

# SELF-ASSESSMENT THROUGH VIDEO-RECORDED SPEECH REHEARSALS IN DELIVERING TECHNICAL ORAL PRESENTATIONS

# ABDELMADJID BENRAGHDA

Doctor of Philosophy

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

### SELF-ASSESSMENT THROUGH VIDEO-RECORDED SPEECH REHEARSALS IN DELIVERING TECHNICAL ORAL PRESENTATIONS

### BENRAGHDA ABDELMADJID

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the reward of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Centre for Modern Languages and Human Sciences UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JUNE 2019



### SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

(Sign	nature)
Name of Supervisor	: Dr. NOOR RAHA MOHD RADZUAN
Position	: Senior Lecturer
Date	:



### STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is my own except for the quotations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that this thesis has not been accepted for any degree and has not been concurrently submitted for award of other degree.

	(Signature)
Name	: ABDELMADJID BENRAGHDA
ID Number	: PBS 16014
Date	:

# DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my beloved family.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, my ultimate appreciation goes to my supervisor Dr. Noor Raha Mohd Radzuan for her helpful guidance, constructive comments and encouragement, precious ideas and constant support during my study. I do thank her for her help in assisting me to do every single stage of my work as to complete this project.

Secondly, I am thankful to my beloved family especially, my mother, my father and my wife. Your love, encouragements and prayers were very important for me. I cannot actually find the appropriate words that could properly describe my appreciations for their devotions, supports and faith in my ability to attain my goals. A bunch of thanks goes to all my relatives and friends in Algeria, Malaysia, and France for their prayers and encouragements during my stay and study in Malaysia. I am sincerely grateful of your support and motives.

I would like to express my thanks to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd Nubli Bin Abul Wahab, Dean of Centre for Modern Languages and Human Sciences (CMLHS) for his cooperation during my study in Malaysia. Furthermore, this research would not have been possible without the support from the academic and technical staff of Centre for Modern Languages & Human Sciences, Institute of Post graduate Studies. Special thanks should be given to my research committee examiners (particularly, Dr. Nik Aloesnita Alwi, Dr. Fatimah Ali and Dr. Hafizoah Kassim). I would like to acknowledge their comments and suggestions, which were crucial for the successful completion of this study.

#### ABSTRACT

The present study embarked upon the issue of the effectiveness of self-assessment in developing non-verbal communication skills among engineering undergraduates in delivering technical oral presentations. It is aimed at identifying the effectiveness of the self-assessment strategy in enhancing the engineering undergraduate's non-verbal communication skills and to see if there is any statistical correlation between the engineering undergraduates' self-assessment scores and the engineering lecturers' assessment scores in non-verbal communication skills of Undergraduate Research Project 2 (URP2) final presentations. In addition, the study generated essential findings pertaining to the engineering undergraduates and lecturers' perceptions about the use of self-assessment in delivering oral presentations in academic context. The researcher conducted this research with 127 engineering undergraduates of the Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources, in Universiti Malaysia Pahang (UMP). Quantitative and qualitative approaches were employed to answer the research questions of the current study. The first research question tackles the issue of implementing selfassessment among engineering undergraduates in enhancing non-verbal communication skills. In answering the first research question, the qualitative data revealed important findings pertaining to the engineering undergraduates' enhancements after using the assessment in non-verbal communication skills (such as facial expressions, hand gestures, body language, and eye contacts) of URP2 final presentations. In addition, the findings of the second research question revealed a moderate positive correlation between the engineering undergraduates' self-assessment scores and the engineering lecturers' assessment scores in non-verbal communication skills. The third research question's findings indicated that the engineering undergraduates hold positive perceptions towards the use of self-assessment technique in delivering URP2 oral presentations. The positive perceptions depict the significance of this assessment in enhancing their non-verbal communication skills in the engineering undergraduates' views and the significance of being good presenters. In the same vein, the final research question of the study investigated the engineering lecturers' perceptions regarding the use of self-assessment technique among the engineering undergraduates to develop their non-verbal communication skills and to enhance their presentation skills of URP2. It was demonstrated that the engineering lecturers possessed positive perceptions towards the use of self-assessment among the engineering undergraduates' in delivering oral presentations. This study is significant as the issue of understanding and realising the importance of the self-assessment in developing the engineering undergraduates' nonverbal communication skills of URP2 final presentations will help lecturers as well as undergraduates in establishing, developing and improving the tertiary undergraduates' oral presentations skills. In addition, the study is essential as it may confront the engineering undergraduates' presentation weaknesses, and thus, assists them to make successful oral presentations.

