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ABSTRACT

Image theory is an ideal method for calculating the transmission loss in a shallow water (wedge shaped ocean) environment. It can be used in cross-slope, at all frequencies and in transitional cut off regions that are out of bounds to normal mode theories.

This thesis had three objectives: 1) convert the existing image theory models called URTEXT and WEDGE into a high level scripting language called MATLAB™ by Math Works, 2) linearize the existing quadruplet expansion program to increase speed, and 3) to incorporate the Arctan approximation of the Rayleigh reflection coefficient into the quadruplet expansion for the fast bottom case.

Objective 1 was completed with accurate results. Objective 2 was completed with a factor of 8 increase in speed. Objective 3 incorporated the Arctan approximation of the reflection coefficient for a fast bottom into the quadruplet expansion, but due to the inaccuracy of the reflection coefficient after the second quadruplet, the results were not favorable. It was also discovered that even with the Rayleigh reflection coefficient, the first order approximations made in developing the quadruplet expansion equation (Equation 6-27) are not accurate enough for the fast bottom case.
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_2$</td>
<td>speed of sound in bottom material</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>total pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_L$</td>
<td>sum of complex partial pressures of the lower half-space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_U$</td>
<td>sum of complex partial pressures of the upper half-space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_n$</td>
<td>cumulative reflection coefficient of the $n$th image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{nm}$</td>
<td>reflection coefficient of the $n$th image from the $m$th plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>distance from midpoint of the neutral doublet to the receiver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_1$</td>
<td>distance from apex to source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_2$</td>
<td>distance from apex to receiver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_{n+}$</td>
<td>distance from the $n$th image or midpoint of the $n$th doublet to the receiver in the upper image plane</td>
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</tr>
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\[ \theta_{n,\pm} \quad \text{angle of incidence for the nth lower doublet, upper and lower images wrt the surface} \]
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\[ \varepsilon_{n+\pm} \quad \text{receiver angle from the nth upper doublet upper and lower images} \]

\[ \varepsilon_{n-\pm} \quad \text{receiver angle from the nth lower doublet upper and lower images} \]

\[ \mu = \frac{r_2}{|r_1 - r_2|} \]

\[ \phi = kr_1 \mu (1 - \cos(2n\beta)) \]
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I. INTRODUCTION

The current emphasis of undersea warfare is on the shallow coastal regions in littoral waters. The sound propagation in these regions is influenced by bottom and surface interaction and not by the convergence zones and ducting found in deep water. The variables in shallow water are bottom type, bottom density, slope, sea state, surface winds, sea surface temperature, etc., all of which can change quickly or be unavailable in the area of interest.

There is no closed form analytic solution to the problem of a penetrable, sloping bottom as found on the continental shelf region or shoreline areas. There are computer models using various approximation methods ranging from purely numerical (parabolic equation) to approximately analytical (adiabatic mode theory). Transmission loss modeling in a wedge shaped ocean falls into three major areas: 1) parabolic approximation, 2) adiabatic normal mode theory, and 3) image theory.

A. PARABOLIC EQUATION

The parabolic equation (PE) is a range-dependent, underwater acoustic propagation model. In it the Helmholtz equation is replaced by a one-way parabolic equation which generates an acoustic field as an initial value problem. The PE approach is limited by excessive computer running time in shallow water environments, higher frequencies, and horizontal rays < 40°.

The first application of PE to underwater acoustics was by Tappert in 1977 with a restriction on the maximum angular aperture of ±20° at the source [Ref. 1]. This restriction was relaxed to ±40° with a higher order parabolic equation by Collins [Ref. 2]. Computerized calculations by Jensen and Kuperman [Ref. 3] based on a split-step Fast
Fourier Transform (FFT) solution of the parabolic equation provided detailed information about the beams projected into the bottom, showing modal cutoffs. The results compared favorably to model tank experiments by Coppens and Sanders [Ref. 4]. Since the PE is cylindrically symmetric, sloping bottoms cause a problem. Lee and McDaniel [Ref. 5] sectioned the wedge into a series of range independent regions and then applied normal PE methods. Another approach to this problem is by Collins [Ref. 6] with the rotated PE. The rotated PE steps parallel to the ocean bottom and has two normal derivatives preserving pressure and particle velocity normal components thus handling sloping interfaces properly. Fawcett [Ref. 7] developed a three-dimensional computer model using the wide-angle PE approximation by Thomson [Ref. 8] with FFT algorithm as an azimuthal operator. Most recently, Collins [Ref. 9] has developed the energy conserving PE for elastic media to improve accuracy in range-dependent elastic media. The energy-conserving elastic PE is a generalization of the energy conserving acoustic PE [Ref. 10]. It involves approximating a range-dependent waveguide into a sequence of range-independent regions with a linear approximation of compressional energy flux between the vertical interfaces.

B. NORMAL MODE THEORY

Normal mode theory provides an exact solution to the wave equation. The distinct advantage of normal modes is that once the set of eigenfunctions have been determined, the range and depth dependence of the transmission loss can be calculated directly [Ref. 11].

Normal mode theory is a range-independent approach but for a wedge shaped ocean, a range-dependent approach is needed due to the bottom interaction (in the case of a lossy bottom) and bottom reflection angle. Pierce [Ref. 12] used adiabatic separation of depth and range in the wave equation to get an approximation. Graves, Nagl, Uberall, and Zarur [Ref. 13] applied this method to the wedge shaped ocean using rigid bottom and isospeed
water. This method was good only for small slopes. Buckingham [Ref. 14] developed a solution for the penetrable bottom using an "effective" wedge with pressure release bottom below the actual penetrable bottom. By using a coordinate transformation, range-dependent modes are matched to range independent eigenfunctions in the "effective" wedge to account for amplitude and phase shifts. Then a sum of range dependent normal modes are applied to the new bottom.

A problem with adiabatic normal mode approximation is it does not explain the transition to evanescent modes at cutoff. Pierce [Ref. 15] combined PE with adiabatic normal mode theory to develop a critical depth function. Known as the augmented adiabatic mode theory, it is a sum of modal terms with each mode eventually encountering a critical depth at a critical range. The results compare well with the results of Jensen and Kuperman [Ref. 3].

Another method is to combine local modes with ray acoustics. Arnold and Felsen [Ref. 16] developed intrinsic wave functions that are determined by waveguide geometry. Modes are mutually decoupled thus propagate independent of source geometry (physical shape) when superpositioned.

**C. IMAGE THEORY**

Image theory in acoustics is similar to image theory in optics. A source radiating in the water has a virtual image reflected equidistant above the water 180° out of phase. In an isospeed, wedge shaped ocean numerous images are produced in kaleidoscope fashion.

In 1966, Macpherson and Dainteth [Ref. 17] proposed a phase incoherent model for upslope propagation. Kawamura and Ioannou [Ref. 18] predicted the pressure amplitude and phase of the sound field along the bottom of a wedge shaped fluid layer overlapping a fast fluid bottom. Coppens, Humphries, and Sanders [Ref. 19] developed a phase coherent model to calculate the pressure amplitude along the bottom of a wedge of water overlying a
fast fluid bottom in the upslope direction using the incident plane wave reflection coefficient stated by Rayleigh. The pressure distribution at the water-bottom interface can be used as a source to project beams into the bottom to calculate the propagation through the medium. Brekhovskikh and Lysenov [Ref. 20] stated that for very distant source and image combinations or the presence of many reflections allow image theory to be applied with Rayleigh reflection coefficients with insignificant error. Baek [Ref. 21] then developed a computer model of pressure throughout the water column over a fast bottom. This model was limited to a fast bottom due to the critical grazing angle of the sound to bottom. LeSesne [Ref. 22] used the same model as a basis for a three-dimensional model which produced a pressure field in the cross slope case which was validated against experimental results from a model tank by Kosnick [Ref. 23]. Kaswandi [Ref. 24] developed a model for a pressure field with a slow bottom in downslope. Nassopoulos [Ref. 25] took all previous models developed at the Naval Postgraduate School and combined them into a computer program called URTEXT. He also implemented the use of the doublet expansion of the acoustic field in a wedge shaped ocean. Livingood [Ref. 26] then expanded the image doublets into the cross-slope case. Joyce [Ref. 27] then developed the quadruplet expansion of the acoustic pressure field in the wedge shaped ocean. The quadruplet expansion is a much faster computationally but the approximations in the reflection coefficient and other terms in the quadruplet expansion equation produces large errors at large wedge ($\beta>3^\circ$) and source angles due to the angular differences between the images in the upper and lower doublets.
II. IMAGE THEORY

This section is a brief review of the ideas and basic equations of image theory. The following assumptions are made:

1. Sound velocities and densities are constant in both the wedge and penetrable bottom.
2. Air-water boundary is a pressure release surface i.e. reflections are 180° out of phase.  
3. Slope is constant and all boundaries are planar.

When sound is transmitted within a waveguide, there are a number of interactions of the acoustic waves with the boundaries. In image theory, each ray path is replaced by an image of the source. The distance of the source from the receiver is the total length of the acoustic ray. In the case of a sloping bottom, the image is placed equidistant from the reflecting boundary perpendicular from the source. See Figure 2-1.

![Figure 2-1 - Wedge Geometry](image)

For a given bottom slope angle $\beta$, the number of images $N$ in each of the upper and lower half spaces is given by
Each image is numbered from the source. The source is image number 1 for the upper half space. The first image below the bottom is image number 1 for lower half space. This is continued until the opposite surface is reached. See Figure 2-2.

Figure 2-2 - Image Structure for Wedge Shaped Duct

The grazing angle $\theta_n$ of the $n$th image above or below the bottom is calculated from

\[ \theta_n = n\beta - \gamma \quad \text{for } n \text{ odd} \]  
\[ \theta_n = (n-1)\beta + \gamma \quad \text{for } n \text{ even} \]
where γ is the angle of the source from the surface.

The range $r_n$ from each image to the receiver is then calculated using

$$r_{n+} = \sqrt{r_1^2 + r_2^2 + y_0^2 - 2r_1r_2 \cos(\theta_n - \beta + \delta)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-4)

for the upper series of images and

$$r_{n-} = \sqrt{r_1^2 + r_2^2 + y_0^2 - 2r_1r_2 \cos(\theta_n + \beta - \delta)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-5)

for the lower series of images. In these equations, $r_1$ is the distance from the wedge apex to the source, $r_2$ is the distance from the apex to the receiver, $y_0$ is the cross-slope or shoreline distance between the source and receiver, and $\theta_n - \beta + \delta$ is the angle from the nth source to the receiver (for the upper image). See Figure 2-3.

![Source-Receiver Geometry](image)

Figure 2-3 - Source-Receiver Geometry (Upper Image)

The sound will propagate from the source to the receiver through combinations of three different path types: 1) direct, 2) surface reflected, and 3) bottom reflected. Figure 2-4 illustrates a bottom-surface-bottom path. The upper drawing illustrates the real path of sound.
The surface is a pressure release boundary so the incident angle is equal to the reflected angle.

