatograms depict variation in the relative proportions of compounds  
159 present in the labial secretions of a representative ring-tailed lemur before conception (*a*) and  
160 whilst pregnant with twin daughters (*b*). Numbers denote: 1, internal standard  
161 (hexachlorobenzene); 2, squalene; 3, cholesterol. Bar graphs represent differences by  
162 reproductive condition in the chemical richness (mean  $\pm$  SEM) derived from the chromatograms  
163 of all female subjects (*c*).

164

165 **Figure 2.** Mean  $\pm$  SEM chemical richness (*a*) and serum steroid concentrations (*b*) varied by  
166 reproductive condition and foetal sex in female ring-tailed lemurs. T, testosterone; A<sub>4</sub> androst-4-  
167 ene-3,17,dione; OHP, 17 $\alpha$ -hydroxyprogesterone; E<sub>2</sub>, 17 $\beta$ -oestradiol.

168



169 **Table 1.** Differences in the chemical richness of ring-tailed lemur genital secretions between  
170 groups or conditions for which existing behavioural data support the salience of the olfactory  
171 signal.

172

173 **Table 2.** Differences in the endocrine profiles of female ring-tailed lemurs in relation to  
174 reproductive condition and foetal sex. Notably, serum concentrations during gestation were  
175 significantly different between females bearing daughters (FBD) and females bearing sons (FBS)  
176 for all measured steroids.

177

## 178 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

179 We thank M. Boulet, D. Brewer, G. Dubay, B. Schopler, J. Taylor, C. Williams, and S. Zehr for  
180 facilitating this work. This research was funded by NSF (BCS-0409367, IOS-0719003 to  
181 C.M.D.). Analysis and write-up were supported by NSF (IOS-1021633 to C.M.D.), and by a  
182 Visiting Scholar's Fellowship from the National Evolutionary Synthesis Center (NSF EF-  
183 0423641), a Chancellor's Fellowship from the University of California, Berkeley, an NSF  
184 Graduate Research Fellowship, and funding from the Research Council of Norway (to J.C.C.).  
185 DLC publication no: X.

186

187 **REFERENCES**

- 188 1. Abbassi-Ghanavati M, Greer LG & Cunningham FG. 2009 Pregnancy and laboratory  
189 studies: a reference table for clinicians. *Obstet. Gynecol.* **114**, 1326–1331.  
190 (doi:10.1097/AOG.0b013e3181c2bde8)
- 191 2. Drea CM. 2011 Endocrine correlates of pregnancy in the ring-tailed lemur (*Lemur catta*):  
192 Implications for the masculinization of daughters. *Horm. Behav.* **59**, 417–427.  
193 (doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2010.09.011)
- 194 3. Gerald MS, Waitt C & Little AC. 2009 Pregnancy coloration in macaques may act as a  
195 warning signal to reduce antagonism by conspecifics. *Behav. Processes* **80**, 7–11.  
196 (doi:10.1016/j.beproc.2008.08.001)
- 197 4. Ziegler TE, Prudom SL, Schultz-Darken NJ, Kurian AV & Snowdon CT. 2006 Pregnancy  
198 weight gain: marmoset and tamarin dads show it too. *Biol. Lett.* **2**, 181–183.  
199 (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2005.0426)
- 200 5. Altmann S. 1973 The pregnancy sign in savannah baboons. *J. Zoo Anim. Med.* **4**, 8–12.
- 201 6. Nunn CL. 1999 The evolution of exaggerated sexual swellings in primates and the graded-  
202 signal hypothesis. *Anim. Behav.* **58**, 229–246. (doi:10.1006/anbe.1999.1159)
- 203 7. Drea CM. 2014 D'Scent of man: A comparative survey of primate chemosignaling in  
204 relation to sex. *Horm. Behav.* (doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2014.08.001)
- 205 8. Hradecký P. 1986 Volatile fatty acids in urine and vaginal secretions of cows during  
206 reproductive cycle. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **12**, 187–196.
- 207 9. Jemiolo B, Andreolini F, Wiesler D & Novotny M. 1987 Variations in mouse (*Mus*  
208 *musculus*) urinary volatiles during different periods of pregnancy and lactation. *J. Chem.*  
209 *Ecol.* **13**, 1941–1956.
- 210 10. Theis KR, Venkataraman A, Dycus JA, Koonter KD, Schmitt-Matzen EN, Wagner AP,  
211 Holekamp KE & Schmidt TM. 2013 Symbiotic bacteria appear to mediate hyena social  
212 odors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **110**, 19832–19837. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1306477110)
- 213 11. Vaglio S, Minicozzi P, Bonometti E, Mello G & Chiarelli B. 2009 Volatile signals during  
214 pregnancy: a possible chemical basis for mother–infant recognition. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **35**, 131–  
215 139. (doi:10.1007/s10886-008-9573-5)
- 216 12. Sauther ML. 1991 Reproductive behavior of free-ranging *Lemur catta* at Beza Mahafaly  
217 Special Reserve, Madagascar. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **84**, 463–477.
- 218 13. Charpentier MJE, Boulet M & Drea CM. 2008 Smelling right: the scent of male lemurs  
219 advertises genetic quality and relatedness. *Mol. Ecol.* **17**, 3225–3233. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-  
220 294X.2008.03831.x)