#### ABSTRAK

Kajian ini adalah tentang isu keberkesanan penilaian kendiri bagi membangunkan kemahiran komunikasi bukan lisan dalam kalangan mahasiswa kejuruteraan dalam pembentangan teknikal secara lisan. Ia bertujuan mengenal pasti keberkesanan strategi penilaian kendiri bagi meningkatkan kemahiran komunikasi bukan lisan mahasiswa kejuruteraan dan untuk melihat sama ada terdapat sebarang korelasi statistik antara markah penilaian kendiri mahasiswa kejuruteraan dan markah penilaian pensyarah kejuruteraan bagi kemahiran komunikasi bukan lisan dalam pembentangan akhir Projek Penyelidikan Sarjana Muda 2 (URP2). Di samping itu, kajian ini memberi dapatan penting terhadap persepsi pensyarah dan mahasiswa kejuruteraan mengenai kaedah penilaian kendiri dalam menyampaikan pembentangan lisan dalam konteks akademik. Penyelidikan ini melibatkan 127 mahasiswa kejuruteraan Fakulti Kejuruteraan Awam dan Sumber Alam di Universiti Malaysia Pahang (UMP). Pendekatan kuantitatif dan kualitatif digunakan bagi menjawab persoalan kajian. Persoalan kajian pertama menjawab permasalahan dalam pelaksanaan penilaian kendiri dalam kalangan mahasiswa kejuruteraan bagi meningkatkan kemahiran komunikasi bukan lisan. Menjawab persoalan kajian pertama ini, data kualitatif menunjukkan terdapat peningkatan oleh mahasiswa kejuruteraan selepas menggunakan penilaian dalam kemahiran komunikasi bukan lisan (seperti ekspresi muka, isyarat tangan, bahasa badan, dan pandangan mata) sewaktu pembentangan akhir URP2. Di samping itu, dapatan persoalan kajian kedua menunjukkan korelasi positif sederhana antara markah penilaian kendiri mahasiswa kejuruteraan dan markah penilaian pensyarah kejuruteraan dalam kemahiran komunikasi bukan lisan. Dapatan persoalan kajian ketiga menunjukkan bahawa mahasiswa kejuruteraan mempunyai persepsi positif terhadap kaedah penilaian kendiri dalam membuat pembentangan URP2 secara lisan. Persepsi positif menggambarkan kepentingan penilaian ini bagi meningkatkan kemahiran komunikasi bukan lisan mereka dan kepentingan menjadi pembentang yang baik. Dalam masa yang sama, persoalan kajian terakhir menyelidik persepsi pensyarah kejuruteraan tentang kaedah penilaian kendiri dalam kalangan mahasiswa kejuruteraan untuk meningkatkan kemahiran komunikasi bukan lisan dan kemahiran pembentangan URP2. Hasilnya menunjukkan bahawa pensyarah kejuruteraan mempunyai persepsi positif terhadap kaedah penilaian kendiri dalam kalangan mahasiswa kejuruteraan bagi membuat pembentangan secara lisan. Kajian ini penting kerana isu kefahaman dan kesedaran tentang kepentingan penilaian kendiri dalam membangunkan kemahiran komunikasi bukan lisan mahasiswa kejuruteraan dalam pembentangan akhir URP2 pada asasnya akan membantu pensyarah dan juga mahasiswa dalam mewujudkan, membangunkan dan meningkatkan kemahiran pembentangan secara lisan oleh mahasiswa. Selain itu, kajian ini adalah penting bagi mengatasi kelemahan mahasiswa kejuruteraan dalam pembentangan, dan dengan itu dapat membantu mereka membuat pembentangan secara lisan dengan jayanya.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### TITLE PAGE

ACKN	OWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTI	RACT	iii
ABSTI	RAK	iv
TABL	E OF CONTENT	v
LIST (	OF TABLES	xi
LIST (	OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST (	OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
СНАР	TER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Research Background	2
	1.2.1 The Use of Technology Tool in Education: Video-Recorded Technology	4
1.3	Assessment in Education	5
	1.3.1 Oral Presentation Assessment	7
1.4	Oral Presentation Non-Verbal Communication Skills	8
1.5	Problem Statement	9
1.6	Research Objectives	11
1.7	Research Questions	11
1.8	Undergraduate Research Project (URP2)	12

1.9	Scope of Study	12
1.10	Definition of Terms	13
1.11	Limitations of the Study	15
1.12	Significance of the Study	15
1.13	Summary	16

## CHAPTER 2 LITERARTURE REVIEW

17

2.1	Introduction	17
2.2	Theoretical Framework of the Present Study	17
2.3	Theoretical Model for Self-assessment	20
2.4	Conceptual Framework of the Present Study	23
2.5	Oral Communication	25
2.6	Oral Presentation Assessments	28
2.7	Oral Presentation Non-verbal Communication Skills and Language Skills	30
2.5	Value of Assessment	37
2.6	Alternative Assessment in ESL/EFL	38
	2.6.1 Self-assessment	39
	2.6.2 Effectiveness and beneficial effects of self-assessment	41
2.7	Students' Perceptions about Self-assessment Strategy	42
2.8	The Use of Video-recording Technology in Oral Presentation Assessment	45
2.9	Self-assessment of Oral Presentations	47
	2.9.1 Self-assessment of non-verbal communication skills	47
	2.9.2 Self-assessment of language skills	51

2.10	Factors A	ffecting	g Students in Delivering Oral Presentations	52
		ack of p ommun	ractice (rehearsals) in delivering oral ication	53
	2.10.2 T	eachers	' feedback towards students' oral performances	55
	2.10.3 A	Apprehe	ension in oral communication	56
	2.10.4 (	Oral pre	sentation anxiety	58
	2.10.5 I	Limited	vocabulary	59
	2.10.6 I	Lack of	self-confidence and self-negative evaluation	61
2.14	Summary	7		63
CHAPT	ER 3 MEI	THODO	DLOGY	64
3.1	Introduction	on		64
3.2	Research 1	h Design		
3.3	Population	ion and Sample		
3.4	Engineerii Presentatio Non-verba			69
3.5	Research 1	Research Instruments		70
	3.5.1 Q	uestion	naire	70
	3.5.2 Se	emi-stru	ctured interviews	72
	3	5.2 .1	Semi-structured interview with engineering undergraduates	72
	3.	5.2.2	Semi-structured interview with engineering lecturers	74
		Undergr Presenta	aduate Research Project (URP2) tion	74
3.6	Data C	Collectio	on	76

3.7	Data Analysis Procedures	77
	3.7.1 Analysis technique for quantitative data	77
	3.7.2 Analysis technique for qualitative data	78
3.8	Pilot Study	81
3.9	Validity of the Quantitative Instrument	82
3.10	Reliability of the Quantitative Instrument	82
3.11	Validity of the Qualitative Instrument	83
	3.11.1 Member checking	83
3.12	Reliability of the Qualitative Instrument	84
3.13	Summary	85
CHAPTER	A RESULTS	86
4.1	Introduction	86
4.2	Research Questions and Findings	87
4.3	Qualitative Findings	88
	4.3.1 Pre-interview (Session1)	88
	4.3.2 Post-interview (Session 2)	98
	4.3.2 Engineering Lecturers' Perceptions on Self- Assessment Strategy in Enhancing Engineering Undergraduates' Non-verbal Communication	104
	Skills of Technical Oral Presentations (TOP) 4.3.3 Summary of the Qualitative Findings	111
4.4	Quantitative Findings	112
	4.4.1 Participants' Demographic Information	113
	4.4.2 The Engineering Undergraduates' Perceptions on Self- Assessment Strategy in Technical Oral Presentations	117
	<ul> <li>4.4.3 The Relationship between the Engineering Undergraduates' Self-assessment Scores and Engineering Lecturers 'Assessment Scores of Non-verbal</li> <li>Communication Skills</li> </ul>	117