The bottom has refractive properties due its composition so the incident angle is not necessarily equal to the transmitted angle but is dependent on the ρ and c ratio of the water and bottom. With each interaction with the bottom, a new angle is produced which is related to the first incident angle. This is discussed later with the reflection coefficient. The lower drawing illustrates the image theory equivalent. The path from the third image to the receiver is a straight line.
The reflection coefficient is a product of the interaction between the boundaries of the fluid layers. The surface is a pressure release boundary so each interaction produces a reflection coefficient of -1. Each interaction with the bottom requires calculation of the reflection coefficient. This coefficient is a function of the speed of sound in each medium, the density of each medium, and the grazing angle of the ray equivalent on the bottom. The sine of the grazing angle $\theta_{nm}$ is

$$\sin \theta_{nm+} = \frac{r_1 \sin(\theta_n - 2m\beta) + r_2 \sin((2m-1)\beta + \delta)}{r_{n+}}$$

(2-6)

for the upper images and

$$\sin \theta_{nm-} = \frac{r_1 \sin(\theta_n - 2m\beta) + r_2 \sin((2m+1)\beta - \delta)}{r_{n-}}$$

(2-7)

for the lower images. See (Figure 2-5).

---

**Figure 2-5 - Incident Angle $\theta_{nm}$ Calculation (Upper Half Space)**

The reflection coefficient for each interaction $R_{nm}$ then is given by
\[ R_{nm} = \frac{\rho_2 \sin \theta_{nm} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sqrt{b^2 + a^2 + a} \right)^2 + j \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sqrt{b^2 + a^2 - a} \right)^2}{\rho_1 \sin \theta_{nm} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sqrt{b^2 + a^2 + a} \right)^2 - j \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sqrt{b^2 + a^2 - a} \right)^2} \]  

(2-8)

where \( \rho_1, \rho_2 \) are densities of the water and bottom respectively, \( j = \sqrt{-1} \), and \( a \) and \( b \) are given by

\[ a = \left( \frac{c_1}{c_2} \right)^2 - \cos^2 \theta_{nm} \]  

(2-9)

\[ b = 2 \left( \frac{c_1}{c_2} \right)^2 \frac{\alpha}{k_2} \]  

(2-10)

For the above equations, \( c_1, c_2 \) are the speeds of sound of the water and bottom, respectively, and \( \alpha/k_2 \) is the bottom loss coefficient.

The pressure for each half space is given by summing the contribution for the upper and lower images respectively

\[ P_u = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{r_{n+}} \exp(-jk \cdot r_{n+})(-1)^{nR}\prod_{m=1}^{M} R_{nm+} \]  

(2-11)

where \( \prod R = 1 \) when \( n=1,2 \) in (2-11) and

\[ P_f = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{r_{n-}} \exp(-jk \cdot r_{n-})(-1)^{nR}\prod_{m=0}^{M} R_{nm-} \]  

(2-12)

The number of interactions \( m \) with the bottom is related to the image number, so the limit \( M \) is the integer of \( \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right] - 1 \) for the \( n \)th image. For convenience we have omitted the factor \( e^{i\omega t} \) which would otherwise appear in (2-11) and (2-12).

Two special cases exist in the upper half space. For the case of the direct path of the source to the receiver (\( n=1 \)), \( R \) is 1. In the case of a single reflection off the surface and no reflections with the bottom (\( n=2 \)), \( R \) is again 1. The phase inversion of the ray is computed by the \((-1)^{nR(n/2)}\) term of (2-11) and (2-12).
Since the model is frequency independent, a scaling distance $X_c$ is used. $X_c$ is defined as the distance where the lowest normal mode attains cutoff when the bottom is fast ($c_2>c_1$). This cutoff distance is calculated by

$$k_1 X_c = \frac{\pi}{2 \sin \theta_c \tan \beta} \quad \theta_c = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{c_1}{c_2}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-13)

so,

$$X_c = \frac{\pi}{2k_1 \sin \theta_c \tan \beta}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-14)

For a slow bottom an analogous scaling, convenient for the computer program is

$$k_1 X_c = \frac{\pi}{2 \tan \theta_s \tan \beta} \quad \theta_s = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{c_2}{c_1}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-15)

so,

$$X_c = \frac{\pi}{2k_1 \tan \theta_s \tan \beta}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-16)

For the total pressure at the receiver, the upper and lower contributions are combined, thus

$$P = P_u + P_l$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-17)
III. DOUBLET FORMATION

A. GENERAL DESCRIPTION

An acoustic doublet is a pair of acoustic images 180° out of phase. The first doublet consists of the source and its reflection, the 2nd upper image. This is called a neutral doublet (n=0). The reflection coefficient is 1 because its midpoint does not encounter a boundary. The 3rd and 4th upper images are the 1st upper doublet (n=1). The 5th and 6th upper images are the 2nd upper doublet (n=2). The 1st and 2nd lower images are the 1st
lower doublet \((n=1)\) and the 3rd and 4th lower images are the 2nd lower doublet \((n=2)\). This procedure is continued until the opposite surface is reached. See Figure 3-1.

The total number of acoustic doublet pairs is given by

\[
N = \text{Int} \left( \frac{\text{Int} \left( \frac{360^\circ}{\beta} \right) - 2}{4} \right)
\]  

(3-1)

At worst, only three images will be missed and they will be in the higher order images of the source so their contribution is negligible due to all the reflection coefficients encountered [Ref. 25].

B. DEVELOPMENT

The sound propagation for a spherically spreading point source is

\[
P = \frac{A}{r} e^{i(\omega - kr)}
\]  

(3-2)

where \(A\) is the pressure amplitude, \(r\) is the range from the source and \(k\) is the wave number \(\omega/c\) [Ref. 11]. When two sources are combined to form a doublet, the above equation can be expanded to

\[
P = \frac{A_+}{r_+} e^{i(\omega_r - kr_+)} - \frac{A_-}{r_-} e^{i(\omega_r - kr_-)}
\]  

(3-3)

where the subscripts "+" and "-" refer to the upper and lower sources of the doublet and

\[
A_+ = A + \Delta A \\
A_- = A - \Delta A
\]  

(3-4)

The delta values are the incremental difference between each source compared to the theoretical point source. Figure 3-2 illustrates the doublet close-up. The distance \(d\) is the
separation of the images of the doublet pair and \( r \) is the distance of the doublet midpoint to the receiver.

![Doublet Close-Up Diagram]

**Figure 3-2 - Doublet Close-Up**

The pressure equation can now be rewritten as

\[
P = \frac{A}{r} e^{i(\omega r - kr)} \left[ \left( \frac{A + \Delta A}{\Delta} \right) e^{\mu r} \left( \frac{A - \Delta A}{\Delta} \right) e^{-\mu r} \right]
\]  

(3-5)

1. **Balanced Doublet**

   The 1st upper image has a reflection image of equal amplitude and opposite phase which is the second upper image. In the far field, the image pair is treated as a single doublet source. See Figure 3-3.
The pressure equation for a balanced source can be approximated by

\[ P = \frac{A}{r} e^{i\omega} \left[ e^{-jk(r-\Delta r)} - e^{-jk(r+\Delta r)} \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (3-6)

The geometry of the doublet is given in Figure 3-2. \( \Delta r \) is

\[ \Delta r = \frac{d}{2} \sin(\theta) = r_1 \sin(\gamma) \sin(\theta) \] \hspace{1cm} (3-7)

By substituting Equation 3-7 into Equation 3-6 and factoring one gets

\[ P = \frac{A}{r} e^{i\omega} \left[ e^{-jk}\left(e^{jk_1 \sin(\gamma) \sin(\theta)} - e^{-jk_1 \sin(\gamma) \sin(\theta)}\right) \right] \] \hspace{1cm} (3-8)

By using the relationship of

\[ \sin(x) = \frac{e^{ix} - e^{-ix}}{2j} \] \hspace{1cm} (3-9)

where \( x = kr_1 \sin(\gamma) \sin(\theta) \), the result is
\[ P = 2j \frac{A}{r} \sin(kr_1 \sin(\gamma) \sin(\theta)) e^{i(\alpha - \beta)} \quad (3-10) \]

2. **Unbalanced Doublet**

For the unbalanced doublet, the amplitude relationship is expressed as

\[ A_0 = \frac{A_+ + A_-}{2} \quad \Delta A = \frac{A_+ - A_-}{2} \quad (3-11) \]

The unbalanced doublet can be viewed as a combination of a balanced doublet on which is superimposed a like-charge doublet [Ref. 28]. See Figure 3-4

---

**Figure 3-4 - Geometry of Unbalanced Doublet**

The pressure equation is

\[ P = \left[ 2j \frac{A}{r} \sin(kr_1 \sin(\gamma) \sin(\theta)) + 2 \frac{\Delta A}{r} \cos(kr_1 \sin(\gamma) \sin(\theta)) \right] e^{i(\alpha - \beta)} (3-12) \]

which can be rewritten as
\[ P = 2j \frac{A_o}{r} \left[ \sin(kr_1 \sin(\gamma) \sin(\theta)) - j \frac{\Delta A}{A_o} \cos(kr_1 \sin(\gamma) \sin(\theta)) \right] e^{j(\omega t - kr)} \] (3-13)

3. Distance from Doublet to Receiver

The distance from the doublet formation to the receiver can be calculated using the law of cosines. For a three dimensional wedge, the following equation is used

\[ r_{n+}^2 = r_1^2 + r_2^2 + y_0^2 - 2r_1r_2 \cos(2n\beta \pm \delta) \] (3-14)

where \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) are the ranges from the apex to source and receiver respectively, \( y_0 \) is the cross-slope range, \( \beta \) is the wedge angle, \( \delta \) is the source angle, and \( n \) is the doublet formation number. The "+" and "-" signs are reference to the upper or lower images in the doublet formation. See Figure 3-5.

![Figure 3-5 - Doublet Three Dimensional Geometry](image)

Equation 3-13 can be rewritten by adding and subtracting \( 2r_1r_2 \). This yields the following expression
By combining terms and factoring, the following is the result

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_{n_1}^2 &= (r_1 - r_2)^2 \left[ 1 + \frac{y_0^2}{(r_1 - r_2)^2} + \frac{2r_1r_2}{(r_1 - r_2)^2} (1 - \cos(2n\beta \pm \delta)) \right] \\
    r_n &= |r_1 - r_2| \sqrt{1 + \frac{y_0^2}{(r_1 - r_2)^2} + \frac{2r_1r_2}{(r_1 - r_2)^2} (1 - \cos(2n\beta \pm \delta))}
\end{align*}
\]

(3-16)  

(3-17)

4. Pressure From Each Doublet

A generalization of Equation 3-13 can be made by substituting \( A_n \) and \( \Delta A_n \) for \( A_0 \) and \( \Delta A \) where

\[
A_n = \frac{A_{n^+} + A_{n^-}}{2} \quad \Delta A_n = \frac{A_{n^+} - A_{n^-}}{2}
\]

(3-18)

and \( \theta_n \) for \( \theta \) where

\[
\theta_{n_2} = 2n\beta \pm \delta
\]

(3-19)

so the pressure from each doublet is

\[
P_{n_2} = 2j \frac{A_n}{r_{n_2}} \left[ \sin(kr_1 \sin(\gamma) \sin(\theta_{n_2})) - j \frac{\Delta A_n}{A_n} \cos(kr_1 \gamma \sin(\theta_{n_2})) \right] e^{i(zr - kr)}
\]

(3-20)
IV. QUADRUPLE FORMATION

A. QUADRUPLE GENERAL DESCRIPTION

A quadruplet is formed by combining the upper and lower doublets. The visualization of the quadruplet is illustrated in Figure 4-1. The primary doublet (the source and its first upper image) are considered separately. The first quadruplet consists of the third and
fourth upper image (first upper doublet) and the first and second lower image (first lower doublet). The second quadruplet consists of the fifth and sixth upper images (second upper doublet) and the third and fourth lower images (second lower doublet). The process is continued until the opposite surface is reached.