- 221 14. Boulet M, Charpentier MJ & Drea CM. 2009 Decoding an olfactory mechanism of kin  
222 recognition and inbreeding avoidance in a primate. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **9**, 281.  
223 (doi:10.1186/1471-2148-9-281)
- 224 15. Boulet M, Crawford JC, Charpentier MJE & Drea CM. 2010 Honest olfactory ornamentation  
225 in a female-dominant primate. *J. Evol. Biol.* **23**, 1558–1563. (doi:10.1111/j.1420-  
226 9101.2010.02007.x)
- 227 16. Crawford JC, Boulet M & Drea CM. 2011 Smelling wrong: hormonal contraception in  
228 lemurs alters critical female odour cues. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **278**, 122–130.  
229 (doi:10.1098/rspb.2010.1203)
- 230 17. Pereira ME. 1995 Development and social dominance among group-living primates. *Am. J.*  
231 *Primatol.* **37**, 143–175. (doi:10.1002/ajp.1350370207)
- 232 18. Scordato ES & Drea CM. 2007 Scents and sensibility: information content of olfactory  
233 signals in the ringtailed lemur, *Lemur catta*. *Anim. Behav.* **73**, 301–314.  
234 (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2006.08.006)
- 235 19. Scordato ES, Dubay G & Drea CM. 2007 Chemical composition of scent marks in the  
236 ringtailed lemur (*Lemur catta*): glandular differences, seasonal variation, and individual  
237 signatures. *Chem. Senses* **32**, 493–504. (doi:10.1093/chemse/bjm018)
- 238 20. Drea CM, Boulet M, Delbarco-Trillo J, Greene LK, Sacha CR, Goodwin TE & Dubay GR.  
239 2013 The ‘secret’ in secretions: methodological considerations in deciphering primate  
240 olfactory communication. *Am. J. Primatol.* **75**, 621–642. (doi:10.1002/ajp.22143)
- 241 21. Crawford JC & Drea CM. In review. Data from: Baby on board.
- 242 22. Charpentier MJE, Crawford JC, Boulet M & Drea CM. 2010 Message ‘scent’: lemurs detect  
243 the genetic relatedness and quality of conspecifics via olfactory cues. *Anim. Behav.* **80**, 101–  
244 108. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2010.04.005)
- 245 23. van Schaik C, Hodges J & Nunn C. 2000 Paternity confusion and the ovarian cycles of  
246 female primates. In *Infanticide by Males and Its Implications*, pp. 361–387. Cambridge:  
247 Cambridge University Press.
- 248 24. van Schaik CP, Pradhan GR & van Noordwijk MA. 2004 Mating conflict in primates:  
249 infanticide, sexual harassment and female sexuality. In *Sexual selection in primates: new and*  
250 *comparative perspectives.*, pp. 131–150. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 251 25. Matsumoto-Oda A, Kutsukake N, Hosaka K & Matsusaka T. 2006 Sniffing behaviors in  
252 Mahale chimpanzees. *Primates* **48**, 81–85. (doi:10.1007/s10329-006-0006-1)
- 253 26. Nishida T. 1997 Sexual behavior of adult male chimpanzees of the Mahale Mountains  
254 national park, Tanzania. *Primates* **38**, 379–398. (doi:10.1007/BF02381879)

- 255 27. Wild G & West SA. 2007 A Sex Allocation Theory for Vertebrates: Combining Local  
256 Resource Competition and Condition-Dependent Allocation. *Am. Nat.* **170**, E112–E128.  
257 (doi:10.1086/518205)
- 258 28. Saragusty J, Hermes R, Hofer H, Bouts T, Göritz F & Hildebrandt TB. 2012 Male pygmy  
259 hippopotamus influence offspring sex ratio. *Nat. Commun.* **3**, 697.  
260 (doi:10.1038/ncomms1700)
- 261 29. Robert KA & Braun S. 2012 Milk composition during lactation suggests a mechanism for  
262 male biased allocation of maternal resources in the tammar wallaby (*Macropus eugenii*).  
263 *PLoS ONE* **7**, e51099. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051099)
- 264 30. Cameron EZ & Linklater WL. 2007 Extreme sex ratio variation in relation to change in  
265 condition around conception. *Biol. Lett.* **3**, 395–397. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2007.0089)
- 266 31. Hohenbrink P, Mundy NI, Zimmermann E & Radespiel U. 2012 First evidence for functional  
267 vomeronasal 2 receptor genes in primates. *Biol. Lett.* **9**. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2012.1006)
- 268 32. Krause ET, Kruger O, Kohlmeier P & Caspers BA. 2012 Olfactory kin recognition in a  
269 songbird. *Biol. Lett.* **8**, 327–329. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2011.1093)
- 270