4.5	Summary of the Quantitative Findings		
4.6	Summary	117	
CHAP	TER 5 DISCUSSION	118	
5.1	Introduction	118	
5.2	Discussion of the Findings	118	
	5.2.1 Perceptions of Engineering Undergraduates and their Lecturers towards Self-assessment Strategy in Delivering Technical Oral Presentations	119	
	5.2.2 The Relationship between Engineering Undergraduates' Self-assessment Scores and Engineering Lecturers' Assessment Scores of Non-verbal Communication Skills	127	
	5.2.3 Developing Engineering Undergraduates' Non-verbal Communication Skills through Self-assessment Strategy	131	
5.3	Summary	137	
CHAP	FER 6 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	139	
6.1	Introduction	139	
6.2	Summary of the Findings		
	6.2.1 Relationship between Engineering Undergraduates' Self- assessment and Engineering Lecturer's Assessment in URP2 Final Oral Presentations.	140	
	6.2.2 Developing Engineering Undergraduates' Non-verbal Communication Skills of URP2 Final Oral Presentations through Self-assessment and Video-Recorded Rehearsals.	141	
	6.2.3 Perceptions about Self-assessment Strategy of Engineering Undergraduates and Lecturers.	142	
6.3	Pedagogical Implications	143	
6.4	Recommendations for Future Research	146	

6.5	Conclusion	148
REFE	RENCES	150
APPE	NDICES	172
APPE	NDIX A	172
APPE	NDIX B	173
APPE	NDIX C	174
APPE	NDIX D	177
APPE	NDIX E	178
APPE	NDIX F	179
APPE	NDIX G	181
APPE	NDIX H	181
APPE	NDIX I	182
APPE	NDIX J	183

### LIST OF TABLES

Table	3.1	The Population and the Sample of the Research	62
Table	3.2	Table for Determining Size for a Given Population	63
Table	3.3	The Number of the Sample and the Respondents of	64
		the Present Study	
Table	3.4	The Number of the Lecturers and the Respondents of	64
		the Present Study	
Table	3.5	The Grade of URP2 from the Overall Mark of the	65
		Undergraduates	
Table	3.6	Time Table of Training Sessions on Non-verbal	67
		Communication Skills and Self-assessment Strategy	
Table	3.7	Times and Setting of the Respondents' Rehearsals of	72
		their Technical Oral Presentations	
Table	3.8	Dates and Activities of Data Collecting for the	73
		Actual Study	
Table	3.9	Research Questions and Statistical Tools Techniques	75
		Used in Analysing Quantitative Data	
Table	3.10	Pilot study conducted by the researcher	78
Table	3.11	The Reliability of the Questionnaire	80
Table	4.1	The illustrations of the respondents' coding	86
Table	4.2	Pre-interview reported engineering undergraduates'	86
		weaknesses in non-verbal communication skills of	
		technical oral presentation	
Table	4.3	Further themes related to engineering	87
		undergraduates' overall weaknesses of technical oral	
		presentations	
Table	4.4	Post-interview reported non-verbal communication	99
		skills' enhancements of the engineering	
		undergraduates in technical oral presentations	
Table	4.5	Engineering lecturers' perceptions on self-	107
		assessment strategy in enhancing engineering	
		undergraduates' non-verbal communication skills	

Table	4.6	The participants' ages	115
Table	4.7	The participants' gender	116
Table	4.8	Engineering Undergraduates' Responses in Mean	118
		Scores and Standard Deviation for the Questionnaire	
		Items	
Table	4.9	Pearson's Correlation between the Engineering	121
		Undergraduates' Self-assessment Scores and the	
		Engineering Lecturers' Assessment Scores of Non-	
		verbal Communication Skills of the Technical Oral	
		Presentations	
Table	5.1	Summary of the findings of RQ3 & RQ4	125
Table	5.2	Summary of Product-Moment Correlation between	134
		Engineering Undergraduates' Self-Assessment	
		Scores and Lecturers' Assessment Scores	
Table	5.3	Summary of engineering undergraduates' and	136
		engineering lecturers' assessment scores of URP2	
		final oral presentations	
Table	5.4	The Engineering Undergraduates' Non-verbal	139
		Communication Skills Enhancements via Self-	
		assessment Strategy	

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	2.1	Concept of triarchic reciprocity behaviour	51
Figure	2.2	How Self-assessment Contributes to Technical Oral	57
		Presentations	
Figure	3.1	Research Design of the study	61
Figure	3.2	Flowchart of the semi-structured interview-phase1	71
Figure	3.3	The Qualitative Process of Data adapted from	76
		Creswell	
Figure	6.1	Factors for self-assessment strategy and the	152
		relationships between the variables	

## LIST OF ABREVIATIONS

ESL	English as second language
EFL	English as foreign language
LEP	Limited English Proficiency
URP	Undergraduate Research Project
SA	Self-Assessment
AA	Alternative Assessment
ТОР	Technical Oral Presentation
FCEER	Faculty of Civil Engineering & Earth Resources
CMLHS	Centre for Modern Languages & Human Sciences
PA	Peer Assessment
EU	Engineering Undergraduate
CBE	College of Basic Education
CA	Communication Apprehension
ESP	English for Specific Purposes
SL	Second Language
UMP	Universiti Malaysia Pahang
SEM	Structure Equation Modelling
SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Sciences
CA	Communication Apprehension
CEFRL	Common European Framework of Reference for Languages
ELP	European Language Portfolio
UTM	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
USA	United States of America
SCT	Social Cognitive Theory
ELT	English Langauge Teaching
SUT	Sharif University of Technology
CHSE	Clinical Health Sciences Education