The number of quadruplets formed is

\[ N = \text{Int} \left( \frac{90}{\beta} \right) \]  

(4-1)

At worst, only 3 images will be missed and they are highest order images so their contribution is negligible due to all the reflection coefficients encountered.

B. QUADRUPLE DEVELOPMENT

1. Balanced Quadruplet

The complementary doublets are 180° out of phase. The pressure of the quadruplet is given by combining the nth upper and lower doublets from Equation 3-10 of the balanced doublet to form

\[ P_n = 2j \frac{A_n}{r_n} \left[ \sin(kr_1 \sin(\gamma) \sin(\theta_{n\uparrow})) e^{-\mu br_{n\uparrow}} - \sin(kr_1 \sin(\gamma) \sin(\theta_{n\downarrow})) e^{-\mu br_{n\downarrow}} \right] e^{j(m-kr)} \]  

(4-2)

By substituting Equation 3-19 for \( \theta_{n\uparrow} \) and using the approximation that \( \sin(\gamma) = \gamma \), the balanced quadruplet pressure equation is

\[ P_n = 2j \frac{A_n}{r_n} \left[ \sin(kr_1 \gamma \sin(2n\beta + \delta)) e^{-\mu br_{n\uparrow}} - \sin(kr_1 \gamma \sin(2n\beta - \delta)) e^{-\mu br_{n\downarrow}} \right] e^{j(m-kr)} \]  

(4-3)

2. Unbalanced Quadruplet

The amplitudes of the upper and lower doublets are not equal because of the distance differential between them as seen in Figure 4-2. This leads to the concept of the unbalanced quadruplet.
The $\Delta r$ terms are derived from the comparison of the distance from the primary doublet to the midpoint of the upper and lower doublets in each quadruplet (Figure 4-2). This ensures that all phase angles are calculated from a common reference point. Using Equation 3-17, by setting $y_0=0$, we can make the following approximation,

$$r_{ns} = |r_1 - r_2| \sqrt{1 + \frac{2r_1r_2}{(r_1 - r_2)^2}(1 - \cos(2n\beta \pm \delta))}$$

(4-4)

Figure 4-2 - Illustration of Unbalanced Quadruplet Amplitude
\[ r_{xz} \equiv |r_1 - r_2| \left[ 1 + \frac{r_1 r_2}{(r_1 - r_2)^2} (1 - \cos(2n\beta \pm \delta)) \right] \] (4-5)

Now \( \Delta r_n \) can be approximated using the following relation

\[ \Delta r_{xz} = r_{xz} - |r_1 - r_2| \equiv \frac{r_1 r_2}{|r_1 - r_2|} [1 - \cos(2n\beta \pm \delta)] \] (4-6)

**Figure 4-3 - Illustration of \( \Delta r \) in a Quadruplet**

The cosine term in the above expression can be expanded using a trigonometric identity

\[ \cos(2n\beta \pm \delta) = \cos(2n\beta) \cos(\delta) \pm \sin(\delta) \sin(2n\beta) \] (4-7)

Small angle approximations can be used for \( \delta \) where \( \cos(\delta)=1 \) and \( \sin(\delta)=\delta \) so

\[ \cos(2n\beta \pm \delta) \equiv \cos(2n\beta) \pm \delta \sin(2n\beta) \] (4-8)
Equation 4-8 can be substituted into Equation 4-6 which can be substituted into Equation 4-3 to yield

$$P_n = \frac{2jA_n}{r} e^{j(w-k_0) \phi} e^{-jk_0 (1-\cos(2n\beta))} \left[ \sin(kr_1 \gamma \sin(2n\beta + \delta)) e^{-jk_0 \left( \frac{n \pi}{n-1} \right) \frac{\delta}{\sin(2n\beta)}} - \sin(kr_1 \gamma \sin(2n\beta - \delta)) e^{jk_0 \left( \frac{n \pi}{n-1} \right) \frac{\delta}{\sin(2n\beta)}} \right]$$

(4-9)

For convenience, the following terms are defined

$$\phi = kr_1 \mu (1 - \cos(2n\beta))$$
$$d = kr_1 \delta \sin(2n\beta)$$

(4-10)

$$\mu = \frac{r_2}{|r_1 - r_2|}$$

Equation 4-9 now becomes

$$P_n = \frac{2jA_n}{r} e^{j(w-k_0) \phi} e^{-jd} \left[ \sin(kr_1 \gamma \sin(2n\beta + \delta)) e^{-jd} - \sin(kr_1 \gamma \sin(2n\beta - \delta)) e^{jd} \right]$$

(4-11)

The amplitudes of the upper and lower images of the doublets are not equal. By separating the amplitudes and distributing it into the main equation in a process similarly done in Equation 3-12 and 3-13, the unbalanced quadruplet equation is the result

$$P_n = \frac{2j}{r} e^{j(w-k_0) \phi} e^{-jd}$$

\[A_n \left[ \sin(kr_1 \gamma \sin(2n\beta + \delta)) - j \frac{\Delta A_n}{A_n} \cos(kr_1 \gamma \sin(2n\beta + \delta)) \right] e^{-jd} \] (4-12)

\[-B_n \left[ \sin(kr_1 \gamma \sin(2n\beta - \delta)) - j \frac{\Delta B_n}{B_n} \cos(kr_1 \gamma \sin(2n\beta - \delta)) \right] e^{jd} \]

where $A_n$ and $B_n$ are the amplitudes of the upper and lower $n$th doublets at the midpoint and $\Delta A_n$ and $\Delta B_n$ are the differences in amplitude of the $n$th upper and lower doublet images.
V. REFLECTION COEFFICIENTS

A. REFLECTION COEFFICIENTS

The reflection coefficient is discussed in Chapter 2 with the image theory development. The reflection coefficient from [Ref. 29] is

\[
R_{mn} = \frac{\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} \sin(\theta_{nm}) - \frac{c_1}{c_2} \sin(\theta_i)}{\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} \sin(\theta_{nm}) + \frac{c_1}{c_2} \sin(\theta_i)}
\]  

(5-1)

It can be rewritten as

\[
R_{mn} = \frac{bc \sin(\theta_i)}{bc \sin(\theta_{nm}) + \sin(\theta_i)}
\]

(5-2)

where \(\theta_{nm}\) is the grazing angle of the nth image going through the mth plane and

\[
b = \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} \quad c = \frac{c_2}{c_1}
\]

(5-3)

\(\sin(\theta_i)\) can be expanded and the reflection coefficient can be rewritten as

\[
R_{mn} = \frac{bc - \sqrt{1 - c^2 \cos^2(\theta_{nm})}}{bc + \sqrt{1 - c^2 \cos^2(\theta_{nm})}}
\]

(5-4)

The term under the radical can be manipulated and written in terms of the critical angle, \(\theta_c\), from Equation 2-13.
\[
\sqrt{1-c^2\cos(\theta_{nm})} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{\cos^2(\theta_{nm})}{\cos^2(\theta_c)}} = c\sqrt{\sin^2(\theta_{nm}) - \sin^2(\theta_c)} \quad (5-5)
\]

Equation 5-5 can be combined into Equation 5-4 and simplified.

\[
R_{nm} = \frac{b - \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\sin(\theta_c)}{\sin(\theta_{nm})}\right)^2}}{b + \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\sin(\theta_c)}{\sin(\theta_{nm})}\right)^2}} \quad (5-6)
\]

By using the substitution

\[
x = \frac{\sin(\theta_{nm})}{\sin(\theta_c)} \quad (5-7)
\]

the reflection coefficient takes the form

\[
R_{nm} = \frac{b - \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{x^2}}}{b + \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{x^2}}} \quad (5-8)
\]

B. SLOW BOTTOM REFLECTION COEFFICIENTS

A slow bottom is when the speed of sound in the bottom material is slower than in the water \((c_1>c_2)\). This is an easier case to handle because there is no critical angle constraint, the reflection coefficient is real and diminishes quickly to zero.

Equation 5-8 can be expanded to by using

\[
\sin(\theta_c) = 1 - \frac{1}{c^2} = \frac{c^2 - 1}{c^2} \quad (5-9)
\]

resulting in
Reversing the order of the terms

\[
R_{nn} = \frac{b - \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{1}{c^2} \right) \frac{1}{\sin^2(\theta_{nn})}}}{b + \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{1}{c^2} \right) \frac{1}{\sin^2(\theta_{nn})}}}
\]  

(5-10)

By pulling out the first term

\[
R_{nn} = \frac{b - \sqrt{\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{1}{\sin(\theta_{nn})} \left(1 + \frac{c^2}{1 - c^2} \sin(\theta_{nn})\right)}}{b + \sqrt{\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{1}{\sin(\theta_{nn})} \left(1 + \frac{c^2}{1 - c^2} \sin(\theta_{nn})\right)}}
\]  

(5-12)

Dividing the top and bottom by 1/b and making an approximation with the square root results in

\[
R_{nn} \approx \frac{1 - \sqrt{\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{1}{b \sin(\theta_{nn})} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{c^2}{1 - c^2} \sin^2(\theta_{nn})\right)}}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{1}{b \sin(\theta_{nn})} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{c^2}{1 - c^2} \sin^2(\theta_{nn})\right)}}
\]  

(5-13)

Using the relation of

\[
\frac{1 - \psi}{1 + \psi} \equiv e^{-2\psi}
\]  

(5-14)

Equation 5-13 can be approximated by

\[
R_{nn} \approx e^{-\frac{2bc}{\pi(1-c^2)}}
\]  

(5-15)

Substituting
\[ \alpha = \frac{2bc}{\sqrt{1-c^2}} \]  

(5-16)

The final product is

\[ R_n = e^{-\alpha \theta_n} \]  

(5-17)

Each ray intersects the bottom 2n-1 times. The product of the reflection coefficients will be

\[ R_n = \prod R[\theta_n] \]  

(5-18)

Expanding the equation yields

\[ R_n = \prod_{m=1}^{2n-1} R[\theta_n] = e^{-\alpha(\eta+\beta)} e^{-\alpha(\eta+3\beta)} e^{-\alpha(\eta+5\beta)} ... e^{-\alpha(\eta+(2n-1)\beta)} \]  

(5-19)

where \( \eta = \epsilon + \delta \). Multiplying exponentials with the same base is equivalent to add their exponents. The terms in can be rearranged to

\[ \prod_{m=1}^{2n-1} R[\theta_n] = e^{-n\alpha_\eta} e^{-a[1+3+5...+(2n-1)]\beta} \]  

(5-20)

By noticing that the sum of the exponentials at a index is equal to the index squared, the result is

\[ R_n = e^{-n\alpha_\eta} e^{-n^2\alpha\beta} \]  

(5-21)

For small angles, the first term in the exponential is very small compared to the second so the cumulative reflection coefficient for a slow bottom is

\[ R_n = e^{-n^2\alpha\beta} \]  

(5-22)

C. FAST BOTTOM REFLECTION COEFFICIENTS

A fast bottom is when the speed of sound in the bottom is faster than the speed of sound of water \((c_2>c_1)\). At angles below grazing, the acoustic energy will propagate with
100% reflectance \( R_{nm}=1 \) until \( \theta_c \) (see Equation 2-13) is reached then will decay. See Figure 5-1.

**Figure 5-1 - Typical Fast Bottom Cumulative Reflection Coefficient Curve**

The development follows until Equation 5-8. By reversing the order of terms

\[
R_{nm} = \frac{b + j \sqrt{\frac{1}{x^2} - 1}}{b - j \sqrt{\frac{1}{x^2} - 1}} \quad (5-23)
\]

The above equation can be simplified to

\[
R_{nm} = \frac{1 + j \sqrt{\frac{1}{x^2} - 1}}{1 - j \sqrt{\frac{1}{x^2} - 1}} \quad (5-24)
\]

Using the Maclaurin series [Ref. 30] of
\[
\ln \frac{1+z}{1-z} = 2 \tanh^{-1}(z) \quad (5-25)
\]

Equation 5-22 results in

\[
R_{nm} = e^{2 \tanh^{-1}\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \right)} \quad (5-26)
\]

By taking out the $1/x^2$ term and inverting the $\tanh^{-1}$

\[
R_{nm} = e^{\left[ \pi - 2 \tanh^{-1}\left( \frac{bx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \right) \right]} \quad (5-27)
\]

Simplifying the above equation results in

\[
R_{nm} = -e^{-2 \tanh^{-1}\left( \frac{bx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \right)} \quad (5-28)
\]

or

\[
R_{nm} = -e^{-2j \tan^{-1}\left( \frac{bx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \right)} \quad (5-29)
\]

The cumulative reflection coefficient is the cumulative product of all previous reflection coefficients and will take the form of

\[
R_n = \prod_{n=1}^{N} R_{nm} = \prod_{n=1}^{N} -e^{-2j \tan^{-1}\left( \frac{bx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \right)} \quad (5-30)
\]
VI. GRAZING AND RECEIVER ANGLES IN QUADRUPLE

A. RECEIVER ANGLE

The receiver angle is calculated using the law of sines. The relation can be seen in Figure 6-1

\[
\frac{r_1}{\sin(\varepsilon_{n\pm})} = \frac{r_{n\pm}}{\sin(2n\beta \pm \delta)}
\] (6-1)

By solving for \(\sin(\varepsilon_n)\) and using the small angle approximation

\[
\varepsilon_{n\pm} = \frac{r_1}{r_{n\pm}} \sin(2n\beta \pm \delta)
\] (6-2)

Likewise the receiver angle for each image of the doublets can be solved for. The receiver angle for the upper half-space is given by

\[
\frac{r_1}{\sin(\varepsilon_{n\pm\pm})} = \frac{r_{n\pm\pm}}{\sin(2n\beta + \delta \pm \gamma)}
\] (6-3)

\[
\sin(\varepsilon_{n\pm\pm}) = \frac{r_1}{r_{n\pm\pm}} \sin(2n\beta + \delta \pm \gamma)
\] (6-4)

Using the sine expansion of the sum of two angles, the above equation results in

\[
\sin(\varepsilon_{n\pm\pm}) = \frac{r_1}{r_{n\pm\pm}} \left[ \sin(2n\beta + \delta)\cos(\gamma) \pm \cos(2n\beta + \delta)\sin(\gamma) \right]
\] (6-5)

Making the assumption \(\cos(\gamma)=1\), \(\sin(\gamma)=\gamma\), \(\sin(\varepsilon)=\varepsilon\), \(r_{n\pm\pm} = r_{n\pm}\) and substituting \(\sin(\varepsilon_n)\) from Equation 6-2
Figure 6.1 Grazing and Receiver Angles for Upper Doublet
\[ \varepsilon_{n+\pm} = \varepsilon_n \pm \frac{r_1}{r_{n+}} \gamma \cos(2n\beta + \delta) \]  
(6-6)

for the upper half space and

\[ \varepsilon_{n-\pm} = \varepsilon_n \pm \frac{r_1}{r_{n-}} \gamma \cos(2n\beta - \delta) \]  
(6-7)

for the lower half space.

**B. ANGLE OF INCIDENCE FOR UPPER AND LOWER DOUBLET IMAGES**

The angle from the surface to each virtual bottom is

\[ \theta_{n0} = (2n-1)\beta \]  
(6-8)

The angle of incidence of the doublet midpoint is

\[ \theta_{n\pm} = (2n-1)\beta \pm \delta + \varepsilon \]  
(6-9)

The angles of incidence with the virtual bottom is different with each upper and lower doublet image. The angles of incidence for the upper half space are given by

\[ \theta_{n+\pm} = (2n-1)\beta + \delta + \varepsilon_{n+\pm} \]  
(6-10)

By substituting Equation 6-5 into Equation 6-10 and making the standard small angle assumptions

\[ \theta_{n+\pm} = (2n-1)\beta + \delta + \frac{r_1}{r_{n+}} [\sin(2n\beta + \delta)(1) \pm \gamma \cos(2n\beta + \delta)] \]  
(6-11)

Expanding the sine term and making the standard small angle assumptions again

\[ \theta_{n+\pm} = (2n-1)\beta + \delta + \frac{r_1}{r_{n+}} [\sin(2n\beta)(1) + \delta \cos(2n\beta) \pm \gamma \cos(2n\beta + \delta)] \]  
(6-12)

Rearranging the terms result in
\[
\theta_{n\pm} = (2n - 1)\beta + \frac{r_1}{r_{n+}} \sin(2n\beta) + \delta \left[ 1 + \frac{r_1}{r_{n+}} \cos(2n\beta) \right] \\
\pm \frac{r_1}{r_{n+}} \gamma \cos(2n\beta + \delta)
\] (6-13)

An assumption can be made that if \( r_1 \ll r_{n+} \), the sine term in the above equation is small so the it can be neglected. The result of Equation 6-13 is

\[
\theta_{n\pm} = \theta_\infty + \delta \left[ 1 + \frac{r_1}{r_{n+}} \cos(2n\beta) \right] \pm \frac{r_1}{r_{n+}} \gamma \cos(2n\beta + \delta)
\] (6-14)

The equivalent equation for the lower half space is

\[
\theta_{n-\pm} = \theta_\infty + \delta \left[ 1 + \frac{r_1}{r_{n+}} \cos(2n\beta) \right] \pm \frac{r_1}{r_{n+}} \gamma \cos(2n\beta - \delta)
\] (6-15)

Expanding the cosine term results in

\[
\theta_{n\pm} = \theta_\infty + \delta \left[ 1 + \frac{r_1}{r_{n+}} \cos(2n\beta) \right] \\
\pm \frac{r_1}{r_{n+}} \gamma \left[ \cos(2n\beta) \cos(\delta) - \sin(2n\beta) \sin(\delta) \right]
\] (6-16)

Using the standard small angle approximations and assuming the sine term is small

\[
\theta_{n\pm} = \theta_\infty + \delta \left[ 1 + \frac{r_1}{r_{n+}} \cos(2n\beta) \right] \pm \frac{r_1}{r_{n+}} \gamma \cos(2n\beta)
\] (6-17)

for the upper half-space and

\[
\theta_{n-\pm} = \theta_\infty + \delta \left[ 1 + \frac{r_1}{r_{n-}} \cos(2n\beta) \right] \pm \frac{r_1}{r_{n-}} \gamma \cos(2n\beta)
\] (6-18)

for the lower half-space.

C. AMPLITUDES

The amplitude of each image in the quadruplet needs to be calculated due to their differences. The coefficients of Equation 6-17 and 6-18 are used where
\[ a_n = 1 + \frac{r_n}{r_n} \cos(2n\beta) \]  

(6-19)

and

\[ b_n = \frac{r_n}{r_n} \cos(2n\beta) \]  

(6-20)

The reflection coefficient can now be written for each image

\[ R_{n \pm} = R_n e^{-nab\gamma} \]  

(6-21)

expanding the above equation and using the sine approximation for an angle

\[ R_{n \pm} = R_n e^{-nab\delta} e^{\pm nay_\gamma} \]  

(6-22)

Each of the image amplitudes can now be expressed

\[ A_{n-} = R_n e^{-nab\delta} e^{-nab\gamma} \quad B_{n-} = R_n e^{nab\delta} e^{nab\gamma} \]

\[ A_{n+} = R_n e^{-nab\delta} e^{nab\gamma} \quad B_{n+} = R_n e^{nab\delta} e^{-nab\gamma} \]  

(6-23)

Using Equation 3-11 and the definition of

\[ \cosh(x) = \frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2} \quad \sinh(x) = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{2} \]  

(6-24)

where \( x = n\alpha b_n \delta \) gives

\[ \Delta A_n = R_n \sinh(n\alpha b_n \delta) e^{n\alpha b_n \delta} \quad \Delta B_n = R_n \sinh(n\alpha b_n \delta) e^{n\alpha b_n \delta} \]

\[ A_n = R_n \cosh(n\alpha b_n \delta) e^{n\alpha b_n \delta} \quad B_n = R_n \cosh(n\alpha b_n \delta) e^{n\alpha b_n \delta} \]  

(6-25)

The equations combine to form

\[ \frac{\Delta A}{A_n} = \tanh(n\alpha b_n \gamma) \quad \frac{\Delta B}{B_n} = \tanh(n\alpha b_n \gamma) \]  

(6-26)

Now the full quadruplet equation can be written as
\[ P = P_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{2j}{r_n} R_n \cosh(n\alpha \beta \gamma) e^{-(en - kr)} e^{-j\phi} \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    e^{-n\alpha \delta - j\phi} (\sin(kr, \gamma \sin(2n\beta + \delta)) - j \tanh(n\alpha \beta \gamma) \cos(kr, \gamma \sin(2n\beta + \delta))) \\
    -e^{-n\alpha \delta + j\phi} (\sin(kr, \gamma \sin(2n\beta - \delta)) - j \tanh(n\alpha \beta \gamma) \cos(kr, \gamma \sin(2n\beta - \delta)))
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(6-27)

where \( P_0 = \frac{2j}{r} \sin(kr, \gamma \delta) \).
VII. PROCEDURE AND RESULTS

This thesis had three objectives:

1. Convert the WEDGE/URTEXT to MATLAB™ and test it in all cases (slow and fast bottom, cross-slope, and with bottomloss).
2. Linearize the quadruplet expansion code by Joyce [Ref. 27].
3. Extend the quadruplet expansion to the fast bottom case with an approximation of the Rayleigh reflection coefficient.

A. CONVERT WEDGE/URTEXT TO MATLAB

The image model baseline program is called WEDGE. It is written in GWBASIC by Professor A. B. Coppens and is run on an IBM XT(8088) desktop computer. WEDGE was translated into FORTRAN by Nassopoulos [Ref. 25]. It was renamed URTEXT and is on the mainframe computer at the Naval Postgraduate School. Translating it to a language that is fast and can be run on a high performance desktop computer or workstation was necessary to make WEDGE data readily available for comparison to the quadruplet expansion. MATLAB™ [Ref. 31] was chosen because it is a high level scripting language which runs on a variety of computer operating systems including VAX, MS-DOS, UNIX, and Macintosh and that it is the mathematics program that the author is most familiar with.

Validation of the MATLAB™ version of WEDGE, called WEDGEMAT, was conducted by comparing the results of WEDGE and URTEXT to those of WEDGEMAT.