#### REFERENCES

- Akindele, D., and Trennepohl, B. (2008). Breaking the culture of silence: Teaching writing and oral presentation skills to botswana university students. *Language, Culture and Curriculum.* 21(2), 154-166.
- Al-Bogami, H. M. Z. (2015). The relationship between transformational management and communication skills among heads of departments of kau. *Procedia Computer Science*. 651160-1164.
- Al-Hebaish, S. M. (2012). The correlation between general self-confidence and academic achievement in the oral presentation course. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*. 2(1), 60.
- Al-Nouh, N. A., Abdul-Kareem, M. M., and Taqi, H. A. (2015). Efl college students' perceptions of the difficulties in oral presentation as a form of assessment. *International Journal of Higher Education*. 4(1), 136.
- Alibali, M. W., and Nathan, M. J. (2007). Teachers' gestures as a means of scaffolding students' understanding: Evidence from an early algebra lesson. *Video research in the learning sciences*. 349-365.
- Alwi, N. F. B., and Sidhu, G. K. (2013). Oral presentation: Self-perceived competence and actual performance among uitm business faculty students. *Procedia-Social* and Behavioral Sciences. 9098-106.
- Anazi, F. M. A., Ismail, W., and Zailaini, M. A. (2018). Non-verbal communication skills among schools' heads in kuwait. Advanced Science Letters. 24(1), 450-454.
- Andrade, H., and Cizek, G. J. (2010). Students as the defi nitive source of formative assessment: Academic self-assessment and the self-regulation of learning (*Handbook of formative assessment* (pp. 102-117) New York: Routledge.
- Ariafar, M., and Fatemipour, H. R. (2013). The effect of self-assessment on iranian efl learners' speaking skill. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics and English Literature*. 2(4), 7-13.
- Awang, H., and Daud, Z. (2015). Improving a communication skill through the learning approach towards the environment of engineering classroom. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 195480-486.

- Azher, M., Anwar, M. N., and Naz, A. (2010). An investigation of foreign language classroom anxiety and its relationship with students' achievement. *Journal of College Teaching and Learning*. 7(11), 33.
- Babaii, E., Taghaddomi, S., and Pashmforoosh, R. (2016). Speaking self-assessment: Mismatches between learners' and teachers' criteria. *Language testing*. 33(3), 411-437.
- Baecher, L., Kung, S.-C., Jewkes, A. M., and Rosalia, C. (2013). The role of video for self-evaluation in early field experiences. *Teaching and teacher education*. 36189-197.
- Bandura, A. (1986). The explanatory and predictive scope of self-efficacy theory. *Journal of social and clinical psychology*. 4(3), 359-373.
- Bandura, A. (1989). Regulation of cognitive processes through perceived self-efficacy. *Developmental psychology*. 25(5), 729.
- Bandura, A. (1993). Perceived self-efficacy in cognitive development and functioning. *Educational psychologist.* 28(2), 117-148.
- Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. Freeman. (New York.:
- Bankowski, E. (2010). Developing skills for effective academic presentations in eap. International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education. 22(2), 187-196.
- Baren, R. (1993). Teaching writing in required undergraduate engineering courses: A materials course example. *Journal of Engineering Education*. 82(1), 59-61.
- Barry, S. (2012). A video recording and viewing protocol for student group presentations: Assisting self-assessment through a wiki environment. *Computers & Education*. 59(3), 855-860.
- Beaufait, F. W. (1991). An engineering curriculum for the year 2000. *Engineering Education*. 81(4), 425-428.
- Benraghda & Radzuan, N., R., M. (2018). Engineering undergraduates' selfassessment in delivering technical oral presentations in esl context. Advanced Science Letters. 24(1), 537-539.
- Benraghda, A. (2016). A correlational study between attitudes and oral presentation performance among undergraduates in Universiti Malaysia Pahang (UMP). Master's Degree), Uiversiti Malaysia Pahang

- Benraghda, A., and Radzuan, N. R. B. M. (2017). Self-appraisal among engineering undergraduates in delivering technical oral presentation in a public university of malaysia. *Intenational Journal of English and Eucation*. 6(3), 114-121.
- Bjorklund, S. A., and Colbeck, C. L. (2001). The view from the top: Leaders' perspectives on a decade of change in engineering education. *Journal of Engineering Education*. 90(1), 13-19.
- Black, P., and Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning. Assessment in *Education:Principles, Policy & Practice.* 5(1), 7-74.
- Blanche, P. (1990). Using standardized achievement and oral proficiency tests for selfassessment purposes: The dliflc study. *Language testing*. 7(2), 202-229.
- Boud, D. (1989). The role of self-assessment in student grading. Assessment in Higher Education. 14(1), 20-30.
- Boud, D., and Falchikov, N. (2006). Aligning assessment with long-term learning. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education.* 31(4), 399-413.
- Bougandoura, F. (2014). Towards using slides projector to develop foreign language learners' oral skills: A case study. *English for Specific Purposes*. (19), 1-6.
- Bourhis, J., and Allen, M. (1998). The role of videotaped feedback in the instruction of public speaking: A quantitative synthesis of published empirical research. *Communication Research Reports*. 15(3), 256-261.
- Brantmeier, C. (2006). Advanced 12 learners and reading placement: Self-assessment, cbt, and subsequent performance. *System.* 34(1), 15-35.
- Brown, G., and Harris, L. R. (2013). Student self-assessment. SAGE handbook of research on classroom assessment.
- Brown, J. D., and Hudson, T. (1998). The alternatives in language assessment. *TESOL quarterly*. 32(4), 653-675.
- Busá, M. G. (2013). Introducing the language of the news: A student's guide. London, UK, Routledge.
- Campbell, K. S., Mothersbaugh, D. L., Brammer, C., and Taylor, T. (2001). Peer versus self assessment of oral business presentation performance. *Business communication quarterly*. 64(3), 23-40.

- Cavanagh, M., Bower, M., Moloney, R., and Sweller, N. (2014). The effect over time of a video-based reflection system on preservice teachers' oral presentations. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education (Online).* 39(6), 1.
- Cestone, C. M., Levine, R. E., and Lane, D. R. (2008). Peer assessment and evaluation in team-based learning. *New Directions for Teaching and Learning. 2008*(116), 69-78.
- Chamot, A. U., and O'malley, J. M. (1994). *The calla handbook: Implementing the cognitive academic language learning approach*. (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Massachusetts.
- Chandra, S. (2007). Lecture video capture for the masses. *ACM SIGCSE Bulletin*, 39 (3), 276-280.
- Chonko, L. B. (1993). Business school education: Some thoughts and recommendations. *Marketing Education Review*. 3(1), 1-9.
- Christel, M. G., and Frisch, M. H. (2008). Evaluating the contributions of video representation for a life oral history collection. *Proceedings of the 8th* ACM/IEEE-CS joint conference on Digital libraries, 241-250.
- Clarke III, I., Flaherty, T. B., and Mottner, S. (2001). Student perceptions of educational technology tools. *Journal of Marketing Education*. 23(3), 169-177.
- Coombe, C., and Canning, C. (2002). Using self-assessment in the classroom: Rationale and suggested techniques. *Karen's Linguistics Issues*.
- Creswell, J., W. . (2012). Educational research, planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research (4th ed.) University of Nebraska-Lincoln.
- Creswell, J. W., and Clark, V. L. P. (2007). Designing and conducting mixed methods research. London, UK, Sage publications.
- Creswell, J. W., Plano Clark, V. L., Gutmann, M. L., and Hanson, W. E. (2003). Advanced mixed methods research designs. *Handbook of mixed methods in social and behavioral research.* 209240. London, UK, Sage publications.
- Dannels, D. (2002). Communication across the curriculum and in the disciplines: Speaking in engineering. *Communication Education*. 51(3), 254-268.
- Dannels, D. P. (2001). Time to speak up: A theoretical framework of situated pedagogy and practice for communication across the curriculum. *Communication Education*. 50(2), 144-158.