Tables 7-1, through 7-4 show the results of the comparison of URTEXT with WEDGEMATtr. WEDGEMATtr is the a version of WEDGE that ends the calculation process when the pressure amplitude is less than 0.0000001. The major error at the
surface is due to the difference in precision of the different languages. FORTRAN uses single precision variables, accurate to six decimal places. MATLAB\textsuperscript{TM} is precise to 13 places, therefore at the small pressures near the surface the round-off errors are extreme. It should be noted that the results of Nassoloulos are normalized pressures where the actual pressure is multiplied by the source scaling distance $r_1$.

Tables 7-5 through 7-8 show the comparison of WEDGE to WEDGEMAT\textsuperscript{tr}. The WEDGE program in GWBASIC uses variables precise to 12 decimal places so it compares more favorably with the MATLAB version. Runs were done with fast bottoms, bottomloss, and cross-slope to test accuracy. The results are very favorable.

A run was made on an $10^\circ$ slope with the data taken from the surface to bottom sampled every 0.05\(^\circ\). Figure 7-1 shows pressure falls off linearly as the sound nears the surface (bottom of graph). This implies the model is valid at the surface.

![Figure 7-1 - Pressure vs. Receiver Angle](image)

WEDGEMAT\textsuperscript{tr} has undergone basic proofing. When compared with previous versions in FORTRAN and GWBASIC, it is more flexible both in platforms run, model
variables, and speed. Most importantly, now it can be used to check the quadruplet expansion data to verify results.

**TABLE 7-1 SLOW BOTTOM COMPARISON OF URTEXT AND WEDGEMATTR**

\[ \beta=3 \quad \gamma=1 \quad r_1=1 \quad r_2=10 \quad y_0=0 \quad \rho_1/\rho_2=0.9 \]

\[ c_1=1500\text{m/s} \quad c_2=1475\text{m/s} \quad \alpha/k_2=0 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receiver Angle</th>
<th>Pressure Amplitude</th>
<th>Phase Angle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Image</td>
<td>Theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03°</td>
<td>0.000123</td>
<td>0.000126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30°</td>
<td>0.001255</td>
<td>0.001250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60°</td>
<td>0.002415</td>
<td>0.002400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.90°</td>
<td>0.003352</td>
<td>0.003356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20°</td>
<td>0.004028</td>
<td>0.004039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50°</td>
<td>0.004384</td>
<td>0.004390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.80°</td>
<td>0.004369</td>
<td>0.004377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.10°</td>
<td>0.004010</td>
<td>0.004002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.40°</td>
<td>0.003339</td>
<td>0.003316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.70°</td>
<td>0.002471</td>
<td>0.002467</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Avg Pressure Diff = 0.000008
Avg Phase Diff = 1.5°

Note: Normalized pressure equation used where \[ P = r_1\sqrt{P_i^2 + P_r^2} \]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receiver Angle</th>
<th>Pressure Amplitude</th>
<th>Phase Angle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Image</td>
<td>Absolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04°</td>
<td>0.040927</td>
<td>0.041003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40°</td>
<td>0.394236</td>
<td>0.394324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80°</td>
<td>0.701851</td>
<td>0.701860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20°</td>
<td>0.873027</td>
<td>0.873049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.60°</td>
<td>0.915180</td>
<td>0.915294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00°</td>
<td>0.887048</td>
<td>0.887038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.40°</td>
<td>0.851987</td>
<td>0.851901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.80°</td>
<td>0.810276</td>
<td>0.810180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.20°</td>
<td>0.704744</td>
<td>0.704662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.60°</td>
<td>0.503485</td>
<td>0.503407</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Avg Pressure Diff = 0.000069  Avg Phase Diff = 0.1°

Note: Normalized pressure equation used where \( P = r_1 \sqrt{P_1^2 + P_2^2} \)
TABLE 7-3 SLOW BOTTOM COMPARISON OF URTEXT AND WEDGEMATTR

\[ \beta=5 \quad \gamma=4 \quad r_1=2 \quad r_2=400 \quad y_0=0 \quad \rho_1/\rho_2=0.6 \]
\[ c_1=1500\text{m/s} \quad c_2=1410\text{m/s} \quad \alpha/k_2=0 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receiver Angle</th>
<th>Pressure Amplitude</th>
<th>Phase Angle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Image Theory</td>
<td>MATLAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05°</td>
<td>0.000087</td>
<td>0.000082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50°</td>
<td>0.000818</td>
<td>0.000812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00°</td>
<td>0.001532</td>
<td>0.001549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50°</td>
<td>0.002151</td>
<td>0.002144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00°</td>
<td>0.002537</td>
<td>0.002542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.50°</td>
<td>0.002704</td>
<td>0.002704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00°</td>
<td>0.002639</td>
<td>0.002613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.50°</td>
<td>0.002276</td>
<td>0.002268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.00°</td>
<td>0.001699</td>
<td>0.001690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.50°</td>
<td>0.000926</td>
<td>0.000916</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Avg Pressure Diff = 0.000009
Avg Phase Diff = 3.7°

Note: Normalized pressure equation used where \( P = r_1 \sqrt{p_i^2 + p_o^2} \)
TABLE 7-4 SLOW BOTTOM COMPARISON OF URTEXT AND WEDGEMATTR

$\beta=6 \quad \gamma=2 \quad r_1=0.8 \quad r_2=5 \quad y_0=0 \quad \rho_1/\rho_2=0.8$

c_1=1500m/s \quad c_2=1450m/s \quad \alpha/k_2=0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receiver Angle</th>
<th>Pressure Amplitude</th>
<th>Phase Angle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Image</td>
<td>Absolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Theory</td>
<td>MATLAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.06'</td>
<td>0.000372</td>
<td>0.000377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60'</td>
<td>0.003728</td>
<td>0.003726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20'</td>
<td>0.007214</td>
<td>0.007205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.80'</td>
<td>0.010212</td>
<td>0.010210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.40'</td>
<td>0.012559</td>
<td>0.012557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00'</td>
<td>0.014134</td>
<td>0.014136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.60'</td>
<td>0.014946</td>
<td>0.014947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.20'</td>
<td>0.015168</td>
<td>0.015166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.80'</td>
<td>0.015224</td>
<td>0.015224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.40'</td>
<td>0.015831</td>
<td>0.015832</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Avg Pressure Diff = 0.000003  Avg Phase Diff = 0.4'

Note: Normalized pressure equation used where $P = \gamma \sqrt{P_i^2 + P_u^2}$
### TABLE 7.5 FAST BOTTOM COMPARISON OF WEDGE AND WEDGEMATR WITH BOTTOMLOSS

\[ \beta = 3 \quad \gamma = 1 \quad r_1 = 1 \quad r_2 = 10 \quad y_0 = 0 \quad \rho_1 / \rho_2 = 0.9 \]

\[ c_1 = 1500 \text{m/s} \quad c_2 = 1666 \text{m/s} \quad \alpha / k_2 = 0.0001 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receiver Angle (Degrees)</th>
<th>Pressure Amplitude</th>
<th>Phase Angle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Theory</td>
<td>MATLAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03'</td>
<td>0.01256</td>
<td>0.01255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30'</td>
<td>0.12379</td>
<td>0.12377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60'</td>
<td>0.23680</td>
<td>0.23681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.90'</td>
<td>0.32981</td>
<td>0.32982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20'</td>
<td>0.39269</td>
<td>0.39272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50'</td>
<td>0.42213</td>
<td>0.42214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.80'</td>
<td>0.40586</td>
<td>0.40585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.10'</td>
<td>0.34833</td>
<td>0.34832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.40'</td>
<td>0.24512</td>
<td>0.24513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.70'</td>
<td>0.14819</td>
<td>0.14816</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Avg Pressure Diff = 0.00002**

**Avg Phase Diff = 0.5'**
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TABLE 7-6 FAST BOTTOM COMPARISON OF WEDGE AND WEDGEMATTR WITH BOTTOMLOSS

\[ \beta = 3 \quad \gamma = 1 \quad r_1 = 1 \quad r_2 = 100 \quad \eta_0 = 0 \quad \rho_1 / \rho_2 = 0.9 \]

\[ c_1 = 1500 \text{m/s} \quad c_2 = 1875 \text{m/s} \quad \alpha / k_2 = 0.0001 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receiver Angle</th>
<th>Pressure Amplitude</th>
<th>Phase Angle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Image</td>
<td>Theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03°</td>
<td>0.00210</td>
<td>0.00199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30°</td>
<td>0.02069</td>
<td>0.02062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60°</td>
<td>0.03962</td>
<td>0.03966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.90°</td>
<td>0.05529</td>
<td>0.05535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20°</td>
<td>0.06632</td>
<td>0.06640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50°</td>
<td>0.07174</td>
<td>0.07183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.80°</td>
<td>0.07095</td>
<td>0.07105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.10°</td>
<td>0.06385</td>
<td>0.06394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.40°</td>
<td>0.05088</td>
<td>0.05093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.70°</td>
<td>0.02783</td>
<td>0.02782</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Avg Pressure Diff = 0.00006  
Avg Phase Diff = 0.6°
### TABLE 7-7 FAST BOTTOM COMPARISON OF WEDGE AND WEDGEMATTR IN CROSS-SLOPE WITH BOTTOMLOSS

\[
\beta = 3 \quad \gamma = 1 \quad r_1 = 1 \quad r_2 = 10 \quad y_0 = 4 \quad \rho_1 / \rho_2 = 0.9
\]
\[
c_1 = 1500 \text{m/s} \quad c_2 = 1666 \text{m/s} \quad \alpha / k_2 = 0.0001
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angle</th>
<th>Pressure Amplitude</th>
<th>Phase Angle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Image Theory</td>
<td>MATLAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03°</td>
<td>0.01024</td>
<td>0.01094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30°</td>
<td>0.10162</td>
<td>0.10227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60°</td>
<td>0.19699</td>
<td>0.19716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.90°</td>
<td>0.27908</td>
<td>0.27931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20°</td>
<td>0.34418</td>
<td>0.34418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50°</td>
<td>0.39920</td>
<td>0.39896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.80°</td>
<td>0.40001</td>
<td>0.39954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.10°</td>
<td>0.35816</td>
<td>0.35799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.40°</td>
<td>0.27680</td>
<td>0.27659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.70°</td>
<td>0.17050</td>
<td>0.17036</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Avg Pressure Diff = 0.00029  
Avg Phase Diff = 0.2°
TABLE 7-8 FAST BOTTOM COMPARISON OF WEDGE AND WEDGEMATS IN CROSS-SLOPE WITH BOTTOMLOSS

\[ \beta = 3 \quad \gamma = 0.5 \quad r_1 = 1 \quad r_2 = 10 \quad y_0 = 8 \quad \rho_1 / \rho_2 = 0.9 \]
\[ c_1 = 1500 \text{m/s} \quad c_2 = 1666 \text{m/s} \quad \alpha / k_2 = 0.0001 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receiver Angle</th>
<th>Pressure Amplitude</th>
<th>Phase Angle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Receiver Image</td>
<td>Absolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03°</td>
<td>0.00493</td>
<td>0.00501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30°</td>
<td>0.04887</td>
<td>0.04911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60°</td>
<td>0.09466</td>
<td>0.09495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.90°</td>
<td>0.013453</td>
<td>0.13463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20°</td>
<td>0.16917</td>
<td>0.16949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50°</td>
<td>0.18791</td>
<td>0.18845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.80°</td>
<td>0.18490</td>
<td>0.18554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.10°</td>
<td>0.16321</td>
<td>0.16382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.40°</td>
<td>0.12657</td>
<td>0.12706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.70°</td>
<td>0.07764</td>
<td>0.07792</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Avg Pressure Diff = 0.00036  Avg Phase Diff = 0.7°

B. LINEARIZATION OF QUADRUPLE EXPANSION PROGRAM

The original quadruplet expansion program was written by Joyce [Ref. 27]. His program is used as a basis for the fast bottom case examined later in this chapter. The linearized program is in Appendix A-1 and A-2 and has incorporated into it the fast bottom, reflection coefficients of Equations 7-1 and 5-28.
The original quadruplet expansion code used "For Loops" to compute its products. The code was linearized to speed processing time. A speed factor of 8 was achieved with the same output results [Ref. 27]. Table 7-9 shows relative execution time for a 3° wedge. This table is only meant to give the reader a 'feel' of the time involved in running the programs. The WEDGE program in GWBASIC was run on a IBM XT (8088) with FPU. All other programs were run on a Mac II (68030 @ 20 MHz) with Applied Engineering 32k RAM cache card (with 20 MHz FPU) using MATLAB™ 3.5.