- Davies, P. (2000). Computerized peer assessment. Innovations in Education and Training International. 37(4), 346-355.
- De Grez, L., and Valcke, M. (2013). Student response system and how to make engineering students learn oral presentation skills. *International Journal of Engineering Education*. 29(4), 940-947.
- De Grez, L., Valcke, M., and Roozen, I. (2009a). The impact of an innovative instructional intervention on the acquisition of oral presentation skills in higher education. *Computers & Education.* 53(1), 112-120.
- De Grez, L., Valcke, M., and Roozen, I. (2009b). The impact of goal orientation, self-reflection and personal characteristics on the acquisition of oral presentation skills. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*. 24(3), 293.
- De Grez, L., Valcke, M., and Roozen, I. (2012). How effective are self-and peer assessment of oral presentation skills compared with teachers' assessments? *Active Learning in Higher Education.* 13(2), 129-142.
- Denton, D. D. (1998). Engineering education for the 21st century: Challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Engineering Education*. 87(1), 19-22.
- Devenney, R. (1989). How esl teachers and peers evaluate and respond to student writing. *RELC Journal*. 20(1), 77-90.
- Dochy, F., Segers, M., and Sluijsmans, D. (1999). The use of self-, peer and coassessment in higher education: A review. *Studies in Higher Education*. 24(3), 331-350.
- Dunbar, N. E., Brooks, C. F., and Kubicka-Miller, T. (2006). Oral communication skills in higher education: Using a performance-based evaluation rubric to assess communication skills. *Innovative Higher Education*. 31(2), 115.
- Dunn, P. A. (1998). Forgotten elements in writing across the curriculum: Speaking-tolearn and collaborative interdisciplinary research. *Issues in Writing*. 9(1), 19.
- Ekbatani, G., and Pierson, H. (2000a). Moving toward learner-directed assessment. *Learner-directed assessment in ESL*. 1-11.
- Ekbatani, G., and Pierson, H. D. (2000b). *Learner-directed assessment in ESL*. Mahwal, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

- Elliott, N., and Higgins, A. (2005). Self and peer assessment-does it make a difference to student group work? *Nurse Education in Practice*. 5(1), 40-48.
- Ellis, R. (1994). The study of second language acquisition. Oxford University. UK.
- Falchikov, N. (2013). Improving assessment through student involvement: Practical solutions for aiding learning in higher and further education. London: Routledge Falmer.
- Fan, J., and Yan, X. (2017). From test performance to language use: Using selfassessment to validate a high-stakes english proficiency test. *The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher*. 26(1-2), 61-73.
- Felder, R. M., Woods, D. R., Stice, J. E., and Rugarcia, A. (2000). The future of engineering education ii. Teaching methods that work. *Chemical Engineering Education*. 34(1), 26-39.
- Gallicano, T. (2013). An example of how to perform open coding, axial coding and selective coding. *The PR Post.*
- Gil-Salom, D., and Benlloch-Dualde, J.-V. (2016). Student assessment of oral presentations in german as a foreign language. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 228656-661.
- Gillham, B. (2005). *Research interviewing: The range of techniques: A practical guide*. McGraw-Hill Education (UK).
- Goodboy, A. K., Martin, M. M., and Bolkan, S. (2009). The development and validation of the student communication satisfaction scale. *Communication Education*. 58(3), 372-396.
- Goodboy, A. K., and Myers, S. A. (2008). The effect of teacher confirmation on student communication and learning outcomes. *Communication Education*. *57*(2), 153-179.
- Graham, S. (2006). Listening comprehension: The learners' perspective. *System.* 34(2), 165-182.
- Grant, J. S., Moss, J., Epps, C., and Watts, P. (2010). Using video-facilitated feedback to improve student performance following high-fidelity simulation. *Clinical Simulation in Nursing*. 6(5), e177-e184.
- Gray, F. E. (2010). Specific oral communication skills desired in new accountancy graduates. *Business Communication Quarterly*. 73(1), 40-67.

- Grez, D. (2010a). Peer assessment of oral presentation skills. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 2(2), 1776-1780.
- Grez, D. (2010c). Student response system and learning oral presentation skills. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 2(2), 1786-1789.
- Gronlund, N. E. (1998). Assessment of student achievement. Allyn & Bacon Publishing, Longwood Division, 160 Gould Street, Needham Heights, MA 02194-2310; tele.
- Habil, H., and Ab Rahman, N. A. B. (2010). An investigation of engineering students' oral presentation delivery skills: A case study.(Doctoral dissertation, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia)
- Hamayan, E. V. (1995). Approaches to alternative assessment. Annual Review of Applied Linguistics. 15212-226.
- Harris, M. (1997). Self-assessment of language learning in formal settings. *ELT journal*. *51*(1), 12-20.
- Hawkins, S., Osborne, A., Schofield, S., Pournaras, D., and Chester, J. (2012). Improving the accuracy of self-assessment of practical clinical skills using video feedback-the importance of including benchmarks. *Medical teacher*. 34(4), 279-284.
- He, D. (2011). Foreign language speaking anxiety: An investigation of non-english majors in mainland china.
- Hecimovich, M. D., Maire, J.-A., and Losco, B. (2010). Effect of clinician feedback versus video self-assessment in 5th-year chiropractic students on an end-of-year communication skills examination. *Journal of Chiropractic Education*. 24(2), 165-174.
- Hsia, L.-H., Huang, I., and Hwang, G.-J. (2016). Effects of different online peerfeedback approaches on students' performance skills, motivation and selfefficacy in a dance course. *Computers & Education.* 9655-71.
- Hsieh, A. F.-Y. (2014). The effect of cultural background and language proficiency on the use of oral communication strategies by second language learners of chinese. *System.* 451-16.
- Huang, S.-C. (2016). Understanding learners' self-assessment and self-feedback on their foreign language speaking performance. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 41(6), 803-820.

- Huba, M. E., and Freed, J. E. (2000). Learner-centered assessment on college campuses: Shifting the focus from teaching to learning. Allyn & Bacon, 160 Gould St., Needham Heights, MA 02494.
- Husain, F. M., Ganapathy, M., and Mohamad, A. (2015). Analysing esl students' perceptions towards oral communication for social and occupational purposes. *Advances in Language and Literary Studies*. 6(6), 187-194.
- Idrus, H., and Salleh, R. (2017). Perceived self-efficacy of malaysian esl engineering and technology students on their speaking ability and its pedagogical implications. *The English Teacher*. 15.
- Janssen-van Dieten, A.-M. (1989). The development of a test of dutch as a second language: The validity of self-assessment by inexperienced subjects. *Language testing*. 6(1), 30-46.
- Johnston, B. (2003). Values in english language teaching. New York: Routledge.
- Joughin, G. (2007). Student conceptions of oral presentations. *Studies in higher* education. 32(3), 323-336.
- Juhana, J. (2012). Psychological factors that hinder students from speaking in English class (A case study in a senior high school in South Tangerang, Banten, Indonesia). *Journal of Education and Practice*, *3*(12), 100-110.
- Kaewpet, C., and Sukamolson, S. (2011). A sociolinguistic approach to oral and written communication for engineering students. *Asian Social Science*. 7(10), 183.
- Kakepoto, I., Habil, H., Omar, N. A. M., and Said, H. (2012). Oral presentation preparation of engineering students of pakistan for world of work: Are they really prepared? *JL Pol'y & Globalization*, 7, 25.
- Kava, B. R., Andrade, A. D., Marcovich, R., Idress, T., and Ruiz, J. G. (2017). Communication skills assessment using human avatars: Piloting a virtual world objective structured clinical examination. *Urology Practice*. 4(1), 76-84.

Khandkar, S. H. (2009). Open coding. University of Calgary. 23, 2009.

Kim, S. (2006). Academic oral communication needs of east asian international graduate students in non-science and non-engineering fields. *English for Specific Purposes*. 25(4), 479-489.

- Kirkgoz, Y. (2011). A blended learning study on implementing video recorded speaking tasks in task-based classroom instruction. *Turkish Online Journal Of Educational Technology-TOJET*. 10(4), 1-13.
- Klochkova, O., Komochkina, E., and Mustafina, A. (2016). "Triad" strategy as an effective way of developing professional communication skills of physics and mathematics students. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 236271-276.
- Kovac, M. M., and Sirkovic, N. (2012). Peer evaluation of oral presentations in croatia. *English Language Teaching*. 5(7), 8.
- Krejcie, R. V., and Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. *Educational and psychological measurement.* 30(3), 607-610.
- Kuntze, J., van der Molen, H. T., and Born, M. P. (2016). Mastery of communication skills. Does intelligence matter? *Health Professions Education*. 4(1), 9-15.
- Kusnic, E., and Finley, M. L. (1993). Student self-evaluation: An introduction and rationale. *New Directions for Teaching and Learning*. 1993(56), 5-14.
- Lazaraton, A. (2004). Gesture and speech in the vocabulary explanations of one esl teacher: A microanalytic inquiry. *Language learning*. 54(1), 79-117.
- Le, T. (2018). *Needs analysis of english for mechanical engineers in the vietnamese context*. Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand.
- Levin, P., and Topping, G. (2006). *Perfect presentations!* McGraw-Hill Education (UK).
- Lew, M. D., Alwis, W., and Schmidt, H. G. (2010). Accuracy of students' selfassessment and their beliefs about its utility. Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education. 35(2), 135-156.
- Lin, S. S., Liu, E. Z.-F., and Yuan, S.-M. (2001). Web-based peer assessment: Feedback for students with various thinking-styles. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*. 17(4), 420-432.
- Little, D. (2005). The common european framework and the european language portfolio: Involving learners and their judgements in the assessment process. *Language testing*. 22(3), 321-336.
- Lucchetti, A. E., Phipps, G. L., and Behnke, R. R. (2009). Trait anticipatory public speaking anxiety as a function of self-efficacy expectations and self-handicapping strategies. *Communication Research Reports*. 20(4), 348-356.

- MacIntyre, P. D., and Gardner, R. C. (1994). The subtle effects of language anxiety on cognitive processing in the second language. *Language learning*. 44(2), 283-305.
- Mackey, A., and Gass, S. M. (2015). Second language research: Methodology and design (2<sup>nd</sup> ed). New york. Routledge.
- Magin, D., and Helmore, P. (2001). Peer and teacher assessments of oral presentation skills: How reliable are they? *Studies in Higher Education*. 26(3), 287-298.
- Marzuki, E., Ting, S.-H., Jerome, C., Chuah, K.-M., and Misieng, J. (2013). Congruence between language proficiency and communicative abilities. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 97448-453.
- Masmaliyeva, L. (2014). Using affective effectively: Oral presentations in efl classroom. *Dil Ve Edebiyat Egitimi Dergisi*. 2(10), 145.
- McCabe, D. B., and Meuter, M. L. (2011). A student view of technology in the classroom: Does it enhance the seven principles of good practice in undergraduate education? *Journal of Marketing Education*. 33(2), 149-159.
- McCroskey, J. C. (2006). Nonverbal communication in instructional contexts. *The SAGE handbook of nonverbal communication*. 421-436.
- Mercer-Mapstone, L. D., and Matthews, K. E. (2017). Student perceptions of communication skills in undergraduate science at an australian researchintensive university. Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education. 42(1), 98-114.
- Moerkerke, G., and Dochy, F. (1998). Effects of applying new assessment functionalities during learning processes on studytime and studyresults. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*. 24179-201.
- Mort, J. R., and Hansen, D. J. (2010). First-year pharmacy students' self-assessment of communication skills and the impact of video review. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*. 74(5), 78.
- Murphy, K., and Barry, S. (2016). Feed-forward: Students gaining more from assessment via deeper engagement in video-recorded presentations. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 41(2), 213-227.
- Mushtaq, M. (2014). Factor affecting communication of pakistani students. *International Journal of English and Education.* 3(1), 278-286.