**TABLE 7-9 EXECUTION TIME OF IMAGE PROGRAMS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bottom Type</th>
<th>WEDGE (truncated version)</th>
<th>WEDGEMAT</th>
<th>WEDGEMATr</th>
<th>QUAD</th>
<th>Linearized QUAD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slow</td>
<td>16s</td>
<td>42s</td>
<td>2s</td>
<td>8s</td>
<td>1s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast</td>
<td>124s</td>
<td>43s</td>
<td>5s</td>
<td>8s</td>
<td>1s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Another method to speed calculation is to use the "For Loop" and put in a "Break" command to stop the calculation process after the pressure for a certain image or image doublets, in the quadruplet case, falls below a specified level. This was done in the WEDGE (truncated version) and WEDGEMATr. This especially useful in a slow bottom case where the first 3 of 30 quadruplets (for a 3° wedge) would be 98 percent of the amplitude. This idea was not explored for the quadruplet expansion case.

C. FAST BOTTOM REFLECTION COEFFICIENT APPROXIMATION

The Rayleigh reflection coefficient without absorption is
For a fast bottom 3° wedge with the following parameters
\[ c_1 = 1500 \text{ m/s} \quad \rho_1 = 1 \text{ Kg/m}^3 \]
\[ c_2 = 1666 \text{ m/s} \quad \rho_2 = 1.1111 \text{ Kg/m}^3 \]
the reflection coefficients are displayed in Table 7-10. In a 3° wedge, 30 reflection coefficients would be calculated. A fast bottom requires the first 10 terms since the cumulative product is multiplied with the amplitude of the quadruplet. The cumulative product after the tenth term is very small and contributes little to the final pressure as can be seen in Figure 5-1.

\[
R_{nn} = \frac{\rho_2 c_2}{\rho_1 c_1} \frac{\sqrt{\left(\frac{c_2}{c_1}\right)^2 \cos^2(\theta_{nn}) - 1}}{\frac{\sqrt{\left(\frac{c_2}{c_1}\right)^2 \cos^2(\theta_{nn}) - 1}}{\sin(\theta_{nn})}} - j \frac{\sqrt{\left(\frac{c_2}{c_1}\right)^2 \cos^2(\theta_{nn}) - 1}}{\sin(\theta_{nn})}
\]

(7-1)

\[
R_{nn} = -e^{-2j\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{bx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}\right)}
\]
TABLE 7-10 COMPARISON OF RAYLEIGH AND ARCTAN APPROXIMATION REFLECTION COEFFICIENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quad Number</th>
<th>Rayleigh Reflection Coefficient</th>
<th>Tan(^{-1}) Approximation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amp</td>
<td>Phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>149.7°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>121.2°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>95.1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>70.9°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46.1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.9084</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.4839</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3750</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.3120</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.2669</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The correlation of the two reflection coefficients are good until the third quadruplet. Then the Tan\(^{-1}\) approximation fall off much more quickly than the Rayleigh values. This can be seen in the complex plane plot of the reflection coefficients in Figure 7-2. The first five reflection coefficients are numbered in each case.
Figure 7-2 - Comparison of Complex Reflection Coefficients

TABLE 7-11 COMPARISON OF THE CUMULATIVE RAYLEIGH AND ARC TAN APPROXIMATION REFLECTION COEFFICIENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quad Number</th>
<th>Rayleigh Reflection Coefficient</th>
<th>Tan⁻¹ Approximation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amp</td>
<td>Phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>149.7°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-89.1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>77.0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>123.1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.9084</td>
<td>123.1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.4396</td>
<td>123.1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.1648</td>
<td>123.1°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The most important factor is the cumulative reflection coefficient which is shown in Table 7-11. There is a wide disparity between the actual and approximated reflection coefficients. The correlation is good until the second quadruplet, then the products diverge. The reason for this is the inaccuracy of each grazing with the bottom is amplified by the complex multiplication of the cumulative product.

A test was run comparing the amplitude and phase at the receiver. The output is displayed in Table 7-12. Data were taken from the surface to the bottom in 0.5° increments. The differences are attributed to the inaccuracy of the reflection coefficient after the second quadruplet. With the fast bottom, the equations are very sensitive to the phase of the reflection coefficients. Even though the reflection coefficients have an amplitude of 1, the phase can cause constructive and destructive interference. Also with a fast bottom, many of the terms in the quadruplet expansion are complex there by magnifying the effect of the phase inaccuracy.

**TABLE 7-12 COMPARISON OF AMPLITUDE AND PHASE OF USING RAYLEIGH AND ARCTAN APPROXIMATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receiver Angle (from Surface)</th>
<th>Rayleigh Reflection Coefficient</th>
<th>$\tan^{-1}$ Approximation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\delta$</td>
<td>Amp</td>
<td>Phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0^\circ$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.5^\circ$</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
<td>173.0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1.0^\circ$</td>
<td>0.0164</td>
<td>172.9°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1.5^\circ$</td>
<td>0.0245</td>
<td>172.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.0^\circ$</td>
<td>0.0239</td>
<td>172.4°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.5^\circ$</td>
<td>0.0161</td>
<td>172.1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3.0^\circ$</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>162.2°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Now one still has to compare the results of WEDGEMATr, to the quadruplet expansion. The quadruplet expansion with the Rayleigh reflection coefficient was tested in the same conditions as in the above table. The results are displayed in Table 7-13.

**TABLE 7-13 COMPARISON OF AMPLITUDE AND PHASE OF QUADRUPLET EXPANSION USING RAYLEIGH AND IMAGE MODEL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receiver Angle (from Surface)</th>
<th>Rayleigh Reflection Coefficient</th>
<th>WEDGEMATr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amp</td>
<td>Phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0°</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5°</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
<td>173.0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0°</td>
<td>0.0164</td>
<td>172.9°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5°</td>
<td>0.0245</td>
<td>172.7°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0°</td>
<td>0.0239</td>
<td>172.4°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5°</td>
<td>0.0161</td>
<td>172.1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0°</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>162.2°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The comparison of the image model (WEDGEMATr) and the quadruplet expansion using the Rayleigh reflection coefficient reveals an error factor of about 3 in amplitude. This can be attributed to the many approximations made in deriving the equation for the quadruplet expansion which was covered in Chapter III to VI of this thesis. Many of the approximations are first order and are not good enough to get accurate results.

The quadruplet expansion using the exponential approximation for a slow bottom [Ref. 27] is limited to small wedge angle, the source close to the surface, and the receiver must be in the 'far field'. The reason for the limitation to a small wedge angle ($\beta \sim 3$) is to minimize the errors in the small angle approximation ($\cos(\gamma) = 1$ and $\sin(\delta) = \delta$). The source needs to be close to the surface (upper half of the wedge) so the distance between
the upper and lower doublet pairs are reasonably close. The 'far field' requires \( r_n >> r_1 \),
which is about 50 dump distances \( (X_c) \). The reason for this is to insure the receiver angle
\( (e) \) is small and the difference between the upper and lower images in the doublet are very
small. These requirements are needed to insure that in the slow bottom case, where the
reflection coefficient is real, and the cumulative product of the reflection coefficient is \( \sim 0 \) by
the third quadruplet (of 30) in a 3° wedge. In the fast bottom case, when the terms are
complex and phase dependent, the cumulative reflection coefficient will go to \( \sim 0 \) by the
ninth or tenth quadruplet. In this latter case there are many more complex operations being
executed thereby magnifying the need for accurate approximations of the reflection
coefficients and the terms in the quadruplet expression (Equation 6-27).
VIII. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Image theory is an ideal method for calculating the transmission loss in a shallow water (wedge shaped ocean) environment. It can be used in cross-slope, at all frequencies and in transitional cut off regions that are out of bounds for normal mode theories.

This thesis has successfully converted the GWBASIC and FORTRAN code of WEDGE and URTEXT to MATLAB™, which is a high level scripting language. The original WEDGE program is accurate in fast and slow bottoms, cross-slope, and with bottomloss. The WEDGEMAT program retains all the same qualities but is much faster to run. The quadruplet expansion program for a slow bottom by Joyce [Ref. 27] was linearized to increase processing speed by a factor of 8 while retaining the same results.

This thesis also incorporated a Tan⁻¹ approximation of the reflection coefficient for a fast bottom into the quadruplet expansion. Due to the inaccuracy of the reflection coefficient after the second quadruplet, the results were not favorable. It was also discovered that even with an accurate Rayleigh reflection coefficient, the first order approximations made in developing the quadruplet expansion equation (Equation 6-27) are not accurate enough for the fast bottom case.

The next steps in this area of research is to find a better approximation for the fast bottom reflection coefficient and to increase the accuracy of the terms of the quadruplet expansion equation (Equation 6-27).
APPENDIX A-1

% QUADATAN
% Linearized Quadruplet Expansion of Method
% of Images. Based on PQUAD.m by Joyce.

% This program will provide pressure amplitude and
% the phase angle at the receiver. All distances are
% ratios of dump distances Xc. All angles are inputed
% in degrees. This model includes Rayleigh Reflection
% coefficient for fast bottom case and exponential
% approximation for slow bottom case.