- Neuendorf, K. (2002). The content analysis guidebook sage publications, inc. *Library of Congress. CA: United States*,
- Nicol, D., and Milligan, C. (2006). Rethinking technology-supported assessment practices in relation to the seven principles of good feedback practice. *Innovative assessment in higher education*. 64-77.
- Nikolic, S., Stirling, D., and Ros, M. (2018). Formative assessment to develop oral communication competency using youtube: Self-and peer assessment in engineering. *European Journal of Engineering Education*. 43(4), 538-551.
- O'malley, J. M., and Pierce, L. V. (1996). Authentic assessment for english language learners: Practical approaches for teachers. (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company New York.
- Odhabi, H., and Nicks-McCaleb, L. (2011). Video recording lectures: Student and professor perspectives. *British Journal of Educational Technology*. 42(2), 327-336.
- Önler, E., Yildiz, T., and Bahar, S. (2018). Evaluation of the communication skills of operating room staff. *Journal of Interprofessional Education & Practice*. 1044-46.
- Orsmond, P., Merry, S., and Reiling, K. (1996). The importance of marking criteria in the use of peer assessment. Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education. 21(3), 239-250.
- Oscarson, M. (1989). Self-assessment of language proficiency: Rationale and applications. *Language Testing*. 6(1), 1-13.
- Özad, B. E., and Kutoğlu, Ü. (2004). Efl students use of technology the presentations. *TOJET: The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 3(2).
- Pallant, J. (2010). Spss survival manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using spss . Maidenhead: Open University Press/McGraw-Hill.
- Paradis, J., Dobrin, D., and Miller, R. (1985). Writing at exxon itd: Notes on the writing environment of an R&D organization. Writing in nonacademic settings. 281-307.
- Patri, M. (2002). The influence of peer feedback on self-and peer-assessment of oral skills. *Language testing*. 19(2), 109-131.

- Peng, J.-c. (2010). Peer assessment in an efl context: Attitudes and correlations. Selected Proceedings of the 2008 Second Language Research Forum, ed. Matthew T. Prior et al, 89-107.
- Peng, J.-c. F. (2009). Peer assessment of oral presentation in an efl context. (Indiana University.
- Pereira, J., Echeazarra, L., Sanz-Santamaría, S., and Gutiérrez, J. (2014). Studentgenerated online videos to develop cross-curricular and curricular competencies in nursing studies. *Computers in Human Behavior*. *31*580-590.
- Piirto, J. (2000). Speech: An enhancement to (technical) writing. *Journal of Engineering Education*. 89(1), 21-23.
- Quigley, B. L., and Nyquist, J. D. (1992). Using video technology to provide feedback to students in performance courses. *Communication Education*. 41(3), 324-334.
- Radzuan, N. R. M., and Kaur, S. (2011). Technical oral presentations in english: Qualitative analysis of malaysian engineering undergraduates' sources of anxiety. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 291436-1445.
- Rager, K. B. (2005). Self-care and the qualitative researcher: When collecting data can break your heart. *Educational Researcher*. *34*(4), 23-27.
- Rajprasit, K., Pratoomrat, P., and Wang, T. (2015). Perceptions and problems of english language and communication abilities: A final check on thai engineering undergraduates. *English Language Teaching*. 8(3), 111.
- Ramírez, V. A. C. (2010). Students' perceptions about the development of their oral skills in an english as a foreign language teacher training program. (Doctoral dissertation, Universidad Tecnológica de Pereira. Facultad de Bellas Artes y Humanidades. Licenciatura en Enseñanza de la Lengua Inglesa).
- Rasmodjo, V. R. (2011). Anxiety in oral performance tests: A case study of indonesian learners. *Indonesian JELT*. 7(2), 65-81.
- Reitmeier, C. A., and Vrchota, D. A. (2009). Self-assessment of oral communication presentations in food science and nutrition. *Journal of Food Science Education*. 8(4), 88-92.
- Requena-Carrión, J., Alonso-Atienza, F., Guerrero-Curieses, A., and Rodríguez-González, A. B. (2010). A student-centered collaborative learning environment for developing communication skills in engineering education. *Education Engineering (EDUCON)*, 2010 IEEE, 783-786.

- Richland, L. E. (2008). Gesturing to promote higher-order thinking: Cross-cultural differences. *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society*, 30 (30).
- Ritchie, S. M. (2016). Self-assessment of video-recorded presentations: Does it improve skills? *Active Learning in Higher Education*. 17(3), 207-221.
- Rivers, W. P. (2001). Autonomy at all costs: An ethnography of metacognitive selfassessment and self-management among experienced language learners. *The Modern Language Journal.* 85(2), 279-290.
- Rodriguez, M., Ajjan, H., and Honeycutt, E. (2014). Using technology to engage and improve millennial students' presentation performance. *Atlantic Marketing Journal*. 3(2), 3.
- Rolheiser, C., and Ross, J. A. (2001). Student self-evaluation: What research says and what practice shows. *Plain talk about kids*. Center for Development and Learning, Covington, LA, pp. 43-57.
- Rushton, C. (1993). Peer assessment in a collaborative hypermedia environment: A case study. *Journal of Computer-Based Instruction*. 20(3), 75-80.
- Sageev, P., and Romanowski, C. J. (2001). A message from recent engineering graduates in the workplace: Results of a survey on technical communication skills. *Journal of Engineering Education*. 90(4), 685-693.
- Sakale, S. (2013). Reflecting on error treatment in speaking among efl moroccan learners. Journal Of Humanities And Social Science, 12 (1) 24-28.
- Salehi, M., and Daryabar, B. (2014). Self-and peer assessment of oral presentations: Investigating correlations and attitudes. *English for Specific Purposes World*. 15(42), 1-12.
- Salehi, M., and Masoule, Z. S. (2017). An investigation of the reliability and validity of peer, self-, and teacher assessment. Southern African Linguistics and Applied Language Studies. 35(1), 1-15.
- Schunk, D. H. (1989). Social cognitive theory and self-regulated learning. Selfregulated learning and academic achievement: Theory, research, and practice. 83-110.
- Seidel, T., Stürmer, K., Blomberg, G., Kobarg, M., and Schwindt, K. (2011). Teacher learning from analysis of videotaped classroom situations: Does it make a difference whether teachers observe their own teaching or that of others? *Teaching and teacher education*. 27(2), 259-267.