% By Pat Takamiya

clear; clc; clg;
% Input Parameters
B=input('Enter bottom wedge angle in deg (beta) =');
G=input('Enter source angle from surface in deg (gamma) =');
D=input('Enter receiver angle from surface in deg (delta) =');
dl=input('Enter Density ratio, water to bottom =');
CC=input('Enter sound speed ratio, water to bottom =');
r1=input('Enter range of source from apex (r1) =');
r2=input('Enter range of receiver from apex (r2) =');
y0=0;

% Determine Number of Image Quadruplets
% Convert Angles from Deg to Rads
N1=fix(90/B);
B=B*(pi/180);
G=G*(pi/180);
D=D*(pi/180);

% Determine Scaling for Fast or Slow Bottoms
% Scaled wave number
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Calculate Constants and Primary Doublet

\[
\alpha = 2 \frac{1}{dl} \frac{(CCA^2 - 1)}{\sqrt{(CCA^2 - 1)}}
\]

\[
r = \sqrt{(r1^2 + r2^2 + y0^2 - (2*r1*r2*cos(D)))}
\]

\[
r_3 = \left| r2 - r1 \right|
\]

\[
r_4 = \frac{r2}{r3}
\]

\[
\mu = \frac{r2}{r3}
\]

\[
q = t4 * r1 * G * D
\]

\[
p1 = \frac{2}{r} \sin(q)
\]

\[
f = 0
\]

Quadruplet Summation

\[
n = (1:NI)
\]

\[
s(n) = n
\]

\[
th(n) = 2n * B
\]

\[
d(n) = t4 * r1 * D * \sin(th(n))
\]

\[
\phi(n) = t4 * r1 * mu * (1 - \cos(th(n)))
\]

Reflection Coeff

\[
r_u(n) = \sqrt{(r1^2 + r2^2 + y0^2 - 2*r1*r2*cos(th(n) + D))}
\]

\[
r_1(n) = \sqrt{(r1^2 + r2^2 + y0^2 - 2*r1*r2*cos(th(n) - D))}
\]

\[
r_5(n) = r_1(n) / r_u(n)
\]

\[
r_6(n) = r_1(n) / r_1(n)
\]

\[
al(n) = \cos(th(n))
\]

\[
bl(n) = r_6(n) * \cos(th(n))
\]

if CC > 1

\[
rr(n) = (B * \alpha * (n^2))
\]

\[
R(n) = -\exp(-rr(n))
\]

else

\[
rc = (1/CC) * 1/dl
\]

\[
cc1 = 1/CC
\]

\[
g1(n) = \sqrt{(cc1 * cc1 * \cos(th(n)) - 1)}
\]

\[
g2(n) = \sin(th(n))
\]

\[
g3(n) = j * g1(n) / g2(n)
\]

\[
R1(n) = (rc + g3(n)) / (rc - g3(n))
\]

\[
R(n) = \text{cumprod}(-R1(n))
\]

end

\[
a(n) = n * \alpha * D * al(n)
\]

\[
b(n) = n * \alpha * G * bl(n)
\]

Pressure

\[
u1(n) = \cosh(b(n))
\]

\[
u2(n) = \exp(-j * \phi(n))
\]

\[
u3(n) = (-2/r) * R(n) * u1(n) * u2(n)
\]
% Upper Image
vl(n) = sin(th(n)+D);
vl2(n) = t4*r1*G*vl(n);
vl3(n) = sin(vl2(n));
vl4(n) = cos(vl2(n));
vl5(n) = -a(n) - j*mu*d(n);
vl6(n) = exp(vl5(n));
vl7(n) = tanh(b(n));
vl8(n) = vl6(n) .* (vl3(n) - j*vl7(n) .* vl4(n));

% Lower Image
wl(n) = sin(th(n)-D);
w2(n) = t4*r1*G*wl(n);
w3(n) = sin(w2(n));
w4(n) = cos(w2(n));
w5(n) = a(n) + j*mu*d(n);
w6(n) = exp(w5(n));
w7(n) = w6(n) .* (w3(n) - j*w7(n) .* w4(n));

% Summation
p(n) = u3(n) .* (vl8(n) - w7(n));
p2 = sum(p(n));

% ps = p1 + p2;
ph1 = atan2(imag(ps), real(ps));
phase = 180*ph1/pi
P = sqrt(real(ps)^2 + imag(ps)^2)
APPENDIX A-2

% QUADATAN
% Linearized Quadruplet Expansion of Method
% of Images. Based on PQUAD.m by Joyce.
%
% This program will provide pressure amplitude and
% the phase angle at the reciever. All distances are
% ratios of dump distances Xc. All angles are inputed
% in degrees. This model includes ArcTan Approximation
% Reflection coefficient for fast bottom case and
% exponential
% approximation for slow bottom case.
%
% By Pat Takamiya
%
clear; clc; clg;

% Input Parameters
B=input('Enter bottom wedge angle in deg (beta) =');
G=input('Enter source angle from surface in deg (gamma) =');
D=input('Enter receiver angle from surface in deg (delta) =');
d1=input('Enter Density ratio, water to bottom =');
CC=input('Enter sound speed ratio, water to bottom =');
r1=input('Enter range of source from apex (r1) =');
r2=input('Enter range of receiver from apex (r2) =');
y0=0;

% Determine Number of Image Quadruplets
% Convert Angles from Deg to Rads
N1=fix(90/B);
B=B*(pi/180);
G=G*(pi/180);
D=D*(pi/180);

% Determine Scaling for Fast or Slow Bottoms
%
tqg=tan(B);
if CC<1 % Fast Bottom
    tqq1=acos(CC);
    tqq2=sin(tqq1);
else
    tqq1=acos(1/CC); % Slow Bottom
    tqq2=tan(tqq1);
end
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tqq3 = 2*tqq2*tqq;
t4 = pi/tqq3; % Scaled wave number

% Calculate Constants and Primary Doublet
alpha = 2*(1/dl)/(sqrt((CCA2)-1));
r = sqrt((r1^2)+(r2^2)+(y0^2)-(2*r1*r2*cos(D)));
r3 = abs(r2-r1);
r4 = r1*r2/(r3^2);
mu = r2/r3;
q = t4*r1*G*D;
p1 = (2/r)*sin(q);
f = 0;

% Quadruplet Summation
n = (1:N1);
s(n) = n;
theta(n) = 2*n*B;
d(n) = t4*r1*D*sin(theta(n));
phi(n) = t4*r1*mu*(1-cos(theta(n)));

% Reflection Coeff
ru(n) = sqrt((r1^2)+(r2^2)+(y0^2)-2*r1*r2*cos(theta(n)+D));
rl(n) = sqrt((r1^2)+(r2^2)+(y0^2)-2*r1*r2*cos(theta(n)-D));
r5(n) = r1/ru(n);
r6(n) = r1/rl(n);
a1(n) = 1+r5(n).*cos(theta(n));
b1(n) = r6(n).*cos(theta(n));

if CC>1
    rr(n) = (B*alpha.*(n.^2));
    R(n) = -exp(-rr(n));
else
    x(n) = sin(theta(n))/sin(acos(CC));
    R1(n) = -exp(-2*j*atan(((1/dl).*x(n))./sqrt(1-x(n).^2)));
    R(n) = cumprod(R1(n));
end
a(n) = n.*alpha*D.*a1(n);
b(n) = n.*alpha*G.*b1(n);

% Pressure
u1(n) = cosh(b(n));
u2(n) = exp(-j*phi(n));
u3(n) = (-2/r).*R(n).*u1(n).*u2(n);

% Upper Image
v1(n) = sin(theta(n)+D);
v2(n) = t4*r1*G*v1(n);
\[ v_3(n) = \sin(v_2(n)) ; \\
v_4(n) = \cos(v_2(n)) ; \\
v_5(n) = -a(n) - j*\mu*d(n) ; \\
v_6(n) = \exp(v_5(n)) ; \\
v_7(n) = \tanh(b(n)) ; \\
v_8(n) = v_6(n) \times (v_3(n) - j*v_7(n) \times v_4(n)) ; \\
\]

```
% Lower Image
w_1(n) = \sin(th(n) - D) ;
w_2(n) = t_4 * r_1 * G * w_1(n) ;
w_3(n) = \sin(w_2(n)) ;
w_4(n) = \cos(w_2(n)) ;
w_5(n) = a(n) + j*\mu*d(n) ;
w_6(n) = \exp(w_5(n)) ;
w_7(n) = w_6(n) \times (w_3(n) - j*7(n) \times w_4(n)) ;
```

```
% Summation
p(n) = u_3(n) \times (v_8(n) - w_7(n)) ;
p_2 = \text{sum}(p(n)) ;
```

```
% ph1 = \text{atan2}(\text{imag(ps)}, \text{real(ps)}) ;
phase = 180*ph1/\pi 
P = \sqrt{\text{real(ps)}^2 + \text{imag(ps)}^2}
```
APPENDIX B-1

% % WEDGE for MATLAB
% % Adapted from the BASIC version of WEDGE
% % by Prof A.B. Coppens and URTEXT by G. Nassopolous
% % pz Not Normalized
% % This program will provide pressure amplitude and
% % the phase angle at the reciever. All distances are
% % ratios of dump distances Xc. All angles are inputed
% % in degrees. This model includes cross-slope and
% % bottomloss terms.
% % By Pat Takamiya
% clear; clc; clg;
% B=input('Enter bottom wedge angle (beta)= ');
G=input('Enter source angle from the surface (gamma)= ');
D=input('Enter receiver angle from the surface (delta)= ');
d1=input('Enter Density ratio, water to bottom= ');
CC=input('Enter speed ratio, water to bottom= ');
r1=input('Enter range of source from apex (r1)= ');
r2=input('Enter range of receiver from apex (r2)= ');
BL=input('Enter bottom loss coefficient (alpha/k2)= ');
y0=input('Enter cross-slope range (Yo)= ');

% Determine Number of Image Pairs
% Convert Angles from Deg to Rad
% N1=fix(180/B);
B=B*pi/180;
G=B-G*pi/180;
D=B-D*pi/180;
% Determine Scaling for Fast or Slow Bottom
% tqq=tan(B);
if CC<1, % Fast Bottom
    tqq1=acos(CC);
    tqq2=sin(tqq1);
else
tqql=acos(1/CC); % Slow Bottom
tqq2=tan(tqql);
end;

% % Determine Constants
%
tqq3=2*tqq2*tqq;
t4=pi/tqq3;
c2=CC^2;
d2=(r1^2)+(r2^2)+(y0^2);
r3=2*r1*r2;
q1=1/sqrt(2);

% % Define Lengths of arrays
i=1:fix(Nl/2);
c(i)=zeros(1:fix(Nl/2));
e(i)=zeros(1:fix(Nl/2));
f(i)=zeros(1:fix(Nl/2));

% % Determine Range to Receiver for each Image

n=1:Nl;
n1=2:2:Nl;
n2=1:2:Nl;
t1(n1)=(n1*B)-G;
t1(n2)=((-n2-1)*B)+G;
r8(n)=sqrt(d2-r3*cos(t1(n)-D)); % 3-D Law of Cosines
r9(n)=sqrt(d2-r3*cos(t1(n)+D)); % 3-D Law of Cosines

% p1=0;p2=0;

for n=1:1:Nl,
s2=(-1)^(fix(n/2));

% % Calculate Reflection Coefficient for Upper Space

w1=2*c2*BL;
i1=fix((n-1)/2);
for i=1:1:i1,
s(i)=(r1*sin(t1(n)-2*i*B)+r2*sin(2*i*B-D))/r8(n);
if s(i)>=1,
s(i)=1;
end;
c(i)=sqrt(1.0-s(i)^2);
t=s(i)/d1;
\[ w_0 = -c_2 + c(i)^2; \]
\[ y = \sqrt{((w_0)^2 + (w_1)^2)}; \]
\[ z = (w_0); \]
\[ \text{if } y \leq z, \]
\[ y = z; \]
\[ \text{end}; \]
\[ y_1 = q_1 \sqrt{y + w_0}; \]
\[ y_2 = -q_1 \sqrt{y - w_0}; \]
\[ z_1 = t - y_2; \]
\[ z_2 = -y_1; \]
\[ z_3 = z_1 / ((z_1)^2 + (z_2)^2); \]
\[ z_4 = -z_2 / ((z_1)^2 + (z_2)^2); \]
\[ z_1 = t + y_2; \]
\[ z_2 = y_1; \]
\[ z_5 = (z_1 * z_3) - (z_2 * z_4); \]
\[ z_6 = (z_1 * z_4) + (z_2 * z_3); \]
\[ e(i) = z_5; \]
\[ f(i) = z_6; \]
\[ \text{end}; \]
\[ \text{end}; \]
\[ z_1 = 0; z_2 = 0; z_3 = 0; z_4 = 0; z_5 = 1; z_6 = 0; \]
\[ \text{if } n > 2, \]
\[ \text{for } i = 1:1:i, \]
\[ z_1 = e(i); \]
\[ z_2 = f(i); \]
\[ z_3 = z_5; \]
\[ z_4 = z_6; \]
\[ z_5 = (z_1 * z_3) - (z_2 * z_4); \]
\[ z_6 = (z_1 * z_4) + (z_2 * z_3); \]
\[ \text{end}; \]
\[ \text{end}; \]
\[ z_1 = z_5; \]
\[ z_2 = z_6; \]
\[ t = t_4^* r_8(n); \]
\[ z_3 = \cos(t); \]
\[ z_4 = -\sin(t); \]
\[ z_5 = (z_1 * z_3) - (z_2 * z_4); \]
\[ z_6 = (z_1 * z_4) + (z_2 * z_3); \]
\[ p_1 = p_1 + (s_2 * z_5 / r_8(n)); \]
\[ p_2 = p_2 + (s_2 * z_6 / r_8(n)); \]
\[ i_1 = i_1 + 1; \]
\[ \text{end}; \]
\[ \text{end}; \]
\[ \text{for } i = 1:1:i, \]

Determine Pressure Contribution for the Upper Images

\[ z_1 = 0; z_2 = 0; z_3 = 0; z_4 = 0; z_5 = 1; z_6 = 0; \]
\[ \text{end}; \]
\[ \text{end}; \]

Determine Reflection Coefficient for the Lower Images
\[
s(i)=(r_1 \sin(t_1(n)-2*(i_1)*B)+r_2 \sin(2*(i_1)*B+D))/r_9(n);
\]
\[
\text{if } s(i)>1,
\text{then } s(i)=1;
\]
\[
c(i)=\sqrt{1.0-s(i)^2};
\]
\[
t=s(i)/d_1;
\]
\[
w_0=-c_2+c(i)^2;
\]
\[
y=\sqrt{(w_0^2)+(w_1^2)};
\]
\[
z=(w_0);
\]
\[
\text{if } y\leq z,
\text{then } y=z;
\]
\[
y_1=q_1*\sqrt{y+w_0};
\]
\[
y_2=-q_1*\sqrt{y-w_0};
\]
\[
z_1=t-y_2;
\]
\[
z_2=-y_1;
\]
\[
z_3=z_1/((z_1^2)+(z_2^2));
\]
\[
z_4=-z_2/((z_1^2)+(z_2^2));
\]
\[
z_5=z_1+y_2;
\]
\[
z_6=z_1-y_2;
\]
\[
z_7=(z_1*z_3)-(z_2*z_4);
\]
\[
z_8=(z_1*z_4)+(z_2*z_3);
\]
\[
e(i)=z_5;
\]
\[
f(i)=z_6;
\]
\[
\text{end};
\]
\[
\text{Find Pressure Contribution for Lower Images}
\]
\[
\text{for } i=1:1:i_1,
\text{begin }
\text{end};
\]
\[
z_1=0; z_2=0; z_3=0; z_4=0; z_5=1; z_6=0;
\]
\[
\text{for } i=1:1:i_1,
\text{begin }
\text{end};
\]
\[
\text{for } i=1:1:i_1,
\text{begin }
\text{end};
\]
\[
\text{pl}=p1+(s_2*z_5/r_9(n));
\]
\[
p_2=p2+(s_2*z_6/r_9(n));
\]
\[
\text{end};
\]
% Total Pressure at the Reciever
% 
t5=sqrt(p1^2+p2^2) % Pressure at Reciever
% Phase Angle at the Reciever
phaseang=atan2(p2,p1)*180/pi % Phase Angle
APPENDIX B-2

% WEDGE for MATLAB
% Adapted from the BASIC version of WEDGE
% by Prof A.B. Coppens and URTEXT by G. Nassopolous
% pz Not Normalized
% This program will provide pressure amplitude and
% the phase angle at the receiver. All distances are
% ratios of dump distances Xc. All angles are inputed
% in degrees. This model includes cross-slope,
% bottomloss, and is truncated at pz<0.00000001.
% By Pat Takamiya

clear; clc; clg;

B=input('Enter bottom wedge angle (beta)= '); G=input('Enter source angle from the surface (gamma)= '); D=input('Enter receiver angle from the surface (delta)= '); d1=input('Enter Density ratio, water to bottom= '); CC=input('Enter speed ratio, water to bottom= '); r1=input('Enter range of source from apex (rl)= '); r2=input('Enter range of receiver from apex (r2)= '); BL=input('Enter bottom loss coefficient (alpha/k2)= '); y0=input('Enter cross-slope range (Yo)= ');

% Determine Number of Image Pairs
% Convert Angles from Deg to Rad
N1=fix(180/B); B=B*pi/180; G=G*pi/180; D=D*pi/180;

% Determine Scaling for Fast or Slow Bottom

% Fast Bottom

tqq=tan(B);
if CC<1,
    tqql=acos(CC);
    tqq2=sin(tqql);
else
    tqql=acos(1/CC);
end
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tqq2 = \tan(tqq1);
end;
%
% Determine Constants
%
tqq3 = 2 * tqq2 * tqq;
t4 = \pi / tqq3;
c2 = \CC'2;
d2 = (r1^2) + (r2^2) + (y0^2);
r3 = 2 * r1 * r2;
q1 = 1 / sqrt(2);
qa = 1; % Truncation Tester
%
% Define Lengths of arrays
i = 1:fix(N1/2);
c(i) = zeros(1:fix(N1/2));
e(i) = zeros(1:fix(N1/2));
f(i) = zeros(1:fix(N1/2));
%
% Determine Range to Receiver for each Image
%
n = 1:N1;
n1 = 2:2:N1;
n2 = 1:2:N1;
t1(n1) = (n1*B) - G;
t1(n2) = ((n2-1)*B) + G;
r8(n) = sqrt(d2 - r3 * cos(t1(n) - D)); % 3-D Law of Cosines
r9(n) = sqrt(d2 - r3 * cos(t1(n) + D)); % 3-D Law of Cosines
%
p1 = 0; p2 = 0;

for n = 1:1:N1,
s2 = (-1)^(fix(n/2));

% Calculate Reflection Coefficient for Upper Space
%
w1 = 2 * c2 * BL;
ii = fix((n-1)/2);
for i = 1:1:ii,
s(i) = (r1 * sin(t1(n) - 2*i*B) + r2 * sin(2*i*B-D)) / r8(n);
if s(i) > 1,
s(i) = 1;
end;
c(i) = sqrt(1.0 - s(i)^2);
\[
t = \frac{s(i)}{d_1};
\]
\[
w_0 = -c_2 + c(i)^2;
\]
\[
y = \sqrt{\left(w_0^2 + (w_1^2)\right)};
\]
\[
z = (w_0);
\]
\[
\text{if } y \leq z,
\]
\[
y = z;
\]
\[
y_1 = q_1 \sqrt{y + w_0};
\]
\[
y_2 = -q_1 \sqrt{y - w_0};
\]
\[
z_1 = t - y_2;
\]
\[
z_2 = -y_1;
\]
\[
z_3 = z_1 / ((z_1^2 + (z_2^2)));
\]
\[
z_4 = -z_2 / ((z_1^2 + (z_2^2)));
\]
\[
z_1 = t + y_2;
\]
\[
z_2 = y_1;
\]
\[
z_5 = (z_1 * z_3) - (z_2 * z_4);
\]
\[
z_6 = (z_1 * z_4) + (z_2 * z_3);
\]
\[
e(i) = z_5;
\]
\[
f(i) = z_6;
\]
\[
\text{end;}
\]
\[
% \text{Determine Pressure Contribution for the Upper Images}
%\]
\[
z_1 = 0; z_2 = 0; z_3 = 0; z_4 = 0; z_5 = 1; z_6 = 0;
\]
\[
\text{if } n > 2,
\]
\[
\text{for } i = 1:1:il,
\]
\[
z_1 = e(i);
\]
\[
z_2 = f(i);
\]
\[
z_3 = z_5;
\]
\[
z_4 = z_6;
\]
\[
z_5 = (z_1 * z_3) - (z_2 * z_4);
\]
\[
z_6 = (z_1 * z_4) + (z_2 * z_3);
\]
\[
\text{end;}
\]
\[
z_1 = z_5;
\]
\[
z_2 = z_6;
\]
\[
t = t_4 * r_8(n);
\]
\[
z_3 = \cos(t);
\]
\[
z_4 = -\sin(t);
\]
\[
z_5 = (z_1 * z_3) - (z_2 * z_4);
\]
\[
z_6 = (z_1 * z_4) + (z_2 * z_3);
\]
\[
p_1 = p_1 + (s_2 * z_5 / r_8(n));
\]
\[
p_2 = p_2 + (s_2 * z_6 / r_8(n));
\]
\[
il = il + 1;
\]
\[
% \text{Determine Reflection Coefficient for the Lower Images}
%\]
for i=1:1:il,
s(i)=(r1*sin(t1(n)*2*(i1)*B)+r2*sin(2*(i1)*B+D))/r9(n);
    if s(i)>1,
        s(i)=1;
    end;
c(i)=sqrt(1.0-s(i)^2);
t=s(i)/d1;
w0=-c2+c(i)^2;
y=sqrt((w0^2)+(w1^2));
z=(w0);
    if y<=z,
        y=z;
    end;
y1=q1*sqrt(y+w0);
y2=-q1*sqrt(y-w0);
z1=t-y2;
z2=-y1;
z3=z1/((z1^2)+(z2^2));
z4=-z2/((z1^2)+(z2^2));
z1=t+y2;
z2=y1;
z5=(z1*z3)-(z2*z4);
z6=(z1*z4)+(z2*z3);
e(i)=z5;
f(i)=z6;
end;

% Find Pressure Contribution for Lower Images
% z1=0; z2=0; z3=0; z4=0; z5=1; z6=0;
for i=1:1:il,
    z1=e(i);
    z2=f(i);
    z3=z5;
    z4=z6;
    z5=(z1*z3)-(z2*z4);
    z6=(z2*z3)+(z1*z4);
end;
z1=z5;
z2=z6;
t=t4*r9(n);
z3=cos(t);
z4=-sin(t);
z5=(z1*z3)-(z2*z4);
z6=(z2*z3)+(z1*z4);
p1=p1+(s2*z5/r9(n));
p2 = p2 + (s2*z6/r9(n));

% Truncation Test
qa = qa * sqrt((z5*z5) + (z6*z6));
if qa < 0.00000001, break, end,
end;

% Total Pressure at the Receiver
%
t5 = sqrt(p1^2 + p2^2) % Pressure at Receiver
% Phase Angle at the Receiver
phaseang = atan2(p2, p1) * 180/pi % Phase Angle
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