- Shohamy, E. (2001). Democratic assessment as an alternative. *Language testing*. 18(4), 373-391.
- Sierens, E., Vansteenkiste, M., Goossens, L., Soenens, B., and Dochy, F. (2009). The synergistic relationship of perceived autonomy support and structure in the prediction of self-regulated learning. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*. 79(1), 57-68.
- Şimon, S. (2014). Enhancing the english oral communication skills of the 1st year students of the bachelor's degree program "communication and public relations". *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 1162481-2484.
- Škodová, Z., Bánovčinová, Ľ., and Bánovčinová, A. (2017). Attitudes towards communication skills among nursing students and its association with sense of coherence. *Kontakt.* 20(1), e17-e22.
- Smith, C. M., and Sodano, T. M. (2011). Integrating lecture capture as a teaching strategy to improve student presentation skills through self-assessment. *Active Learning in Higher Education*. 12(3), 151-162.
- Stan, C., and Manea, A. D. (2015). The divergent relationship between assessment and self-assessment in higher education. Experimental results. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 209497-502.
- Stapa, M., Murad, N. A., and Ahmad, N. (2014). Engineering technical oral presentation: Voices of the stakeholder. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 118463-467.
- Stefani, L. A. (1994). Peer, self and tutor assessment: Relative reliabilities. *Studies in Higher Education.* 19(1), 69-75.
- Stowe, K., Parent, J. D., Schwartz, L. A., and Sendall, P. (2012). Are students prepared to present?: An analysis of presentation skills in business schools. *Journal of the Academy of Business Education.* 13.
- Subramaniam, G. K. J., and Harun, R. N. S. R. (2013). The ability of the polytechnics marketing student in using good english oral communication skills during industrial training. *English for Specific Purposes World.* 14(39), 1-15.
- Suleimenova, Z. (2013). Speaking anxiety in a foreign language classroom in kazakhstan. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 931860-1868.
- Sullivan, K., and Hall, C. (1997). Introducing students to self-assessment. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 22(3), 289-305.

- Sullivan, M. E., Hitchcock, M. A., and Dunnington, G. L. (1999). Peer and self assessment during problem-based tutorials. *The American Journal of Surgery*. 177(3), 266-269.
- Suñol, J. J., Arbat, G., Pujol, J., Feliu, L., Fraguell, R. M., and Planas-Lladó, A. (2016). Peer and self-assessment applied to oral presentations from a multidisciplinary perspective. Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education. 41(4), 622-637.
- Suzuki, Y. (2015). Self-assessment of japanese as a second language: The role of experiences in the naturalistic acquisition. *Language testing*. 32(1), 63-81.
- Takahashi, K., and Sato, K. (2003). Teacher perception about alternative assessment and student learning: *JALT*, (pp. 175-183). Shizuoka.
- Taras, M. (2001). The use of tutor feedback and student self-assessment in summative assessment tasks: Towards transparency for students and for tutors. Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education. 26(6), 605-614.
- Tatzl, D. (2017). An intensive presentations course in english for aeronautical engineering students using cyclic video recordings. *Language Learning in Higher Education*. 7(2), 275-309.
- Teddlie, C., and Tashakkori, A. (2009). Foundations of mixed methods research: Integrating quantitative and qualitative approaches in the social and behavioral sciences. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Tenopir, C., and King, D. W. (2004). *Communication patterns of engineers*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Tsai, C.-C., Liu, E. Z.-F., Lin, S. S., and Yuan, S.-M. (2001). A networked peer assessment system based on a vee heuristic. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*. 38(3), 220-230.
- Tsang, A. (2017). Enhancing learners' awareness of oral presentation (delivery) skills in the context of self-regulated learning. *Active Learning in Higher Education*. 1469787417731214.
- Tugrul, T. O. (2012). Student perceptions of an educational technology tool: Video recordings of project presentations. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 64133-140.
- Vani, V., V. (2016). The role of feedback and self-appraisal in enhancing pedagogical competence of teachers: An empirical study. English for specific purposes world, 49 (17) 01-10. English for Specific Purposes World. 49(17), 01-10.

- Vest, D., Long, M., and Anderson, T. (1996). Electrical engineers' perceptions of communication training and their recommendations for curricular change: Results of a national survey. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication. 39*(1), 38-42.
- Vial, P. J., Nikolic, S., Ros, M., Stirling, D., and Doulai, P. (2015). Using online and multimedia resources to enhance the student learning experience in a telecommunications laboratory within an australian university. *Australasian Journal of Engineering Education*. 20(1), 71-80.
- Wiersma, W., and Jurs, S. G. (2005). Research methods in education (8 th). Boston, MA.
- Winne, P. H. (2004). Students' calibration of knowledge and learning processes: Implications for designing powerful software learning environments. *International Journal of Educational Research.* 41(6), 466-488.
- Yalçın, Ö., and İnceçay, V. (2014). Foreign language speaking anxiety: The case of spontaneous speaking activities. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 1162620-2624.
- Yamkate, K., and Intratat, C. (2012). Using video recordings to facilitate student development of oral presentation skills. *Language Education in Asia.* 3(2), 146-158.
- Yoo, M., Son, Y., Kim, Y., and Park, J. (2009). Video-based self-assessment: Implementation and evaluation in an undergraduate nursing course. *Nurse Education Today*. 29(6), 585-589.
- Young, M. R., Klemz, B. R., and Murphy, J. W. (2003). Enhancing learning outcomes: The effects of instructional technology, learning styles, instructional methods, and student behavior. *Journal of Marketing Education*. 25(2), 130-142.
- Yu, N., and Liaw, P. (1998). Ceramic-matrix composites: An integrated interdisciplinary curriculum. *Journal of Engineering Education*. 87(S5), 539-544.
- Zaid, Y. B. H., and Kamarudin, H. B. (2011). Oral communication needs of mechanical engineering undergraduate students in UTM: As perceived by the learners. (Doctoral dissertation, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia).
- Živković, S. (2014). The importance of oral presentations for university students. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*. 5(19), 468.

Zulkurnain, N., and Kaur, S. (2014). Oral english communication difficulties and coping strategies of diploma of hotel management students at uitm. *3L: Southeast Asian Journal of English Language Studies*. 20(3).